The original documents are located in Box D14, folder "House Speech Hoover Commission, March 13, 1951" of the Ford Congressional Papers: Press Secretary and Speech File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. The Council donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Mr. Chairman, this legislation, as all of us know, is an amendment to the Reorganization Act of 1949, which was approved on June 20th

MR. FORD. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike out the last word.

of that year. Furthermore all of us also know that the Reorganization Act of 1949 was enacted for the sole purpose of effectuating the Hoover

Commission recommendations.

Hoever Commission proposals. I have in my hand a report recently issued by the Citizens Committee for the Hoever Report. This report indicates that in the past 20 months almost 50 per cent of the Hoever Commission recommendations have been effectuated. They have printed in the report a box score which is rather imposing. Needless to say, most of us would like to see a greater degree of progress where efficiency and economy would result, but even in that interim period there has been substantial result attained. This legislation before us today is for the purpose, at least the alleged purpose, of even greater economy and efficiency during the present emergency.

The Heaver Commission made about 380 recommendations. Under the present legislation without these amendments which are on the floor today nearly 50 per cent of those recommendations have been put into eperation in a period of 20 months. It seems to me under the existing legislation we now have, which this bill will amend, we could still accomplish all reforms in



the executive branch of the government that would be necessary in a reasonably short time under any emergency situation.

I have examined the committee report and the committee hearings.

I have failed to find any recommendation by the Citizens' Committee for the

Hoover Report for the enactment of these amendments. If you will recall,

in the last 18 months whenever any amendments to existing legislation or

any reorganisation plans were submitted conserming the Hoover recommendations

the Citizens' Committee for the Hoover Report would appear and testify in

favor of the legislative preposals or in favor of the reorganisation plans.

MR. BURNSINE. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?
MR. FORD. I yield.

MR. BURNSIDE. The gentleman from Michigan is well acquainted with Mr. NeCormick of the Citizens' Committee?

MR. FORD. I am. I have in my hand the report by his group.

MR. BUHESIDE. He testified before the other body in favor of the bill S. 101 which is complementary legislation to this legislation which we are now considering.

NR. FORD. But there is nothing in this committee report and no testimeny before this legislative committee of the House which would indicate that they were in favor of it.

MR. BURNSIMM. That question came up on last Thursday and I would like to give the gentleman the exact words. It is on page 60 of the report of the other body.

MR. FORD. I am glad to have that information.

MR. BURNSIDE. Here is the statement. This is Mr. McCormick.

MR. FORD. Was he testifying before them?

MR. BURNSIDE. Yes. This is the testimony:

"My name is Robert L. L. McCormick. Dr. Robert L. Johnson,
Chairman of the Citizens' Committee for the Hoover Report requested that
I present the Committee's views on S. 101 in my capacity as research
director. His request to me, appendix A hereto, is submitted subject to
your approval, Mr. Chairman, for the record. We very much appreciate,
Mr. Chairman, your kind invitation to appear before this committee."

MR. FORD. If I may ask the gentleman a question, can the gentleman give me the substance of what the recommendation was rather than read the entire testimony at this time?

MR. BURNSIDE. He recommended the bill.

MR. FORD. In toto?

MR. BURNSIDE. The bill is practically the same bill except that the House bill first had 15 days and later the House accepted the 18 days as contained in the Senate bill.

MR. FORD. I am glad to have the information from the



the Citizens' Committee for the Heaver Report is not in favor of this bill, at least the Citizens' Committee does not approve unless cartain changes are incorporated. I understand there are several proposals in reference to Sec. 302 which the Citizens' Committee advocates. The most important amendment advocated would be the addition of a new subparagraph to Sec. 303 to insure that all reorganization plans submitted, sutside of those affecting the var effect, would be in conformity with the recommendations of the Hoover Commission. I might add at this point that if the Hoover Commission were reactivated at this time, as I have advocated, recommendations concerning all phases of the federal government would be available for Presidential and Congressional action.

The Citizens' Committee has raised some question concerning other provisions in this bill. Sec. 304(b) seems unwise and Sec. 304(a) would seem too broad and gives the Executive Branch of the federal government too much latitude in the field of substantive law.

In conclusion the enactment of this bill is unnecessary. The Reorganisation Act of 1949 has worked fairly well. The President can do practically everything under this law that he could do under the proposed changes. The principal difference lies in the time period of 18 days.



In view of the fact that approximately 50 per sent of the Heaver Commission proposals have been effectuated under existing procedures and new Presidential Reorganisation plans could be handled in the same way.

I see no need for amendment to present law. The enactment of these amendments would only give greater authority to President Truman and I doubt if the American public want Congress to abandon or turn over additional power to the White House under the present circumstances. If anything, Congress should seek to recapture some of its Constitutional prerogatives.

