# The original documents are located in Box 46, folder "1975/06/07 - Northeast and Midwest Governors (1)" of the James M. Cannon Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

# **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box 46 of the James M. Cannon Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

10AM - Presidential Meeting with Northeast and Midwest Governors (Railroads) - Cabinet Room (60 minutes) Saturday, June 7, 1975

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

#### WASHINGTON

#### June 6, 1975

#### MEETING WITH NORTHEAST AND MIDWEST GOVERNORS

# Saturday, June 7, 1975 10:00 a.m. (60 minutes) The Cabinet Room

#### From: Jim Cannon

#### I. PURPOSE

This meeting was requested by Governor Milliken to discuss with you the problems and proposed solutions concerning bankrupt railroads in the Northeast and Midwest.

#### II. BACKGROUND, PARTICIPANTS AND PRESS PLAN

A. <u>Background</u>: Eight railroads, including the Penn Central, in seventeen Northeast and Midwest States are bankrupt. (See Tab B for list of States and railroads involved.)

These railroads are being reorganized pursuant to special legislation, the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973. Under this Act, a quasi-government corporation, the United States Railway Association, has been formed. USRA has issued a plan to reorganize the railroads, which will be sent to the Congress on June 26. Ū.

You have recently taken action to help all the railroads of the country by submitting to Congress on May 19, your proposed Railroad Revitalization Act of 1975. This Act would remove unnecessary regulatory restraints on the railroads (a key to any ultimate solution in the Northeast, as well as other areas of the country) and would provide \$2 billion in loan guarantee authority which would be available to any railroad.

On April 12, you met with twenty railroad presidents.

Next week, you will be meeting with the Economic Policy Board to discuss the Administration's position concerning the bankrupt Northeast and Midwest railroads. The following are the major positions which are likely to be discussed at today's meeting with the governors:

 USRA Final System Plan - This Plan, known as "Three Carriers East", envisions the creation of a Federally assisted new railroad called ConRail (which would be made up of 90% of the existing bankrupt railroads) in competition with two other private solvent railroads (perhaps the Chessie and Norfolk & Western which would receive the remaining 10% of the bankrupt railroad properties).

Preliminary work by the Economic Policy Board Task Force, chaired by Secretary Coleman, indicates that such a plan would require about \$4 billion in loan guarantees or \$1.6 billion in direct grants between now and 1985. Even with this level of Federal involvement, ConRail will not be financially viable at any foreseeable time in the future.

- Control Transfer The EPB Task Force has considered, as an alternative, transferring the properties of all the bankrupt railroads to existing solvent railroads. Although this will require the same near-term Federal financial assistance as the USRA Plan, it is more likely to result in a financially viable private railroad. There are, however, difficulties with this approach, including the need for new legislation. An analysis of these alternatives will be presented to you by the EPB next week.
- <u>Governor Milliken Plan</u> In a press release, Governor Milliken has proposed a two-year moratorium on any restructuring. He also proposes that \$8 million be spent to upgrade the tracks by using public service jobs, funded under Title X of the Emergency Jobs and Unemployment Act of 1974.
- <u>Governor Salmon Plan</u> (Chairman of New England Governors' Conference) - This Plan is designed to avoid the USRA proposal to abandon 7,000 miles of "excess" track (about 30% of total). It envisions a "national commitment" to acquire the rail rightsof-way, refurbish them and then lease them back to the railroads. It would be funded by imposing additional tax on all fuels.

This is essentially the same as a proposal considered by the USRA Board of Directors and several in Congress, called "ConFac". Secretary Coleman and many others in the Administration strongly oppose this as really being no solution at all and tending to encourage continuation of existing uneconomic operations.

• <u>Governor Shapp Plan</u> - Governor Shapp has proposed that a Federal trust fund be created with funding provided by a surcharge on rail freight, long-term bonds and perhaps other means. The trust fund would provide loans and grants to the railroads.

This Plan is criticized by many in the Administration as being useless without the regulatory reform you have proposed to Congress, and containing all the objections normally associated with trust fund financing.

See Tab A for a summary of the views of several of the governors attending today's meeting.

See Tab C for a memorandum to you from Secretary Coleman and its attached press clippings concerning today's meeting and a copy of a briefing book which the Secretary will distribute to each governor.

B. Participants: See list of governors at Tab A.

The following are attending for the Administration:

Secretary Coleman Deputy Secretary John Barnum Jim Cannon Bill Seidman Alan Greenspan Jim Lynn Mike Duval Jim Falk

C. <u>Press Plan</u>: Meeting has been announced, there will be a press photograph, and Secretary Coleman and Governor Milliken are scheduled to brief afterwards.

#### III. AGENDA AND TALKING POINTS

THE PRESIDENT: I very much appreciate all of you coming down here, at the suggestion of Governor Milliken, to meet with me and my principal advisers concerning the very serious bankrupt railroads situation.

The railroads are absolutely vital to the economic well-being of the Nation, and I fully recognize that their health must be restored. The railroads carry more freight (38% of ton-miles transported) than any other carriers, and they will have a critical role in our efforts to achieve energy independence. The railroads transport 70% of the coal produced, and if coal production doubles in accordance with my energy goals, the railroads will have to triple the ton-miles of coal they carry because of increases in the need for western coal.

In recognition of the national problem we have concerning railroads, I submitted to Congress on May 19, the Rail Revitalization Act of 1975, which will remove unnecessary regulatory restraints and provide \$2 billion in loan guarantee authority.

Passage of this regulatory reform will be critical to any solution concerning the bankrupt railroads in the seventeen Northeast and Midwest States. However, there are additional and very serious problems concerning these railroads. We will soon be making our position known to the Congress concerning the Plan presented by the United States Railway Association, and I'd like to hear your views before we reach our final conclusions.

Bill (Milliken), I understand you have some introductory remarks.

- GOVERNOR MILLIKEN: [The Governor will briefly cover their concerns about proposals to abandon "light density" track and the various alternatives being considered by the governors.]
- THE PRESIDENT: [If appropriate, Secretary Coleman is prepared to respond to Governor Milliken's opening comments.]

I'd like to hear from the other governors. Bill, why don't we follow whatever order you have worked out.

## • GOVERNOR MILLIKEN will call on the other governors.

• THE PRESIDENT: I appreciate hearing your views and I will carefully consider them as we make our final decisions concerning the bankrupt railroads. I hope you will give me your support in passing my proposed Railroad Revitalization Act. Regardless of what decisions we make concerning the financial condition of the bankrupt railroads, it is clear that ultimate success for all the railroads must be predicated on reform of these economic regulations.



Tab A

# PARTICIPANTS

# GOVERNORS

Honorable William Milliken (R), Michigan Honorable Otis R. Bowen (R), Indiana Honorable Ella Grasso (D), Connecticut Honorable Marvin Mandel (D), Maryland Honorable Mills E. Godwin (R), Virginia Honorable Milton Shapp (D), Pennsylvania Honorable James B. Longley (I), Maine Honorable Thomas P. Salmon (D), Vermont Honorable Brendan Byrne (D), New Jersey Honorable Daniel Walker (D), Illinois Honorable Patrick Lucey (D), Wisconsin

## LT. GOVERNOR

Honorable Thomas P. O'Neill, III (D), Massachusetts

NOTE: Other Governors in the bankrupt railroad region were invited, but are unable to attend.

# STATE BY STATE SUMMARY

Michigan - Governor Milliken, who requested the meeting will be asking for a two year moratorium on the rail abandonment plans and will additionally seek Federal funds to improve tracks and rail service.

> His concerns are related in large part to branch lines to be abandoned or declared available for subsidy and a concern that Michigan is being disproportionately affected. He stated that "although there are 17 States in the affected region, Michigan has been asked to bear 22 percent of the total mileage to be subsidized or abandoned."

Indiana –

Governor Bowen has been among the most supportive Governors of USRA. Indiana did its only rail study last year and concluded that miles of its rail track to be abandoned are marginal. Nevertheless, they do have problems with some of the specific branch line decisions USRA has made.

Q.

Connecticut - Governor Grasso is concerned about light density lines, freight flows through Connecticut, and the specific impact of prospective abandonments in Eastern Connecticut.

Maryland - Governor Mandel is very concerned about the future of rail service on the Delaware Pennisula. What Maryland, Virginia and Delaware want is the acquisition of track in Delaware and Maryland as well as the Cape Charles Ferry between Maryland and Virginia by the Southern Railroad.

Virginia - Governor Godwin is a former member of Southern Railroad's Board of Directors. Virginia's primary concern is the Cape Charles Ferry. They support the Southern Railroad proposal to take over the Ferry and the connecting Penn Central tracks in the Delaware Pennisula.

Pennsylvania - Governor Shapp's views are that his rail trust fund proposal would provide sufficient funds to keep every mile of rail in service. He opposes USRA's industry structure, calling instead for "unmerging" the Penn Central. He claims Penn Central is too large, can't be managed and that Con Rail is nothing but Penn Central revisited. He has been USRA's most strident and vocal opponent. He persuaded the Mid-Atlantic Governors' Conference to support his basic position, but the degree of commitment he has from those Governors is "skin deep."

Maine - Maine does not have any track of the seven bankrupt railroads that are USRA's responsibility. The Governor is concerned about competitive rail service out of New England.

> The New England Regional Commission and Congressional Caucus are supporting a proposal that calls for Government ownership and rehabilitation of railroad right-of-way. We understand that Longley of Maine and Thomson of New Hampshire do not completely support the New England position.

The Administration has clearly stated its opposition to this approach. The USRA Board of Directors has stated it will not recommend it in the Final System Plan. There is, nevertheless, considerable Congressional interest in this approach. Congressman Joe Skubitz, ranking Republican on the Transportation Subcommittee of the House Interstate Foreign Commerce Committee made this abundantly clear during hearings held recently by that Subcommittee.

B

Vermont – Supports New England position.

Massachusetts - Concerns are similar to those of the rest of New England in branch lines. The prospective abandonment of light density lines is the objection. The New England Caucus position on the subsidy question was articulated by Congressman Ed Boland, its Chairman. Lt. Governor Tom O'Neill will represent the Governor. He is Tip O'Neill's son.

New Jersey -

Governor Byrne has been concerned about branch lines in commuter service, particularly on the Erie Lackawanna. Existing commuter contracts will be honored, however, Con Rail will seek to renegotiate to recover complete costs. This will increase local transportation commuter costs in New Jersey and elsewhere. Governor Byrne may support the Shapp position.

Illinois -

Governor Walker has devoted very little time to the Railroad problem. Proposed legislation, approved by Walker, calls for the State to serve only as a conduit for subsidy funds. The State share would have to be paid in full by local communities or shippers. Walker disapproved of his own DOT's suggestion for industry relocation funds and State funds for rehabilitation of railroad lines. Branch lines constitute whatever difficulty there Though unlikely, it is possible Walker might bring up the Rock Island problem. Earlier this year, USRA turned down a loan application for the Rock Island. Shortly thereafter the Rock Island declared bankruptcy. It is continuing to operate, but will run out of cash eventually. If Walker asks, it is best to refer him to the ICC on the Rock Island.

Wisconsin -

Has no track under USRA study but is affected as is Michigan by USRA recommendations that the Ann Arbor Railroad car ferry across Lake Michigan not be included in Con Rail. The problem is that abandonment applications for the other 2 Lake Michigan car ferries are pending before the ICC. USRA feels that some consolidation plan for car ferry service is feasible, but initiative lies within the States of Michigan and Wisconsin.

ÿ

.

# NORTHEAST AND MIDWEST STATES

Maine Vermont New Hampshire Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware Maryland Virginia West Virginia Ohio Michigan Indiana Illinois District of Columbia

# BANKRUPT RAILROADS UNDER RAIL REORGANIZATION ACT

Ann Arbor Central of New Jersey Erie Lackawanna Lehigh & Hudson River Lehigh Valley Penn Central Reading

Other bankrupt railroad in region, but not being reorganized under the Regional Rail Reorganization Act:

Boston and Maine

3





# THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

# 5 JUN 1975

The President The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Governors representing States affected by the Northeast/Midwest rail reorganization will meet with you at 10:00 a.m., Saturday, June 7. They will want to discuss the Federal Government's efforts to date in working to effectively structure a viable rail service in the region.

The Governors are expected to express their concern about the level of railroad abandonments that might occur as a result of reorganization. They will also question the practicality of the "controlled transfer" process by which bankrupt rail properties would be transferred to solvent railroads.

The accompanying articles from today's press summarize accurately the tenor of the Governors' views.

I am also enclosing a copy of the briefing material to be given to the Governors prior to the meeting and news clips that characterize recent developments in the rail reorganization process.

Respectfully,

William T. Coleman, Jr.

Enclosures



# Washington Star

The Post



MONTPELIER, Vt.

.

٠

mendations" which call for abandonment of 7,000 miles New England Governors Set Rail Plan MONTPELIER, Vt., June

# June 3, 1975

Washington Star

•

٠

and Chessie System In government-created, I owned Consolidated Ra rail).

The three-system pla so that Conrail would l tors and freight shipped at the mercy of a mone-

# June 2, 1975

#### Wall Street Journal

N&W Head Says Sale of Northeast Lines Socks To Profitable Roads Won't Solve Crisis the pro propos deregu among track repair. By a WALL STREET JOURNAL Staff Reporter DOANOUE Vo. The federal govern- We Been't See How

# June l, 1975 Washington Star

**Business** Profile

He Tackles Rail Woe

By Stephen M. Aug Washington Star Staff Writer

~

Fishwick said, though, that "the one thing we would be interested in

# May 30, 1975

Washington Post

Chessie Expresses Interest in Acquiring At Least Half of Northeast Lines' Track

By ALBERT R. KARR

lowed to balance its mainly summer-servic U.S. operation by merging with a domesti

# May 30, 1975

#### Wall Street Journal

# 3-System Rail Reorganization Approved

USRA Votes For Proposal Made Earlier

By William H. Jones Washington Post Staff Writer transportation of General Mills and a spokesman for large shippers.

Although the basic preliminary system plan won renewed support yesterday, the rail association's board did emphasize—for the first time in a public statementtails on a one-system rail monopoly for the region, which has been favored by some members of Congress as the only way to guarantee economic success for the currently rundown rail network.

In addition, the board said

"We are hopeful of reaching quick agreement with USRA on the specific terms and conditions required to carry out the three-system concept," Watkins said. "We continue to believe that this is the only plan that conforms to the Concessional marging to grad a fin

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

#### June 6, 1975

MEETING WITH NORTHEAST AND MIDWEST GOVERNORS

Saturday, June 7, 1975 10:00 a.m. (60 minutes) The Cabinet Room From: Jim Canno

#### I. PURPOSE

This meeting was requested by Governor Milliken to discuss with you the problems and proposed solutions concerning bankrupt railroads in the Northeast and Midwest.

#### II. BACKGROUND, PARTICIPANTS AND PRESS PLAN

A. <u>Background</u>: Eight railroads, including the Penn Central, in seventeen Northeast and Midwest States are bankrupt. (See Tab B for list of States and railroads involved.)

These railroads are being reorganized pursuant to special legislation, the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973. Under this Act, a quasi-government corporation, the United States Railway Association, has been formed. USRA has issued a plan to reorganize the railroads, which will be sent to the Congress on June 26.

You have recently taken action to help all the railroads of the country by submitting to Congress on May 19, your proposed Railroad Revitalization Act of 1975. This Act would remove unnecessary regulatory restraints on the railroads (a key to any ultimate solution in the Northeast, as well as other areas of the country) and would provide \$2 billion in loan guarantee authority which would be available to any railroad.

On April 12, you met with twenty railroad presidents.

Next week, you will be meeting with the Economic Policy Board to discuss the Administration's position concerning the bankrupt Northeast and Midwest railroads. The following are the major positions which are likely to be discussed at today's meeting with the governors:

 USRA Final System Plan - This Plan, known as "Three Carriers East", envisions the creation of a Federally assisted new railroad called ConRail (which would be made up of 90% of the existing bankrupt railroads) in competition with two other private solvent railroads (perhaps the Chessie and Norfolk & Western which would receive the remaining 10% of the bankrupt railroad properties).

Preliminary work by the Economic Policy Board Task Force, chaired by Secretary Coleman, indicates that such a plan would require about \$4 billion in loan guarantees or \$1.6 billion in direct grants between now and 1985. Even with this level of Federal involvement, ConRail will not be financially viable at any foreseeable time in the future.

- Control Transfer The EPB Task Force has considered, as an alternative, transferring the properties of all the bankrupt railroads to existing solvent railroads. Although this will require the same near-term Federal financial assistance as the USRA Plan, it is more likely to result in a financially viable private railroad. There are, however, difficulties with this approach, including the need for new legislation. An analysis of these alternatives will be presented to you by the EPB next week.
- Governor Milliken Plan In a press release, Governor Milliken has proposed a two-year moratorium on any restructuring. He also proposes that \$8 million/(in Mich.) be spent to upgrade the tracks by using public service jobs, funded under Title X of the Emergency Jobs and Unemployment Act of 1974.
- <u>Governor Salmon Plan</u> (Chairman of New England Governors' Conference) - This Plan is designed to avoid the USRA proposal to abandon 7,000 miles of "excess" track (about 30% of total). It envisions a "national commitment" to acquire the rail rightsof-way, refurbish them and then lease them back to the railroads. It would be funded by imposing additional tax on all fuels.

This is essentially the same as a proposal considered by the USRA Board of Directors and several in Congress, called "ConFac". Secretary Coleman and many others in the Administration strongly oppose this as really being no solution at all and tending to encourage continuation of existing uneconomic operations.

• <u>Governor Shapp Plan</u> - Governor Shapp has proposed that a Federal trust fund be created with funding provided by a surcharge on rail freight, long-term bonds and perhaps other means. The trust fund would provide loans and grants to the railroads.

This Plan is criticized by many in the Administration as being useless without the regulatory reform you have proposed to Congress, and containing all the objections normally associated with trust fund financing.

See Tab A for a summary of the views of several of the governors attending today's meeting.

See Tab C for a memorandum to you from Secretary Coleman and its attached press clippings concerning today's meeting and a copy of a briefing book which the Secretary will distribute to each governor.

B. Participants: See list of governors at Tab A.

The following are attending for the Administration:

Secretary Coleman Deputy Secretary John Barnum Jim Cannon Bill Seidman Alan Greenspan Jim Lynn Mike Duval Jim Falk

C. <u>Press Plan</u>: Meeting has been announced, there will be a press photograph, and Secretary Coleman and Governor Milliken are scheduled to brief afterwards.

#### III. AGENDA AND TALKING POINTS

THE PRESIDENT: I very much appreciate all of you coming down here, at the suggestion of Governor Milliken, to meet with me and my principal advisers concerning the very serious bankrupt railroads situation.

The railroads are absolutely vital to the economic well-being of the Nation, and I fully recognize that their health must be restored. The railroads carry more freight (38% of ton-miles transported) than any other carriers, and they will have a critical role in our efforts to achieve energy independence. The railroads transport 70% of the coal produced, and if coal production doubles in accordance with my energy goals, the railroads will have to triple the ton-miles of coal they carry because of increases in the need for western coal.

In recognition of the national problem we have concerning railroads, I submitted to Congress on May 19, the Rail Revitalization Act of 1975, which will remove unnecessary regulatory restraints and provide \$2 billion in loan guarantee authority.

Passage of this regulatory reform will be critical to any solution concerning the bankrupt railroads in the seventeen Northeast and Midwest States. However, there are additional and very serious problems concerning these railroads. We will soon be making our position known to the Congress concerning the Plan presented by the United States Railway Association, and I'd like to hear your views before we reach our final conclusions.

Bill (Milliken), I understand you have some introductory remarks.

- GOVERNOR MILLIKEN: [The Governor will briefly cover their concerns about proposals to abandon "light density" track and the various alternatives being considered by the governors.]
- THE PRESIDENT: [If appropriate, Secretary Coleman is prepared to respond to Governor Milliken's opening comments.]

I'd like to hear from the other governors. Bill, why don't we follow whatever order you have worked out. • THE PRESIDENT: I appreciate hearing your views and I will carefully consider them as we make our final decisions concerning the bankrupt railroads. I hope you will give me your support in passing my proposed Railroad Revitalization Act. Regardless of what decisions we make concerning the financial condition of the bankrupt railroads, it is clear that ultimate success for all the railroads must be predicated on reform of these economic regulations.

# PARTICIPANTS

# GOVERNORS

Honorable William Milliken (R), Michigan Honorable Otis R. Bowen (R), Indiana Honorable Ella Grasso (D), Connecticut Honorable Marvin Mandel (D), Maryland Honorable Mills E. Godwin (R), Virginia Honorable Milton Shapp (D), Pennsylvania Honorable James B. Longley (I), Maine Honorable Thomas P. Salmon (D), Vermont Honorable Brendan Byrne (D), New Jersey Honorable Daniel Walker (D), Illinois Honorable Patrick Lucey (D), Wisconsin

## LT. GOVERNOR

Honorable Thomas P. O'Neill, III (D), Massachusetts

NOTE: Other Governors in the bankrupt railroad region were invited, but are unable to attend.

Michigan - Governor Milliken, who requested the meeting will be asking for a two year moratorium on the rail abandonment plans and will additionally seek Federal funds to improve tracks and rail service.

> His concerns are related in large part to branch lines to be abandoned or declared available for subsidy and a concern that Michigan is being disproportionately affected. He stated that "although there are 17 States in the affected region, Michigan has been asked to bear 22 percent of the total mileage to be subsidized or abandoned."

- Indiana Governor Bowen has been among the most supportive Governors of USRA. Indiana did its only rail study last year and concluded that miles of its rail track to be abandoned are marginal. Nevertheless, they do have problems with some of the specific branch line decisions USRA has made.
- Connecticut Governor Grasso is concerned about light density lines, freight flows through Connecticut, and the specific impact of prospective abandonments in Eastern Connecticut.
- Maryland Governor Mandel is very concerned about the future of rail service on the Delaware Pennisula. What Maryland, Virginia and Delaware want is the acquisition of track in Delaware and Maryland as well as the Cape Charles Ferry between Maryland and Virginia by the Southern Railroad.
- Virginia Governor Godwin is a former member of Southern Railroad's Board of Directors. Virginia's primary concern is the Cape Charles Ferry. They support the Southern Railroad proposal to take over the Ferry and the connecting Penn Central tracks in the Delaware Pennisula.

Pennsylvania - Governor Shapp's views are that his rail trust fund proposal would provide sufficient funds to keep every mile of rail in service. He opposes USRA's industry structure, calling instead for "unmerging" the Penn Central. He claims Penn Central is too large, can't be managed and that Con Rail is nothing but Penn Central revisited. He has been USRA's most strident and vocal opponent. He persuaded the Mid-Atlantic Governors' Conference to support his basic position, but the degree of commitment he has from those Governors is "skin deep."

Maine -

Maine does not have any track of the seven bankrupt railroads that are USRA's responsibility. The Governor is concerned about competitive rail service out of New England.

The New England Regional Commission and Congressional Caucus are supporting a proposal that calls for Government ownership and rehabilitation of railroad right-of-way. We understand that Longley of Maine and Thomson of New Hampshire do not completely support the New England position.

The Administration has clearly stated its opposition to this approach. The USRA Board of Directors has stated it will not recommend it in the Final System Plan. There is, nevertheless, considerable Congressional interest in this approach. Congressman Joe Skubitz, ranking Republican on the Transportation Subcommittee of the House Interstate Foreign Commerce Committee made this abundantly clear during hearings held recently by that Subcommittee.

Vermont - Supports New England position.

Massachusetts - Concerns are similar to those of the rest of New England in branch lines. The prospective abandonment of light density lines is the objection. The New England Caucus position on the subsidy question was articulated by Congressman Ed Boland, its Chairman. Lt. Governor Tom O'Neill will represent the Governor. He is Tip O'Neill's son.

New Jersey - Governor Byrne has been concerned about branch lines in commuter service, particularly on the Erie Lackawanna. Existing commuter contracts will be honored, however, Con Rail will seek to renegotiate to recover complete costs. This will increase local transportation commuter costs in New Jersey and elsewhere. Governor Byrne may support the Shapp position.

Illinois -

4

Governor Walker has devoted very little time to the Railroad problem. Proposed legislation, approved by Walker, calls for the State to serve only as a conduit for subsidy funds. The State share would have to be paid in full by local communities or shippers. Walker disapproved of his own DOT's suggestion for industry relocation funds and State funds for rehabilitation of railroad lines. Branch lines constitute whatever difficulty there Though unlikely, it is possible Walker might bring up the Rock Island problem. Earlier this year, USRA turned down a loan application for the Rock Island. Shortly thereafter the Rock Island declared bankruptcy. It is continuing to operate, but will run out of cash eventually. If Walker asks, it is best to refer him to the ICC on the Rock Island.

Wisconsin -

Has no track under USRA study but is affected as is Michigan by USRA recommendations that the Ann Arbor Railroad car ferry across Lake Michigan not be included in Con Rail. The problem is that abandonment applications for the other 2 Lake Michigan car ferries are pending before the ICC. USRA feels that some consolidation plan for car ferry service is feasible, but initiative lies within the States of Michigan and Wisconsin.

# NORTHEAST AND MIDWEST STATES

Maine Vermont New Hampshire Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware Maryland Virginia West Virginia Ohio Michigan Indiana Illinois District of Columbia BANKRUPT RAILROADS UNDER RAIL REORGANIZATION ACT

Ann Arbor Central of New Jersey Erie Lackawanna Lehigh & Hudson River Lehigh Valley Penn Central Reading

Other bankrupt railroad in region, but not being reorganized under the Regional Rail Reorganization Act:

Boston and Maine



THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

# 5 JUN 1975

The President The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Governors representing States affected by the Northeast/Midwest rail reorganization will meet with you at 10:00 a.m., Saturday, June 7. They will want to discuss the Federal Government's efforts to date in working to effectively structure a viable rail service in the region.

The Governors are expected to express their concern about the level of railroad abandonments that might occur as a result of reorganization. They will also question the practicality of the "controlled transfer" process by which bankrupt rail properties would be transferred to solvent railroads.

The accompanying articles from today's press summarize accurately the tenor of the Governors' views.

I am also enclosing a copy of the briefing material to be given to the Governors prior to the meeting and news clips that characterize recent developments in the rail reorganization process.

Respectfully,

William T. Coleman, Jr.

Enclosures

5617/757

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

MANdel LUCEY Shapp Godwin BYRNE Milliken GRASSO BOWEN Kon SALMON Longley

WALKER

DUVAL GREENSPAN BARNUM COLEMAN President CANNON SEIdMAN LYNN FALK O'NEILL