The original documents are located in Box 9, folder "FY 1977 - 9/18/75, Budget Reduction Proposals (4)" of the White House Special Files Unit Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box 9 of the White House Special Files Unit Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

1977 OUTLAY REDUCTIONS

Program	Planning guidance	Adjustments	Current estimate	Suggested reductions	Revised planning guidance
Research Project Support	395		395	·	395
National Research Centers and Programs	172		172		172
Research Applied to National Needs (RANN)	82		82	·	82
Science Education Graduate Student Support	69		69	-50	19
Other	57		57		57
Total	775		775	- 50	725



Summary of Outlay Reductions (Part I) National Science Foundation (dollars in millions)

9/5/75	•	Effect on outlays in:	
	1976	1977	<u>1978</u>
A. 1977 planning guidance and related 1976 amount	xxx	775	xxxx
B. Suggested program reductions in Science Education and Training:			
Current estimate	65 53 -12	69 19 -50	69 0 -69
Total suggested reduction	<u>-12</u>	<u>-50</u>	<u>-69</u>
Revised 1977 planning guidance and related 1976 amount,	708	725	xxxx

1977 Outing Reductions (Part II) NSF/Science Education and Training (dollars in millions)

Date:	September 5, 1975						•
<u>/x 7</u>	appropriation request			7 defer	ral action		
	other administrative action		<u>/x</u>	7 resci	ssion action		
	substantive legislation			7 other	congressiona	l acti	on ·
			1976		1977	19	78
		BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Amount			~ ~	~ ^			60
	ent estimate recommendation	65 40	. 65 53	69 0	69 19	xxx xxx	69 0
	gested reduction	$\frac{40}{-25}$	53 -12	-69	-50	xxx	- <u>0</u> -69

Actions required:

Eliminate support in NSF for science education programs and graduate student support through fellowships. Because only about one-half of new budget authority is expended in the year of obligation, a recission will be needed for part of the 1976 program in order to reduce outlays by \$50M in FY 1977.

Program impact:

Would eliminate program. However, of all NSF activities, science education is considered as lowest priority on programmatic grounds. The only other option for reducing 1977 outlays is a cut in research programs. Because only about 27% of new authority is expended in the first year in these programs, BA in FY 1977 would have to be reduced by over \$100M even with rescission in FY 1976. Furthermore, NSF research support plays a pivotal role now that basic research in mission agencies is more and more being squeezed by inflation. Despite criticism from Senator Proxmire of some research projects supported by NSF that appear trivial from their titles, research proposals must generally pass rigorous qualitative review before grants are made. Less than 50% of proposals for support are funded by NSF.

Other consideration:

NSF would strongly oppose this reduction both because the Foundation believes it has a responsibility in improving science education and because of the strong congressional support for these programs. A reduction in the research program, however, would send a strong negative signal that the Administration is generally anti-science, because NSF symbolizes Federal support of basic research in all fields of science to underpin future economic growth and improvements in the quality of life.

1977 Outlay Reductions (Part II)

RRB Railroad Retirement (dollars in millions)

Date:	9/5/75						
	appropriation request				/_/ deferra	al action	
<u>/_/</u>	other administration act	ion		_	/_/ resciss	sion action	
<u>/x/</u>	substantive legislation	·				congressiona	al action
		1	976	197	77	197	78
		BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Amount	-	2 442	2 442	2 567	2 567		2 020
Curre	ent estimate	3,443	3,443	3 , 567	3,567	XXX	3,829
oMB r	ecommendation	<u>3,443</u>	3,443	<u>3,</u> 567	<u>3,457</u>	xxx	<u>3,719</u>
Sug	gested reduction				-110	xxx	-110

Actions required:

Limit cost-of-living increase to 60% of the increase in the applicable index.

Program impact:

l million beneficiaries would receive less than the expected benefit increase.

Other consideration:

Enactment is extremely unlikely.



TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY 1977 Outlay Reductions (\$ millions)

Program	Planning guidance	Adjustments	Current estimate	Suggested reductions	Revised planning guidance
Power plant construction program Nonpower program	725 95	xxx xxx	725 95	22 2	703 93
Employment reduction		•		1	-1
				<u> </u>	
Total	820	xxx	820	25	795



SUMMARY OF OUTLAY REDUCTIONS TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY (\$ in millions)

			Effe	ct on o	utlays i	in	
		19	76	19	77	1	9 <u>78</u>
Α.	1977 planning guidance		xx		820		xx
	Current estimate		781		820		$\frac{1}{xx}$
В.	Suggested program reductions: powernonpower	0		22 2		0	
c.	Personnel reduction:			1		1	
	Total suggested reduction		0		<u>25</u>		_1
	Revised 1977 planning guidance and related 1976 amount		781		795		xx



1977 Outlay Reductions (Part II) TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY (dollars in millions)

Date: September 5, 1975

<u>/</u> y/	appropriation	request
-------------	---------------	---------

 $/\overline{x}$ deferral action

 $/\overline{x}$ other administration action

/ rescission action

/ substantive legislation

/ other congressional action

	1976		19'	77	19	978
Amount:	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Current estimate	10,087.8 1/	781	94.1	820	xxx	961
OMB recommendation	10,087.8	<u>781</u>	<u>81.4</u>	<u>796</u>	$\underline{x}\underline{x}\underline{x}$	961
Suggested reduction	0	0	12.7	24	XXX	0

1/ Assumes passage of \$10 B bonding legislation

Actions Required:

Reduce construction outlays by delaying new starts on 3 new power plants and with some slowdown on water program. TVA's past forecast for the demand for electricity exceeded the actual demand which enables the deferring of power construction. Moreover, this reduction of outlays will assist in improving its financial position.

Program Impact:

Relatively minor program impact assuming TVA's power demand grows at or about projected demand rate. Staff analysis estimated the growth rate to be 5.5% in May which is slightly lower than the rate used in June of 5.8% by Nuclear Regulatory Commission.



AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

1977 OUTLAY REDUCTIONS

Program	Planning guidance	a/ Adjustments	Current estimate	Suggested reductions	Revised planning guidance
Functional Development Assistance	894	- 2	892	-22	870
International Organizations and Programs	210		210	-15	195
Operating Expenses	209	_	209	- 2	207
Allowance for Foreign aid requirements		+1294	1294	_	1294
Other AID	120	+57	177		177
Less Receipts	-390		-390		-390
Employment Reduction	,			- 4	- 4
				1	
Total	1043	1349	2392	-43	2349

a/ Approved by the President but not yet transmitted.



SUMMARY OF OUTLAY REDUCTIONS

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT (dollars in millions)

			Effe	ct on ou	tlays in:	•	
	·	1976	5	19	7 <u>7</u>	19	978
Α.	1977 Planning guidance		xxx		1043 + 55	•	xxx .
•	Allowance for Foreign aid requirements		xxx		+1294		xxx
	Current estimate		1014		2392		xxx
В.	Suggested program reductions: Functional Development Assistance International Organizations and Programs Operating Expenses			-22 -15 - 2		-55 - 9	
c.	Employment Reduction	·		- 4			
Tot	al suggested reduction				- 43		<u>- 68</u>
	ised 1977 planning guidance and elated 1976 amount		1014	<u>.</u>	2349		xxx

1977 Outlays Reductions (Part II) AID Functional Development Assistance (dollars in millions)

Date:	9/6/75					•	
/ <u>x</u> /	appropriation request			// de	eferral action	•	· .
//	other administration action	•		/ <u>_</u> / re	escission action		
//	substantive legislation			/ <u>_</u> / ot	her congression	al actio	n ·
		1	1976		1977		1978
		BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Amount:							
OMB r	nt estimateecommendationgested reduction	858 858 	820 820 	1010 863 147	892 870 22	xxx xxx	1040 <u>985</u> 55

Actions required

Holding appropriation request to 1976 level for functional accounts.

Program impact:

By adjusting among functional accounts, would permit modest increases in highest priority areas of food production and population over 1976 request levels. It would necessitate a budget request for less than we have already sought in the authorizing legislation.

Other consideration:

This would probably not be too inconsistent with Secretary Kissinger's UN speech, which did not stress increasing bilateral aid.

1977 Outlay Reductions (Part II) International Organizations and Programs (dollars in millions)

Date: 9/6/75					•	
$\sqrt{\underline{X}}$ appropriation request		//	deferral	action		
/_/ other administration action		//	rescissio	n action		•
// substantive legislation		//	other con	gressional act	ion	
		1976	1	977	19	978
	BA	<u>Outlays</u>	BA	<u>Outlays</u>	BA	<u>Outlays</u>
Amount:						
Current estimate OMB recommendation Suggested reduction	190 190 	140 140 	215 190 25	203 188 15	xxx xxx	214 205 9

Actions required:

Hold appropriation request to 1976 level.

Program impact:

Probably very slight, since Congress can be expected to reduce the 1976 request substantially; so this would still constitute an increase.

1977 Outlay Reductions (Part II) AID Operating Expenses (dollars in millions)

Date: 9/6/75					•		
$\frac{1}{X}$ appropriation request		/_	_/ defer	cal action			
// other administration action		/_	_/ rescis	sion action			
/_/ substantive legislation	/_/ other congressional action						
		976		1977		1978	
Amount:	<u>BA</u>	<u>Outlays</u>	<u>BA</u>	<u>Outlays</u>	<u>BA</u>	<u>Outlays</u>	
Current estimate OMB recommendation Suggested reduction	205 205 	191 <u>191</u> 	208 205 3	209 207 2	xxx xxx	208 208 	

Actions required:
Hold appropriation request to 1976 level.

Program impact:
 Virtually none in this account.



1977 Outlay Reductions Defense

The attachment identifies potential outlay reductions of \$5.8B from the 1977 Defense-Military planning ceiling of \$98.2B. This would reduce Defense outlays about \$3B below the recommended 1976 level in real terms. Since the Defense planning process is already \$7B higher in outlays than the \$98.2B level, the recommended reductions would involve total adjustments of about \$12B from the internal DOD planning level.

In conducting this exercise a ground rule was established to protect existing force levels. The identified reductions are concentrated in the following areas:

Military/civilian pay - \$3.1B, reflecting a 5% limit on the October 1975 and October 1976 pay raises and identified budgetary issues.

Military/civilian manpower reductions - \$.7B. This includes a 30,000 man reduction in military end strength for transients and an additional 50,000 reduction in Defense civilian personnel by end FY 1977.

Defense operations - \$.8B. This will require a tightening up in non-readiness related areas such as travel and stock funds and includes a 5% reduction in operational activity across the three services.

Investment programs - \$1.2B. This would provide sharply lower levels than now planned for Defense R&D and production in 1977 but still permits a real growth of 6% over the 1976 level. Non-operational construction would be sharply reduced in both 1976 and 1977.

About half of the proposed reductions - those concerned with military/civilian pay - could be accomplished with no adverse effects on Defense readiness and capabilities. The other half, however, would require lower modernization levels and reduced Defense readiness and would be vigorously opposed by Secretary Schlesinger.

The recommended reductions could be accomplished without seriously degrading U.S. defense capability, but the resulting cut in real defense resources could signal at home and abroad withdrawal of Administration support for strengthened defense capabilities.

The reductions would have little overall effect on the economy, but could impact on localities that depend upon defense employment and contracts.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE - MILITARY

1977 OUTLAY REDUCTIONS (dollars in billions)

Program	Planning guidance	Adjustments	Current estimate	Suggested reductions	Revised planning guidance
Military and civilian personnel	37.2		37.2	1.2	36.0
Military and civilian pay increases	6.6		6.6	2.6	4.0
Retired pay	7.9	.6	8.5	.1	8.4
Operations, R&D, procurement, construction	46.5		46.5	1.9	44.6
Total	98.2	.6	98.8	5.8	93.0*



^{*}Current Defense planning levels imply about \$105 billion for 1977.

SUMMARY OF OUT! REDUCTIONS

Department of Defense - Military

(dollars in millions)

		Effect on outlays in					
			1976	19	77	1978	
Α.	1977 Planning guidance	•			98,200		
	Retired pay CPIs			565	565		
	Current estimate	•	91,800		98,765		
В.	Suggested program reductions: Cap Military and classified pay increase	es		2400 .			
	Cap Wage Board pay increases Cap Military retired cost of living			145	•		
	increases			100			
	Reduce leave, overtime and pay related costs			500	•		
	Reduce Military Manpower	20		200			
	Reduce Civilian Manpower	60		460	10		
	Reduce tempo of Operations	215		830	80		
	Slow pace of R&D and procurement		GERALO	400	760		
	Freeze non-urgent construction	160		540	170		
	Reduce intelligence-related procurement and construction	50	AFRIE	190	85		
	al suggested reduction		505	5765		1105	
Rev re	rised 1977 planning guidance and plated 1976 amount		91,295	93,000		1103	

DOD Military and Classified Civilian Pay Increases (dollars in millions)

Date: August 19, 1975

X Substantive legislation

	1976			1977		1978	
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	
Amount:				:			
Current estimate	2,108	2,100	5,560	5 , 550	xxx	0	
OMB recommendation	2,108	2,100	3,160	3,150	xxx	_0_	
Suggested reduction			2,400	2,400	xxx	0	

Actions required:

Limit comparability increases for military and classified civilian pay to 5% on 10/1/75 and 10/1/76.



1977 Outlay Reductions DOD Wage Board Pay Increases (dollars in millions)

Date: August 19, 1975

X substantive legislation

	1976		19	177	1978	
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Amount:			<u> </u>			
Current estimate			1010	1010	xx	0
OMB recommendation			<u>865</u>	865	xx	0
Suggested reduction			145	145	xx	0

Actions required:

Limit comparability increases for wage board pay to 5% in 1977.

1977 Outlay Reductions DOD Retired Pay (dollars in millions)

Date: August 19, 1975

x substantive legislation

	1976		1	.977		1978	
	BA		Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Amount:				ŧ			
Current estimate							
(12/1/76 CPI @ 4.91%)				8,478	8,478	xxx	0
OMB recommendation		•					
(12/1/76 CPI @ 3.41%)				3,378	<u>8,378</u>	xxx	0
Suggested reduction				-100	-100	XXX	0

Actions required:

Enact a cost-of-living cap that will reduce the 12/1/76 CPI by 1.5%.



Leave, Overtime and Pay-related Costs (dollars in millions)

Date: September 5, 1975

 $\sqrt{x/}$ appropriation request

 $\sqrt{x/}$ other Administration action

 $\sqrt{x/}$ substantive legislation

		1976		1977	1978		
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	
Amount:						 _	
Current estimate*	37,200	37,200	37,200	37 , 200	xx	0	
OMB recommendation	37,200	37,200	36,700	36 , 700	xx	0	
Suggested reduction			500	500	\overline{xx}	0	

Actions required: Congressional approval of the requested change in military reenlistment leave entitlements (\$75 million). Administrative action to reduce military travel costs by increasing tour lengths and reducing personnel turbulence (\$275 million). A 50% reduction in civilian overtime or a Congressional approval of changes in the Federal Wage System that are likely to be proposed by the President's Panel on Federal Compensation (\$150 million).

<u>Program impact</u>: The reduction in overtime will be difficult to achieve at the same time as a personnel reduction and will result in temporary backlogs.

*Military @ \$22.7 B + civilian @ \$14.5 B = 37,200



Military Manpower (dollars in millions)

Date: September 5, 1975

 $/\overline{\underline{x}}/$ reduced 1977 appropriation request

 $/\overline{X}/$ rescission

	1976		1977	•	19	978
*	BA	Outlays	BA	<u>Outlays</u>	BA	Outlays
Amount:					-	
Current estimate	22,732	22,277	22,700	22,700	жxx	0
OMB recommendation	22,707	22,257	22,500	22,500	xxx_	0
Suggested reduction	25	20	200	200	xxx	0

Actions required: Reduce total military end strength by 10,000 in 1976 and 30,000 in 1977. The 1976 action could be accomplished through a budget amendment before the appropriations bill is acted on.

Program impact: The reduction would be 1.4% of the January Agency plan for 1977. The reduction could be spread evenly across the services and across programs with minimal impact, or it could be totally applied against the transient account, which totals 88,000 in 1977. In the latter case, the reduction would have some impact on readiness, to the extent that permanent change of station travel and leave would have to be accomplished without providing additional manpower authorizations to compensate for personnel in route to the next duty assignments. In wartime, however, travel and leave would be curtailed, and many of the personnel in that status would be called back to their units, thereby bringing these units up to strength.

Other Consideration: Congress appears ready to cut at least 9,000 end strength in FY 1976.



TOTAL MILITARY END STRENGTH (thousands)

Year		· <u>]</u>	President's Budget	Director's Preview	OMB Recommendation
1976 1977	• 4		2,100 2,127	2,100 2,127	2,090 2,097

1977 Outlay Reductions Civilian Manpower (dollars in millions)

Date: September 5, 1975

 $\sqrt{x/}$ appropriation request

	1976		1977		1978
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	Outlays
Amount:					
Current estimate	14,700	14,400	14,880	14,500	300
OMB recommendation	14,640	14,340	14,410	14,040	290
Suggested reduction	60	60	470	460	10

Actions required: In addition to the Director's Preview cut of 22,000 in 1976 and 1977, reduce total civilian end strength by 18,000 in 1976 and 50,000 in 1977. The 1976 action could be accomplished through a budget amendment before the appropriations bill is acted on.

Program impact: The 1977 reduction, including the Director's Preview cut, would be 7.2% of the January agency plan for 1977. If applied evenly across the board to services and programs, the largest impact would be on logistics, primarily depot maintenance, and secondly on base operations. The reduction over the 2-year period would be about 75,000 from current actual employment levels and would require productivity improvements of about double the current goals. Base closings would be desirable to permit achieving such improved productivity with minimal disruptions on readiness.

TOTAL DIRECT HIRE CIVILIAN END STRENGTH (thousands)

Year	President's Budget	<u>Director's Preview</u>	POM	OMB Recommendation
1976	985	963	985	945
1977	994	972	1,012	922

1977 Outlay Reductions Operations and Maintenance (dollars in millions)

Date: September 5, 1975

X appropriation request

X recission action

	1976		1	1977		1978		
	BA	Outlays	ВА	Outlays	BA	1	Outlays	
Amount:			 -	· 				
Current estimate*	14,600	13,100	14,700	12,100	xxx			
OMB recommendation	14,340	12,885	14,010	11,270	xxx			
Suggested reduction.	260	215	690	830			80	

^{*} non-pay O&M, prior to Congressional action.

Actions Required: Reduce consumable supplies, material and minor equipment purchases (except food and fuel) by 5%; defer about 10% of total DOD real property maintenance, mainly the portion which is performed contractually; reduce flying and steaming hours by 5%; and, reduce temporary duty travel by 10%. Outlay savings exceed budget authority savings due to draw-down of stocks.

<u>Program Impact</u>: These reductions could result in slightly reduced training levels, but can be accomplished without significant impact on Defense readiness. The reductions in real property maintenance might face Congressional resistance.



1977 Outlay Reductions Defense R&D and Procurement (dollars in millions)

Date: September 5, 1975

$/\overline{X}/$ appropriation request

	1976*		1977		· 1978		
	BA	Outlay	BA	Outlay	BA	Out1ay	
Amount:							
Current estimate	31,000	26,400	36,200	30,400	xxx		
OMB recommendation	31,000	26,400	34,800	30,000	xxx		
Suggested reduction			1,400	400	xxx	760	

Actions required: Hold the level of Defense R&D and Procurement to a real dollar increase of 6% above the 1976 level after anticipated Congressional action. This involves a reduction of about \$6B in BA below the Defense FY 1977 planning level and would defer several ship construction programs, and reduce production and development rates of tactical aircraft, missiles, ammunition and communications and electronics equipment. Illustrative program reductions are provided in the attachment.

<u>Program impact</u>: Reduces rate of general purpose force modernization mainly by stretching out planned procurements. While stretch-outs allow reductions in annual expenditures, they may add to total systems procurement costs. Strategic forces modernization proceeds according to Defense plans.

Other consideration: Reduced level still consistent with President's decision to allow real growth in Defense modernization, in addition to allowances for inflation.

 \star reflects 1976 authorization and anticipated appropriations reductions.



Illustrative R&D and Procurement Reductions (BA in \$ billions)

Program	<u>1976</u>	1977 Spring Planning	1977 OMB Recommendation		Comments
Ship Construction					
. Build 1 vs 2 Trident boats in 1977	.6	1.4	1.0	4	Reflected in tentative Defense plans. Would
. Cancel Patrol Hydrofoil Ship after 1976 buy	.1	. •4	. 	4	help relieve ship yard backlog. Cancellation desirable on cost effectiveness grounds. Probably acceptable to Defense.
. Slip new carrier construction by 2 years		. 4		4	Defense would object to more than a 1 year slip.
. Build 2 vs 3 Nuclear Attack Submarines in 197	7 .5	.9	.6	3	Little program impact; would also relieve, shipyard backlogs.
Tactical Aircraft Stretchouts		•	e - •		
. Procure A-10's at 10 vs 20 per month	.4	.9	5	4	Production rate likely to decline because of cost escalation.
 Procure F-15's at 6 vs 9 per month Reduce level of F-4 and other aircraft 	1.6	1.4	.9	5	
modifications	1.3	1.7	1.5	2	
Tactical Missiles and Munitions Deferrals . Stretchout procurement of air launched					•
missiles . Slow increase in ammunition procurement	1.5	1.7	1.5	2	Would delay achievement of War Reserve Material requirements.
rate and defer munition plant modernization	.8	1.2	1.0	2	requirements.
Communications, Electronics and Support Equipment . Miscellaneous reductions in communications & electronics equipment allowing 4% real dollar	•			÷	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
increase above 1976	1.7	2.2	1.9	3	
 Reduce support vehicle procurement deferring purchases of trucks and passenger vehicles 	.4	.7	.6	1	
Research and Development					4 to 1 to
 Miscellaneous reductions allowing 6% real dollar increase above 1976 	9.5	11.0	10.7	3	
					•
TOTAL		•		-3.7	

1977 Outlay Reductions Military Construction (dollars in millions)

Date: September 5, 1975

 \overline{X} appropriation request

 \overline{X} other administration action

X recission action

	1976		19	77	1978 _		
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	
Amount:							
Current estimate	4,261		3,000 ≟∕		xxx		
OMB recommendation	2,553		2,500		xxx		
Suggested reduction.	-1, 708	160	-500	540	xxx	-670	

Actions Required: Invoke a military construction "freeze" of all authorized projects not directly related to the acquisition of new weapons systems, urgent training, safety of personnel, or facilities otherwise urgently required for national security. Request waiver of Congressional mandate so that appropriated funds may be impounded, as indicated above. Major 1976 rescission package will be required to implement this proposal.

<u>Program Impact</u>: This recommendation would delete several specific projects: Aeronautical Systems Test Facility, the NSA administration building, and a large portion of the aircraft shelter program, remove all but critical construction of military family housing projects, uniform services University of Health Sciences and reduce construction of excess hospital beds at NNMC Bethesda. In addition, this recommendation would defer modernization and improvement projects to family housing.

^{1/} Agency may be expected to request about \$4.5 B.

Intelligence Activities: Department of Defense (dollars in millions)

September 4, 1975

Rescission Action/Disapproval of Budget Submission

	<u>BA</u>	976 Outlays	BA	197T Outlays	BA	0utlays	BA	1978 Outlays
Amount:								
Current estimate	190	55	40	35	195	190	XX	90
OMB recommendation	0	0	0	0	' 0	0 -	XX	0
Suggested reduction	190	5 5	40	35	195	190	\overline{XX}	90

Actions required: Prepare a rescission action for the portion of these projects funded in 1976 and 197T and disapprove the expected budget submission for 1977.

Program impact: The three projects that are affected are: (1) the Defense Intelligence Agency Headquarters building (\$86M BA in 1976 with \$34M in 1977 outlays) which is now being considered by both Armed Services Committees. A rescission of this request would allow a cost-effective alternative to be found to consolidate DIA headquarters and would entail the continuation of a modest courier service and some inconvenience to DIA; (2) the modernization of high frequency intelligence collection (\$45M BA per year 1976-1977 with \$37M in 1977 outlays). This proposal, which is to begin in 1976, would automate the collection activities now performed by large numbers of military personnel overseas. Capability would not be increased. The predicted personnel reductions which were planned to offset this investment do not appear possible now. As a consequence, return on investment will take more than 20 years - with little improvement in the quality of intelligence; (3) a technical collection system (\$60M BA in 1976 and \$150M BA in 1977 with \$118M in 1977 outlays). This system is designed to replace (in the early 1980's) a collection system now in operation. Because of the changing character of the target, however, serious doubts about the value of this sys tem have been raised. Disapproval would have no immediate impact on U. S. intelligence capability.

Other considerations: The congressional committees have indicated some displeasure with all three projects; reductions in funding are anticipated. The Secretary of Defense is also considering cancellation of the technical collection system at this time.

'Military Assistance Accounts '1977 OUTLAY REDUCTIONS

Program	Planning guidance	Adjustments	Current estimate	Suggested reductions	Revised planning guidance
Grant Military Assistance Foreign Military Sales Allowance for Middle East	809 410	16.0	825 412	-33 +7	792 419
requirements		. 890	890	_	890
FMS Trust Fund	-	-200	-200	-	-200
All other	-219		-219	-	-219
Total	1000	708	1,708	-26	1,682

September 5, 1975



SUMMARY OF OUTLAY REDUCTIONS

Military Assistance Accounts (dollars in millions)

	Eff 1976	ect on outlays in1977	1978
A. 1977 Planning guidance	XXX	1000	XXX
Presidential decisions on MAP & FMS levels Reestimate of Trust Fund outlays Allowance for Middle East	XXX	18 -200	XXX
requirements	XXX	_890	XXX
Current estimate	1038	1708	XXX
Total suggested reduction	11		33
Revised 1977 planning guidance and related 1976 amount	1038	1682	XXX



1977 Outlay Redu _ons (Part II) Military Assistance Accounts (dollars in millions)

<u></u> -		1976	 1977		1978
	substantive legislation		other congre	ssional	action
	other administrative action		 rescission a	ction	
<u>/ X/</u>	appropriation request		deferral act	ion	

Action required:

A decision by the President to offer foreign military sales credits to selected countries for procurement of defense articles and services that would be given on a grant basis under current plans.

Program impact:

The affected countries would have to borrow, albeit at preferred rates, rather than receive the items with no obligation. To the extent that this were necessary, bilateral relations with these countries would be affected.

Other consideration:

A reduction in grant military assistance is in accordance with expressed Congressional desire.

1977 Outlay Reductions Executive Branch Personnel Reductions (dollars in millions)

Date	:9/5/75		
$/\overline{\underline{x}}/$	appropriation request	/_/	deferral action
$/\overline{\underline{x}}/$	other administrative action	/_/	rescission action
/_/	substantive legislation	//	other congressional action

					No. of FTP tions to be	9	
	197 BA	<u>0</u>	$\frac{197}{\text{BA}}$	<u>7</u> 0	reduced by end of 1977		
	<u> </u>	×		<u>-</u>			
Agriculture			20	20	1,000		
Commerce			1	1	80		
Corps of Engineers . Health, Education, &	3.6	3.6	7.2	7.2	425		
Welfare			33	33	1,950		
Interior		~~~	14.5	14.5	850		
Justice			19	18	960	R. FO	
Labor			3	3	1.50	CERALO.	
State	0.5	0.5	4	4	340	\ \ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
Transportation			5	5	400	145	
Treasury			52	51	2,709		
national Development Environmental Pro-			4	4	400		
tection Agency General Services		1	2.5	2.5	150		
Administration National Aeronautics			3	3	300		
and Space Admin Tennessee Valley			10	10	500		
Authority United States Informa-	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	50		
tion Agency	0.2	0.2	2	2	164		
Veterans Admin			53	53	3,400		
Total	4.7	5.7	234.0	232.0	13,828		

Purpose: These proposed reductions are aimed at reducing end-of-year 1977 civilian personnel in the civilian agencies by 13,828 positions below the levels that would otherwise exist. In addition, proposed reductions in the Department of Defense amount to \$460M in 1977 outlays and 50,000 positions for FY 1977. These Department of Defense amounts are reflected in the Department of Defense package displayed elsewhere.

Actions required: Insure that 1977 personnel ceilings reflect the dollar and personnel reductions.

<u>Program impact</u>: Some of the personnel reductions will have programmatic impact and may slow down or delay affected programs.



1977 Outlay Reductions Allowance for Pay Adjustment (dollars in millions)

/ X/ Administrative action

	1976		1977		1978	
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Amounts:		3				
Current estimate	550	550	2,600	2,600	xxx	xxx
OMB recommendation	. 550	550	1,550	1,550	xxx	xxx
Suggested reductions			1,050	$\overline{1.050}$		

Action required:

Limit cost-of-living increases in Federal salaries to 5% in 1976 and 1977.

Program Impact:

None

Estimates of savings are consistent with the President's expected announcement regarding the October 1976 pay raise; the 1977 estimate represents the savings from a 5% pay limit in place of a "catch-up" cost-of-living increase.



SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN RECEIPTS (fiscal years; in billions of dollars)

				•
		<u>1976</u>	1977	1978
A.	1977 Planning guidance	298.4	364.1	xxx
	Adjustments: Reestimates and changes in economic assumptions ¹ Tax cut extension (to maintain current	+3.4	+4.7	xxx
	withholding rates)	-5.9	-16.1	XXX
	Revision of Administration energy proposals	-4.9	+0.6	xxx
	Other changes ²	+1.3	1.9	xxx
	Current base estimate	292.3	351.4	ххх
В.	Suggested receipt changes: Some reductions or elimination of tax expenditures	-	+6.4 -4.0	+6.4 -4.0
	Tax social security benefits (in lieu of 60% limit on indexed programs)		(2.4)	(2.4)
	Total suggested increases		2.4	2.4
c.	Offsetting economic effects of all proposals		_4.0	_4.0
	Revised receipt estimate	<u>292.3</u>	349.8	<u> </u>
	MEMORANDUM			
Oth	er possible receipt changes: Delay for one year the proposed transfer of			
	<pre>1¢/gallon of gasoline tax receipts to States</pre>		+1.0	
	Increase freight transportation charges		+1.0	+1.0

¹ The staff currently estimates the upward revisions to be twice these magnitudes, but the more conservative estimates are used here pending further review.

² Includes revised accounting for the earned income credit in 1976 and capital formation tax proposals and transfer of gasoline tax receipts to States in 1977.

1977 OUTLAY JCTIONS (in millions or dollars)

Tax Expenditures and Tax Simplification

[]	Appropriation request	[X]	Substantive legislation	[]	Rescission action
[]	Other Administration action	[]	Deferral action	[]	Other congressional action

Amount:

	1976	1977	1978
	Receipts	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Receipts</u>
Current estimate OMB recommendation	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Suggested receipt increase	0	2,425 ¹	2,4251

To be selected from the alternatives presented in Attachment I.

Action required:

Attachment I lists tax expenditures that could be eliminated or reduced to increase receipts. Most of them are based on "proposals for Consideration in First Phase of Tax Reform," recently released by the Ways and Means Committee. The Committee will, of course, be considering many proposals that would result in revenue losses. The \$2,425 million increase in receipts shown above is net of a \$4,000 million receipts loss due to tax simplification. Some additional items have been listed by OMB staff. Also attached are some Treasury data showing 1974 estimates of the distribution of tax expenditures by AGI class (Attachment II).

Program impact:

The impact will vary depending upon which tax expenditure items are selected.



TAX REFORM PROPOSALS (in millions of dollars)

	Items on Ways & Means current agenda	Items in 1974 Ways & Means bill and generally agreed to by the Administration	Affects individuals only	1977 Revenue gain	Recommended for consideration by OMB staff
Real estate tax shelters	X	X ·	 ,	\$ 250	X
Agricultural tax shelters	Х	х		200	Х
Minimum tax for individuals	X	x	X	500	X
Allocation of deductions*	X		X	400	X
Business use of homes	X	x	x	150	X SERALO

^{*} Indicates item that is relatively less acceptable.

	Items on Ways & Means current agenda	Items in 1974 Ways & Means bill and generally agreed to by the Administration	Affects individuals only	1977 Revenue gain	Recommended for consideration by OMB staff
Vacation home rentals	Х	X ₀	X	\$ 50	X
Sick pay exclusion	х	X	X	200	X
Dividend exclusion	x ·	x	x	360	
Deductibility of State and local gasoline taxes*	x	X -	X	850	X
Casualty losses	х	X	X	150	X
Employee business expenses	X		X	400	
Medical expenses	Х	X	Х	1,500	X

^{*} Indicates item that is relatively less acceptable.

	Items on Ways & Means current agenda	Items in 1974 Ways & Means bill and generally agreed to by the Administration	Affects individuals only	1977 Revenue gain	Recommended for consideration by OMB staff
<pre>Interest deductions</pre>	· x		X	\$ 300	x1
Limitations on foreign tax credit	х	x	 V	100	X
Deferral of income of controlled foreign corporations	X			200	X
Exclusion of income earned abroad	X ·	X	X	25	X
Western hemisphere trade corporations (Repeal phased over 5 years.)	Х	X		10	X
Domestic international sales corporations *	X	 ,		1,300	Х .

^{1.} If investment interest (such as interest on funds borrowed to invest in stocks and bonds) is not included.

^{*} Indicates item that is relatively less acceptable

	Items on Ways & Means current agenda	Items in 1974 Ways & Means bill and generally agreed to by the Administration	Affects individuals only	1977 Revenue gain	Recommended for consideration by OMB staff
Increase capital gains holding period to one year	х.	х ·		\$ 100	X
Alternative tax	Х	X	X :	300	
Allocation of expenses by financial institutions*				2,000	X
Restrictions on pollution control industrial revenue bonds				30	X
Capital gains at death*			X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	2,500	X

 $[\]mbox{*}$ Indicates item that is relatively less acceptable.

	Items on Ways & Means current agenda	Items in 1974 Ways & Means bill and generally agreed to by the Administration	Affects individuals only	1977 Revenue gain	Recommended for consideration by OMB staff
Unemployment compensation* (Would treat payments as taxable income; estimate depends on level of unemployment. Extension of the 1975 tax cuts would reduce estimate by \$200.)			Х	\$3,000	X
Workmen's compensation*			X .	670	X
Excess of percentage over cost depletions* (Repeal provision for all minerals. Ways and Means has this item on its agenda for a subsequent phase of tax reform.)				1,300	Х
Subtotal	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		18,445	
Less, items that are relatively less desirable (*)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		12,020	
Subtotal	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		6,425	
Less, offsetting cost of tax simplification					
Total	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2,425	

^{*} Indicates items that are relatively less desirable.

Estimated Distribution of Tax Expenditures of Individuals by Adjusted Gross Income Class

						Fiscal Year	1.974				_
Kay	to.	list.			(16)	(\$_million	3) (2)		(26)		\bigcirc
			: (1) :	(2) :	(3)	: (4)	(5)	: (6)	: (7)	: (8)	: (9)
	Adjus gros inco clas	ss ome	: Exclusion : of benefits : and allow- : ances to :	Exclusion: of : military : disability:	certain income earned	Expensing of certain agriculture capital	: gains : treatment : of certain	of explora- tion and development	: percent- : age over : cost	: gains :treatment :of certain	:business n: state
			:Armed Forces:					e: costs	:depletion		**
_	(\$00	00)	: personnel :	-	<u>US citizens</u>	<u>:</u>	income	<u>: </u>	<u>:</u>	: income	: taxes
	0 -	3	10	1	15	10	10	*	1	*	1
	3 ~	5	135	13	13	35	30	1	5	2	5
	5 🕶	7	160	16	7	60	55	1	4	2	22
	7 -	10	125	13	9	90	80	2	11	3	81
	10 -	15	110	11	7	115	105	10	19	4	198
	15 -	20	55	6	7	70	60	8	21	3	215
	20 -	50	50	5	24	125	115	19	76	10	307
	50 -	100	4	*	. 6	40	35	13	62	9	28
1	.00 and	lover	1	<u>*</u> .	2	<u>35</u> .	_30	<u>26</u>	106	22	<u> </u>
	Tota	1	650	65	90	580	520	80	3 05	55	865 .



					(\$ millions	3) 4		(12)		
Adju gro inc cla	ss cme	:rehabilite	a-:of scholar ar: ships and	-:exemption	: Deduction s:of contri- :butions to :educational :institutions	of child and depend ent care	: (15) : Exclusion : of employer :contributions -: to medical : insurance :premiums and :medical care	: s: Deduction : of medical : expenses :		of so: secur oAS: benef:
(\$0	00)						HER HOLD THE STREET			•
0 -	3	2	6	*	*	*	26	4	44	470
3 -	5	*	39	8	ik	1	73	38	43	460
5 -	7	*	48	38	1	7	131	112	35	380
7 -	10	*	41	100	2	31	285	254	444	470
10	15	2	31	212	3	85	662	481	31	330
15 -	20	2	19	129	20	92	655	376	13	140
20 -	50	6	11	74	64	14	827	634	20	215
50 -	100	14	*	73	65	0	193	1.45	4	43
00 and	d over	24	*	_21	200	0	88	71	1.	26
Total	s.1	50	195	655	355	230	2,940	2,125	235	2,530



.

			7	(\$ million	s) (25)			
	: (19) :	(20) :	(21)	: (22)	: (23)	: (24) :	(25)	: (25)
Adjust ed	: Exclusion : : of social : !					: :Exclusion:		on of pension s and earnings
gross	: security : c : benefits : re			of unemploy-		of public:	Employer ·	: Plans for
income class	: for depend-:me : ents and : : survivors :	ent system :	pay	: ance		: ance :	plans	<pre>self- employed and others</pre>
(\$000)	. 0011 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 		<u>·</u>	·	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
0 - 3	80	30	10	50	25	30	15	*
3 - 5	75	29	16	80	. 40	25	50 ·	*
5 - 7	60	24	17	100	50	15	110	1
7 - 10	75	30	24	160	30	5	290	2
10 - 15	55	21	66	250	120	*	790	6
15 - 20	20	9	60	150	75	*	990	11
20 - 50	35	13	5 7 ·	• 200	100	*	1,740	197
50 - 100	5	3	4	50	25	*	54 5	87
100 and over	5	1	1	10	5	*	<u>260</u>	16
Total	410	160	255	1,050	520	75	4,790	230

S (BRAD)

				(\$ millio	ns)				
	: (27) :	(28)	: (29)	: (30)	: (31)	: (32)	: (33)	: (34)	: (35)
			: Exclusion	4		: Excess of		:	
Adjusted	:Exclusion:				: Exclusion			al:Additiona	
gross		premiums on			r:of capital			on: exemption	
income			d: financed						
class			:supplementary				im: Dlind	: or over	
	:term life:		<pre>:unemployment : benefits</pre>		: or over				credit:
(\$000)	.Inbulance.	Thearance	. Denerics	***************************************	. OI OVEL	. deduce.ou	•		
0 - 3	5	*	*	1	1		*	7	1
3 - 5	15	1	*	4	*	1	1	95	18
5 - 7	30	2	1	8	* .	13	3	185	22
7 - 10	65	4	1	17	1	64	- 3	268	20
10 - 15	155	9	2	39	1	645	3	196	19
15 - 20	155	9	1	39	1	352	2	106	9
.20 - 50	190	11	*	50	2	178	2	211	10
50 - 100	45	3	*	12	2	6	1	56	1
00 and over	_20	_1	*	5	_2	1	*	26	**
Total	680	40	5	175	10	1,260	15	1,150	100



					- 3	- (23)			
					(\$ milli	ons)			\bigcirc
		: (36)	: (37) :	(38)	: (39)	: (40)	: (41)	: (42) :	(43)
		:	: :		:	:	:	: Deduction of :	Depreciation
	Adjusted	:	:					: nonbusiness :	on
	C.							:state and local:	rental
_	income	: of	: of :					: taxes (other :	housing
			: veterans:	Bill	:for political			: than on :	in excess
			•	benefits	:contributions	: debt	:possessions	:owner-occupied :	of
		compensation:	:		:	:	:	: homes and :	straight
	(0000)	:	<u>: :</u>		<u>: </u>	<u>:</u>	<u>:</u>	: gasoline) :	line
	(\$000)								
	0 - 3	61	17	34	*	*	*	.1	. 2.
	3 - 5	39	8	124	*	ж	*	13	6
	5 - 7	44	;tc	60	1	*	*	55	10
	7 - 10	67	*	35	1		÷	239	21
	10 - 15	102		16	2	4	1	661	42
	15 - 20	72		10	. 2	22	2	1,016	38
	20 - 50	87		9	3	98	2	2,968	123
	50 - 100	10		. 2	٠ غ	389	57:	1,063	80
	100 and over	3		*	*	546	*	939	48
	Total	485	25	290	10	1,060	5	6,955	375

			8	(P) (Zo) -	ns) .		(13)		
Adjusted gross income class	: (44) : Depreciation : on buildings : (other than :rental housing) : in excess of :straight line	: credit	: (46) : : t: Dividen	: (47) : : Capital id: gain on:(other than :farming and	: (48) : Exclusion :of interest	: capital ga :on home sale :	: (50) : : Deduction : f :of mortgage: in:interest on: es: owner- : : occupied : : homes :	(51) Deduction of property taxes on owner occupied homes	
(\$000)									
0 - 3	2	1	3	76	10	1	*	1	
3 - 5	3	12	8	34	60	7	13	24	
5 - 7	6	32	11	81	90	9	52	. 66	
7 - 10	13	71	26	158	120 .	19	265	221	
10 - 15	25	149	42	304	200	42	886	583	
15 - 20	22	135	46	282	170	47	1,133	771	
20 - 50	75	300	134	1,137	420	98	2,078	1,774	
50 - 100	47	108	37	969	195	21	348	407	
100 and over	_27	<u>72</u>	. 13	<u>3,109</u>	<u>155</u>	_11	. 95	213	
Total	220	880	320	6,150	1,420	255 ·	4,870	4,060	

•



		(10)			(\$ millions)	. 4	(23)		<i>(15)</i>		·
· · ·		(52)	: (53)	三	(54)	<u> </u>	(55)	:	(56)	<u>:</u>	(57)
Adjusted	:	.	: Deduction	:	D 1	:		nal i	tems for Senat		Mondale
gress	:	Deduction of	<pre>: of charitable : contributions</pre>	:	Deduction of interest	:	Untaxed	:	Deferral of income of	:	Asset
income class	:	casualty	: (other than	:	or interest on consumer		capital gains	:	controlled	:	Asset depreciation
Class	:	losses	: (ocher chan	:	credit		at _	:	foreign	•	=
	•	105565	education)	•	Cledit	•	death 1	<u>L</u> / :	corporations	:	range
(\$000)	<u> </u>					- -		- _		·	
0 - 3		*	3		*		18		*		*
3 - 5		3	18		7		8		*		*
5 - 7		4	67		26		18		÷c		· 5
7 - 10		13	190 -		. 133		· 36		3%		9
10 - 15		54	445		443		70		1		10
15 - 20		42	548		567		64		2		10
20 - 50		74	1,251		1,039		194		7		36
50 - 100		34	506		174		112		7		25
100 and over		_26	792		45		180		<u>13</u>		_10
Total		255	3,820		2,435		700		30		105
Office of the S Office of Tax			reasury		(V + y + y + y + y + y + y + y + y + y +				•	Ma	y 5, 1975

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Carryover of basis to heirs. (Effect after 10 years. Assumes heirs have lower marginal rates.)

1977 OUTLAY JCTIONS (in millions dollars)

Tax Social Security Benefits (in lieu of 40% "cap")

[]	Appropriation request	[X]	Substantive legislati	on []	Rescission act	ion
[]	Other Administration action	[]	Deferral action	[]	Other congress	ional action
Аточ	unt:			1976 Receipts	1977 Receipts	1978 <u>Receipts</u>
•	Current estimate OMB recommendation			0 0	0 <u>2,400</u>	0 <u>2,400</u>
	Suggested rec	eint :	Increase	0	2.400	2 400

Action required:

Would treat social security and railroad retirement benefits in excess of contributions as taxable income and repeal retirement income credit.

Program impact:

Would reduce the disposable income of social security recipients, but in a more equitable way than an across-the-board reduction in benefit payments.

Department of Transportation/Highway Trust Fund (dollars in millions)

<u>/_/</u>	appropriation request		<u>/_/</u>	deferral action	
<u>/_/</u>	other administration action		<u>/_/</u>	rescission action	ı
$\sqrt{x/}$	substantive legislation .		<u>/</u> /	other congression	nal action
		1976 <u>Receipts</u>		1977 Receipts	1978 Receipts
OMB re	nt estimateecommendationggested receipt increase	5,839 5,839 0		4,900 5,900 1,000	5,000 5,000 0

Action required:

Assuming enactment of new highway legislation permitting State preemption of 1¢ of Federal gas tax (\$1 billion annually), enactment of highway legislation to postpone the effective date of the preemption provision from October 1, 1976 to October 1, 1977. (If new highway legislation is not enacted prior to January, 1976, the Administration's proposal would be amended to reflect this shift).

Program impact:

The amendment would delay implementation of an Administration proposal that would provide substantial additional flexibility to the states by permitting them to increase their revenues by \$1 billion without increasing net taxes. Since a large portion of these funds will be used for highways, it would delay some highway constructions.

Other considerations: It may appear as if the Administration has reneged on one of its most substantial highway reform proposals. The change is attractive, however, because of the immediate 100% impact on receipts (even if we eliminated the entire \$5.6 Billion Federal assistance program for 1977, we would save less than \$1 billion in 1977 outlays). Although in the short term it is not supportive of the thrust of our highway proposals, it does not effect in the longer term (1978 and beyond) our reform proposals.

<u>1977 C. ay Reductions</u> Department of Transportation/Freight Transportation Fees (dollars in millions)

Date: August 19, 1975			
$\overline{///}$ appropriation request		/// deferral ad	ction
/// other administration action		/// rescission	action
$\sqrt{x/}$ substantive legislation		/// other congr	ressional action
	1976 <u>Receipts</u>	1977 <u>Receipts</u>	1978 Receipts
Amount: Current estimate* OMB recommendation	0 0 0	0 1,000 1,000	$0 \\ \frac{1,000}{1,000}$

*does not reflect existing marine tonnage and highway taxes

Actions required: Legislation to increase Freight Transportation Charges

- ...Trucks--increase diesel fuel tax (a 4¢ per gallon increase would provide \$400 million annually)
- ...Rail--institute new 2% freight waybill tax (about \$350 million)
- ...Marine--combination of new lockage-segment fees on inland waterways, domestic petroleum tax (3¢), and increases in foreign tonnage taxes (in combination about \$250 million)

<u>Program impact</u>: New fees would substantially reduce the indirect subsidy presently provided to large trucks and marine interests, and establish the principle that rail freight users will have to pay for massive Federal rail capital assistance efforts. The new marine taxes, in particular, would serve to provide a more rational allocation of freight movement by increasing the artificially low inland marine rates (inducing more rail movements) and in the longer term would reduce pressure for Federal investment in costly, inefficient waterway projects.

Other considerations: This package of fees is exemplary—additional analysis is required to assure proper balancing to achieve reasonable cost allocations and better redistribution of freight. Packages with lower or higher total revenues could also be prepared. All users will strongly resist tax increases. Substantial additional analysis of impact of rail increases is also necessary.