File scanned from the National Security Adviser's Memoranda of Conversation Collection at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library



÷1

THE WHITE HOUSE

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT:S

GUIDELINES State by end 3/10/04

E.O. 12853, SEC. 3.5

DECLASSIFIED

SIATE DEPT.

,11/24/09,

SC MEMO.

CONFIDENTIA

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

PARTICIPANTS:	Bipartisan Leadership (List Attached) President Gerald R. Ford Dr. Henry A. Kissinger, Secretary of State and Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs Lt. General Brent Scowcroft, Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs
DATE & TIME:	Tuesday - December 17, 1974 9:00 - 10:00 a.m.
PLACE:	The Cabinet Room The White House

Martinique; NATO & Cyprus; Foreign Aid

President: Thanks for coming. I know you all have a tight schedule.

Let me give you some of the atmosphere at Martinique, and what was achieved.

The last ten years, you know, U.S.-French relations have been cool historically. Giscard I found extremely able and well-informed; he was willing to discuss all topics frankly and amicably.

[The press was admitted briefly for photographs, and then departed.]

Our position on energy was we had to get consumer solidarity before we could meet with the producers. The French thought a consumer-producer meeting was of primary importance, but we felt the producers could pick us off one-by-one if there was no prior unity among the consumers. There were substantial negotiations on this question before we reached the announced positions. I would like to point out that we had consultations before with the Germans and Japanese. So all were on board.

TOP SECRET - XGDS (3) CLASSIFIED BY: HENRY A. KISSINGER

We agreed to approach energy cooperation in four steps: First, there would be intensified efforts at further cooperation among the consumers, in areas such as conservation and financial solidarity. Second, if there is progress in that area, there will be a preliminary meeting between consumers and producers to prepare for a consumer-producers conference. The target date for the preparatory meeting would be March 1975. Third, there will be intensive consultations among the consumer nations to prepare for the conference. Fourth, there will be a consumer-producers conference.

- 2. -

The press is saying that we won, the French lost, etcetra. We reject that categorization. It was a good negotiation. Our view is that both sides achieved results which are to the benefit of both parties and indeed of all the industrial nations. It went extremely well for everyone.

It may have looked like we spent most of the time on non-business. That's not so. We added it up, and if you figure the three two-hour formal meetings and the working lunch and two dinners, it came to 16-18 hours or so.

We took up some other matters. FRELOC was settled at \$100 million.

The French, you know, are not a party to the NPT, but we are concerned about nuclear safeguards and the lowering of standards in the push to sell nuclear reactors. We are trying to get the exporters to agree on safeguards, and the French attitude appears helpful.

We discussed CSCE and agreed generally on the timing of mid-1975 for a conclusion.

We discussed the Middle East. France, you know, supports the 1967 borders, which Israel bitterly opposes. More and more states are going with the French position.

Secretary Kissinger has a comment on his Brussels meeting at NATO and on Cyprus.

<u>Kissinger</u>: First let me add a word on Martinique. In an uncoordinated producer meeting, we would be beaten to death and we would be better off dealing with them bilaterally. We wanted a solution broader than energy. The civil war in the Atlantic community, where each has to choose between the U.S. and France, should be moderated if France carries out its

OCEANLO T

declarations. Also, France has now agreed to consult and cooperate on economic policies. Of course, it all depends on how these are carried out, but if they are, we have made a big step toward the cohesion of the industrial countries.

In Brussels we covered two principal topics: First, I briefed the allies on Vladivostok. We assured them that no side deals were made. We also discussed energy, East-West relations, and MBFR, now that FBS is not a part of SALT.

I also had very extensive talks with the Greek and Turkish Foreign Ministers on the Cyprus issue. I was struck by the fact -- I saw each of them about five times -- that each one of them saw that we faced two choices: Either to dig in for a long confrontation, trying to get the U.S. involved, or to move for a rapid settlement. I think they decided on the latter, and there will be moves very soon. I will provide the documents to the Foreign Affairs committees. With some luck, and our influence, we may see some real progress in the early months of the year and visible progress over the coming weeks. We have been discussing with Congressional leaders in getting the foreign aid bill in shape to support this, and great credit is due to the Congressional leaders.

<u>President:</u> Let me thank everyone in the Conference and who worked on the floor. The bill as I understand it will be helpful not only in Turkey but in other areas. It is not just the way we would have written it and the dollar amounts are not all we would want, but it is a good bill and I thank you all.

I want you to know that Secretary Kissinger and I won't come to you except in cases of high national interest. We are grateful for your help and will keep the arm-twisting to a minimum. We will consult closely on policy, and when we come to you it will be primarily a matter of tactics, which I think only one man is in a position really to determine.

Are there any questions? I would like to make this a real give-and-take.

Senator Fulbright: Let me ask, Mr. President, what you see as the prospects in the Middle East? The papers are all talking about war in the Middle East.

<u>President:</u> I have talked to many of them and all agree that unless progress is made, the chance of war there is very high. No one thinks otherwise. Each war gets more costly in men and armaments. There is also the possibility that a war would renew the oil embargo. Also, a U.S.-Soviet



. 4 -

confrontation is likely. We came close to it in 1973. The Rabat conference was unfortunate -- I believe it could have been avoided if there had been more movement. We are working with both sides to try to move, We are not opposed to resumption of Geneva but we fear that at this point it would only lead to a stalemate.

Representative O'Neill: How about the PLO?

President: Israel refuses to negotiate with them.

Kissinger: This is not a fruitful area right now. They don't recognize Israel's right to exist.

Representative O'Neill: I recall there are four issues: Sinai, the Golan, the Palestinians and Jerusalem.

Kissinger: We have moved in the first two, but the Syrians just got back what they lost in 1973. If Israel had moved -- this should be kept just in this room -- with Hussein, the most moderate Arab of them all, there would have been no Rabat decision. That stymied them now. Only the Egyptian front is now open. But Sadat is becoming isolated, and if the Israeli offer is not sufficient to justify his going it alone, he may not be willing to try.

The friends of Israel should urge it to be flexible. If Egypt fails -- with Jordan failing and the Syrians being told every day that nothing more is possible -- we will be in bad shape.

<u>Representative McFall:</u> Has anyone suggested something which might be acceptable to both?

Kissinger: The PLO issue could wait a bit if we had other movement going on. But they will have to recognize the right of Israel's existence.

We believe in the step-by-step approach because a global approach would only lead to stalemate, and the step-by-step approach would make possible things which now seem inconceivable. We are working, but quietly, so radicals can't roadblock anything prior to completion.

<u>Representative Bray:</u> I am worried about the recent Iranian statement. That probably would include Turkey too.

President: This illustrates the degree to which Israel is being isolated.

Kissinger: This also points out especially why we shouldn't push Turkey in this direction.

5 -

Representative Cederberg: Does Israel realize this erosion in its position? I am not sure the Congress is that strong for Israel.

Kissinger: They may confuse willingness to vote money with willingness to go to war.

Senator Humphrey: They are not confused. They will make concessions but not before the Brezhnev visit to Cairo. They don't want to honor him.

Kissinger: We agree on that. But there is also a problem with Israel's internal politics.

<u>Senator Humphrey:</u> I spoke at length with them. I told them they had to trust us and trust Secretary Kissinger. I don't think they are trigger happy. I told them that a preemptive war would be a major blunder. Israel has a parliamentary democracy -- they don't have someone who can call the shots. I get discouraged with Arafat and all the extreme statements.

<u>President:</u> I have a meeting this week with a group of Jewish leaders. I will tell them the same, but they have to be reasonable. They must trust us. But they must know that if there is a war, there could be an anti-Israel surge in the U.S.

Senator Fulbright: The Secretary said earlier that the Soviet Union would have to be brought in. It looks less and less likely now, even with detente. Dobrynin says they are willing to join in a guarantee of Israel's existence. I think if we would go to Geneva, the Soviets would help.

Kissinger: We agree on Geneva. It is a matter of timing. We are thinking of reconvening Geneva after a second Sinai agreement.

If the Soviet Union would agree to some compromise position between Israel and the Arabs, we could work it out -- but if all they do is just support the Arabs' extreme positions, there is no reason to get them involved.

<u>Senator Stennis</u>: We have helped Israel greatly, but it should be clear we can't support them with manpower.

President: We have made no commitment of that kind and have made that clear to them.

<u>Representative Mahon</u>: There is a limit on the money the Congress is willing to give. There is more and more reluctance.

<u>Representative Bray</u>: Not only money. We are giving them tanks in excess of our own production.

President: How about the Continuing Resolution on aid? Where do we stand?

<u>Representative Morgan</u>: We have worked out the Turkish language with February 5th as the cutoff date. The conference report should be to you by tomorrow night.

<u>Representative Mahon</u>: We agreed there would be no Continuing Resolution prior to the Authorization bill. There will be no appropriation -- just a single resolution extending the date -- with something for Cyprus refugees. Something also for jobs.

<u>Kissinger:</u> My understanding was that the Continuing Resolution would appropriate a part of the authorization. Egypt has gotten zero after a year of association with us. We had hoped that the Continuing Resolution would make available part of that authorization.

Representative Cederberg: What date? It is not practical to make it short of March 1.

<u>President:</u> Plan the Continuing Resolution language on the basis of the authorization.

Representative Mahon: Give the whole amount?

Representative Cederberg: At the same rate.

Representative Mahon: We can't settle that here.

Representative Morgan: Maybe we could add the Middle East package.

<u>President</u>: Let me turn to a couple of other things. Rockefeller is to be sworn in in the Senate?

Senator Scott/Representative O'Neill: Yes.

<u>Senator McClellan</u>: There will be an amendment on the Continuing Resolution to cut \$3.5 billion from outlays and \$10 billion from obligational authority. It will be a battle.

7 -

Senator Humphrey: What can we say on the Aid Bill on the floor?

<u>Kissinger</u>: I understand that Brademas and his colleagues will support the report but don't want it said that it came out of a talk with us.

President: What estimate do you have on the trade bill?

: Russell said he would get it down this week.

President: We will plan the swearing in for Thursday.

PARTICIPANTS

EXECUTIVE

The President The Secretary of State

SENATE

Hugh Scott (R-Pa) Robert Griffin (R-Mich) Frank Moss (D-Utah) William Fulbright (D-Ark) George Aiken (R-Vt) John McClellan (D-Ark) Milton Young (R-ND) John Stennis (D-Miss) Strom Thurmond (R-SC) Hubert H. Humprehy (D-Minn)

HOUSE

John Rhodes (R-Ariz) Note: He is unable to speak due to recent surgery. John McFall (D-Cal) Leslie Arends (R-III) Olin Teague (D-Tex) John Anderson (R-III) Thomas Morgan (D-Pa) Peter Frelinghuysen (R-NJ) George Mahon (D-Tex) Al Cederberg (R-Mich) William Bray (R-Ind)

STAFF

Anne Armstrong Phil Buchen Robert Hartmann John Marsh Donald Rumsfeld Gen. Brent Scowcroft Bill Timmons Ron Nessen Tom Korologos Max Friedersdorf

REGRETS

Sen. Mike Mansfield (D-Mont) Sen. Robert Byrd (D-WVa) Sen. Norris Cotton (R-NH) Rep. Carl Albert (D-Okla) Rep. F. Edward Hebert (D-La) Rep. Thomas O'Neill (D-Mass)



Transcubed Pres. / Tealership hitz by PWR 23 0 ... 74 P That for any of your to get whele bet me give your atmor at mathing + arthet was achieved, host roages US-Fa what was con bostminely and was when for + will mond; within & desine all toping frenchty + ansidy (lessin) (ferrat) Den port- any was no had to get ensure socialisty before uncould met w/ pud. For the e-p mity of prinning ingestions, but we felt price could pik is off one by one if me prin anoty. Then eare filtert, veget lope are readed a arminal presting. fithe to point mit that Gene we had conculted lopne as / Con & Japp, so allow an traid. (Deanied 4 stips) Kep saying an won, Fr lat, etc. We regent think cotigon din, we a have results to - hereft of all Last. Engylosted like we spent wrot of times on none chimes. Wit 20-3 2 hr mitz, working buch, 2 chines On other matthes, Eulor was settled at \$ 100 mit. Er met a party KIXPT. We concerned about the muc suflymendod in lowering of slandardom person to sell custors. We trying to get xptre to ayre on sofigmando to For attation the holyfol. DE Descript CSCE + aquel yearthy on Trining mid 75 Descriped M.E. Fe, you have wyports 67 backs, which I bettely office. Was I have state going w/Fr parts. Worr K- warment on Burnet K First a word on Manthinger - De In an unaccord NSC MEMO, 11/24/98, STATE DEPT. GUIDELINES, State Priver 3/10/04 BY MARA DATE 5/10/04

purd mity, we would be braten & listh & would be better of dialing bilaturaly. Solution how he then brang. Civil war in attachi conty where each has to choose but US o Fa should be moderated of For carries on tit a coop on econ policies. Of course, At al objends an how that are curried out, but if they are we have make big step Trund cohesion of makes muntiles-Baugeto cruned 2 prinipal Topers; V-leed, We assented the no site deale wine mach. also descensed enving E-W whaten, MBFR come that #FKS wort post & Sall. Very extensive Cottes or GET Fins, I stomp by fort (Some each about 5 times) that each dan 2 choices dig in for long confortation tying to get US modered; or more for rayed settlement. Strik they decented one latter & there will be more why now all provide docements to FA emites - Wfran huck a on mithing me may the tome that prog in larly mis of a year & maille jurg and coming works. We have dry maning EFF w/ cmg learthe in gosting For ail lik in shape to suggest this a great welit due to Corry leaders. Let me think engoue in a long + or her woulded en flore. The list as I merstand it with en holyford wat only in That me and allow It was fast a way use would have written it · dollar out not all us ended want, but it - good ball & I thank all. Want you to prove K+ I won't gos to ge - x cept in cases of brigh north mitrige. We ge this for your half + will here the arm

an yoling that when we came to go it will he princip matter of tactics which I think only 1 man in - portrin along to determine any prestow - would be to maps this give it to be whight of hot an ME properte? Progero talk of man m ME I had Talked to many + all agene that unthe progrande, changing in thigh. No one thinks Thumid, Each won gets more carty in anno + annamento. There to when promitity a non would when oil introps abo a US-SV superstation is What Come cline on 23. Rabet any infortunte - could have been another of had been ense mount. WI whige w/ took sicks to try to more, but offered to been a but from that at this point it mill had & Hortmonth. Ohil Honorabout 1/10 P. I upigeo to myst w/ This K This wit a frintfor and night now. They don't May I ught & exist. Tip & ricall 4 somes - Somes fordom Paro, Jun K Have madelin 1252, but Symmers just got bop what latin 32 of I had moved (in this rown) w/10 moin, c mot mother, would have have no Rabet. Stymiel the ment Only E posit year, but Sudat having end alt + of I offen not song its protify this going it alma he may wit he withing Kty. The final of I should wroge it to he flex. & E-feerby w/ fordom forthing + Seguino long total every day writing paretly, we in bod those, Hoparyone taggester something which myers In Fall

be acceptation & both & Pho was card muit a fit sprive had other wormant. The will have to ung uppt of the spintance. We believe in stop by step to and gladed in the my lead to statunite + sty in the would make possible thing which and some mominates (e) and working but privity, to reached goot rondblack any thing prove to enoughting Bray I emit aprit is cent I ranne statement. Ind and malade Truskey to P. Titis illustrates depth to which I long isrlated. K. Thoto also prints engury cloudlift prich Turking in This chiefti Calarty Does Trucking this erroring & with sure hing that strong for I. K They may confuse en Mingues to with home w wathingnes to got war. Hinghy They wat emposed. They will make emosions but not brifae Bug want - doit want to house fine K alsogen on that (I water youthers) Hong & egch at longth w/ them. Ind the they have to time en + K. I don't think they higger hoppy. total The That would be major blander." I has partice lincerung - this dait here to answer who can calle that dget drownaged w/aroha Xtonn Matiments. P I write w/ Junsh ludio, Will tell this - done, but they have be unconcide. They must tout us. But they must burner that if a was than could be an anti-I surpe in ME

Fullhouth Hamp Ing be gunt properties of I. Sway soud earlier SJ milk have to be tronget in havens liss & less which now um w/ distince. Doty timp they within I goin a governmenter. I think if une unall go to tening, Sens undel help. Wageer. It a matter of thing, we thinking of Current after a 2nd Simon. Sp Summed agen to conjunice bet I + arch we could own it ant - but if they first suggest and there portions, that is no reason to get them minshed. > Stimm We have helped I greatly but it should be class in comit suggest than is / mongane. Porton making maturet & mature that ven to them Unchon These is a finit on money Cong withing the give have a more relationed. Bay bot and manage are ging than town on Kans of prod. P Honrahmt CRA. mayon We have compedent Timk lung w/ Feb 5-th Coup agent should be to go by tommer night. hinhow we agend no CEA Jerier to and incl. ho approp just a myde nevo extending doth - we knothing for Cypus reference. Southing and for job King millioning was that CR would gprog a port of anth E graph has gotten y to often a year of association all too. Whe had hope that CR with make and prty that and. Colondary What date, but practical to inake at short phases P A ant jane, should CR Plan CR long need over busis of anth.

Unhan and the whole anot? Cechiling at some sate. mahm contractle that have mayon maybe me can able ME yorkingen Phit ine time to a engle of other thing - Raubafceler for he serves in Smath? Scott/Ohill Yes. Inc Clillan There will be an amond an CR to cont 3. 5 til form another the to bol for another the stand he ce borte. (Som desarring this) Hmys. What can no say on aid bik on floor. K Iministend Bradenie stal will hyport yout but duit want it ind it came ant of tath w/rance I what est mtrack bill. Rus, roid he would get it down this week. P We mil plane something in far Threes,