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WASHINGTON
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Schlesinger: Let's start with the international environment. This is an era of detente. Our military posture is geared to Soviet moves. Since 1960 they have increased their manpower, primarily in the Far East. Their defense budget is expanding at about 3 percent a year. They have passed us in ECBM's -- thus far they haven't even been able to exploit their throwweight advantage, but with MIRV's they might. We still have the advantage in tactical air, but they are now ahead in ships and they are increasing their divisions. We are down to 12 from 19 1/2; they are up to 167 from 148. We also have substantial overseas deployments.

In NATO we have a rough balance with the Warsaw Pact. There is an advantage in tacair which helps counter-balance their advantage in ground forces, which is slight in numbers.

It is a myth that the U.S. "carries the burden" in NATO. Allies have been contributing more and more. We are doing less in NATO that the Soviets are in the Warsaw Pact.

DOD expenditures are down by one third since 1968. It is a smaller military budget than in the '50's when we were emphasizing massive retaliation. It is important to maintain a balanced force structure. The people who objected to massive retaliation in the 50's are frequently now opposed to conventional strength.

We took the Vietnam dividend before the end of the war. We demobilized before the cease fire from 3.6 million men to 2.3 million men.

The Department of Defense is not the driving force behind inflation. I told Symington that the three services get the same percentage of the GNP that the Air Force did when he was Secretary.

Expenditures are at the lowest level since before Pearl Harbor. The driving force behind government expenditures has not been defense but social services.
We must maintain balanced expenditures to be able to move anywhere in the world.

On SALT II -- we hope to restrain the Soviets' strategic growth, but we must retain rough parity between the two sides.

Laird: We must understand that while Soviet military expenditures are about equal to ours, their personnel costs about 20 percent of the total; ours cost about 60 percent of the total. We are falling behind in the strategic arms area. It will take great leadership to keep us in the ball game with them.

Our problem with the Congress is this. Other Cabinet members don't try to dump everything into DOD.

President: These are good points, especially on manpower. The Soviet Union is moving forward in a number of strategic systems. Our Navy is still superior, but ours is an old Navy -- the Soviets' is a new one. Like the Germans going into World War II. What is involved is not just the U.S. -- Soviet balance but the ability of the U.S. to play the role in the world we must play if we are to have peace. No other state can play that role. No one fears the United States. If we end up as a number two, we are unable to keep the peace -- and we are responsible for maintaining peace around the world. We have a tendency after every war to turn inward -- it's even worse this time because of the knuckle-headed professors.

If we don't stay strong, NATO will fall apart, and the Japanese would have to assert themselves or make a deal with the Soviets. In the Third World, if we are number two, our influence for peace will go down. In the Middle East, those who want us to reduce defense are in the forefront of those urging arms to Israel. We can maybe be second in some areas, but in the Navy we can't afford it.

As a result of our initiatives, we have cut military expenditures. We can go ahead in mutual arms cuts, but if we cut unilaterally, forget it.

While the goal of our policy is peace, it is ironic that the peaceniks' policy one that we could tolerate.

Strength by itself is no policy. Neither is negotiation by itself -- they must be in combination. Disarmament can't be an end in itself. Where you have the Soviet Union as a threat to the world -- which may be turning in now, but could break out any time -- disarmament unilaterally would threaten a peaceful world. That would encourage aggression.
The Chinese -- with the possible exception of the Japanese, the greatest event will be what happens to China. They have the capability to become the best and most productive. Right now the U.S. is their best friend. They hate us, but if they are outside the club in 20 years, we could be in trouble. We must keep a balance, so the Soviet Union can't feel it can give up the Chinese and get away with it.

The issue is whether our children will sit here in peace or in fear.

President: With prices and need going up, the development of the Soviet gas fields may be imperative. We are going like molasses in the nuclear field -- let's get moving.

On my decision, energy comes first and environment second.
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we must return many points about it. I think we must understand that while we're looking for changes and improvements, we must also be aware of the need for security and stability. It's important to balance the various interests and concerns.

Some points to keep in mind:
- Our posture is firm, but we don't try to change everything with OBD. All other issues and points, try to understand us. We are working hard on a number of strategic improvements.
- Our economy is still growing, but there's a need for more. We're in a new era, like Germany going into the EU. What we need is more stability.
- U.S.-EU relations need stability. It's our duty to play such a role. We must play our part in working with others to play that role. We can work together.
- If we do our part, we're capable of helping ourselves and being responsible for our own security.
- We have the ability to act and move too.

As regards our initiatives, we have not.

We can go ahead as we.
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