## NO, 11124198, STATE DEPT. GUIDELINES, STATURY, CLY 3/9/04 NARA DATE 3/20/04

THE WHITE HOUSE

SECRET/NODIS/XGDS

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

PARTICIPANTS: Dr. Henry A. Kissinger, Assistant to the

President for National Security Affairs George Shultz, Secretary of the Treasury

Major General Brent Scowcroft, Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs

DATE AND TIME:

Thursday, March 29, 1973 (?)

PLACE:

Dr. Kissinger's Office (?)

The White House

Shultz: We have a commitment that if the dollar strengthens, the Europeans will sell some dollars to lower their holdings. Schmidt is especially strong on this.

Economics will have a major impact on the political scene.

Kissinger: Yes, and few Heads of State understand it.

Shultz: Heath does.

<u>Kissinger:</u> And Pompidou. But Brandt is a fool. Schmidt is good and understands the political dimension.

Shultz: I'm thinking we should have a strong economic section in the NSC and not have a CIEP.

<u>Kissinger:</u> I agree. I hadn't wanted to get into it, but we could do it -- with Sonnenfeldt over at Treasury.

Shultz: Flanigan does a lot of things well and unselfishly.

Kissinger: I agree, but on the big things you and I should work it out.

We'll make the announcement next Wednesday. We'll have language saying he can continue he be available to the NSC staff.

SECRET/NODIS/XGDS



Shultz: He will be heavily involved in East-West trade, almost exclusively at first.

It'll be hard to abolish CIEP.

What about MFN?

<u>Kissinger:</u> There is a State proposal for a secret deal for 36,000 emigrants, for MFN. How could Jackson and his people withdraw their amendment without any explanation?

Anyway, I can't imagine State being able to manage it. You or I might.

The U.S. attitude is inexcusable -- emigration policy is none of our business.

Shultz: Rogers' proposal borders on the silly.

<u>Kissinger:</u> The Jews won't accept any firm upper limit. And you can imagine Brezhnev's reaction.

We have three options:

- -- Put it in the general bill.
- -- Put it in a Soviet bill.
- -- Follow the Javits route.

Shultz: Which Mills will oppose. The Soviets have done a lot.

Kissinger: But they can always revoke what they have done.

Shultz: Can't we have something that the President could announce with respect to non-market economies that the President could grant MFN when it's in the national interest?

Kissinger: This is very important to the Soviets.

Shultz: Yes. Most Congressmen think I made a deal. They don't understand.

SECRET/ NODIS/XGDS



Javits is delighted. How well he can be trusted to carry the ball, I don't know.

Within the framework of the trade bill, we don't have time before the visit to get anything done.

Kissinger: My instinct is to put it in a general or Soviet bill and fight.

Shultz: In a trade bill, it couldn't be passed before October.

<u>Kissinger:</u> My first inclination was a separate bill, but if Jackson is in the trade bill, what is the difference?

Shultz: Why not put in the trade bill, but with a clause about the President's determination?

<u>Kissinger:</u> It couldn't be done if the determination contained specific language about emigration policy. The Chinese would never accept on that basis.

Shultz: The Javits formula is attractive, but it won't get past Mills.

Kissinger: I like the Javits formula.

Shultz: Maybe keep it in the trade bill. There's not much chance. Mills thinks MFN is a Congressional right. They can give it to the President, but not give it or take it back.

Shultz: Javits is good because it doesn't require a vote. I described all these in detail, so you will know what is going on when one of them appears.

If it's in the trade bill, it would say the President can grant it with a determination that it is in the national interest.

Kissinger: How about a separate bill?

Shultz: The only way to get it by the time of the visit would be a separate bill. It could still be in the trade bill. If Congress wanted to support the President, a separate bill would be easy.

Kissinger: But that isn't the case.

SECRET/NODIS/XGDS



Shultz: The climate is bad for everything.

Kissinger: They said it would improve after Vietnam.

Shultz: It has never been worse!

HAK-Shultz Wity and for talks of min pin pin pin shult that if the dullar straightful V 29 Mar 73 2 Eungelino will sell from dollars & lower their Anddring, Schmidt executly strong withis. Si Ecourines will have a myer my act on e putitud K- yes + few burds of state undertand it 5 Heart does K- and Pornjedom. But Brondt is a fool, Schmilt is good & undestands a political. 5. In thinky we should have a strong even section in NSC + dut have CIEP. K Lagree. Shahant counted to get moto it, funt we could do it - w/ Sommefeldtainet Trumy, S Floring on does a lot of things well + unsufficienty.

K dagues best one by things your & should with K- ammenment not Wed. Language saying his com continue to be ancielable to NSC staff, S- He will be heavily unwolved in E-W trade, where exclaminat of frit. S. Houl & alphal CIEP N. IN trade to An 2 K- State proposal for secure deal for 36 and emigrants for MFIV Hour could your Judgm, et al. w/drow their amount must 65/6 esselmatrin? 45/0 explanation? anyway, I can't majore State being delet manage it you or I might is getty interprese strongeni ai shutitta 2V more from browniss. S Rogues proposed bonders on a subjection from the Server worked world accept any frager limit.

You can maying they warried.

E.O. 12958, SEC. 3.5

NSC MENO, 1122498, STATE DEPT. GUIDELINES STATE LEVIEW 3/8/04

BY\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_NARA DATE 3/30/04



K- We have I getting! Put it in a gent live Pot it in a Spe bill Follow - Junts ande 5 - which Double spore Usse has done a lot But they com always what they have lone 5. Cust we have something that Pus timble annumer w reject & mon-mulet summy that pro endly gunt raise when in a with intent R- This is way my stant to USR S yes. mot congression think I made a duck. They don't understand Janto is delighted Harr will be can be hersed to camp a boll I don't home time before a most to get anythingdru.

K my metrinet is to get in a gent or Soor till and fight Jacksona a Juno. In tack will, couldn't be jugare logic Out K First medninther was a segment livel but. S Bi com not put in track will but will Clause about Pres determination. K Couldn't be done of determination contained specific language about Emystin july Chrisis would him accept on that bossis S Janto formula is attractive, but it work get part Mills In he faints familie maybe keep it in trade till. Tot much chance of selling wills will though the Fry

is a form languagement regard. I lang can give it Pres, but wit give it a take.

S finite is good because dragen't required with.

I described all those in detail to harmhore, so you will become what is going on when any tarm appearance. If in trade bill, let homed song Procum grant my attraction of west interest K (turn slant segent till? S Owly many & get it by wist would be segarate first. Could start be in take first. of Cong. counted to support Pres, as segmente will

We have large

K But that south a case

S The change is losed for hungthing

K They sould it around improve of the VA

S, I has much here wrose.