## The original documents are located in Box 119, folder "Government, Size of" of the Ron Nessen Papers at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

## **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Ron Nessen donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

## REDUCING SIZE OF GOVERNMENT

- Q. One of the themes of your administration seems to be reduction of the size of the Federal Government. If you had a free hand to do whatever you wanted, how would you go about cutting the size of the Federal Government, and how much would you cut it?
- A. If I had a free hand to do whatever I wanted, I wouldn't necessarily set out to cut the government below the size we have now.

What I would do is stop the ever-faster pace of increasing the size of our government. It's not necessary to have expanded programs or new programs day by day to meet our national needs. We're already taking a bath in red ink. It's time to dry out.

The best thing we could do is to evaluate the present programs — to make sure they carry out the purpose for which they were set up. For instance: do our nutrition programs actually increase the nutritional level of our people? Or for instance, is there some way we could make the programs simpler, with less red tape, fewer forms and more efficient systems? We're working at that through the Management by Objectives System, through the Regulatory Reform campaign, and through OMB's Evaluation Role.

Another thing we could do is to get across to the public the need to set priorities: We'll go broke like New York seems to be threatening to do if we keep up our present pace. The public has got to understand this, and if they do, they will work with us in government so that we do the very best things we can, and spin off the projects with lesser priorities.

Finally, the effort to increase local decision-making will help in this effort. The General Revenue Sharing program allows local decisions on local problems -- and that means better decisions based on local needs.