## The original documents are located in Box 40, folder "Talk Show Transcripts - Democrats (1)" of the Ron Nessen Papers at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

## **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Ron Nessen donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box 40 of The Ron Nessen Papers at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

PLEASE CREDIT ANY QUOTES OR EXCERPTS FROM THIS NBC RADIO AND TELEVISION PROGRAM TO "NBC'S MEET THE PRESS."

MEET THE PRESS

Produced by Betty Cole Dukert

SUNDAY, NOBEMBER 30, 1975

GUEST:

MORRIS K. UDALL (Dem., Ariz.)

Candidate for Presidential Nomination

MODERATOR:

Bill Monroe

PANEL:

John Hart - NBC News Jack W. Germond - Washington Star William Raspberry - Washington Post Jack Nelson - Los Angeles Times

> This is a rush transcript provided for the information and convenience of the press.Accuracy is not guaranteed.In case of doubt, please check with

-----

MEET THE PRESS

------

MR. MONROE: Our quest today on MEET THE PRESS is Congressman Morris K. Udall, of Arizona. One year ago this week Mr. Udall, Mo Udall, as his colleagues call him, became the first Democrat to announce as a candidate for President. A member of Congress since 1961, he is Considered a leader of liberal Democrats in the House. He has sponsored major legislation for a form of Congress for a form of election campaigns and for such environmental goals as control of strip mining.

1

2

1

5

8

7

8

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

86

27

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We will have the first questions now from John Hart of NBC News.

MR. HART: Mr. Udall, a number of politicians seem to have discovered this year that Americans, maybe a majority of Americans, are either mad at the Government, or worse yet, don't care about it. Two Republican candidates are running against the Government and the Democratic governor has become immensely popular in his state by saying there is no free lunch, we have to work harder and settle for less. Maybe government doesn't work.

Where do you stand on that?

MR. UDALL: Well, I think there is a deep strain of American pessimism around, and these officials you have mentioned reflect that. I think people are not so much fed up with government generally as government that doesn't work, government that is corrupt, government that is too

bureaucratic, government that doesn't get the job done. And rather than turn a summer doing into dispair, what I am trying to preach is that we ought to get off our seats and get up and get moving again. We can make this govern-ment of ours work again. We are good people with a lot of resources, and I don't like all of this pessimism around, but I have to concede there is some basis for it. 

MR. MONROE: Do you mean the government will work if we get the right President?

4

MR. UDALL: I think that is a big part of it. This country is in real trouble and the present President isn't doing much about our difficulties. I think the people in '76 want someone who will take charge and get us out of this mess we are in.

MR. MONROE: Can you honestly promise people that a President has that much influence on this vast government?

7

10

11

12

\$ 21

22

15

28

17

198

20

28

22

23

24

28

MR. UDALL: No, I think there is too much over-promising, but what I say is you have got to try. My whole philosophy has been if you see a problem, go after it and try something, and if that doesn't work, try something else, but the President can set the tone and he can level with people and say hare are the problems and they are serious and we are going to have to change and we really are. The seventies and eighties are going -- the story of the seventies and the eighties will be how we shapt to a lot of new realities in the world and the President can level with the people on the difficulties we face and can propose some solutions.

MR. MONROE: We have been hearing every presidential year that we have to set a new tone; we have to try again, but the government continues to grow; it continues to take now a third of the national product; it continues to run into deficits. Can a President really do that? Can a President have any influence in a reform sense on bringing the government into a size, into a -- can he control the bureaucracy?

102

A

S

7

52

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

25

MR. UDALL: I think he can. I think at least you can try. We are at a fundamental turning point here. Franklin Roosevelt took this country in 1932-33 flat on its back and said, "We are in trouble. We are going to try new things. We are going to put the people to work. We are going to have Social Security for old people. We are going to let labor unions organize. We are going to regulate the banks and stock market and so on. I think we are at the end of an era.

It was an era of cheap resources and easy growth and we were getting \$35 billion more federal revenues every year and it was just lovely. You could have tax cuts and more for Defense and more for poor people. Those days are gone. We are going to have to change and I think you need a President who will tell the people these hard facts and will at least try some programs that will cut this government down to size and give people a dollar's worth of government, a dollar's worth of service for every dollar's worth of taxes that they pay. I think people have some legitimate gripes about this.

\* \* \* \*

MR. GERMOND: Congressman, I would like to ask you a couple of questions about your standing as a candidate. As Mr. Monroe noted at the beginning, you have been campaigning for a year. By all estimates within the Democratic Party you have a very strong organization. You are still very insignificant in the polls. What does that tell us?

6

MR. UDALL: Well, there is a myth around, and the myth is that you come up in the polls through some magic game or through some magic action, you "take off," as the political writers like to say, and then you win primaries.

In truth, what happens is that you win some primaries, you get down in the trenches in New Hampshire and Wisconsin and a caucus state like Iowa and New York and Massachusetts where I am working, you win some primaries and then you come up in the polls.

I am ahead of where I think George McGovern or pretty close to where George McGovern was Your years ago, and no one gave him much of a chance.

MR. GERMOND: Everyone uses the McGovern example, but 19 McGovern had an issue. He had the Vietnam issue. What's your 20 Vietnam issue?

23 24

21

22

1

1

2

A.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 MP. UDALL: I don't know that I have one single issue. I think I am the one candidate, however, who has been preaching 2 3 not more New Deal, not more Great Society, not more of the '50s A and '60s. Those days are gone. I am saying we have to make fundamental changes in this country, that we are at the 5 end of an era of cheap energy and resources; that the '70s and 6 '80s are going to be a time of adaptation. I am trying to 7 tell the hard truth and preach a different way of life and 8 -03 a different way of government for the American people, and I 20 think that is my issue, if I have one; plus the issue of integrity, honesty and openness in government, which I think 11 is going to be very big this time. 22

7

MR. CERMOND: May I ask you one other question on this point. At what point do you have to win one of those primaries? How long can you go as a member of the House, a newcomer in national politics? How long can you survive if you don't win a primary? Do you have to win Massachusetts or New Hampshire, or when?

MR. UDALL: Well, it's a little too early to say who will
be in there. You give me the cast of characters, and I'll look
at my crystal ball and give you a little better idea. Will
Governor Wallace go to New Hampshire? He indicates he probably
won't. What are Senator Jackson's plans in Massachusetts and
New Hampshire? I don't know yet. But clearly out of those first four primaries and Iowa, I've got to do well in a couple of them, and "doing well" is usually defined by the press and

not by the candidate.

MR. RASPBERRY: Twice in response to earlier questions you have suggested that we have passed the era when there were political resources and an expanding economy and so on. We are going to have to do some cutting back. I am wondering whether one of those areas we will have to cut back on is governmental services to poor people. Already, for instance, this Administration is talking about cutting back on food stamps, not because poor people are getting too much food, but because food stamps cost too much. Would you foresee further cuts under your Administration?

1

A

흔

P

2

8

9

80

11

32

13

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. UDALL: No, I go in the other direction. What we have got to do in this time of change and adaptation is to make sure that there is a fair distribution of incomes in America and we are going to get it through national health insurance. It is time we put a floor under every American and say, in effect: We don't care that your income is, you are all going to have good health care.

It is time we had tax reform. It is time we reformed this miserable welfare system and federalized it. It is time we had a guaranteed job for every American who wants to work so we will be moving in the other direction.

I think it would be cruel and heartless to say that in time of shortage and in time of scarcity we are going to inflict this on the poor who have already suffered enough in this country.

MR. RASPBERTY: You mentioned jobs earlier in Louisville, when, before that convention got sidetracked on the busing issue, you expressed the hope that jobs might become the focus of the entire session.

2

3

a.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

84

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Obviously you and everybody else who is running for President would like to see the employment picture improve and full employment for everybody. Do you go beyond rhetoric on that? Have you really got a program in mind for improving the employment picture?

MR. UDALL: Yes, and we had better talk about it and we had better be prepared to answer the tough, hard questions about cost and not get in that kind of a trap. Harry Truman, thirty years ago, proposed something called the Full Employment Act, and it got watered down and was called the Employment Act and now, today, Christmas coming on, eight million Americans. Senator Humphrey and Congressman Hawkins of California, and I have cosponsored it with others, have a full employment act on the books and its goal -- and it is an achievable goal, and costs that this country can afford -- will put every American who wants to work within a couple of years, and we will 20 cut eight million unemployment down to three or four million unemployed within a year if we get on it.

The social costs we pay and the financial costs we pay for unemployment are very, very high and we have got to get out and tell the American people that we can get the American

people who want to work back to work and we can do it in sensible, sound ways that we can pay for.

MR. NELSON: Mr. Udall, Robert Strauss, the National Democratic Party Chairman, has said there is a tremendous lack of interest in the current crop of presidential candidates among the Democrats and that he thinks that if there is a deadlock at the convention the nomination could very well go to someone who doesn't go through the primaries.

10

Do you agree with that?

8

2

3

15

35

a

3

8

3

80

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

24

25

MR. UDALL: Well, this is a great old theory, this brokered convention, 13th ballot, a few people retire to the back room and annoint somebody who hasn't campaigned. The only thing about it is, it never happens.

You have to go back fifty years to find a Democratic Convention that was brokered. You haven't had a third ballot in the Republican Convention since Wendell Wilkie,

There will be a convention in July in New York and there will be a nominee on Wednesday night and that nominee in my judgment will come out of the primaries because there is an important reason. Contesting in the primaries teaches you, educates you, shows you what the country is all about and forces you to confront the nation's problems and come up with programs, and those who sit on the sidelines waiting for the brokered convention don't go through that experience.

MR. NELSON: Mr. Strauss also said he considered Governor

George Wallace of Alabama to be a bona fide Democrat.

1

2

5

6

\$6

17

24

25

Do you consider him to be a bona fide Democrat? MR. UDALL: We have always had an open party. We span the whole spectrum. We are a kind of political conglomerate and I guess anyone has a right to come in and contest for the nomination.

I just wish Governor Wallace, if he is a Democrat, once every decade or two would give us a break. He opposed Lyndon Johnson in '64; he went out with old Curtis LeMay -- "Bomb 'em back to the Stone Age LeMay" and beat our candidate in '68. He got beat fair and square by George McGovern in '72 and turned his back on him and now he says he wants our nomination.

Well, I wish he would support one of our candidates once in a while.

MR. NELSON: Do you think he is a bona fide Democrat then? MR. UDALL: The party is an open party and anybody is bona fide who wants to come in and run for the nomination.

I just hope if he gets beat he will stay in the party and not go off and run on a third party, but it is open -- he is a bona fide Democrat in the sense that anyone who wants to contest in the Democratic party can sign up and contest, but his record of party loyalty is pretty miserable, I must add.



MR. NELSON: You have said you would not have him on your ticket, or you wouldn't be on a ticket with him. Do you think other candidates should make the same sort of disavowal?

1

2

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

22

23

24

25

MR. UDALL: I am not telling other candidates what they ã. ought to say, but Democratic candidates have been dancing around this Wallace issue for about a year now, and I think we ought to know where they stand. I said in Alabama and I said all over the country he won't be on my ticket, and I am not 23 going tobe on his, and I can't support a ticket he is on. No rancor. He has got every right to run.

If I were a Democratic voter I would want to know in 11 advance from the major candidates whether they are going to 12 make a deal with him to get those last few votes to get a nomi-13 nation or not. I think people are entitled to know from all 14 of us where we stand on this. 15

MR. MONROE: Congressman Udall, there is a perception, 16 right or rwrong, that voters these days want to get away from 17 big government and big spending. Now, aren't you talking 18 in favor of big government and big spending when you advocate 19 a full employment act, nationalized welfare, nationalized health 20 insurance? 21

MR. UDALL: Yes. You show there are two crosscurrents out here. People want the government to solve their problems, but they also want to get rid of waste and bureaucracy

and government that doesn't work, and I think we have got to show the American people that government can work. We have also got to change some priorities, this old cliche, or get rid of it. We are spending too much on national defense. We could safely cut 10 or 15 percent of the .fat out of that defense budget and be better off, but I think the American people are willing to support programs, government programs that are going to work.

MR. MONROE: Can you tell us how much bureaucracy you are talking about and how much spending you are talking about when you advocate a government-guaranteed employment, nationalized health insurance and nationalized welfare?

MR. UDALL: Well, I am talking in most cases about costs we already pay. Somebody was saying about the Kennedy bill that I support costs \$80 billion, and I said, you know, we already pay \$80 billion, we laready have a system, but the way it works is, if your wife gets cancer your premium this year is \$30,000 and mine is nothing. Next year I am going to get wiped out.

We are already paying for welfare, only the local governments that didn't cause this problem -- New York didn't cause
-- 70 percent of the people in New York on welfare are from
out of state. It is a national obligation, only the
states are paying for it. With the job -- with the full employment act -- \$70 billion deficit, if we had four percent

2

8

2

3

4

55

6

7

8

unemployment today instead of eight percent unemployment, do you know what that great \$70 billion deficit would be? Zero. We would have a surplus in the federal budget if we would get this country back to work. So these are legitimate questions. Liberals ought not to be for waste, and liberals ought to be ready to answer the tough financial questions about what these programs would cost, and I think I can answer those questions.

9 MR. MONROE: Are you promising these things without any 10 increase in federal spending, without any increase in taxes?

MR. UDALL: Well, I don't know. The federal government has increased as the gross national product has increased. I don't foresee any increase in taxes except if we are going to have national health insurance we are going to have to pay for it through a kind of a payroll tax, but we are already paying through this miserable inefficient health system that we now have.

18 MR. HART: Have you costed out these programs so that you 19 can tell us what the national budget would be with all these 20 programs, and would we have a deficit?

MR. UDALL: No, if we put the American people back to
work we would not have a deficit at all this year. The
national health insurance proposal would be financed through
a payroll tax and administered similar to the Social Security
Administration. The federalizing of the welfare program

3

ŝ

2

. 3

14

5

6

7

8

would cost a little bit more, but I would propose to get that through abolishing federal programs that don't work and making substantial cuts in the defense budget. We are wasting 20 -- the biggest item in the national budget this year that increased was unemployment compensation. It is up \$20 billion. We save that when we put people back to work.

1

2

3

14

5

6

7

82

9

10

81

32

13

1.5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HART: You said youwere going to abolish federal programs that don't work. You have got to cut down waste in government, you have said. What programs and what departments would you cut out?

NR. UDALL: In the '60s we had high hopes for a lot of programs. Some of them didn't work. A lot of this law enforcement assistance stuff we put out, helicopters for police and things that didn't really work. The Model Cities program wasn't very successful. There was waste there. Medicaid has got to be better administered and more efficient. There is a number of the -- the SSI, the Supplemental Security Income program could be better handled. I am not talking about cutting out money for poor people. I am talking about better administration of it.

MR. HART: Well, that is not abolishment. What things are you going to abolish?

MR. UDALL: I have listed some of the Great Society programs that didn't work, and I am talking about cuts in the defense budget where we could get back a lot of this

money. But most of the money -- you know, we would have \$70 billion more in federal revenues this year if we had four percent unemployment which Richard Nixon started out with instead of the 9 percent unemployment we have today. That is where you get a lot of your new money, just putting America back to work so we have profits and salaries on which people pay income taxes.

1

2

8

A

5

6

7

MR. GERMOND: I would like to go back to the question of 8 a competition for the Democratic nomination. There are 9 at least four candidates: yourself, Fred Harris, Sargent 10 Shriver, Birch Bayh, perhaps one or two others, Governor \$1 Schopp, former Governor Carter -- although that may be in dis-12 pute in his case -- who are considered liberals, and they are 13 all agreed generally on busing, on cutting the defense budget, 14 on jobs, on energy, on health insurance. They differ in par-15 ticulars. 16

George Wallace would say there is not a dime's worth of 17 difference between you, or among you. How do we sort you out? 18 MR. UDALL: I think you look at a record. I don't think 19 anything tells you quite so much about the kind of President 20 a person will be as what he did before he became a candidate 21 for President. My record suggests this group of candidates 22 is going to be looked at under the microscope about honesty 23 and integrity. This is the whole issue of believability 24 and my record of trying to reform the 25

seniority system, disclosing my income and assets 12 years ago -- one reporter asked me for my last five years of income tax returns. I gave them out. I think in this area I am ahead of anybody else. In the area of making tough decisions of the kind we are going to have to make, in the past, the ones I made to challenge the seniority system and to oppose the war and to do some of the things in energy and resources that I have done.

I also think I am electable. We have got a big political conglomerate, maybe more electable than the others. We have a big political conglomerate on our hands, and we need the new forces in the Democratic Party, but we also need the mayors, Mayor Bradley and Mayor Beam, but we need Mayor Daly; and we need the liberal labor unions, but we also need AFL-CIO and George Meany and his people. I think better than any other candidate I can pull this party together and win the election.

24

25

1

2

R

5

6

7

8

劉

10

11

82

13

8.8

MR. GERMOND: If you need labor, and you obviously do, and if you say "Let's look at the record," what do you do on your vote on 14(b), on the right to work?

1

5

**B**?

E

7

商

2

50

11

12

93

8.45

315

88

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. UDALL: This is ten years old. It is a vote ten years old. From Arizona, one of the most conservative states, I have got a ninety per cent COPE record. Labor knew about this vote at the time. I had made a promise to the people of Arizona based on the vote they had made on our Constitution and I kept that promise to the people. Mr. Mooney and Mr. ? Barkin, the leaders of most of the major labor unions -- I have never heard any major national labor union say Mo Udall was not acceptable to labor and the fact is, I have strong support from labor and all segments of it, and it is spread out this time and that is good. A lot of the candidates are going to have labor support and I am going to have my share.

MR. GERMOND: Are you going to tell us at some point -are any of you liberal candidates going to tell us what is wrong with the record, for example, of Birch Bayh, compared to yours? Where are you a better candidate for the Democratic party in electability than Birch Bayh?

MR. UDALL: Oh, I don't know. I am not going to demean the other candidates. I would talk positively and say I was asked to run by 45 members of Congress. I have never heard any Senator asking any other Senator to go out and run. This was an unusual thing. The people who knew me best, black and white,

north and south, urged me to run. I think my ability in getting re-elected, the only Democrat surviving in a tough conservation state, suggests that I could pull this party together. I have been in the South. The New York Times reported after the forcy in Alabama and Arkansas that I was well received in the South. I think I can pull together the conservationists and the laboring people with some of their disputes. I think my record on civil rights is acceptable to the minorities in America.

2

2

2

A

5

R

3

2

9

10

11

12

\$3

2.6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would distinguish on these bases that I am better, not that he is unacceptable, and I am not, I think I am a better candidate on these grounds.

MR. RASPBERRY: Sir, you suggested we can judge your electability by looking at your record. One of the things your record indicates is an admirable tenacity but less than earth-shaking results on such environmental questions as the Alaska Pipeline, Strip Mining and a host of other environmental concerns.

You have been out there for a good long time but the results don't show very much.

Would you say that your lack of success in this area shows either that you are out of step with the wishes and desires of the American people on this question or that it shows a lack of leadership ability on your part to get these ideas of your moved into legislation?

19

MR. UDALL: No, it shows some other things. It shows what an unelected President with a veto can do to you. The vast majority of the American people want strip mining legislation. The vast majority of the governors and people and mayors want land use planning legislation, and all of the rest.

3

al.

5

7

寂

9

10

11

82

13

2.43

18

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gerald Ford vetoed a bill that had tremendous, overwhelming support in the House and Senate. All the coal state governors wanted it. All the conservation groups wanted it and he vetoed it.

You know, I have always felt there is something worse than failing and that is not even to try, and we are going to try; we are going to get a strip mining bill either this year us or next year that lets/get the coal we need and put the land back so it will be there ten thousand years from now to use, and I am going to keep on trying to get these kinds of environmental goals, but we have had a backlash.

You know, it was very easy to get environmental legislation four or five years ago. These last few years, this whole phony argument that we have got to choose between jobs and clean air and clean water has hurt us and Richard Nixon hurt us. He pulled the plug on me on a couple of these bills after we cooperated putting them together. He was under attack and about to be impeached and he pulled the plug on us and defeated us on a couple of these.

MR. MONROE: We have about two and a half minutes.

MR. RASPBERRI: One of the things you have been advocating is a break-up of the major oil companies, and a separation of function from exploration, to final marketing. Is this just an ideological thing with you or do you foresee real economic benefits for the people out of this?

4

1

2

4

5

\$

8

0

10

10

12

13

16

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

20

25

MR. UDALL: No, the truth ought to be told to the American people, and the truth is that a lot of our inflation problem is simple monopoly. It is concentrated industries where market forces don't operate and there is no better example than in the oil industry.

We would all be better off. Industry would be better off, consumers, the stock market, everybody. I am not talking about socializing or nationalizing; I am talking about Teddy Roosevelt and Americanizing the oil industry and making them compete in the different segments so we kring prices down and have innovation and have some honest competition between refineries and marketing people and all the rest.

MR. NELSON: You have mentioned former President Nixon a couple of times here. Do you expect him to be an issue, the Nixon pardon, to be an issue in the general election, and should it be an issue and should the Nixon Administration and its connection with President Ford be an issue?

MR. UDALL: I don't blame Gerald Ford for a lot of the things that Richard Nixon did. Obviously he has got to shoulder that blame, but I lay squarely on his doorstep this pardon.

I think it was unforgiveable. I think it made no sense. I think we will never know a lot of things the American people really ought to know.

2

a.

17

8

2

12

63

8.2

12

22

24

15

16

17

9.9

89

20

21

22

23

24

The assassinations and all of this show that there is no substitute for getting the truth out before the American people and there are a lot of things we are not going to know about that Nixon Administration, and I think the pardon prevented them. A lot of the American people are going to hold that against Mr. Ford in 1976 if he is the nominee.

MR. NELSON: You mentioned something about the assassinations too, and I know that just recently you warned that people should not overreact to the disclosures about the FBI and the CIA, but let me ask you, isn't it really true the problem is not overreaction, but a lack of indignation or any real concern by the American people?

MR. UDALL: I think that is part of it, but we are beginning to get indignation and out of this, out of the Senate and House investigations will come some laws and new attitudes and we are never again going to have a system in which our liberties are abused by our own agencies like the CIA and the FBI.

MR. MONROE: Our time is just about up. Thank you, Congressman Udall, for being with us today on MEET THE PRESS.

NEXT WEEK: Hugh L. Carey, Mayor of New York City

PLEASE CREDIT ANY QUOTES OR EXCERPTS FROM THIS ABC NEWS RADIO AND TELEVISION PROGRAM TO "ABC NEWS" ISSUES AND ANSWERS."

ISSUES AND ANSWERS

SUNDAY, JANUARY 25, 1976

GUEST:

SENATOR HUBERT HUMPHREY (D. Minn.)

INTERVIEWED BY:

Bob Clark - ISSUES AND ANSWERS Chief Correspondent Sam Donaldson - ABC News Capitol Hill Correspondent

> This is a rush transcript for the press. Any questions regarding accuracy should be referred to ISSUES AND ANSWERS



MR. CLARK: Our guest is Senator Hubert Humphrey and with me is ABC Capitol Hill correspondent, Sam Donaldson,

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Senator, the Democratic presidential race got under way officially this week with the caucuses in Iowa and Mississippi. We have two early winners, Jimmy Carter in Iowa and George Wallace in Mississippi, and one dropout, Terry Sanford.

Have any of these developments changed your mind about getting into the presidential race yourself?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Not a bit. Not a bit.

MR. CLARK: And then you have been getting some advice, we know, from some good friends and advisers, that you will not have a serious chance of getting the presidential nomination unless you get into some late primaries such as California.

Are you totally ruling out the possibility of your getting into any primaries?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Well, I surely get that kind of advice, as you have indicated. There are a number of my friends who feel I ought to get into the primaries, but I have said I am not going to. It isn't because I have any disdain for the primaries; it is simply that I am not a candidate in the sense of going out and trying to wrap up this nomination.

I recognize that that, of course, puts you in a very limited position as to the possibilities of getting the nomination and I am perfectly content with that posture.

It is my judgment, however, that before this thing is through, we may very well find that no one candidate comes out with a commanding lead and, if that is the case, then the convention, of course, the delegates at the convention, will have to make the selection, and that is a possibility, as it relates to me. I don't depend on it. I have said quite honestly I can

. 5

2.6

live with what I am doing with considerable ease and no unhappiness, so there it is. 1

2

3

A

弱

6

9

R

9

10

85

12

83

14

語

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DONALDSON: Senator, why should the delegates turn to you if you haven't entered the primaries? I cite specifically what Governor Carter says, which is that you have lost some elections for the Presidency, for the nomination, and if you don't demonstrate that you are not a loser by going into some of the primaries, that the delegates shouldn't turn to you.

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Well, Mr. Donaldson, I have been in the primaries, 1972, and I won four of them in a row and came in second in Florida, with only a two months campaign. I won Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana and West Virginia. It was a little hard to convey that message to the public, I must confess, but I did win them, so I know how to win a primary.

I was the Democratic nominee in 1968. I came out of that terribly difficult convention 22 percentage points behind, and I closed the gap within four-tenths of one percent in a six weeks' campaign. I know how to campaign. I have won a lot of them, you know. I have won a lot of them.

MR. DONALDSON: The question is "What have you done for me lately," though? That applies to politics. This is 1976. How can you demonstrate that you can win this year?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Well, Mr. Donaldson, I am not a candidate. I don't have to demonstrate. That is the nice part of it. I don't even have to answer a question like that. I don't have to do it at all.

· I am a United States Senator. I intend to run for reelection

from the State of Minnesota. I hope I will be able to achieve the necessary support there to come back to the United States Senate.

2

2

A

55

B

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

15

28

17

18

19

20

21

22

22

24

25

In the meantime, I address myself to the basic issues that face this country, rather than running around looking for a delegate.

The American people want their public officials to be on the job, running the country rather than running around. I have very heavy responsibilities, as Chairman of the Joint Economic Committee, as the Chairman of the Subcommittee in Foreign Relations, in Agriculture, in many many programs. And I think the best politics is no politics. I think this country wants people that will tend to the business of the Republic. That is what I am going to do.

MR. CLARK: Senator, is there some implied criticism in what you are saying of those members in the Senate who are out campaigning in the primaries? You say the American people want their public officials on the job.

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Yes, that is my judgment. I am not criticizing anybody. I have done exactly what some of my colleagues are doing. They want to be President, and they have made the choice. I think they have done the right thing insofar as their own decision is concerned. They have decided that they would give their time for these few months to being active candidates for the Democratic nomination. Now that is a

thoroughly honorable pursuit. I have just found out that you can't be the kind of a Senator that I would like to be and be the kind of a candidate that I think a man ought to be. You can't do both at the same time. So, like my colleague, Senator Mondale, I decided that it was perfectly suitable for me to stay where I am.

1

2

2

A

5

95

7

8

0

10

19.1

22

13

34

15

88

17

12

19

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CLARK: Do you think, Senator, that the liberals and some of those who are out running for President are going to gang up on this week's early winners, on Jimmy Carter and George Wallace?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I don't think so. I hope and pray they don't. One thing the Democrats don't need is some more ganging up, and they don't need to have any division.

You know, I look upon these early caucuses -- and that is what they have been, these haven't been primaries as such, these have been party caucuses and precinct caucuses -- this whole race for the nomination is like a 500 mile Indianapolis 500 mile speedway. Somebody is ahead on one lap and somebody is ahead on the second lap and somebody drops out. You know, you have watched those races.

What really is the test is who survives. How do you persevere, how do you stay in the race? It is too early to make any prediction as to what will happen.

MR. DONALDSON: Senator, about Governor Wallace, you say you think the convention will not turn to you, but it might.

If it does, would you consider putting Governor Wallace on your ticket? Do you think he should be on the ticket this year?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I would not recommend him if I were the nominee, that is number one, and I seriously doubt whether the convention would nominate him.

MR. DONALDSON: Would you support him?

5

2

a

3

7

9

16

21

24

25

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I said I would not recommend him, and I seriously doubt that the convention would nominate him, and I think any other question is irrelevant.

MR. DONALDSON: May I ask you just once more, because there are a lot of people I think who may want to know your answer to it. Would you support Governor Wallace if he was on the ticket?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: He isn't going to be on the ticket, and I think you know that, and I think I know that, and as Franklin Roosevelt said, never answer an "iffy" question.

MR. DONALDSON: You have said you are not going to be in the primaries. I was in New Hampshire Friday night, and at every place, at a Democratic dinner, over a thousand people, here was this card saying "Write in Hubert Humphrey," and on the back a list of delegates who would be for you.

Do you disavow this?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Yes, I do, and you know that, Mr. Donaldson.

MR. DONALDSON: Are you saying to the people in New Hampshire

1	you do not want them to write in your name?
2	SENATOR HUMPHREY: I am saying to the people of New Hamp-
3	shire this is not sponsored by Hubert Humphrey. The person
4	who is sponsored is not authorized by Hubert
55	Humphrey. I will say I wrote to every candidate that is under is
6	the Elections Commissions' Ruling, who/an avowed candidate,
7	I wrote each one of them a letter and said this was not my
8	effort. That I disavowed the effort, period.
S	
80	MR. DONALDSON: And you don't want them to write your
21	name in?
12	SENATOR HUMPHREY: That is correct.
83	
84	
15	
16	
17	
15	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	(THE REAL OF THE R
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

MR. CLARK: Senator, do you plan any efforts in

your own behalf for the Democratic Convention or are you going to try to line up delegates, or are you just going to sit and wait for the lightning to strike?

1

2

3

4

55

3

25

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Can I make it clear once again, I am not a candidate. Now, I love both of you men; you are very good friends of mine. Now, if I wanted to be a candidate, I would come and tell you, and I would even tell my office staff, you know, and I would tell the Democratic party.

I am not a candidate. I have said exactly what I mean.
Namely, that I intend to be an active man in the political
life of my party and my country. I do not intend to enter
any primaries. I have said I hope to be at the convention.
If the convention turns to me, I want to be ready to go out
and win that election.

MR. CLARK: Senator, correct me if I am wrong. I think you have been saying also you would love to be President, if the convention does turn to you.

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I haven't made it quite that enthusiastic. I used to say it that way.

MR. CLARK: Would you like very much to be president? SEMATOR HUMPHREY: I have said if my party turned to me I would hope to be ready in every way to carry out the fight and the challenge and to go out and to win and, believe me, I would do just that if the party turns to me.

. MR. CLASR: The question again, if I may.

2

1

2

A

弱

6

7

2

3

10

11

12

13

1.5

15

18

17

121

19

20

21

22

23

28

25

We all know you are not a candidate in the primaries. When you get to the convention, are you just going to sit there, or will you at that time start to try to organize delegates in your behalf?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I think that is a fair question, Bob.

If at the end of all of these primaries there doesn't seem to be any commanding lead or any one or two of the candidates that look like they can put it together, then I think it would be only prudent on my part in light of what has developed, that I should sit down with a few of my counsellors and some of the leaders in the party and ask what, if anything, I ought to do.

That is just plain common sense and indeed, I would do that, but you know I am a realist about politics. You generally don't get what you do not work for and fight for and in this instance I think it is highly unlikely that I would be nominated. I don't think it is impossible, or I would have said so before. I think the conditions today are very different, with proportional representation, with the large number of conditions it may very well be no one will come to that convention with enough delegate support to really get the nomination, and then the convention will do what it is supposed to do; it will make its selection. In the meantime, I am going to address myself to the President's budget, to the President's

foreign policy, to the President's manpower and employment policy, to the President and his relationships with the There are issues that are fundamental. Congress./ This country is in a housing depression; this country has over 11 per cent unemployment. As to what the Administration says, 8.3, this country has slow economic growth, this country has a rising crime rate. This country has serious economic and social problems and I think that a man that has been in government as long as I have been and now has the responsibilities that I have and the opportunity to serve ought to address himself to those problems, and that is exactly what I am doing as Chairman of the Joint Economic Committee, and I think one of the reasons some of the people in America look to me with some favor is because they think I am really working on the problems that affect their lives, and I hope they do feel that way because that is exactly what I want to do. I want to do the very best I can in the time that I have as a Senator, or whatever public position I may hold, to address the nation's problems, and I think I know something about them.

1

2

3

A

5

8

3

10

11

12

53

1.5

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DONALDSON: Senator, I think we have to ask one more campaign-related question because we do want to get your views on those other issues.

There are several things in your background that some of the candidates and people are talking about and one is the way you settled your 1972 campaign debt; four cents on the dollar.

Would you explain to us why you think that was a fair settlement?

1

2

3

B

5

S

24

25

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Well, I wish it could have been better, but let me tell you, don't cry over the fact that some of those who got that limited amount of payment received only so little.

First of all, they were all people who knew me very 7 well. They knew when they made the loan that there was a 8 possibility that it couldn't all be paid back. They also are 2 people that can well afford it and the most interesting thing 10 about this, I keep getting questions from people about it, but 19 the people that got paid back are not crying a bit. They are 12 perfectly content. As a matter of fact, most of them would 13 like to see me President of the United States. They would 24 like to do it all over again. 25

I have told them that one of the reasons I don't want to run is that I am sick and tired of trying to face these problems of financing a campaign and I predict that every candidate will be saying that before they are through, even with public financing such as we have now, where in primaries you can get half of your total campaign expenditures.

I predict that you will have plenty of problems even under this situation.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

MR. CLARK: In relation to your responsibility to the Joint Economic Committee, do you think additional tax cuts are going to be needed this year to stimulate the economy? SENATOR HUMPHREY: Well, as you know, we have a budget process in the Congress for the first time, and we are very proud of it. I think we need to look at the entire fiscal picture, the budget picture, and then we need to keep a constant watchful eye on the economy.

I believe that is the sensible way to approach it. My \$ own judgment has been -- and I so recommended in an interim 10 report of the Joint Economic Committee, that we have a tax 89 cut for the full year of around a \$20 billion figure. The 12 President has raised that to \$28 billion with offsets and re-13 ductions in spending, which of course just neutralizes the ex-14 pansionary stimulus effect of any kind of tax cut, 15

MR. CLARK: The President in his State of the Union address talked about a \$10 billion tax cut this year.

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Yes.

MR. CLARK: Are you saying Democratics probably will not match that?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: No, I am not saying that. Of course he talked about a \$10 billion tax cut, plus a \$10 billion reduction in the budget. That would reduce his budget down again from 395 down to 385. And might I quickly add that the \$395 billion figure was just picked out of thin air here

mc1

9

2

2

A

5

3

7

8

羽图

a few months ago and now the President has come in and tried to get a budget that would meet that figure.

1

3

5

82

63

10

11

12

13

8.8

15

Mr. Ford has a problem. He comes up with these quick suggestions and then he has to reverse his field. For example, he asked, if you will remember, in December 1974, for a five percent increase in taxes. In January, however, of 1975, he came in and recommended that we have a substantial tax reduction. And I think that Mr. Ford again has got himself trapped into a situation where he has made a budget figure and now is trying to rationalize it. But again, on your taxes, we will watch it very carefully. I was the first man in Congress to propose, in the beginning of this recession, a very substantial tax cut. I believe that people with purchasing power do the best job of reving up the economy and getting the people back to work.

MR. DONALDSON: The President has proposed new payroll taxes, a lifting of the base on Social Security payments, saying the fund is going broke. Senator Long, however, the Chairman of the Finance Committee in the Senate, suggests that perhaps the best way to do it is to go into the general revenue fund. What do you favor?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: The payroll tax that the President has recommended is very hard on low-income people because, remember, it only affects people with incomes under \$15,000, the payroll tax. It is taxable for Social Security benefits

and unemployment compensation. They are both paid by the 1 employer, and Social Security in part by the employee. So it is 2 a heavy cost on hiring new workers. It is a heavy cost on pro-3 duction. So the President's Social Security taxes, at this a time, will have, I think, a very unfortunate effect upon economic 5 recovery. Also it will be a direct burden upon the lowest in-65 come people. I therefore believe that there is a better way. 7 You can either raise the taxable base up to a higher figure, 3 if you wish to, to get more revenue, or you can do as Senator 9 Long has indicated: go to the general revenue for what addi-10 tional monies you need, That would have to come out of general 11 income taxes. Or you could have a better policy of invest-82 ment of Social Security funds in securities that pav a better 13 rate of interest. 2.4

We now have evidence that the American worker has subsidized this economy to the tune of many billions of dollars because of low interest rate investment.

25

MR. DONALDSON: When you explain some alternatives, which one do you favor?

1

2

5

R

7

8

10

11

29

13

14

15

18

17

92

23

2.2

25

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Well, I think if I had to, I would favor at this time no change in the taxes, right at this time. The main reason is that I don't want to in any way abort the recovery of --

MR. DONALDSON: Would you go to general revenues?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Yes, if we had to, but I don't think we have to do it at this time.

MR. DONALDSON: Don't I recall, Senator, that in your 1968 presidential campaign you did propose as a major policy issue that we go to the general revenues?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Yes. Yes, I favor that but not, let me say, at this particular time. When we have such a slow rate of recovery, I don't think we ought to rock the boat with any additional taxes, any additional drawdown. It isn't as if Social Security is going to go broke this year.

I think we have got to get the economy back on its feet and once you get the economy back and you get these ten million or more workers back to work paying Social Security, your fund will start to increase. That is much better.

MR. CLARK: Senator, I am still thinking back to that 1968 campaign, but that proposal you made to use general Treasury revenue for the Social Security benefits came under very heavy attack from those who think this would open the

floodgates and destroy the Social Security System.

8

2

3

2

13

6

7

8

9

GR

88

12

37

18

19

20

21

22

宠别

22

25

I know, but many people have SENATOR HUMPHREY : since then changed their minds, / fortunately, and I think we will have a much more objective view.

I might point out at that time we had 3.6 per cent unemployment. January, 1969, gentlemen, 3.6 unemployment; inflation rate, 4.5. Today the official rate of unemployment, quote, is 8.3, and the rate of last year's average rate of inflation was nine per cent. Double. Conditions are very different.

The important thing for us now is to get this country back to work. Get it back to work. Get it off of welfare. Get it off of waste, and what we have got here is what I call 13 the three Ws. We have Welfare and Waste with this 2.4 Administration and we Democrats want to put this country back 15 to work; get people on jobs. 16

MR. CLARK: The Library of Congress, as you know, I am sure, has been rather rough on your program for putting the country back to work. Your unemployment bill, which would try to reduce unemployment to three or four per cent by the end of 1976, the Library of Congress made a study of two proposals, either reducing to the three or four percent level, said both of them would be extremely costly and would bring a resurgence of inflation up to the 10 or 12 per cent level.

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Well, let's take a look.

First of all, we are rewriting our employment bill completely, I think you should know that.

100

2

3

13

55

G

7

8

2

10

9.2

82

13

23

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CLARK: You are no longer supporting that?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: What we did here was to try to point out the imperative necessity of work instead of food stamps and welfare and unemployment compensation, and work gives people income and income permits people to pay taxes and to buy things which in turn puts this economy back where it ought to be.

If we could cut our unemployment today, Mr. Clark, by half, just half, we would have a balanced budget and state governments and local governments would have no problem at all.

The problem of the deficit in this government today, this fiscal deficit, budget deficit, is the cost of the recession and can I just continue here because this is important for the people to know: The cost of this recession, according to the government's own figures, from 1974 to 1980, is a trillion five hundred billion dollars in lost income. That is \$7,000 for every man, woman and child in the United States.

Now, the job of a political party and political leadership, the job of a President and a Congress, is to get the American people back to work and Mr. Ford's budget just doesn't do that. mcl

1

2

3

A

5

風

7

63

1

10

91

12.

MR. CLARK: Senator, if I could just point out, this impartial Library of Congress study says that your program culd cut unemployment in half, but would cost \$35 billion in the first year, and would not balance the budget at all but create more inflation.

SENATOR HUMPHREY: That is a bargain, because the cost this year of unemployment benefits and of the social cost related to unemployment is over \$40 billion. If I thought -believe me, if I thought we could spend \$35 billion and achieve a 4 percent unemployment rate this year, I would be on this program asking for a half hour just to explain it to the people and repeat it again and again.

The cost this year, Mr. Clark, is over \$40 billion to 83 keep, according to the Government's own figure, over 8 percent 24 of the people unemployed. Why, it is a bargain. But I am 895 telling you, I am more realistic. We have reanalyzed, we have 16 analyzed our legislative proposals. I have had the best people 17 in America look at it. We will present in a couple of weeks an 18 entirely new manpower and employment program which we hope will 19 bring down in the next three years -- not 18 months -- in the 20 next three years, hopefully, unemployment down to about four 21 percent. That would be a Godsend. This country will then have 22 a balanced budget, and not only that, we will have something 23 else: We will get our cities started, get our cities cleaned 24 up, we will build up our railroads, we will clean up our parks, 23

we will plant our trees.

Isn't it amazing that we can have adults by the millions standing around drawing unemployment compensation, food stamps and welfare, which this Administration hands out, and we are not doing a thing to clean up America, to fix up America?

Hubert H. Humphrey is a workman, and I will tell you, if I could take \$35 billion in that budget and get America back to work, I would be elected President. There isn't any doubt about it. I wouldn't have to do a thing. I am here to tell you that is what this country needs.

MR. DONALDSON: Very quickly, another subject, foreign affairs. Another SALT agreement; apparently there is some progress on that, Secretary Kissinger reports, having come back from Moscow. Would you anticipate a SALT agreement this year, or do you think domestic politics in this country are such that it will work against concluding one?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I only know what I have read about the 17 SALT agreement. It reduces the Vladivostok levels down from 18 24 missiles down to about 22 hundred, or something in there. 19 Anything like that would be helpful, if we can have it 20 properly monitored and supervised, and I think we can. But I 21 am not at all sure that we could do it this year. Neverthe-22 less, I think it is important for the President and Secretary 23 Kissinger to push the arms control effort. The biggest problem 24 in the arms control today of course are the new weapons, the 25

2

1

2

2

鼎

5

6

7

慾

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Cruise missile, for example, and once that gets loose in the armsfield, all bets are off.

MR. DONALDSON: I am really thinking about the political campaign. President Ford's problem with Ronald Reagan, let's say. How do you think that will impact on the possibility of an agreement?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I don't know, but I would hope that 7 our President -- and he is my friend, as well -- I would hope 8 62 he would look over the horizon and just bypass Mr. Reagan. Mr. Reagan most likely will not be for any kind of an arms 90 agreement, and he will undoubtedly want to attack. But if 51 President Ford will do what he said in his State of the Union \$2 address: emphasize his foreign policy; and if he can get a 88 further improvement in agreements with the Soviet Union, that 28 are good agreements, it will strengthen him, not hurt him. 125 MR. DONALDSON: Do you think Governor Reagan is going to be 16

17 | the Republican nominee?

1

2

4

5

6

22

23

24

25

SENATOR HUMPHREY: No, I do not. I think President Ford is going to be the Republican nominee.

20 MR. DONALDSON: He will be then whose opponent in the fall? 21 Who will be the Democratic nominee?

SENATOR HUMPHREY: I wish I knew. I wish I knew. You know, you know so much more about that. You really do, Mr. Donaldson. You are out on the hustings with them. I don't know.

MR. CLARK: Senator Humphrey, we are out of time. It has been a pleasure having you with us, candidate or non-candidate.

SENATOR HUMPHREY: Thank you.

## AM AMERICA

Jennings and Steve Bell interview with Gov. George Wallace

Wallace says he doens't know if he will be a candidate in '76. Doesn't know yet if he will be involved in the primaries. He does have an organization in case he does decide. He has definitely not made up his mind. But says he's very, very interested and will make a decision in a few months.

Jennings asked if he was leading his supporters on -- he has received a lot of support and money for a campaign. Wallace said he wasn't trying to lead anyone on. He's not misleading his supporters.

Discussion of his health. He's healthy but paralyzed. Has travelled around the country this way. Ran a successful Gubernatorial campaign.

Jennings pressed Wallace on why many of his Southern colleagues don't support him -- the Gov. of Miss. and Florida and Georgia. Wallace said Jennings would have to ask those people. Jennings pressed him again and Wallace stuck by his answer.

Bell speculated that Wallace will not run for the Pre sidency again but will keep the question open as long as possible to keep foothold in power. Wallace said he has no great strategy -- but he is concerned about the great middle class Americans who are being run into the dirt.

(Really got pretty funny)!

Will there be a 3rd party in '76? Answer: I don't close the door on anything. Do you have any advice for conservatives? Yes -- shake up the government to help the people. Too much Fed. spending, etc.

Bell asked if Wallace decided to run and the Demos don't follow him or back up his platform would he go 3rd party? Answer: "That could be a distinct possibility."

## AM AMERICA

Jennings and Koppel discuss HAK Trip to Middle East

Koppel said HAK was successful in laying the groundwork for his next trip to M.E. in two to three weeks. At that time he will try to bring about negotiations for an Israeli pullback from the Sinai and with that get some kind of a signed statement of non-beligerency from the Egyptians.

Koppel said he felt the Israelis are willing to make one of three deals. The objective is peace. The first deal -- pullback from almost entire Sinai to '67 borders with only an access road to Sharm el Sheikh. They don't expect to get it. Second deal -- Israelis giving up of oil fields. With this deal they want a statement of non-beligerence from Egypt -ad infinitum. The third deal -- Israeli pullback of 30 to 50 kilos from certain mountain passes and a signed non-beligerency statement for a period of 18 months. Sadat has said he cannot give Israel an ad infinitum non-beligerency statement.

Koppel said that both sides are in a negotiating structure which means they will be tough to each other publicly but privately they might give in and this is where HAK figures into the picture.

Shah of Iran said that if Israel gives up its oil fields, Iran would supply the oil -- that once oil is on tankers he doesn't care where the oil goes. It's a cagey way for the Shah to say that he will sell oil to Israel. The oil fields that Israel holds now supply 60% of the country's energy requirements.

Growing concern in Israel ... they feel boxed in, not going forward or backwards. Jennings asked if the whole thing could be blown because of Israeli politics -- the opposition party. Do you see the Prime Minister able to make any one of the three deals? Koppel said it was hard to say. Rabin has no majority ... less than Golda Meir had. Koppel ended ended interview by saying that with more discussion of Israelis pulling back to the 67' borders, the more nervous Israelis are becoming ... and rightfully so.



PLEASE CREDIT ANY QUOTES OR EXCERPTS FROM THIS NBC RADIO AND TELEVISION PROGRAM TO "NBC'S MEET THE PRESS."

MEET THE PRESS

SUNDAY, MARCH 28, 1976

GUEST:

GOVERNOR GEORGE C, WALLACE (D. Ala.)

Candidate for the Presidential Nomination

MODERATOR AND EXECUTIVE PRODUCER:

Bill Monroe

PANEL:

Kenley Jones - NBC News Haynes B. Johnson - Washington Post Vic Gold - Syndicated Columnist

> This is a rush transcript provided for the information and convenience of the press.Accuracy is not guaranteed.In case of doubt, please check with MEET THE PRESS



MR. MONROE: Our guest today on MEET THE PRESS is Governor George Wallace of Alabama, candidate for the Democratic Presidential nomination. Governor Wallace has not yet won a primary, but he has won 36 delegates to the Democratic convention. Five days ago he received 35 percent of the voet in North Carolina to 54 percent for Jimmy Carter.

1

3

A

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.6

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We will have the first questions now from Kenley Jones of NBC News

MR. JONES: Governor, after you lost the North Carolina primary to Mr. Carter you said publicly for the first time that it would be difficult for you to get the nomination. That being the case, why are you continuing with your campaign?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Well being difficult doesn't mean that it is not possible, but I like to at least have some semblance telling the truth to the press, and I think everyone knows that when you lose a primary or two that it makes it more difficult for you to win the nomination. And I was just frankly telling the truth. It is more of an uphill fight. But the primaries are not over, and the delegates have all not been chosen, and I am still No. 2 in delegates in the country.

MR. JONES: Well, Governor, if you continue to enter these primaries and if you continue to lose, aren't you in danger of losing any influence you might have had at the Convention?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Well, very iffy questions - "if" we continue to lose, and "if" -- it is hard for me to answer

questions that are very speculative and so conjectural and so iffy. But the point is this, is that nearly every candidate now drinks from the same well and the same dipper as I have a long time, and I am in this for the purpose of seeing also, not only a bona fide candidate, but also to keep them all honest, because I want the Democratic party back to being the party of the great middle class in the United States.

1

2

1

17

6

7

8

3

10

31

12

13

14

15

18

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: Well, Governor, what would you hope to do with the block of delegates that you may go to the convention with? What would you do with those when you got there?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I have never been in a convention of the sort you are speaking of, and I don't know. But those block of delegates, however many they may be, are going to be delegates that are going to be used for the purpose of achieving what we have always wanted to achieve, and that is the Democratic party back to being the party of the people. (ANNOUNCEMENTS)

MR. JOHNSON: Just to follow up on Mr. Jones' questions a moment ago, you have been losing. Four years ago you were telling the country you were going to send a message to Washington to the politicians. What happened, Governor? How do you attribute your losses this year?

-

2

2

5

7

2

10

11

12

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Well, the message was so well received by the establishment that everyone else now is sending the same message and many people perceive that possibly others are more able to get to Washington than George Wallace because it made quite a bit about my health, the fact that I was shot -- nothing wrong with my health; I am in good shape, but the message did get there and it also got to these candidates who are now running because all of them sound almost identically like I sounded in 1972.

MR. JOHNSON: But, Governor, that doesn't answer the question why in the south, for instance, your own part of the country, you have now lost two big primaries. In North Carolina you got 35 percent of the vote; Florida, you lost there. Four years ago you were winning in the south. What does that say about your political future?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: That says that the message has been well received by those who are now running for the presidency. In 1972 I had won well by myself. In fact, the issues I raised were not raised by the others and the negative and positive aspects of the campaign in 1972 I had alone, by

myself. Now, all of them are saying the same thing and, as c consequence that may have diluted the strength that I have, but it hasn't diluted the strength of the positions that I have taken and therefore I am still in the race because I still take those positions as the first person that warned the people of this country about big government eventually becoming their master and as a consequence I am still involved, and I know that in 1972 the first few primaries. Mr. McGovern lost but he wound up being the nominee. So the primaries are not over and the die has not been cast and there are may more people to vote.

2

A

34

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

I have 1,090,000 votes, not entering two primaries and I am second in number of delegates. I think that is pretty good when you think about the number who are involved.

MR. JOHNSON: Governor, your fellow southerner, Jimmy Carter, is now leading in the delegate race and you have said some critical things about him recently. Could you support Mr. Carter for your party's nomination?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: It is very foreign to me to talk crirically of anyone. I have never done so in campaigns before, but the Democratic party in my judgment is going back to being the party of the people and representing the great middle class in this country. That is, the small businessman and woman and farmer and the working people of our country and therefore, if they embrace those doctrines, and

the candidate embraces them, then I, of course, expect to support the Democratic party.

9

2

2

A

12

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOLD: Governor, I would like to ask you about a non-candidate in the race but one who is very voluble, concerning the message which you said that you are addressing to the people and you have been addressing. The word "racism" entered the campaign this past week when Senator Hubert Humphrey charged that some candidates running against the Washington establishment are actually delivering a racist message. I would like to quote the Senator exactly.

"Candidates who make an attack on Washington are making an attack on government programs, on the poor, on blacks, on minorities, on the cities."

I am continuing to quote Senator Humphrey:

" It's a disguised new form of racism, a disguised new form of conservatism."

Would you comment on Senator Humphrey's statement? GOVERNOR WALLACE: Well, I think that is not correct in the sense that those who talk about Washington are racist. If that be the case, then the overwhelming majority of the people in this country are racist and I do not believe that is the case.

I do believe that the government of our nation should pay more attention to the cities, which I think would help minority groups as much as anything that I can think of. But

I do not agree with his stamment that an attack on the Washington establishment and all the inflationary spending and giveaways and high taxes on the average citizen is against people because of race.

A

Ē

MR. GOLD: Yet, Senator Humphrey, although he is not in any of the primaries, is being prominently mentioned as a possible Democratic nominee.

Obviously he hasn't gotten the message that you say has been delivered. Could you support Senator Humphrey, could you support a candidate who did not -- let me put it generally; could you support a candidate who did not get in any of the primaries who was the choice of the convention? GOVERNOR WALLACE: It would all depend on the platform the convention adopted, and I feel like the candidate that is going to wind up with the nomination is one of those who are involved in the primaries.

1

2

2

3

10

8

7

52

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

25

MR. GOLD: In other words, you do not feel that Senator Humphrey or any other candidate who did not get involved in the primaries should be considered at the convention or properly be considered?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: No, I don't mean that they should not be considered, because anyone has a right to be considered by the delegates, and Senator Humphrey has a right to run because he is well known and has quite a following in the country. But I do think it will be very difficult for one who was not involved in the primaries; but that does not necessarily mean that it could not happen.

MR, GOLD: Would you like to extend an invitation here to Senator Humphrey to enter some of those primaries?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: No, I do not extend an invitation. There are enough in them already, and I am trying to eliminate. some others.

MR. MONROF: Governor Wallace, is it a problem for your candidacy in 1976 that people remember such things as your saying when you were elected Governor in '63, inaugurated, describing white people as the greatest race ever to tread the earth? They remember that Jimmy Carter said in his inauguration that

8

FORD

"I say to you quite frankly that the time for racial discrimination is over," so that you have some people perceiving the two of you as having different attitudes on racial matters.

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I didn't say that. I said the people of our particular region were great people, and some of the greatest that ever trod the earth, and I still say that. I have no apologies for that. I did not say the white race. I did not say that. That was not my statement.

And Mr. Carter, of course, I don't know what he said when he was inaugurated. I know when he ran he ran appealing for the support of those who supported George Wallace in 1968 for President, and he used my name very glowingly in great numbers of his speeches in Georgia, so I cannot account for what he said, but I do say that the people of our particular region are some of the finest people that ever trod the earth. But that doesn't mean they are not fine in other parts of the United States. But I am very proud of the people of my part of the country. I have no apologies to make for them. I am not one of these people who says that I am a different kind of Southerner. I am a Southerner. I am proud of it. But you can be proud to be an Easterner, a Midwesterner, a Westerner, whatever you want to call it. Be proud to be an American.

MR. MONROE: Well, Governor, some years ago you were talking about segregation forever.

25

171

2

2

E.

6

S

2

10

11

12

13

18

15

18

17

12

18

20

21

22

23

25

GOVERNOR WALLACE: That is correct.

MR. MONROF: And you were looked on as one of the country's best known segregationists. And when you have been asked questions on this subject in recent years, you have said that is no longer the issue; but you have not said to my knowledge that you no longer believe in segregation.

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Segregation is a most question, and integration is the law of the land, and it is a moot question, and therefore we don't want to go back and make any attempt to change what is now a fact accomplished.

We did get into the legal arena and we lost. We lost. That is over. Let's look forward and look after those people who are citizens of our state and country, and I remind you in the last election of Alabama I received the highest percentage of black votes of any candidate running for the Presidency received in their last election. I was endorsed by 16 nearly every black leader in Alabama. And yes, I did stand 37 for the school system in our part of the country. I was not 18 hypocritical about it. But I warned first, as the first 19 national involvement in political campaigns, that big govern-20 ment was some day going to become the master of people instead 21 of their servants, and that is today becoming true in these 22 United States. The rest of these candidates are more 23 followers.

25

24

1

2

3

55

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

15

I have tried to be a leader. Now they are "me, too," and they are saying the same identical thing that I was saying. But I don't apologize. I am honest about it.

1

2

3

4

E

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

MR. MONROE: Well, Governor, as a Presidential candidate in 1976 who once said that he favored segregation, are you unwilling at this point to say that at this point you do not any longer favor segregation?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Segregation is out, it is gone. I no longer favor going back to any attempt to reinstall what we had years ago which was separation --

MR. MONROE: Do you personally believe in it anymore? GOVERNOR WALLACE: I believe we ought to have the system we have now, which is nondiscrimination, which you call integration.

MR. JONES: Governor, part of the changes in your campaign as a result of these defeats in the primaries, your national campaign director, Mr. Snyder, says an appeal is going to be made to conservatives, not necessarily just Democrats but 18 conservatives, to get out and work for you, and he has mentioned 19 them to be members of the American Independent Party, the 20 American Party, the Conservative Party, the Committee for a 21 New Majority. Some of those groups have been working to make 22 sure there is a third place spot on the ballots in the Presi-23 dential election, and some groups have talked about drafting 24 you as their candidate. Would you under any circumstances be

a third party candidate?

2	GOVERNOR WALLACE: I am very grateful that these groups
2	that you are talking about even considered the fact that
51	they would like to have me as a candidate on a third party
E	ticket, but I am running in the Democratic primaries, and I going
8	believe the Democratic party is / back to becoming the party
7	of the people, and therefore I do not look toward any third
8	party candidacy. But I am very grateful for their confidence,
9	and I appreciate it.
10	MR. JONES: Well, you have called Mr. Carter a warmed-
10	over McGovern. If he became the nominee, do you think that
12	would be going back to these principles you talked about?
13	GOVERNOR WALLACE: If he embraces the platform and
14	he will have to do that if he becomes the nominee but he
15	is not the nominee yet. Let's wait and see who is the nominee.
16	MR. JONES: But would you tell these people who are working
17	on this third party ticket today that under no circumstances
18	would you accept a draft to run as a third party candidate?
19	GOVERNOR WALLACE: I see no circumstances under which
20	I would accept a draft.
21	MR. JOHNSON: Governor, about your own future, would you
22	accept it as a probable case that you will not be the Democratic
23	nomineo this year?
24	GOVERNOR WALLACE: There are many obstacles in front of
25	my acquiring the nomination, there is no question about that

12

N H H

as there are in the path of anyone else. They will be in the path of Mr. Carter, Mr. Jackson, Mr. Udall, you name it. Mr. Humphrey. But it is going to be difficult, there is no question about it; but we are still going to be there, to keep this national convention honest as far as platform is concerned and as far as their fight against big government is concerned.

2

T

A

6

6

7

8

8

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHNSON: Let's go on about that, about George Wallace. If Where do you see yourself, Governor, after this year?/You are not going to be the nominee, you are not going to be President. What do you see in your own political life?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I see myself as Governor for nearly three more years in Alabama, and then of course my political career will probably be over.

MR. JOHNSON: Over in the sense that you wouldn't run for office again?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: No, sir, I would not run for office again, in my judgment.

MR. GOLD: Governor, in past years you have claimed the 1 Democratic party establishment has ganged up on you. This year I believe that you made some of the same charges and here we have Governor Carter, on the other side, or on the same A side, as some might say, also claiming he is the anti-\$7 establishment candidate. Do you believe that the establish-8 ment ganged up on you this year? A two part question: Do you also believe that now that 8 Governor Carter has moved out in front, so to speak, that they 03 might be ganging up on him. GOVERNOR WALLACE: I am not sure whether they are ganging up on him or not, I can't answer that question because I don't know, but 11 I think it is a known fact by all of you who are on this 12 panel that the Democratic party wanted to get rid of me and 13 right on this very program one of the candidates who is now 14 out said that we were asked not to go to Florida, or he 15 said "I am not going to Florida because I want Mr. Carter to 16 beat Mr. Wallace." 17 Now, I believe Sargent Shriver sais also -- that was 18 Senator Bayh. Sargent Shriver, and I believe Mr. Udall, both 19 said they were asked not to come to Florida where we can gang 20

is a nuisance. We want to get him out of our hair.

I think that is well known.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOLD: How do you see your prospects in Wisconsin right now and what would be the future of your campaign if

up and defeat George Wallace and get him out of the way. He

you met with another defeat in Wisconsin?

3

6

3

9

10

13

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

22

25

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I feel I am going to do well in Wis-
consin because I think I am going to appeal to the farm
people of that state, the working people of that state,
because I have stood with the farm people ever since I have
been involved, calling for at least ninety percent parity and
I have always talked about the importation of exotic cheeses have
and other things that / affected the dairy industry in
that particular state.

I believe that I expressed the viewpoint of the people of that state as well as anyone, but I realize, having lost a primary or two or three, that it will be more difficult than it has been in the past, but I expect to do well.

MR. MONROE: Governor, the polls have indicated, and you have admitted yourself that some people are reluctant to vote for you on account of worries about your health, even though they might agree with many of your stands.

I wonder if you have any plan to cope with this issue such as the possible appointment of a distinguished committee of doctors who night make a report to the American people?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I have already had a group of distinguished doctors to make that report to the American people and all I can say is that it is not a matter of health. I have no disease or problem of that sort. I was shot. I was paralyzed. I had an accident, you might say, and accidents

and diseases are entirely different things and therefore I am paralyzed, but I am not -- I have no disease, so I am all right. There is nothing that I can do to meet that other than people accept my word for it.

1

2

9

ä

E

2

9

10

11

12

13

1A

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

MR. MONROE: You have a political problem with it. Is there no way to cope with it? If you appointed five independent, distinguished, nationally known doctors, would that not help convince some people?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I have already had distinguished, well known doctors, head of various associations in the country, made the statement. Doctors in the State of Maryland some of the best in the United States, like Dr. Shano, and others, and Dr. Hamilton Hutchison, and Dr. Galbraith at the University of Alabama, and others. I don't think you can go any further there.

I am not ashamed of being in a wheelchair. Take a look at it, anybody who wants to, take pictures of it. There is nothing wrong. Mr.Roosevelt was elected four times in a wheelchair. Many people have forgotten that. Elected so many times that they had a Constitutional Amendment passed here some time ago to preclude that from ever happening again in the future.

And I'd like to say, which is an aside, that some people who have been running our government, have been paralyzed in the head the way they have run it in the last

number of years. At least I am not paralyzed in the head.

4

-u-u

3

4

E

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

25

MR. MONROE: Governor, the doctors you cite, were they not for the most part men who had treated you and some people might feel they were friendly to you.

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Well, they asked me to stay out of the political campaign of '72, that it would affect my health, and they say the very opposite today. They are concerned with me and they wouldn't let me run, advised me not to run if that was the case, so there is nothing I can do about that. I am well and in good shape, one hundred percent, and there is nothing wrong with me.

MR. JONES: Governor, you say that other candidates are taking your positions. Is that your way of saying that you have received a philosophical victory in these primaries even though you haven't gotten the most votes?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I feel that the people I have supported and spoken for and who supported me have won a philosophical victory in that today they are in the majority and, as a consequence, other candidates now of the Democratic party are moving toward those positions. Governor ? Noel of Rhode Island, the Governor of the Democratic Caucus said we have just moved closer to those positions of George Wallace, some months ago, and the candidates have done so I think that means that the people that I have represented, articulated their positions for, are today in the majority in

the United States and it is a philosophical victory.

. 5

3

a

5

8

3

10

12

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

But I want to go further than that because I want the people to know that I really mean it and that I was the one who led, that I am really concerned about the existence of the middle-class in this country; that if they go out of existence through high regressive federal taxes while we exempt those sheltered rich on the one hand, we are going to eventually destroy the free enterprise system in this country and bring about more socialist governments like we have in Great Britain, which is about to take the nation down the drain, and I believe it is time for that to come to a halt.

MR. JONES: But if you were the first one to say that, why do you think people are voting for someone else now, why aren't they voting for you?

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Of course, being the first one that says it doesn't mean eventually they will all vote for you because they now have a number of people to choose from and everybody is drinking out of the same dipper except some of them take bigger swallows than I took in the last few weeks or months and on top of that they perceive, of course, the health problem.

MR. MONROE: We have about three minutes.

MR. JOHNSON: Governor, on this question of big government, you have talked about it for many years. You to it again on this program, about balancing the

budget and so forth and taxes and yet how do you explain the fact that in Alabama, during your terure as Governor, going back to '62, the number of employees on the state's payroll has increased. The level of spending has increased at a much greater rate than the federal government.

19

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I will have a good answer for that. Mr. Carter, when he was Governor, he rose from 49,000 to 60,000 but that doesn't necessarily mean --

MR. JOHNSON: I am not talking about Mr. Carter.

GOVERNOR WALLACE: I know, but that doesn't mean they are bure aucrats. I am sort of defending that position.

In Alabama we started on a massive highway program, a massive hospital program, programs that needed to be in existence, and those people are engineers; those people are doctors; those people are serving the people. They are not bureaucrats and there is a great difference in an engineer working to build a highway system in Alabama that we needed, which is one of the best in the south, and also a new hospital program and medical school program and junior college and trade school programs, which have enhanced the wealth and income of Alabamans and brought about \$10 billion worth of new industry to the state. Those are not bureaucrats. Those are new employees, but they are not all that many. We have the lowest per capita number of employees per state in the union.

-----

2

3

A

G

A

0

10

11

12

13

12

151

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

28

MR. GOLD: Governor, a quick question: It is hard to conceive of a political climate in this country, political scene where George Wallace is not active. It is very difficult to see in Alabama particularly and in the South with George Wallace not active in some way. If I understood you correctly, you said you would never again be a candidate for office. Would that include any member of your family?

1

2

13

8

7

17

18

19

23

GOVERNOR WALLACE: You are probably talking about my fine 8 wife who stuck with me and helped bring me back to health and 9 because I don't think she is safety, and I am very proud of it, 10 interested. You would have to ask her; but I know this -11 that she is a fine woman, and she really looked after me during 12 the time that I was in the hospital sick, and I don't know 13 whether she is interested or not. She is interested in the 14 home and children and has been interested in my getting well; 15 and I did get well. But I don't know. We will just have to 16 ask her. I don't think she is inclined.

MR. MONROE: Governor, we don't have many seconds left, but I wonder if you have some idea about what we can do about Cuban troops if they were to attack Rhodesia.

GOVERNOR WALLACE: Of course they haven't attacked Rhodesia yet, and I think to answer a question about what you would do in advance, even if you knew -- and I do not have all the information that the State Department has about this particular matter, and to say in advance what you would do on a speculative

question is something that I cannot answer. But I hope that does not happen.

E

MR. MONROE: I am sorry to interrupt, but our time is up. Thank you very much, Governor Wallace, for being with us today on MEET THE PRESS.

NEXT WEEK: Congressman Morris Udall (D. Arizona)

惊 惊 青