The original documents are located in Box 1, folder "Antitrust" of the Ron Nessen Papers at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Ron Nessen donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 14, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

RON NESSEN

FROM:

ED SCHMULTS

SUBJECT:

Suggested Statement for you to use on the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvement Act of

1976 (H.R. 8532)

Assuming you do not want to specifically defend against Carter's statement yesterday that Republicans "have stood in the way of [antitrust] enforcement", I suggest you use the following statement to comment on the Administration's position on the above bill:

The Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvement Act of 1976 which passed the Senate on September 8 has three major titles. The first title -- containing amendments to the Antitrust Civil Process Act -- is basically an Administration bill which we, of course, support.

The second title on pre-merger notifications has been amended to delete provisions that were objectionable to the Administration.

The President has expressed serious reservations concerning the concept embodied in the so-called parens patriae provisions of the third title. This title has been modified in response to a number of specific objections raised by the President.

The bill has not yet passed the House. Thus, the President will have to review the final bill if it passes the Congress before any decision can be reached whether in toto it represents a responsible way to enforce the federal antitrust laws.