The original documents are located in Box 31, "5/3/76 - Remarks at Briefing on General Revenue Sharing for State-Wide Local Officials, Indianapolis, Indiana" of the President's Speeches and Statements: Reading Copies at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN

REMARKS AT GENERAL REVENUE SHARING BRIEFING

FOR STATE-WIDE LOCAL OFFICIALS,

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA, MAY 3, 1976

THANK YOU MAYOR BILL HUDNUT,

- -- GOVERNOR BOWEN,
- -- LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR ORR
- -- LADIES AND GENTLEMEN

OF BILL HUDNUT -- MY GOOD FRIEND AND FORMER

COLLEAGUE IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES -
TO MEET WITH THIS DISTINGUISHED GROUP OF

FELLOW GOVERNMENT EXECUTIVES.

OUR SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT WAS FOUNDED

ON THE CONCEPT OF SHARED RESPONSIBILITY.

WORKING PROPERLY, THIS SYSTEM LETS YOU SOLVE

YOUR OWN PROBLEMS, RATHER THAN LOOKING TO

WASHINGTON FOR EVERY ANSWER.

AFTER DECADES IN WHICH MORE AND MORE POWER

ACCUMULATED IN WASHINGTON, THE FLOW HAS BEEN REVERSED.

WE ARE ON THE ROAD TO RESTORING THE BALANCE BETWEEN

FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

THE REASON IS SIMPLE. IT IS THE

SUCCESS THAT YOU HAVE MADE OF THE GENERAL REVENUE

SHARING PROGRAM SINCE 1972. AS REPUBLICAN

LEADER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

I WAS A STRONG AND EARLY SUPPORTER OF THE

REVENUE SHARING CONCEPT.

IF THERE IS ONE THING THE FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT IS GOOD AT, IT'S COLLECTING TAXES.

IT HAS BEEN CLUMSY AND UNSUCCESSFUL IN DEALING

WITH LOCAL PROBLEMS.

SINCE 1972, NEARLY 25 BILLION DOLLARS
IN REVENUE SHARING FUNDS HAVE BEEN RETURNED TO
THE FIFTY STATES AND SOME 39 THOUSAND LOCAL UNITS
OF GOVERNMENT -- SEVEN BILLION DOLLARS IN THE
LAST YEAR ALONE.



TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT OF THE FUNDS WERE SPENT

ON PUBLIC SAFETY. NEARLY 22 PERCENT WAS USED FOR

EDUCATION, AND OTHER SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNTS WENT FOR

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND

HEALTH SERVICES.

AND THE GREATEST SUCCESS OF REVENUE

SHARING IS THIS: WASHINGTON INVOLVEMENT IS

HELD TO AN ABSOLUTE MINIMUM IN THE OPERATION

OF THE PROGRAM.

ONLY ABOUT ONE-EIGHTH OF ONE PENNY OF EVERY

REVENUE SHARING DOLLAR GOES TO THE BUREAUCRACY IN

WASHINGTON. LESS THAN ONE HUNDRED FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

ARE INVOLVED IN THE ENTIRE PROGRAM.

BECAUSE GENERAL REVENUE SHARING HAS BEEN
SUCH A MAJOR SUCCESS, LAST APRIL I PROPOSED

A FIVE-YEAR, NINE-MONTH EXTENSION OF THE PROGRAM.

THIS PROPOSAL WOULD INCREASE FUNDING BY ALMOST

ONE BILLION DOLLARS, FOR A TOTAL OF 39 BILLION,

850 MILLION DOLLARS -- WITH MORE THAN

808 MILLION DOLLARS OF THAT GOING TO INDIANA.

A YEAR AGO, I URGED THE CONGRESS TO ACT

QUICKLY ON THIS PROPOSAL TO ENSURE THAT MONEY

WILL CONTINUE TO BE AVAILABLE FOR VITAL SERVICES.

UNFORTUNATELY, THE CONGRESS HAS BEEN WORKING

IN SLOW MOTION, That's prulling it multip.

THE FIRST ACTION ON REVENUE SHARING. THE FULL
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS WILL
CONSIDER THE MATTER THIS WEEK.

BUT THIS IS ONLY A FIRST STEP.

WE NEED TO KEEP THE PRESSURE ON THE CONGRESS UNTIL THEY PASS

A REVENUE SHARING BILL THAT WILL DO AN EVEN BETTER JOB

THAN THE ONE THAT EXPIRES DECEMBER 31st.

THIS IS WHERE I NEED YOUR HELP!!!

OPPOSITION TO REVENUE SHARING. MANY SEEM

TO RESENT THE FACT THAT THE PROGRAM ALLOWS LOCAL

OFFICIALS RATHER THAN WASHINGTON BUREAUCRATS

TO DECIDE HOW TAX DOLLARS WILL BE SPENT.

MY OPPONENT FOR THE REPUBLICAN NOMINATION

It was a part of

IS CONFUSED ABOUT REVENUE SHARING.

HE HAS

STATED HIS OPPOSITION TO REVENUE SHARING ON

THE GROUNDS THAT IT IS SIMPLY ANOTHER FEDERAL

PROGRAM PILED ON TOP OF ALL THE OTHERS

A VIEW WHICH IS DIRECTLY CONTRARY TO THE FACTS.

YOU KNOW BETTER. THINK FOR A MOMENT

WHAT THIS PROGRAM OF RETURNING TAX FUNDS TO

YOUR STATE AND YOUR LOCALITIES HAS MEANT.

IN INDIANA, AS OF ONE MONTH AGO, FEDERAL REVENUE

SHARING TOTALED 528 MILLION DOLLARS PLUS,

AND BY THE END OF THIS CALENDAR YEAR, SOME

629 MILLION DOLLARS WILL HAVE BEEN PAID TO THE

STATE, TO THE COUNTIES, CITIES AND TO THE

TOWNSHIPS.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF THE CRITICS

OF REVENUE SHARING HAVE THEIR WAY?

HERE IN FRANKLIN COUNTY, IT COULD MEAN

CUTBACKS IN THE COUNTY'S HIGHWAY, LAW

ENFORCEMENT AND MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS,

AMONG OTHERS.

IF THE 16 MILLION DOLLARS WHICH INDIANAPOLIS

IS GETTING BACK IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR

WERE ELIMINATED THE CITY WOULD HAVE TO CURTAIL

POLICE AND FIRE PROTECTION, SANITATION,

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, HEALTH AND EDUCATION,

TO NAME JUST A FEW.

REVENUE SHARING IS WORKING.

LET'S GET THE PROGRAM EXTENDED, AND GO ON

FROM THERE.

ANOTHER PROGRAM THAT IS HELPING REDUCE

GOVERNMENT RED TAPE IS THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM.

AT MY DIRECTION, FEDERAL REGULATIONS

FOR THIS PROGRAM HAVE BEEN REDUCED FROM

26 HUNDRED PAGES TO JUST 25 PAGES.

APPLICATION FORMS HAVE BEEN REDUCED FROM

14 HUNDRED PAGES TO ONLY 50. AND THE WHOLE

PROCESS BETWEEN APPLICATION AND APPROVAL HAS

BEEN REDUCED FROM TWO YEARS TO LESS THAN

TWO MONTHS.

SO OUR GOALS ARE THE SAME:

-- TO RESTORE MORE RESPONSIBILITY

TO LOCAL AND STATE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT; AND

-- TO REDUCE THE INTERFERENCE AND

RED TAPE OF THE WASHINGTON BUREAUCRACY;

WE HAVE MADE SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS

TOWARD THOSE GOALS IN THE LAST 21 MONTHS,

AND WE CAN MAKE A LOT MORE IN THE NEXT FOUR

YEARS.

NOW LET'S GET TO YOUR QUESTIONS.

END OF TEXT

THE WHITE HOUSE

Mr. Clency, Per Jack Marsh, the attached speech has been changed last night. Make sure Messers Cavanough and O'Neil review it. a copy los been forwarded to each.

Situation long

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN

I APPRECIATE VERY MUCH THE INVITATION

OF BILL HUDNUT -- MY GOOD FRIEND AND FORMER

COLLEAGUE IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES -
TO MEET WITH THIS DISTINGUISHED GROUP OF

FELLOW GOVERNMENT EXECUTIVES.

OUR SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT WAS FOUNDED
ON THE CONCEPT OF SHARED RESPONSIBILITY.

WORKING PROPERLY, THIS SYSTEM LETS YOU SOLVE
YOUR OWN PROBLEMS, RATHER THAN LOOKING TO

WASHINGTON FOR EVERY ANSWER.

POWER ACCUMULATED IN WASHINGTON, THAT SYSTEM

IS BEGINNING TO WORK -- AS THE FRAMERS OF THE

CONSTITUTION INTENDED IT TO WORK.

THE REASON IS SIMPLE. IT IS THE
SUCCESS THAT YOU HAVE MADE OF THE GENERAL REVENUE
SHARING PROGRAM SINCE 1972. AS REPUBLICAN
LEADER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
I WAS A STRONG AND EARLY SUPPORTER OF THE
REVENUE SHARING CONCEPT.

IF THERE IS ONE THING THE FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT IS GOOD AT, IT'S COLLECTING TAXES.

IT HAS BEEN CLUMSY AND UNSUCCESSFUL IN DEALING

WITH LOCAL PROBLEMS.

SINCE 1972, NEARLY 25 BILLION DOLLARS
IN REVENUE SHARING FUNDS HAVE BEEN RETURNED TO
THE FIFTY STATES AND SOME 39 THOUSAND LOCAL UNIT
OF GOVERNMENT -- SEVEN BILLION DOLLARS IN THE
LAST YEAR ALONE.

THIS MONEY HELPS PAY FOR BUSES YOUR

PEOPLE RIDE, PURE WATER THEY DRINK, SCHOOLS

FOR THEIR CHILDREN, HEALTH FOR THEIR FAMILIES

AND SAFETY IN THE STREETS.

AND THE GREATEST SUCCESS OF REVENUE

SHARING IS THIS: WASHINGTON INVOLVEMENT IS

HELD TO AN ABSOLUTE MINIMUM IN THE OPERATION

OF THE PROGRAM.

ONLY ABOUT ONE-EIGHTH OF ONE PENNY

OF EVERY REVENUE SHARING DOLLAR GOES TO THE

BUREAUCRACY IN WASHINGTON. ABOUT ONE HUNDR

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES ARE INVOLVED IN THE ENTIRE

PROGRAM.

BECAUSE GENERAL REVENUE SHARING HAS BEEN SUCH A MAJOR SUCCESS, LAST APRIL I PROPOSED A FIVE-YEAR, NINE-MONTH EXTENSION OF THE PROGRAM.

THIS PROPOSAL WOULD INCREASE FUNDING BY ALMOST
ONE BILLION DOLLARS, FOR A TOTAL OF 39 BILLION,

850 MILLION DOLLARS -- WITH MORE THAN

808 MILLION DOLLARS OF THAT GOING TO INDIANA.

A YEAR AGO, I URGED THE CONGRESS TO ACT QUICKLY ON THIS PROPOSAL TO ENSURE THAT MONEY

WILL CONTINUE TO BE AVAILABLE FOR VITAL SERVICES.

UNFORTUNATELY, THE CONGRESS HAS BEEN WORKING

IN SLOW MOTION.

THE FIRST ACTION ON REVENUE SHARING. THE FULL
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS WILL
CONSIDER THE MATTER THIS WEEK.

BUT THIS IS ONLY A FIRST STEP.

WE NEED TO KEEP THE PRESSURE ON THE CONGRESS UNTITHEY PASS A REVENUE SHARING BILL THAT WILL DO AN EVEN BETTER JOB THAN THE ONE THAT EXPIRES

DECEMBER 31st.

IT IS A LITTLE HARD TO UNDERSTAND THE

OPPOSITION TO REVENUE SHARING. MANY SEEM

TO RESENT THE FACT THAT THE PROGRAM ALLOWS LOCAL

OFFICIALS RATHER THAN WASHINGTON BUREAUCRATS

TO DECIDE HOW TAX DOLLARS WILL BE SPENT.

MY OPPONENT FOR THE REPUBLICAN NOMINATION

IS CONFUSED ABOUT REVENUE SHARING. HE HAS

STATED HIS OPPOSITION TO REVENUE SHARING ON

THE GROUNDS THAT IT IS SIMPLY ANOTHER FEDERAL

PROGRAM PILED ON TOP OF ALL THE OTHERS -
A VIEW WHICH IS DIRECTLY CONTRARY TO THE FACTS.

YOU KNOW BETTER. THINK FOR A MOMENT

WHAT THIS PROGRAM OF RETURNING TAX FUNDS TO

YOUR STATE AND YOUR LOCALITIES HAS MEANT.

IN INDIANA, AS OF ONE MONTH AGO, FEDERAL REVENUE
SHARING TOTALED 528 MILLION DOLLARS PLUS,

AND BY THE END OF THIS CALENDAR YEAR, SOME
629 MILLION DOLLARS WILL HAVE BEEN PAID TO THE
STATE, TO THE COUNTIES, CITIES AND TO THE
TOWNSHIPS.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF THE CRITICS

OF REVENUE SHARING HAVE THEIR WAY?

HERE IN FRANKLIN COUNTY; IT COULD MEAN CUTBACKS IN THE COUNTY'S HIGHWAY, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS,

IF THE 16 MILLION DOLLARS WHICH INDIANAPOLIS
IS GETTING BACK IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR
WERE ELIMINATED THE CITY WOULD HAVE TO CURTAIL
POLICE AND FIRE PROTECTION, SANITATION,
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, HEALTH AND EDUCATION,
TO NAME JUST A FEW

-45-

REVENUE SHARING IS WORKING.

LET'S GET THE PROGRAM EXTENDED, AND GO ON FROM THERE.

ANOTHER PROGRAM THAT IS. HELPING REDUCE

GOVERNMENT RED TAPE IS THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM.

AT MY DIRECTION, FEDERAL REGULATIONS

FOR THIS PROGRAM HAVE BEEN REDUCED FROM

26 HUNDRED PAGES TO JUST 25 PAGES.

APPLICATION FORMS HAVE BEEN REDUCED FROM

14 HUNDRED PAGES TO ONLY 50. AND THE WHOLE

PROCESS BETWEEN APPLICATION AND APPROVAL HAS

BEEN REDUCED FROM TWO YEARS TO LESS THAN

TWO MONTHS.

SO OUR GOALS ARE THE SAME:

- -- TO RESTORE MORE RESPONSIBILITY

 TO LOCAL AND STATE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT; AND
- -- TO REDUCE THE INTERFERENCE AND RED TAPE OF THE WASHINGTON BUREAUCRACY;

WE HAVE MADE SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS

TOWARD THOSE GOALS IN THE LAST 21 MONTHS,

AND WE CAN MAKE A LOT MORE IN THE NEXT FOUR

YEARS.

NOW LET'S GET TO YOUR QUESTIONS.



REMARKS AT GENERAL REVENUE SHARING BRIEFING

FOR STATE-WIDE LOCAL OFFICIALS,

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA, MAY 3, 1976

I AM DELIGHTED TO MEET WITH SUCH A FINE GROUP

OF LOCAL OFFICIALS FROM THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF INDIANA.

IN PARTICULAR, LET ME PAY MY RESPECTS TO OUR HOST -
AND MY FORMER COLLEAGUE IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES -
MAYOR BILL HUDNUT. I APPRECIATE VERY MUCH BILL'S INVITATION

TO DISCUSS HOW THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAN BEST WORK TOGETHER

WITH THE STATE OF INDIANA, ITS COUNTIES AND ITS CITIES.

AS YOU ALL KNOW, THE KEYSTONE OF OUR FORM

OF GOVERNMENT IS THE FEDERAL SYSTEM OF SHARED RESPONSIBILITY.

THIS SYSTEM PROMOTES CREATIVITY AND FREEDOM OF ACTION

AT ALL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. WHEN IT WORKS PROPERLY,

FEDERALISM ENABLES OUR PEOPLE TO APPROACH THEIR PROBLEMS

THROUGH THE GOVERNMENTS CLOSEST TO THEM, RATHER THAN

LOOKING TO AN ALL-POWERFUL CENTRAL BUREAUCRACY FOR EVERY ANSWER.

THE REASON IS SIMPLE. GENERAL REVENUE SHARING

HAS. SINCE ITS ENACTMENT IN 1972. PROVED AGAIN AND AGAIN

THE MERIT OF LOCAL CONTROL OVER LOCAL CONCERNS.

AS REPUBLICAN LEADER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

I WAS A STRONG SUPPORTER OF THE INITIAL REVENUE SHARING CONCEPT.

THE RESULTS HAVE JUSTIFIED MY SUPPORT.

IF THERE IS ONE THING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

IS GOOD AT, IT'S COLLECTING TAXES. IF THERE IS ONE THING
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS TERRIBLE AT, IT IS MAKING LOCAL
DECISIONS ON LOCAL PROBLEMS.

TO DATE, NEARLY 25 BILLION DOLLARS IN REVENUE

SHARING FUNDS HAVE BEEN RETURNED TO THE FIFTY STATES AND

SOME 39 THOUSAND LOCAL UNITS OF GOVERNMENT. IN THE

LAST FISCAL YEAR ALONE, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS USED MORE THAN

SEVEN BILLION REVENUE SHARING DOLLARS ON A VARIETY OF

NEEDED PUBLIC SERVICES.

AND THE MOST IMPORTANT POINT OF ALL ABOUT

REVENUE SHARING IS THIS THE FEDERAL BUREAUCRACY HAS BEEN
HELD AT AN ABSOLUTE MINIMUM IN THE OPERATION OF THE PROGRAM.

ONLY ABOUT ONE-EIGHTH OF ONE PENNY OF EVERY DOLLAR

SPENT FOR REVENUE SHARING GOES TO THE BUREAUCRACY IN

WASHINGTON● ABOUT ONE HUNDRED FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

ARE INVOLVED IN THE ENTIRE PROGRAM.

CLEARLY, GENERAL REVENUE SHARING IS ONE

GOVERNMENT PROGRAM THAT IS A MAJOR SUCCESS.

LAST APRIL, OVER A YEAR AGO, I PROPOSED A FIVE-YEAR,

NINE-MONTH EXTENSION OF THE PROGRAM.

THIS PROPOSAL CALLED FOR AN INCREASE IN FUNDING OF ALMOST

ONE BILLION DOLLARS, FOR A TOTAL OF 39 BILLION,

850 MILLION DOLLARS -- AND INDIANA WILL GET 808 MILLION,

700 THOUSAND DOLLARS AS ITS SHARE OF THE PROGRAM.

A YEAR AGO, I URGED THE CONGRESS TO ACT QUICKLY
ON THIS PROPOSAL SO THAT THE STATES, COUNTIES AND CITIES
COULD BE ASSURED THAT MONEY WILL CONTINUE TO BE AVAILABLE
FOR VITAL SERVICES. YET, THE CONGRESS HAS MOVED
WITH PONDEROUS SLOWNESS.

FINALLY, JUST LAST WEEK, A HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE TOOK THE

FIRST ACTION ON REVENUE SHARING, AND I UNDERSTAND THE

FULL HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS WILL CONSIDER

THE MATTER THIS WEEK.

BUT THIS IS ONLY A FIRST STEP. I ASK EACH

OF YOU TO JOIN WITH ME IN KEEPING THE PRESSURE ON THE CONGRESS

UNTIL THEY PASS A REVENUE SHARING BILL THAT WILL DO

AN EVEN BETTER JOB AS THE ONE THAT EXPIRES DECEMBER 31st.

NOMINATION SIMPLY SEEM CONFUSED. HE HAS STATED

HIS OPPOSITION TO REVENUE SHARING ON THE GROUNDS THAT

IT IS SIMPLY ANOTHER FEDERAL PROGRAM PILED ON TOP OF ALL

THE OTHERS -- A VIEW WHICH IS DIRECTLY CONTRARY TO THE FACTS.

IT IS A LITTLE HARD TO UNDERSTAND THE OPPOSITION

TO REVENUE SHARING. MANY SEEM TO RESENT THE FACT THAT

THE PROGRAM DOES ALLOW LOCAL OFFICIALS RATHER THAN

WASHINGTON BUREAUCRATS TO MAKE THE DECISIONS ON HOW

TAX DOLLARS WILL BE SPENT.

THIS PROGRAM OF RETURNING TAX FUNDS TO YOUR STATE AND YOUR

LOCALITIES HAS MEANT. IN INDIANA, AS OF ONE MONTH AGO,

FEDERAL REVENUE SHARING TOTALED 528 MILLION DOLLARS PLUS,

AND BY THE END OF THIS CALENDAR YEAR, SOME 629 MILLION DOLLARS

WILL HAVE BEEN PAID TO THE STATE, TO THE COUNTIES, CITIES

AND TO THE TOWNSHIPS.

THIS MONEY HAS BEEN USED TO EDUCATE CHILDREN.

IT HAS HELPED PROVIDE BETTER HEALTH CARE AND HOSPITALS.

ABOVE ALL, IT HAS HELPED HOLD DOWN LOCAL AND STATE TAXES.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF THE CRITICS OF REVENUE SHARING

HAVE THEIR WAY? FRANKLIN COUNTY, FOR EXAMPLE,

RECEIVED MORE THAN 107 THOUSAND DOLLARS AS ITS SHARE

UNDER THE PROGRAM IN FISCAL 1975.

THIS MONEY WAS USED IN THE COUNTY'S HIGHWAY AND SHERIFF'S

DEPARTMENTS AS WELL AS IN COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.

WITHOUT GENERAL REVENUE SHARING FUNDS, FRANKLIN COUNTY

OFFICIALS REPORT THAT THEY WILL BE FORCED TO CUT BACK SERVICES,

LAY-OFF EMPLOYEES AND RAISE TAXES.

BY THE END OF 1976, THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

WILL HAVE RECEIVED MORE THAN 60 MILLION DOLLARS IN

REVENUE SHARING FUNDS.

THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, SIX MILLION, 400 THOUSAND DOLLARS

IS HELPING PROVIDE POLICE AND FIRE PROTECTION; OVER FOUR MILLION

DOLLARS IS BEING USED FOR GENERAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES; AND

ANOTHER ONE MILLION, 800 THOUSAND DOLLARS IS PROVIDING

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION. IF REVENUE SHARING IS ELIMINATED,

SOME SERVICES WILL BE CUT SEVERELY, AND OTHERS WILL BE

DISMANTLED COMPLETELY.

REVENUE SHARING IS NOW ESSENTIAL TO VIABLE

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT. IT IS WORKING.

LET'S GET THE PROGRAM EXTENDED, AND GO ON FROM THERE.

REVENUE SHARING IS ONLY ONE WAY WE ARE MOVING

TO LIFT THE HEAVY HAND OF FEDERAL BUREAUCRACY FROM LOCAL

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS. IN THE LAST 20 MONTHS, SUBSTANTIAL

PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE IN REDUCING GOVERNMENT RED TAPE TOO.

THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM IS A GOOD

EXAMPLE.

AT MY DIRECTION, FEDERAL REGULATION FOR

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT HAVE BEEN SIMPLIFIED AND REDUCED

FROM TWO THOUSAND, SIX HUNDRED PAGES TO JUST 25 PAGES.

INSTEAD OF FILLING OUT FIVE APPLICATIONS TOTALLING ONE THOUSAND,

FOUR HUNDRED PAGES, A COMMUNITY NOW HAS TO COMPLETE

ONLY ONE 50-PAGE APPLICATION.

WHILE IT USED TO TAKE MORE THAN TWO YEARS

TO PROCESS ONE OF THESE APPLICATIONS, WE HAVE REDUCED

THE AVERAGE TIME TO LESS THAN TWO MONTHS.

AS YOU CAN SEE I MEAN IT WHEN I SAY I WANT
TO GET GOVERNMENT OFF YOUR BACK AND OUT OF YOUR HAIR•
MY RECORD BACKS MY WORDS•

AND THE RECORD ON THE NATION'S ECONOMY SPEAKS

LOUDER THAN ANY WORDS. WE REFUSED TO LISTEN TO THE

POLITICAL QUICK-FIX ARTISTS WHO TOLD US THAT MASSIVE

GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION WAS THE ONLY WAY OUT OF THE RECESSION.

AS A RESULT, THE GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT,

PERSONAL INCOME AND CONSUMER CONFIDENCE ARE ALL RISING.

THE RATE OF INFLATION HAS BEEN REDUCED BY 75 PERCENT

AND THE RATE OF UNEMPLOYMENT, ALTHOUGH STILL TOO HIGH,

IS COMING DOWN STEADILY, AS WELL.

IN ADDITION, I HAVE PROPOSED A TAX REDUCTION

OF TEN BILLION DOLLARS TO BEGIN ON JULY FIRST OF THIS YEAR.

I PLAN TO BALANCE THE FEDERAL BUDGET BY FISCAL YEAR 1979.

AND, IF EVENTS MOVE AS I EXPECT THEM TO, FURTHER TAX REDUCTIONS

MAY ALSO BE POSSIBLE.

IN SHORT, EVERYTHING THAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE GOING UP IS GOING UP, AND EVERYTHING THAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE GOING DOWN IS GOING DOWN. AMERICA'S FUTURE LOOKS BRIGHTER DAY BY DAY.

WITH THOSE GENERAL COMMENTS, I WILL BE GLAD NOW
TO RESPOND TO YOUR QUESTIONS.

END OF TEXT