The original documents are located in Box 6, folder "2/13/75 - Remarks to Honor Nelson Rockefeller Dinner" of the President's Speeches and Statements: Reading Copies at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN &

REMARKS FOR

HONOR ROCKEFELLER DINNER

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1975

NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

(AFTER ACKNOWLEDGMENTS)

THERE'S A SLOGAN THAT SAYS: FORD HAS A BETTER IDEA.

WELL I'M HERE TONIGHT TO TELL YOU THAT ONE OF THE BEST IDEAS

THIS FORD EVER HAD WAS NOMINATING NELSON A. ROCKEFELLER

TO BE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES!

Mr. Horse Pros. or Horry

Specific Pros. or Horry

Austri Gentlo

Bushy

NELSON ROCKEFELLER HAS BEEN A DISTINGUISHED PUBLIC

SERVANT A SENSITIVE AND COMPASSIONATE HUMANITARIAN A

SUPERBLY ABLE GOVERNOR -- AND NOW HE HAS EMBARKED ON A

NEW AND EVEN GREATER CHALLENGE -- THE SECOND HIGHEST OFFICE

IN OUR NATION. MR. VICE PRESIDENT, I HOPE TO SHARE FOR

A VERY LONG TIME, YOUR COUNSEL, YOUR CONFIDENCE AND YOUR

COMPANY.

IN ALL FAIRNESS, I MUST ADMIT THAT NELSON'S CAREER HAS ALSO HAD A FEW LESS MEMORABLE MOMENTS. IN THE SIXTIES. HE WAS A VERY STRONG SUPPORTER OF JOHN LINDSAY - AND JOHN BECAME A DEMOCRAT . . . HE WAS A VERY STRONG SUPPORTER OF OGDEN REID - AND BROWNIE BECAME A DEMOCRAT NOW THE ONLY THING THAT BOTHERS ME IS -- NOW HE'S A VERY STRONG SUPPORTER OF ME FRANKLY, I DON'T THINK I COULD DO THAT TO THE DEMOCRATS. THAT'S ALL THEY NEED RIGHT NOW --ONE MORE CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT!

TONIGHT, WE PAY TRIBUTE TO A MAN OF UNLIMITED TALENT,

OUTSTANDING ACCOMPLISHMENT, AND BOUNDLESS ENTHUSIASM.

WHEN HE TACKLES A PROJECT, HE GIVES IT EVERYTHING HE'S GOT.

USING A LITTLE WRESTLING JARGON, I HAVE NEVER KNOWN HIM

TO APPLY A HALF-NELSON TO ANYTHING!

I AM DESIGNATING VICE PRESIDENT ROCKEFELLER TO BE

VICE CHAIRMAN OF MY DOMESTIC COUNCIL WITH RESPONSIBILITY FOR OVERSEEING ITS WORK.

TO ASSIST ME IN CARRYING OUT MY RESPONSIBILITIES FOR DOMESTIC POLICY FORMULATION WITHIN A BROAD CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK, I WANT THE DOMESTIC COUNCIL TO UNDERTAKE THE FOLLOWING RESPONSIBILITIES:

- -- ASSESSING NATIONAL NEEDS AND IDENTIFYING ALTERNATIVE WAYS OF MEETING THEM;
- -- PROVIDING RAPID RESPONSE TO PRESIDENTIAL NEEDS
 FOR POLICY ADVICE;
- -- COORDINATING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL PRIORITIES FOR THE ALLOCATION OF AVAILABLE RESOURCES;

-- MAINTAINING A CONTINUOUS POLICY REVIEW OF

ON-GOING PROGRAMS, AND

-- PROPOSING REFORMS AS NEEDED.

DOMESTIC POLICIES AND PROGRAMS, I BELIEVE THE BROADEST

PERSPECTIVES MUST BE UTILIZED IN THE DOMESTIC COUNCIL'S

DELIBERATIONS. THIS IS WHY I HAVE ASKED THE VICE PRESIDENT

TO SERVE AS VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE COUNCIL AND TO OVERSEE ITS WORK.

TO PROVIDE FOR FULL COORDINATION OF THE WORK OF THE

DOMESTIC COUNCIL WITH OTHER POLICY BODIES, L. WILLIAM SEIDMAN,

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF MY ECONOMIC POLICY BOARD, AND FRANK G.

ZARB, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF MY ENERGY RESOURCES COUNCIL,

WILL BE MEMBERS OF THE DOMESTIC COUNCIL.

I AM ALSO ANNOUNCING MY INTENTION TO APPOINT

JAMES M. CANNON AS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE DOMESTIC COUNCIL

AND ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR DOMESTIC AFFAIRS.

RICHARD L. DUNHAM WILL BE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE DOMESTIC COUNCIL.

I EXPECT THEM TO WORK CLOSELY WITH THE VICE PRESIDENT IN THE

OPERATIONS OF THE DOMESTIC COUNCIL.

IN THE SPECIAL AREA OF FOREIGN POLICY, I AM NOT

This

THE FIRST PRESIDENT TO HAVE THE VICE PRESIDENT'S WISE COUNSEL

NELSON VISITED LATIN AMERICA ON THE EVE OF WORLD WAR TWO.

HE PERCEIVED THE DANGER OF ANTI-AMERICAN PROPAGANDA AND THE

The Ofin Powers

PENETRATION BY OUR ENEMIES OF THE GOVERNMENTS AND ECONOMIES

OF SOME NATIONS IN THIS HEMISPHERE.

HE VOICED TIMELY CONCERN TO PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT IN AUGUST 1940 -OVER A YEAR BEFORE PEARL HARBOR.

IN DESIGNATING NELSON TO COORDINATE INTER-AMERICAN

AFFAIRS, PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT WAS NOT THINKING ABOUT ROCKEFELLER,

THE REPUBLICAN. HE WAS THINKING ABOUT ROCKEFELLER, THE

AMERICAN.

THE DARKEST DAYS OF WORLD WAR TWO. IN 1945, PRESIDENT

ROOSEVELT APPOINTED HIM ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE FOR

AMERICAN REPUBLIC AFFAIRS. NELSON CONTRIBUTED MUCH TO THE

CONCEPT OF MUTUAL SECURITY THAT LED TO THE RIO PACT, TO NATO,

AND TO ENLIGHTENED INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION.

DURING WORLD WAR TWO, I SERVED ABOARD A NAVY

AIRCRAFT CARRIER. I BEGAN TO SEE THE ISLANDS OF THE PACIFIC

AND AMERICA'S LINKS WITH THE WORLD IN A BROADER PERSPECTIVE

THAN I DID AS A YOUNG MAN IN MICHIGAN.

WHEN I TOOK MY OATH AS A MEMBER OF THE CONGRESS IN

1949, ARTHUR VANDENBERG WAS SENIOR SENATOR FROM MICHIGAN AND

CHAIRMAN OF THE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE. THE SENATOR

WAS CONCERNED OVER THE FUTURE OF BI-PARTISANSHIP IN FOREIGN

POLICY.

HE PREFERRED TO CALL IT "NON-PARTISANSHIP." ALTHOUGH

SERIOUSLY ILL, SENATOR VANDENBERG SAW HIS JOB AS UNFINISHED

Republican
UNLESS THE CONGRESS AND PRESIDENT TRUMAN COULD FASHION A

DECENT PEACE TO PREVENT WORLD WAR THREE.

WHILE WORKING FOR WORLD PEACE WITH PRESIDENT TRUMAN AND SECRETARY OF STATE BYRNES, THE SENATOR FLATLY REFUSED TO MAKE ANY SPEECHES ON A PARTISAN BASIS . . . BECAUSE IT WOULD TEND TO DESTROY A UNITED AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY.

IN 1950, SENATOR VANDENBERG EMPHASIZED THE NEED -AS HE PUT IT -- "UNDER OUR INDISPENSABLE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM,
TO UNITE OUR OFFICIAL VOICE AT THE WATER'S EDGE SO THAT AMERICA
SPEAKS WITH MAXIMUM AUTHORITY AGAINST THOSE WHO WOULD DIVIDE
AND CONQUER US AND THE FREE WORLD."

HE SAID, "IT DOES NOT INVOLVE THE REMOTEST SURRENDER OF
FREE DEBATE IN DETERMINING OUR POSITION. ON THE CONTRARY,
FRANK COOPERATION AND FREE DEBATE ARE INDISPENSABLE TO
ULTIMATE UNITY."

WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS THE CONGRESS, AS DID THE REPUBLICAN EIGHTIETH CONGRESS, AND THE OTHER PARTY CONTROLS THE WHITE HOUSE, AS DID PRESIDENT TRUMAN'S PARTY, THERE MUST BE COOPERATION, OR AS SENATOR VANDENBERG SAID, "AMERICA WOULD BE DEVOID OF ANY FOREIGN POLICY AT ALL."

IN FEBRUARY, 1951, SENATOR VANDENBERG DIFFERED WITH SENATOR KENNETH WHERRY, THE POWERFUL NEBRASKA REPUBLICAN, WHOSE RESOLUTION WOULD HAVE TIED THE HANDS OF PRESIDENT TRUMAN IN FOREIGN POLICY. THE PRESIDENT WAS SEEKING TO ADD AMERICAN ARMY DIVISIONS TO AN INTEGRATED NORTH ATLANTIC MILITARY FORCE COMMANDED BY GENERAL EISENHOWER. WHILE RESPECTING SENATOR WHERRY'S SINCERITY, SENATOR VANDENBERG WAS CONVINCED THE RESTRICTIVE RESOLUTION WOULD UNDERMINE THE PRESIDENT'S CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY. of The President

SO IT WAS IN FEBRUARY 1951 THAT SENATOR VANDENBERG

SAID OF PRESIDENT TRUMAN: "HE IS THE ONLY PRESIDENT WE SHALL HAVE

AND THIS IS THE ONLY CONGRESS WE SHALL HAVE DURING THE NEXT

CRITICAL TWO YEARS; THE QUICKER WE REACH A WORKING RELATIONSHIP

SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A UNITED POLICY. THE SAFER OUR COUNTRY

WILL BE.**

TODAY, I FIND MYSELF IN PRESIDENT TRUMAN'S JOB.

I LOOK TO THE NEW CONGRESS AND THE CRITICAL YEARS AHEAD.

AND I HAVE TO DEAL WITH THE ECONOMIC CRISIS IN THE UNITED

STATES AND OTHER INDUSTRIALIZED DEMOCRACIES.

I AM CONCERNED WITH THE PROBLEMS OF RECESSION AND INFLATION,
UNEMPLOYMENT AND ENERGY SHORTAGES. I WOULD BE EVEN MORE

CONCERNED IF WE WERE TO HAVE AN OSTRIGH LIKE NINETY-FOURTH

CONGRESS BURYING ITS HEAD IN THE DOMESTIC SANDS WHILE EXPENSIVE

OIL FLOWS INTO AMERICA AND EXPENSIVE DOLLARS FLOW OUT.

WHAT HAS CHANGED SINCE THE DAYS OF ARTHUR VANDENBERG

DURING THE LATTER YEARS OF MY SERVICE IN THE CONGRESS, SEVERE

STRAINS DEVELOPED BETWEEN THE LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE BRANCHES

OVER THE SOUTHEAST ASIAN POLICIES OF TWO ADMINISTRATIONS -
ONE DEMOCRATIC, THE OTHER REPUBLICAN.

INDEED, AMERICA EXPERIENCED A SERIES OF SHOCKS, DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN, DATING BACK TO THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY. WE HAVE NEW PREOCCUPATIONS, NEW PERCEPTIONS, AND NEW PRIORITIES and hopefully NEW DIRECTIONS.

NEVERTHELESS, WE NEED NONPARTISANSHIP IN FOREIGN
AFFAIRS TODAY MORE THAN EVER BEFORE.

WE NEED MORE, NOT LESS, CREDIBILITY AND CONTINUITY.

WE NEED MORE, NOT LESS, CONFIDENCE IN THE HONEST

MOTIVES AND HIGH PATRIOTIC CONCERNS OF ONE ANOTHER.

THIS IS NOT TO SAY THAT I WISH THE CONGRESS WOULD

KEEP OUT OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND THAT I WANT TO RUN EVERYTHING

BEYOND THE WATER'S EDGE, IN MY OWN WAY, WITHOUT LEGISLATIVE

INTERFERENCE. UNDER THE CONSTITUTION, THE CONGRESS HAS

A FUNDAMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY IN THE SHAPING OF ALL BROAD

MATTERS OF PUBLIC POLICY, BOTH FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC.

NOBODY KNOWS THIS BETTER THAN I DO.

BUT WHILE THE CONGRESS, TOGETHER WITH THE PRESIDENT,

MAKES FOREIGN POLICY, ONLY THE EXECUTIVE CAN EXECUTE IT.

FOR THE FIRST ELEVEN YEARS OF OUR NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE, WE

EXPERIMENTED WITH GOVERNMENT BY COMMITTEE -- THE CONTINENTAL

CONGRESS UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION. THERE WAS

A PRESIDENT OF THE CONGRESS, BUT NO PRESIDENT OF THE COUNTRY.

IT IS A WONDER THAT GENERAL WASHINGTON WAS ABLE TO WIN THE WAR.

ONCE OUR NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE WAS RECOGNIZED, WASHINGTON AND THE OTHER FOUNDING FATHERS WASTED NO TIME IN WRITING A NEW CONSTITUTION IN WHICH THEY SET ASIDE THEIR FEARS OF A TYRANNICAL KING OR TOO-POWERFUL PRIME MINISTER AND VESTED THE EXECUTIVE POWER IN A PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. IT GAVE THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE COMMAND OF THE ARMED FORCES RAISED BY THE CONGRESS AND THE POWER TO NEGOTIATE TREATIES AND TO RECEIVE AND APPOINT AMBASSADORS WITH THE ADVICE AND CONSENT OF THE SENATE.

PARTNERSHIP BEYOND THE WATER'S EDGE AND CLEARLY IT DOES NOT

CONTEMPLATE THE DAY-TO-DAY CONDUCT OF FOREIGN POLICY ANY MORE

THAN THE DAY-TO-DAY CONDUCT OF MILITARY OPERATIONS BY MANY

DIFFERENT VOICES IN THE DELIBERATIVE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH.

OUR SYSTEM HAS SERVED US WELL. WITHOUT

REVIEWING ALL TWO HUNDRED YEARS OF OUR HISTORY, AND THE

FREQUENT DOMESTIC DEBATES BETWEEN OUR GREAT PARTIES, I CAN

SAY FROM MY OWN PERSPECTIVE -- AND THAT OF MANY OF YOU -
THAT THE RECORD OF AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY SINCE THE SECOND

WORLD WAR HAS BEEN OVERALL A MOST REMARKABLE SUCCESS.

WHEN WORLD WAR TWO ENDED. THE WORLD WAITED TO SEE

WHAT THE UNITED STATES WOULD DO

WHETHER OUR POWER AND

OUR MORAL COMMITMENT WOULD CONTINUE TO BE ENGAGED WORLDWIDE

OR WHETHER WE WOULD RETREAT AGAIN INTO THE ISOLATIONISM THAT

CONTRIBUTED TO THE WORLD CONFLICT IN THE FIRST PLACE.

FORMATION OF OUR FIRST PEACETIME ALLIANCES, WE PROVIDED AN ESSENTIAL BULWARK OF SECURITY, STABILITY, AND ECONOMIC PROGRESS FOR THE WORLD.

IN THE 1970'S, WE BUILT ON THIS FOUNDATION WITH IMAGINATION AND STARTLING SUCCESS.

WE ENDED AMERICA'S TEN YEAR MILITARY INVOLVEMENT IN

VIETNAM. WE ENDED IT WITH HONOR AND BROUGHT HOME OUR

PRISONERS OF WAR.

WE ENDED THE CRISIS OF BERLIN. WE ACHIEVED

UNPRECEDENTED AGREEMENTS IN STRATEGIC ARMS CONTROL WITH THE

SOVIET UNION. WE FASHIONED A NEW RELATIONSHIP WITH CHINA.

WE ENDED A WAR IN THE MIDDLE EAST AND HAVE BEEN INSTRUMENTAL

IN MOVING THE PARTIES TO THE CONFLICT TOWARD A STABLE AND

LASTING PEACE.

WE BEGAN A NEW DIALOGUE WITH LATIN AMERICA. WE
LAUNCHED AN INTERNATIONAL EFFORT TO MEET THE CHALLENGE OF A
GLOBAL FOOD CRISIS. WE HAVE TAKEN UP THE ROLE OF LEADERSHIP
TO PROMOTE INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY.

THIS IS A REMARKABLE ACHIEVEMENT. IT IS EVIDENCE OF WHAT THE UNITED STATES CAN ACCOMPLISH WHEN IT SHOWS THE WILL AND DETERMINATION TO PERSEVERE, AND A DEMONSTRATION OF THE HISTORIC REALITY THAT THERE IS NO ALTERNATIVE TO AMERICAN LEADERSHIP. OUR DIPLOMACY IS STILL THE BEST HOPE OF THE WORLD IN FINDING SOLUTIONS TO AGE-OLD CONFLICTS. WE RESPECT THE TRUST WHICH COUNTRIES PLACE IN US -- ON BOTH SIDES, IN SO MANY REGIONAL DISPUTES.

BUT WE HAVE NOT BEEN INVOLVED IN THE WORLD SIMPLY OUT

OF ALTRUISM -- THOUGH WE NEED NOT APOLOGIZE FOR THAT -- BUT

BECAUSE THE KIND OF WORLD AMERICA LIVES IN DIRECTLY AFFECTS THE

KIND OF LIFE AMERICANS LIVE AT HOME.

TODAY, MORE THAN EVER BEFORE, PEACE AND PROSPERITY AT HOME AND ABROAD ARE INDIVISIBLE. NEVER BEFORE HAS THE STATE OF THIS NATION DEPENDED MORE ON THE STATE OF THE WORLD.

IN A WORLD OF CONTINUING COMPLEXITY, AMERICA'S ROLE

efforts had

IN PROMOTING PEACE IS INDISPENSABLE. IN THE CONFLICTS IN THE

MIDDLE EAST, INCLUDING CYPRUS, OUR MEDIATION HAS BEEN

INDISPENSABLE. IN A WORLD OF PROLIFERATING NUCLEAR WEAPONS,

OUR EFFORTS TO LIMIT STRATEGIC ARMS AND TO PROMOTE ESSENTIAL

NEW SAFEGUARDS AGAINST THEIR FURTHER SPREAD ARE INDISPENSABLE.

THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A GREATER NEED FOR PURPOSEFUL

AMERICAN POLICY AND LEADERSHIP. THIS CANNOT BE ACHIEVED

WITHOUT UNITY AT HOME.

OUR SECRETARY OF STATE IS TODAY IN THE MIDDLE EAST ENGAGED IN THE QUEST FOR A PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF ONE OF THE MOST SERIOUS POLITICAL DEADLOCKS IN THE WORLD -- A CONFLICT WHICH HAS POSED FOR TWENTY-FIVE YEARS GREAT DANGERS OF INTERNATIONAL CONFRONTATION AND CRISIS. THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ARE UNITED IN WISHING HIM GOD SPEED AND GREAT SUCCESS IN THIS EXTRAORDINARY MISSION. HE CARRIES WITH HIM THE HOPES AND PRAYERS OF THE NATION FOR A JUST AND LASTING PEACE. RESPONSIBLE CONDUCT FROM INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS

AND FROM THE CONGRESS AS A WHOLE AS WELL AS FROM THE

PRESIDENT.

IN CYPRUS, THE UNITED STATES HAS LONG SOUGHT TO MEDIATE BETWEEN TWO VALUED ALLIES IN ORDER TO SECURE THE INDEPENDENCE AND TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY OF THAT STRATEGIC ISLAND.

THE FOREIGN MINISTERS OF GREECE AND TURKEY IN A MEETING WITH

SECRETARY KISSINGER IN BRUSSELS THIS WEEK, BROKE DOWN BECAUSE

OF CONGRESSIONAL INSISTENCE THAT MILITARY ASSISTANCE TO TURKEY

BE TERMINATED. THIS ACTION, I AM CONVINCED, IS A

SELF-INFLICTED WOUND -- IT WILL SERIOUSLY IMPAIR OUR RELATIONS

WITH A VALUED ALLY, AND ACHIEVE NO BENEFIT WHATEVER.

IT WILL ADVERSELY AFFECT WESTERN SECURITY GENERALLY, AND WITH

SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES TO THE STRATEGIC SITUATION IN THE MIDDLE EAST.

AND MOST TRAGICALLY OF ALL, IT DOES NOTHING TO IMPROVE THE LOT

OF THOSE CYPRIOTS IN WHOSE NAME THIS CONGRESSIONAL ACTION

WAS SUPPOSEDLY TAKEN.

BUT THE ISSUE IS GREATER THAN THIS IMMEDIATE EXAMPLE

IN THE EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN. THE ISSUE IS WHAT KIND

OF AN ALLY ARE WE, WHEN WE PUNISH OUR FRIENDS MORE SEVERLY

THAN OUR ENEMIES? WHAT KIND OF STATESMEN ARE WE,

WHEN WE SO POORLY PERCEIVE OUR OWN INTERESTS? THIS

QUESTION IS BEING ASKED BY NATIONS WHO LOOK TO US FOR LEADERSHIP

I CAN GIVE NO GOOD ANSWER.

IN THE FINAL DAYS OF THE LAST CONGRESS, THE 1974 TRADE

REFORM ACT WAS PASSED. THAT ACT WAS DESIGNED TO

STRENGTHEN THE BASIS OF OUR ECONOMIC TIES WITH OUR ALLIES, OUR

ADVERSARIES, AND THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, IN THE INTEREST OF

WORLDWIDE PROGRESS AND STABILITY. THESE ARE NATIONAL GOALS

ON WHICH THERE IS LITTLE DISAGREEMENT.

YET THESE GOALS WERE JEOPARDIZED IN THE LAST CONGRESS

BY AN UNFORTUNATE AMENDMENT, WHICH WITHHELD GENERALIZED

TARIFF PREFERENCES FROM ALL OPEC MEMBERS, WHETHER OR NOT THEY

PARTICIPATED IN LAST WINTER'S OIL EMBARGO. THIS INDISCRIMINATE

AND HASTY AND DAMAGED OUR RELATIONS WITH ECUADOR, VENEZUELA

NIGERIA, AND INDONESIA DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY REFUSED TO

PARTICIPATE IN THE EMBARGO AGAINST THE UNITED STATES.

ANOTHER AMENDMENT TO THAT ACT LED THE SOVIET UNION TO REPUDIATE ITS 1972 ECONOMIC ACCORD WITH US, INCLUDING ITS AGREEMENT TO SETTLE ITS WORLD WAR TWO LEND-LEASE DEBT. THE UNITED STATES HAD AGREED IN 1972, AS A RESULT OF THE MARKED IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR OVERALL RELATIONSHIP, TO GRANT THE SOVIET UNION NONDISCRIMINATORY TRADING RIGHTS. THE BREAKDOWN OF THESE FOREIGN POLICY AGREEMENTS UNFORTUNATELY COULD CAUSE ADDITIONAL TRAGIC CONSEQUENCES EXACTLY CONTRARY TO THE INTENT OF THE CONGRESS.

THE ISSUE IS NOT THE GOALS OF OUR FOREIGN POLICY.

THE EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BRANCHES SHARE THE SAME HOPE FOR

AMERICA. WHAT IS AT ISSUE IS THE PROCESS OF CHE POLICY

MAKING, NOT ITS OBJECTIVES. BUT AS MEN OF GOOD WILL, WE

MUST SOLVE THE PROBLEM OF OUR RESPECTIVE ROLES. IT WOULD BE

A NATIONAL TRAGEDY IF CONFLICT BETWEEN THE CONGRESS AND THE

EXECUTIVE JEOPARDIZED THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE 1970'S AND PREVENTED

FURTHER PROGRESS TOWARD OUR COMMON GOALS.

AS I SAID IN MY STATE OF THE UNION MESSAGE, I DOUBT THAT

RESTRICTIVE AMENDMENTS ARE AN ADEQUATE TOOL FOR SHAPING THE

CONDUCT OF FOREIGN POLICY. AN ATTITUDE FROZEN IN A

STATUTE -- HOWEVER NOBLE -- CANNOT SHAPE EVENTS. IN A

WORLD OF ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY NATIONS AND FAST-MOVING CHANGE,

DIPLOMACY IS A PROCESS, NOT EXECUTION OF A RIGID BLUEPRINT.

THE DOOR OF THE WHITE HOUSE, AS I HAVE STATED, IS OPEN TO THE CONGRESS, TO NEW MEMBERS AS WELL AS OLD.

I DO NOT EXPECT FIVE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FIVE

YET, I DO APPEAL REINCARNATIONS OF SENATOR VANDENBERG. FOR AN OPEN-MINDED SPIRIT OF ENLIGHTENED NATIONAL CONCERN TO TRANSCEND ANY PARTISAN OR INTERNAL PARTY POLITICS THAT THREATEN TO BRING OUR SUCCESSFUL FOREIGN POLICY TO A STANDSTILL I CHALLENGE THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE TO GIVE ME THE SAME CONSIDERATION THAT SENATOR VANDENBERG SOUGHT AND GOT FOR PRESIDENT TRUMAN. CAN'T WE CONSULT AND ACT RATHER THAN

PONTIFICATE AND POKE?

I REFUSE TO BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE PASSED THE POINT OF

NO RETURN IN LEAVING OUR TRADITIONAL SYSTEM OF NONPARTISANSHIP

IN FOREIGN POLICY.

I REFUSE TO BELIEVE THAT IT WILL BECOME EASIER TO

NEGOTIATE WITH FOREIGN ADVERSARIES AND ALLIES THAN WITH THE

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES.

I SEEK A <u>COALITION OF CONFIDENCE</u> WITH THE NEW CONGRESS.

AND THERE IS NO AREA IN WHICH THIS IS MORE CRITICAL THAN IN THE

NATIONAL RESPONSE TO THE CRISIS OF ENERGY.

LAST WINTER'S OIL EMBARGO GENERATED WIDESPREAD AGREEMENT
THAT A COMPREHENSIVE ENERGY POLICY IS NEEDED.

WE HAVE

ANALYZED AND DEBATED THE OPTIONS. DELAY WILL ONLY COMPOUND THE PROBLEM.

WE MUST BEGIN NOW. OUR OIL AND GAS SUPPLIES WILL

CONTINUE TO DISSIPATE AND IMPORTS WILL GROW, UNLESS WE TAKE

IMMEDIATE STEPS TO REDUCE CONSUMPTION AND DEVELOP NEW SUPPLIES.

CONGRESSIONAL ACTION IS IMPERATIVE IF THE UNITED STATES

IS TO MAINTAIN ITS INTERNATIONAL LEADERSHIP. WE CANNOT EXPECT

OTHER NATIONS TO TIGHTEN THEIR BELTS IF WE ARE UNPREPARED TO DO

THE SAME.

WE CANNOT APPEAR UNWILLING TO TAKE THE UNPLEASANT, BUT

NECESSARY STEPS TO CURE OUR ENERGY AND ECONOMIC PROBLEMS

WHEN OTHER NATIONS ARE TRYING TO FACE UP TO THEIR OWN

DIFFICULTIES. OIL CONSUMING NATIONS MUST UNITE. THE

SURPLUS OF ARAB DOLLARS IS CREATING A FINANCIAL CRISIS IN

WESTERN EUROPE. CONCERTED ACTION IS ESSENTIAL.

INTEGRATED SOLUTION TO OUR ESCHEMAND ENERGY PROBLEMS EVER

ASSEMBLED. IF WE DO NOT ACT NOW ON THE SHORT-TERM GOALS,

THERE WILL BE UNACCEPTABLE COSTS TO THE UNITED STATES -- BOTH

DOMESTICALLY AND INTERNATIONALLY.

YOU MAY WONDER WHY AM I CALLING FOR NONPARTISANSHIP

IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS AT A REPUBLICAN PARTY DINNER. WHY

DIDN'T I MAKE THIS SPEECH BEFORE A DEMOCRATIC PARTY DINNER?

WELL, FOR ONE THING, I WASN'T INVITED TO ANY DEMOCRATIC

Bay DINNERS

THAN A PARTISAN TRIBUTE, JUST AS HIS CONFIRMATION AS VICE

PRESIDENT BY AN OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF THE LAST DEMOCRATIC

CONGRESS WAS MORE THAN A PARTISAN CONFIRMATION. AND HIS

OWN EARLY CAREER OF PUBLIC SERVICE IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THE

NONPARTISAN TRADITION THAT GOES BACK TO THE WAR YEARS OF

PRESIDENT FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

BUT MOST OF ALL, I USE THIS REPUBLICAN FORUM FOR
THIS APPEAL BECAUSE I AM PROUD, AND YOU CAN BE PROUD,
OF OUR PARTY'S CONTRIBUTIONS BOTH IN THE CONGRESS AND THE
WHITE HOUSE, IN AND OUT OF POWER, TO THIRTY YEARS OF
CONSTRUCTIVE CONTINUITY IN AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY.

ON A FURTHER ORDERLY PHASEOUT OF OUR MILITARY ASSISTANCE TO

SOUTHEAST ASIA, ON A BASIS WHICH HONORS OUR REPEATED PROMISES

TO ALLIES THAT WE WILL HELP TO SUPPLY THOSE WILLING TO FIGHT FOR

THEIR OWN FREEDOM. I DO NOT SEE HOW WE CAN RENEGE OR

COMPROMISE THAT PRINCIPLE. I DO NOT INTEND TO DO SO.

I AM ALSO WILLING, AS WAS PRESIDENT TRUMAN, TO BRING THE RESPONSIBLE LEADERS OF THE DEMOCRATIC MAJORITY IN THE CONGRESS IN ON THE FOREIGN POLICY TAKE-OFFS AS WELL AS LANDINGS -- PARTICULARLY THE CRASH LANDINGS. I HAVE ALREADY DONE SO AND WILL CONTINUE TO EXPAND THESE TWO-WAY CONSULTATIONS. LET ME REPEAT WHAT SENATOR VANDENBERG TOLD HIS REPUBLICAN FRIENDS ABOUT PRESIDENT TRUMAN AND THE REPUBLICAN EIGHTIETH CONGRESS:

"HE IS THE ONLY PRESIDENT WE SHALL HAVE AND THIS IS THE ONLY CONGRESS WE SHALL HAVE DURING THE NEXT CRITICAL TWO YEARS;

THE QUICKER WE REACH A WORKING RELATIONSHIP SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A UNITED POLICY, THE SAFER OUR COUNTRY WILL BE."

YESTERDAY I STOOD AT THE LINCOLN MEMORIAL. I SAW

AT THE FAR END OF THE MALL THE GREAT WHITE DOME OF THE CAPITOL,

MY HOME FOR ALMOST TWENTY-FIVE YEARS.

I WAS REMINDED OF THE DIFFICULTIES PRESIDENT JAMES

POLK ENCOUNTERED FROM A YOUNG FRESHMAN CONGRESSMAN FROM

ILLINOIS WHO DENOUNCED THE UNITED STATES INVOLVEMENT IN THE

MEXICAN WAR AS HAVING BEEN "UNNECESSARILY AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY

BEGUN BY THE PRESIDENT" WITHOUT CONGRESSIONAL CONSENT.

AND I REMEMBERED ALSO HOW THAT DEFEATED ONE-TERMER,
WHEN HE RETURNED TO WASHINGTON AS PRESIDENT OF A RAPIDLY

DISINTEGRATING NATION, TOOK EMERGENCY MEASURES WHICH WERE

SIMILARLY DENOUNCED ON CAPITOL HILL AS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND

DICTATORIAL. WHEN THE CONGRESS ATTEMPTED TO RUN THE WAR

BY COMMITTEE, PRESIDENT LINCOLN TOLD THEM BLUNTLY THAT THE

SHIP OF STATE CAN HAVE ONLY ONE HELMSMAN.

"IN A STORM AT SEA, NO ONE ON BOARD CAN WISH THE SHIP

TO SINK," HE SAID IN HIS FIRST ANNUAL MESSAGE, "AND YET, NOT

INFREQUENTLY, ALL GO DOWN TOGETHER BECAUSE TOO MANY WILL DIRECT

AND NO SINGLE MIND WILL BE ALLOWED TO CONTROL."

PRESIDENT OUR PARTY HAS GIVEN THE REPUBLIC, LET US REDEDICATE

OURSELVES TO THE BROADER VISION OF THE NATIONAL GOOD WHICH HE

BROUGHT FROM THE CAPITOL TO THE WHITE HOUSE. LET US CONTINUE

AS AMERICANS TO SEEK HIS LASTING GOALS OF "A JUST AND LASTING

PEACE AMONG OURSELVES AND WITH ALL NATIONS."

THANK YOU.

END OF TEXT