Excerpts from a Speech by Rep. Gerald R. Ford before the Grand Rapids Kivani Club

Our foreign policy goals are clear.

We are moving to end the Vietnam War and to promote a permanent settlement in the Middle East. We are determined to achieve a lasting peace in both areas of the world.

We are seeking arms limitation and the resolution of the great East-West political issues.

We will keep our treaty commitments but we will do so within the framework of the new Nixon doctrine--the doctrine of "the U.S. helps those who help themselves."

We will provide a shield for our allies against nuclear powers and we will furnish such other assistance as is appropriate.

We are determined to secure the freedom of Americans held captive by the North Vietnamese and Viet Cong.

We will negotiate rather than confront whenever and wherever possible.

We have established our major goals. Our ultimate objective is peace. How is peace to be achieved in this mad world we live in today?

There are three principal pillars for the building of peace. Those pillars are a willingness to negotiate, the maintenance of our strength, and the development of effective partnerships with other Free World nations.

We cannot build the structure of world peace solely on a willingness to negotiate. We must undergird the structure with the other two pillars as well. We must negotiate from strength, just as we did during the Cuban missile crisis of 1962. And we must strengthen our friends so that they too can survive.

There are some Americans today who are willing, even eager, to heedlessly weaken our defenses. They sincerely believe that peace lies in that direction. But they are terribly mistaken. They look upon a strong national defense as an underlying cause of war when it is actually a promoter of peace. If they have their way, they will decimate our defenses--and lay the groundwork for another military catastrophe.

I do not need to name names. You know who they are--these people who gamble
with our national security. They are well-intentioned. I do not impugn their integrity or their patriotism. But I pray that the Nation is saved from their good intentions. I pray that the American people are not once more misled as they were when we disarmed ourselves after World War I, which led to Nazi aggression, and after World War II, which encouraged Communist aggression in Korea.

I would like to ask just one question of the gamblers with our national security: When was the long-range welfare of any American--rich or poor--ever well served by national weakness in the face of aggression?

Let us be sensible about our national defense. If we cut we should know what we are doing. It is meaningless to toss out figures about new weapons systems and imply that all of them should be abandoned.

We have already made tremendous reductions in defense spending. Measured in constant 1971 dollars, our defense budget reached an all-time high of $59.1 billion in 1968 under the last Administration. Today it has been reduced by $17.3 billion to a low of $71.8 billion.

I applaud rational, reasonable, sound efforts to reduce military spending. For 12 years as a member of the House Defense Appropriations Subcommittee I personally had a hand in cutting defense budgets by a total of $14.5 billion. But there is a limit to defense cuts. We must not so weaken our defenses that we encourage aggressive actions by potential enemies.

The gamblers with our national security call for additional deep cuts in defense spending under the guise of reordering our priorities. They also disregard the fact that the Safeguard anti-ballistic-missile system has proved to be a trump card in our strategic arms negotiations with the Russians.

The facts are that we have already reordered our priorities and are continuing to do so within the limitations of a Federal budget weakened by four years of excessive Federal spending and nearly runaway inflation, beginning in 1965.

In 1962 the Federal Government spent 48 per cent of its budget on defense and only 32 per cent on human resources. In 1968 we were still spending 44 per cent of our budget on defense and only 34 per cent on human resources.

Now, finally, under a new Administration, we are turning this country around and realigning our priorities.

The shift is quite dramatic. For the first time since 1950—for the first time in two decades—a President has called for greater spending on human resources than on defense. The Nixon budget for fiscal 1971 allocates 41 per cent of all Federal funds to human resource outlays and 37 per cent to defense.

Ironically, the gamblers with our security are not only demanding huge additional budget cuts in the name of realigning our priorities; they are also criticizing the unemployment the defense cuts helped to create.

In accomplishing massive changes in Federal priorities, we have produced a certain amount of temporary unemployment as people shift to non-defense jobs.

The American people are aware that we are passing through a period of transition from a wartime to a peacetime economy. They understand what the Nation is going through to get back on the right track.
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