The original documents are located in Box D26, folder "Associated Builders and Contractors Dinner Speech, Washington, DC, February 18, 1969" of the Ford Congressional Papers: Press Secretary and Speech File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. The Council donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box D26 of the Ford Congressional Papers: Press Secretary and Speech File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

NOTES FOR ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS DINNERS SPEECH

Theme is Labor Law Reform

2/18/69

WASH. D.C.

(I think your theme should be that it is time to reassert the public interest in labor-management relations...time to make the spublic interest controlling.)

...YOUR HOSTS WILL BE MOST INTERESTED IN THE COMMON

SITUS PICKETING BILL. THE DEMOCRATS WILL BE PUSHING FOR IT

AGAIN. IT HAS BEEN INTRODUCED BY REP. FRANK THOMPSON, N.J.

THE DEMOCRATS WILL GLEEFULLY RECALL THAT IKE PROPOSED COMMON

THE DEMOCRATS WILL GLEEFULLY RECALL THAT IKE PROPOSED COMMON SITUS PICKETING IE GISIATION IN 1954 AND RENEWED HIS RECOMMENDATION

2/

A COUPLE OF TIMES AFTER THAT. AS YOU KNOW, COMMON SITUS

PICKETING LEGISLATINATED WOULD AUTHORIZE CONSTRUCTION UNIONS

TO SHUT DOWN AN ENTIRE BUILDING PROJECT IF JUST ONE OF THE

UNIONS ON A JOB IS IN DISPUTE WITH THE EMPLOYER. THIS IS WHAT

THE NIEB AND THE COURTS, INCLUDING THE SUPREME COURT, NOW

SAY IS ILLEGAL, A SECONDARY BOYCOTT. YOU'LL RECALL

THAT CHARLIE GOODELL LAST YEAR GOT A COUPLED OF MINOR

AMEN DEENTS TO THE BILL OKAYELD IN COMMITTEE LAST YEAR AND THEN

PUSHED AN ALTERNATIVE BILL WHICH HEDGED SITUS PICKETING

AUTHORITY IN WITH SO MANY RESTRICTIONS THAT

UNIONS

WOULDN'T BUY HIS BILL. BILL AYRES HASN'T STUCK HIS NECK OUT ON THIS? LEGISLATION. AS YOU KNOW, HE PLAYS FOOTSIE WITH THE UNIONS. HOWEVER, HE RELUCTANTLY SUPPORTED THE GOODELL BILL. WHO WILL PLAY THE GOODELL ROLE THIS YEAR? THERE ISN'T ANYONE, SAYS MIKE BERNSTEIN. SAYS HE CAN'T PREDICT WHAT'LL HAPPEN ON COMMON SITUS.

A ANOTHER BILL THAT'S ON TAP IS THE PRODUCT

PROMOTION FUND BILL, WHICH PASSED THE HOUSE LAST

4/

ILLEGAL FOR AN EMPLOYER TO CONTRIBUTE TO ANY UNION OR JOINTLY
ADMINISTERED FUND EXCEPT FOR CERTAIN SPECIFIC PURFOSES...

PENSIONS, WELFARE, HOSPITAL INSURANCE.) UNDER THIS BILL, THE
UNIONS COULD JOINTLY ADMINISTER FUNDS TO WHICH EMPLOYERS HAVE
TO DATE UNILATERALLY CONTRIBUTED TO PROMOTE THE PRODUCTS OF THE
INDUSTRY. THE BILL PROVIDES THAT THE EMPLOYER DOES NOT HAVE TO
NEGOTIATE WITH THE UNION REGARDING SUCH A FUND EVEN IF SUCH FUNDS
ARE MADE JOINTLY ADMINISTRABLE, BUT THE CONTRACTORS CONTEND THIS
IS NO PROTECTION BECAUSE THE UNIONS WITH THEIR STRIKE EX WEAPON

CAN COMPEL THEM TO NEGOTIATE ON THE ISSUE! THE BILL ALSO
WOULD LEGALIZE SETTING UP A FUND TO FINANCE A BOARD OR
COMMISSION TO MAKE A BINDING DECISION REGARDING THE MEANING
OF A LABOR-MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT...i.e., NOT BINDING ARBITRATION
BUT BINDING INTERPRETATION. BERNSTEIN SAYS THIS WOULD AID THE
RANK AND FILE IN SMALL UNIONS WHUCH CAN'T AFFORD TO PAY
OUT MONEY FOR SUCH A PURPOSE. BOB TAFT LAST YEAR OFFERED AN
AMENIMENT ON THE HOUSE FLOOR WHICH KNOCKED OUT THIS
"BINDING INTERPRETATION" PROFISION. BERNSTEIN SAYS THIS WAS
A MISTAKE. MANAGEMENT WAS OPPOSED TO THE PROMOTION FUND

6/

LEGISLATION BUT NOT TO THE "BINDING INTERPETATION" PROVISION.
THIS BILL IS UP AGAIN THIS YEAR.

AUTHORIZE

ANOTHER BILL IN THE E. & L. GOMMITTEE WOULD

A JOINTLY ADMINISTERED FUND FOR SCHOLARSHIPS, ADULT EDUCATION.

NURSERY SCHOOLS FOR WORKING MOTHERS, ETC. THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T

ACT ON IT LAST YEAR. IT'S UP AGAIN.

IN ADDITION TO A GENERAL OCCUPATIONS SAFETY AND HEALTH BILL, WHICH DIED IN THE RULES COMMITTEE LAST YEAR, THERE IS A

CONSTRUCTION SAFETY BILL. THIS WATTER BILL APPLIES ONLY TO

CONSTRUCTION FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

SAFETY STANDARDS ON SUCH PROJECTS WOULD BE LAID DOWN BY THE

ALX LABOR DEPT. ASSOCIATED GENERAL CONTRACTORS DIDN'T OPPOSE

IT HEAD ON LAST YEAR, BUT TOOK THE POSITION IT WAS NOT NEEDED.

THEY POINTED OUT THAT THE NAVY DEPT.

NOW WEITE

SAFETY STANDARDS INTO THEIR OWN CONTRACTS. THE BILL CAME UP

UNDER SUSPENSION LAST YEAR. IT GOT A MAJORITY BUT NOT 2/3RDS.

IT'LL BE UP AGAIN THIS YEAR.

a. ONE BILL, THAT GOT OUT OF COMMITTEE LAST YEAR, WOULD EXPAND
THE PENSION AND WELFARE FUND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND IMPOSE
VERY RIGOROUS FIDUCIARY OBLIGATIONS ON THE ADMINISTRATORS OF SUCH
FUNDS.

b. THE OTHER BILL WOULD GO FARTHER REGARDING PENSIONS. (I
PERSONALLY LIKE THE IDEA, AND I THINK AMERICANS GENERALLY WOULD
GO FOR IT.) IT WOULD AVOID PENSION PORTABILITY (WHICH WOULD BE
TERRIBLY COMPLEX) BUT WOULD REQUIRE AN EMPLOYER TO PAY A
PENSION TO AN EMPLOYE WHO HAD WORKED FOR HIM FOR A SPECIFIED

8/

SHIFTING TO ANOTHER JOB WOULD NOT LOSE THE PENSION
BENEFITS HE HAD ACCUMULATED UP TO THAT TIME. THE BILL WOULD
REQUIRE FOR EMPLOYERS TO TAKE OUT PENSION FUND INSURANCE SO
THAT AN EMPLOYE WOULD NOT LOSE OUT IF THE COMPANY
BECAME TO DEFUNCT. IT ALSO PROVIDES FOR A STUDY OF
"PORTABLE PENSIONS."

ALTHOUGH A COUPLE OF 14-B REPEAL K BILLS 1- HAVE BEEN

THERE NOW ARE THREE NEGROESMAX ON THE E. & L. COMMITTEE,

INTRODUCED, BERNSTEIN SAYS YOU DON'T HEAR ANY TALK ABOUT IT. AND LAST YEAR CONGRESS DID NOTHING ON THE SUBJECT.

10/

THE (HAWKINS) BILL WE WHICH WOULD GIVE THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION THE POWER TO ISSUE CEASE AND
REGARDING DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT—
DESIST OR DERS FIXED THE KIND OF POWER NOW ENJOYED BY THE
N.L.R.B. IN LABOR*MANAGEMENT MATTERS. THIS LEGISLATION
PASSED THE HOUSE IN THE 89TH CONCRESS, DIED IN THE SENATE.

LAST CONGRESS THERE WERE HEARINGS IN THE SENATE; THAT'S ALL.
IN THE 91ST, IT'S UP AGAIN, AND OGDEN REID IS A CO-SPONSOR.

ALSO (AND BUILDERS WOULD HAVE NO PARTICULAR INTEREST IN THIS),
THERE'S A BILL UP TO PUT FARM LABORERS UNDER TAFT*HAPPLEY.

THE COMMITTEE REPORTED IT LAST YEAR: IT DIED IN RULES.

NIXON HAS DIRECTED THE SECRETARIES OF LABOR AND AGRICULTURE

TO STUDY THE DESIRABILITY OF SUCH LEGISLATION.

NIXON ALSO HAS DIRECTED THE SECRETARIES OF LABOR AND COMMERCE
TO MAKE A STUDY OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY STRIKES. BERNSTEIN SAYS
NIXON MADE A MISTAKEN IN CALLING THE PRESENT TAFT*HARTLEY

PROVISIONS OUTMODED. HE SAYS THERE IS NO ALTERNATIVE BUT

THIS IS SO. I THINK THE PUBLIC WANTS AT THE LEAST AN EFFORT

MADE AND THAT NIXON SHOULD GIVE IT "THE OLD COLLEGE TRY." I

THINK HE CAN DO SO WITHOUT ALIENATING THE RANK AND FILE LABOR

GUY AND COULD MAYBE WIN HIMSELF A LOT OF VOTES EVEN THIS IS A

VERY SENSITIVE THE LEGISLATIVE AREA. I THINK THE

PUBLIC IS SICK OF THE T REMENDUS NUMBER OF WORK STOPPAGES WE'VE

BEEN HAVING...AND PARTICULARLY THOSE BY PUBLIC

EMPLOYES. THERE MUST BE SOME BETTER ANSWERS.

ONE LAST NOTE... RE: MINIMUM WAGE.

IT'S NOW \$1.60; Former LABOR SEC'Y WELLARD WIRTZ SAYS IT SHOULD BE \$2. AFL-CIO IS PUSHING FOR: \$2 MINIMUM WAGE, DOUBLE-TIME INSTEAD OF TIME AND A HALF FOR OVERTIME. OVERTIME TO START AFTER 35 HOURS STEAD OF 40 (i.e., WANT A 35 35-HOUR WEEK MADE STANDARD). BERNSTEIN SAYS HE HAS HEARD JOHN DENT SAY HE'S GOING TO PUSH FOR THE AFT CTO PROPOSALS BUT SO FAR DENT HAS NOT YET INTRODUCED THE BILL.

THE UNION PRESSURE IS FOR COMMON SITUS PICKETT JOINTLY ADMINISTRRED PRODUCT PROMOTION FUNDS. AND BRINGING "AG" LABOR UNDER TAFT-HARTIEY.