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“LAW, LEARNING AND LIBERTY”

Paskal

PRESIDENT PASCHALL, FACULTY AND FRIENDS,
PARENTS AND STUDENTS, AND MEMBERS OF THE CLASS
OF 1968 OF THE COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY AT
THE CLOSE OF YOUR TWO HUNDRED AND SEVENTY FIFTH
YEAR, GREETINGS AND CONGRATULATIONS.

I AM GRATEFUL INDEED TO BE INVITED TO
THIS DISTINGUISHED LANDMARK OF HIGHER EDUCATION
IN AMERICA, AND DOUBLY DELIGHTED TO HAVE BEEN
CHosen BY A STUDENT COMMITTEE. IN ISSuing AND
ACCEPTING THIS HONOR NONE OF US COULD FORESEE
THE DARK CLOUD OF TRAGEDY WHICH HAS CAST ITS
SHADOW ON THE POLITICAL SCENE AND TRANSFORMED
TODAY INTO A NATIONAL DAY OF MOURNING. YET I
THINK SENATOR KENNEDY WOULD HAVE BEEN THE LAST
TO WANT TO ROB YOU OF ANY OF THE JOYS OF THIS LONG-AWAITED DAY -- NOT THAT MY SPEECH WILL BE A JOY -- BUT ITS MESSAGE MAY ENCOURAGE SOME OF YOU TO TAKE THE SAME VIGOROUS PART IN PUBLIC AFFAIRS WHICH BOTH JOHN F. AND ROBERT KENNEDY DID FROM THEIR YOUTH. THE RED THREAD OF VIOLENCE AND INTOLERANCE, WITH ITS UGLY KNOTS OF ASSASSINATION AND ANARCHY, WHICH HAS RUN THROUGH ALL YOUR STUDENT YEARS, WILL NOT BE SEVERED BY SORROWING NOR UNTANGLED WITH TEARS.

IN THIS SERENE SETTING, THE EVIDENT FULFILLMENT OF THE FOUNDING FATHERS' DREAM OF DOMESTIC TRANQUILLITY, IT IS HARD TO REMEMBER THAT EVEN COLLEGE CAMPUSES HAVE NOT ALL ESCAPED WHAT ONE WRITER CALLS "THE NEON GLOW OF THE AGE OF COMFORT AND VIOLENCE." THEREFORE IT'S REASSURING TO ME TO KNOW THAT THIS HISTORIC SIR CHRISTOPHER WREN BUILDING, THE OLDEST ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE COUNTRY, WHICH HAS BEEN SACKED BY BRITISH REDCOATS,
Commanchee by French officers, burned by Union cavalrymen and fortified by Federal cannoniers, has never yet fallen to the student body.

I did note that commencement exercises for the class of 1849 were suspended, according to the college records, "to give time for the excitement and prejudice to subside." This simply illustrates that anything Columbia University can do, William and Mary did a century or so sooner.

What that excitement was all about I'm not sure. In my day the chief causes of campus commotion were swallowing goldfish, or raiding the women's dormitories. I understand the latter situation has been reversed nowadays, with the girls doing the raiding, and to me this seems to be real progress.

As for the goldfish swallowing -- I believe the record was 87 -- that appears to
HAVE PASSED QUICKLY, PROVING ONLY THAT WHAT YOU PUT INTO YOUR HEAD IN COLLEGE IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN WHAT YOU PUT INTO YOUR STOMACH.


THESE POOR PEOPLE, THESE OUTCASTS, THESE DISADVANTAGED, THESE REBELS AGAINST THE OLD ESTABLISHMENT, BROUGHT VERY LITTLE WITH THEM, BUT WHAT THEY DID BRING WAS PRECIOUS AND MUST BE PRESERVED. THEY BROUGHT, EVEN AS THEY PROTESTED ITS CAPRICIOUS ABUSE, AN ABIDING RESPECT FOR THE RULE OF LAW -- THEY BUILT THEMSELVES SYSTEMS OF ORDERLY SELF-GOVERNMENT EVEN BEFORE THEY ERECTED DRY HOUSES.
THEY BROUGHT, ALSO, TO THIS UNKNOWN AND UNTAMED WILDERNESS, A Profound appreciation of any insistence upon the disciplines of formal education. As they built crude log stockades they also built schoolrooms, and then opened them to the children of their savage enemies as well as their own.

Finally, this poor people’s march half a world around, carried with it a common commitment to the future, to the half-humanist, half-theological idea of the perfectability of society, the enlargement of freedom and the innate and unique worth of individual man.

The college of William and Mary stands pre-eminent in the nurture of these three transplants to the New World. Some of your students, Thomas Jefferson, John Marshall, Peyton Randolph and George Wythe, synthesized them into the foundations of our present political system. Each of you, as you receive
YOUR DEGREES THIS EVENING, REPRESENT THE LATEST FRUITION OF THIS ANGLO-AMERICAN LEGACY OF LAW, LEARNING AND LIBERTY.

WE HEAR A GOOD DEAL THESE DAYS ABOUT A "GENERATION GAP" WHICH IS SUPPOSED TO CUT OFF EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN, SAY, THE CLASS OF 1935 AND THE CLASS OF 1968. I AM NOT SURE THIS IS ANYTHING MORE PROFOUND THAN A NEW NAME FOR A VERY OLD COMPLAINT, PAPA ISN'T ALWAYS LISTENING WHEN SONY IS TALKING, AND VICE VERSA.

YOUTH AND AGE -- OR FOR THAT MATTER MALE AND FEMALE -- HAVE ALWAYS GRUMBLED THAT THEY WEREN'T REALLY UNDERSTOOD BY THE OTHER, AND WHAT A DULL LIFE IT WOULD BE IF WE ALWAYS WERE!

YOU CAN REACH BACK INTO THE PAST ABOUT 10 TIMES AS FAR AS THE FOUNDING OF WILLIAM AND MARY, FOR INSTANCE, AND FIND IN THE PROVERBS OF SOLOMON PAGE AFTER PAGE OF GOOD ADVICE FROM FATHER TO SON, WITHOUT ANY HINT AS TO WHETHER THE SON EVER PAID ANY ATTENTION TO IT.
ONE PROVERB THAT STICKS IN MY MIND, HOWEVER -- AND IT IS HONESTLY THE ONLY THING I CAN NOW REMEMBER FROM MY OWN BACCALAUREATE -- GOES LIKE THIS:

"WISDOM IS THE PRINCIPAL THING; THEREFORE, GET WISDOM;
AND WITH ALL THY GETTING, GET UNDERSTANDING."

NOW THIS IS PRETTY GOOD COUNSEL, COMING FROM SOLOMON, WHO WAS REPUTED TO BE THE WISEST MAN OF ALL TIME -- THOUGH I'VE ALWAYS WONDERED HOW YOU COULD SAY THAT ABOUT ANYONE WHO HAD 700 WIVES AND 300 PLAYMATES IN ONE PALACE. YOU HAVE TO ADMIT, THOUGH, THAT SUCH A HOUSEHOLD DOES CALL FOR A LOT OF UNDERSTANDING.

I THOUGHT I'D SEE WHETHER SOLOMON'S ADVICE TO HIS SON HAS ANY RELEVANCE FOR TODAY'S GRADUATING SENIORS, SO I ASKED ONE OF MY YOUNGER GENERATION CONSULTANTS, WHO STILL COMMUNICATES FAIRLY SUCCESSFULLY, WHAT IT MEANT TO HIM:
"Wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom; and with all thy getting, get understanding."

His answer was not long in coming.

"I guess that means, like, first you get with it, but don't just get with it -- get into it," is the way he translated it.

Not bad. I doubt that it will replace the King James rendering, or ever go very big in the Sunday schools. But I'm going to toss it across generation gap to you today, from Solomon and me, 30 years or 30 centuries give or take a little, and hope you'll remember it.

"Don't just get with it -- get into it."

I can almost hear some of your fathers and mothers whispering: Now what on earth is he telling them -- they're already messing into a lot more things than they ought to be. We always heard that Jerry Ford was a nice, solid
TYPE AND HERE HE IS TALKING LIKE THAT AND DID I HEAR STILL ANOTHER WHISPER?
YEAH, WHAT HAVE WE GOT TO GET INTO -- THE ARMY? WHO DO YOU THINK YOU'RE KIDDING ABOUT LAW AND LEARNING AND LIBERTY. WE'VE HAD TOO MUCH OF THE FIRST, JUST ABOUT ENOUGH OF THE SECOND, AND NOT VERY MUCH OF THE THIRD. YOU AND ALL THE POLITICIANS WHO HAVE BEEN RUNNING THINGS EVER SINCE WE WERE BORN HAVE REALLY MADE A MESS OF IT. SO NOW/ YOU WANT US/ TO GET INTO IT WITH YOU.

WELL, WE ARE IN QUITE A MESS, THAT'S CERTAIN. I SUPPOSE I COULD DUCK THIS BY SAYING I'VE BEEN IN THE MINORITY MOST OF THE YEARS I'VE BEEN IN CONGRESS, SO DON'T BLAME ME. THAT WON'T DO, OF COURSE. ALL ADULT AMERICANS HAVE TO ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY; AT LEAST SOME RESPONSIBILITY/ FOR THE WAY THINGS ARE, BECAUSE AS THEODORE ROOSEVELT PUT IT BLUNTLY:
"THE GOVERNMENT IS US; WE ARE THE
GOVERNMENT, YOU AND I."

ANOTHER PRESIDENT, WHO WAS ALSO A PROFESSOR, SAID IT A LONGER WAY:

"GOVERNMENT," SAID WOODROW WILSON, "IS MERELY AN ATTEMPT TO EXPRESS THE CONSCIENCE OF EVERYBODY, THE AVERAGE CONSCIENCE OF THE NATION, IN THE RULES THAT EVERYBODY IS COMMANDED TO OBEY. THAT IS ALL IT IS. IF THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING FASTER THAN THE PUBLIC CONSCIENCE, IT WILL PRESENTLY HAVE TO PULL UP; IF IT IS NOT GOING AS FAST AS THE PUBLIC CONSCIENCE, IT WILL PRESENTLY HAVE TO BE WHIPPED UP."

THE TRUTH OF WILSON'S DEFINITION IS NOWHERE MORE EVIDENT THAN IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, WHICH IS WHY SERVING THERE IS SO REWARDING. CONGRESS IS OFTEN THE SCAPEGOAT FOR THE SINS OF AMERICAN SOCIETY BECAUSE CONGRESS IS THE PEOPLE IN MICROCOSM. THIS YEAR AND EVERY TWO YEARS WE GO HOME TO THE PEOPLE WHO SENT US TO WASHINGTON AND DO WHAT MANY OF YOU HAVE BEEN
DOING -- SEEING ABOUT A JOB. IF THEY LIKE THE
WAY WE'VE BEEN ACTING FOR THEM AND SPEAKING UP
FOR THEM THEY HIRE US FOR ANOTHER TWO-YEAR
CONTRACT, BUT IF THEY DON'T -- THAT'S ALL,
BROTHER. IF YOU DON'T GET GOVERNMENT THAT
SERVES YOU BEST OUT OF THIS ARRANGEMENT YOU AT
LEAST GET THE KIND THAT SERVES YOU RIGHT, AS
GOOD AS YOU DESERVE.

BUT IS THIS GOOD ENOUGH TO COME TO GRIPS
WITH TODAY'S CHALLENGES?

NO, FRANKLY, IT IS NOT.

WITHOUT WANTING TO STRIKE A PARTISAN
NOTE IT'S MERELY A FACT OF RECENT HISTORY THAT
MY PARTY GAINED 47 SEATS IN THE HOUSE TWO YEARS
AGO. WE NEEDED REINFORCEMENTS BADLY, WE
REJOICED IN THE QUANTITY, BUT EVEN MORE IN THE
QUALITY OF THE MEN AND WOMEN WHO JOINED OUR
RANKS -- MANY OF THEM NOT TOO FAR FROM THEIR
OWN COLLEGE COMMENCEMENTS.

THESE HARD-DRIVING, ENTHUSIASTIC AND
TOUGH-MINDED YOUNG LEGISLATORS NOT ONLY BROUGHT THE INSIGHTS AND INITIATIVES OF A NEW BREED OF POLITICIAN TO OUR DEBATES; ALREADY THEY HAVE LEFT THEIR MARK ON THE CONGRESS. THEIR EXTRA VOTES AND PERSISTENT PRESSURES HELPED TO CREATE A NEW CODE OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT FOR THE HOUSE, TO GREATLY IMPROVE AND STRENGTHEN ANTI-CRIME AND CONSUMER PROTECTION LAWS, TO EXPOSE WASTE AND CORRUPTION IN THE POVERTY AND FOREIGN AID PROGRAMS, TO FOCUS ATTENTION ON URBAN NEEDS, TO DEMAND LONG OVERDUE REFORMS IN THE MACHINERY OF CONGRESS AND THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH; TO MENTION ONLY A FEW AREAS OF THEIR ACTIVITY.

THE YOUNGER MEN AND WOMEN COMING UP IN WASHINGTON ARE NOT ONLY WITH IT, THEY ARE IN IT-- AND WE ARE WAITING TO WELCOME MORE. BUT OBVIOUSLY, IT WILL REQUIRE MORE THAN BETTER AND BOLDER LEADERSHIP FROM GOVERNMENT TO COPE WITH THE PROBLEMS THAT FACE US. IF MY GENERATION HAS LEARNED NOTHING ELSE IN THE POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
OF EXPERIENCE IT MUST BE THAT GOVERNMENT CAN
DO ONLY SO MUCH, THAT SIMPLY PASSING LAWS THAT
PROMISE EASY SOLUTIONS AND RAISING TAXES TO
REDISTRIBUTE THE GREAT WEALTH OF THIS COUNTRY
CANNOT BEGIN TO COPE WITH WHAT ARE ESSENTIALLY
MORAL AND INDIVIDUAL PROBLEMS; INDEED,
GOVERNMENT ACTION CAN COMPOUND THEM.

LOOK AT THE GRAVE ISSUES WHICH FACE OUR
NATION AND EACH OF US TODAY. ALL OF THEM, WHEN
YOU THINK ABOUT IT SERIOUSLY, ARE AT THE VERY
CORE MORAL CONCERNS. THE PROS AND CONS OF THESE
QUESTIONS ARE FUNDAMENTALLY MORAL ARGUMENTS, AND
PERHAPS THIS EXPLAINS WHY DEBATE HAS BECOME SO
IMPASSIONED AND EVEN VIOLENT.

TAKE THE WAR IN VIETNAM. THIS IS A MAJOR
NATIONAL CONCERN, PROBABLY THE CHIEF CONCERN OF
YOUNG AMERICANS. LET THERE BE NO DOUBT...ON ONE
POINT -- WE ALL, EVERY ONE OF US, WOULD MUCH
RATHER MAKE LOVE THAN WAR. THIS WAR IS
UNPOPULAR, BUT I DOUBT VERY MUCH THAT THE
UNITED STATES HAS EVER HAD A REALLY POPULAR WAR -- EVEN THE WAR WITH SPAIN HAD ITS AFTERMATH OF DISILLUSIONMENT. THE YEAR I WAS GRADUATED FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN WAS THE YEAR ADOLF HITLER SEIZED ALL POWER IN GERMANY -- AND MY GENERATION DIDN'T LIKE THE PROSPECT OF WAR DISRUPTING OUR LIVES ANY MORE THAN YOURS. BUT THE NATION MET THAT CHALLENGE SUCCESSFULLY BECAUSE AMERICA'S MORAL COMMITMENT TO THE CAUSE OF HUMAN DEGENCY WAS CLEAR, AND WE SAW IT CLEARLY. WE FOUGHT THAT WAR FOR YOU -- EVEN THOUGH YOU DIDN'T YET EXIST.

THE MORAL DILEMMA OF VIETNAM IS MUCH MORE DIFFICULT. ITS MAGNITUDE IS MEASURED BY THE CRUEL FACT THAT DURING JUST TWO WEEKS IN MAY -- EVEN WITH PEACE TALKS GOING ON -- MORE YOUNG AMERICANS WERE KILLED IN ACTION IN VIETNAM THAN WILLIAM AND MARY WILL GRADUATE HERE TODAY. IT HAS BECOME A Cliché TO SAY THAT WE ARE BOGGED DOWN IN A WAR WE CANNOT WIN AND CANNOT LOSE,
THAT THERE IS NO WAY OUT. THIS NATION HAS THE MATERIAL STRENGTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES TO DO ANYTHING IT SETS ITS MIND TO; WHAT IS LACKING IS THE CLEAR MORAL COMMITMENT OF OUR PEOPLE TO A COURSE OF ACTION THAT IS BOTH REASONABLE AND RIGHT, AND WE HAVE NEITHER NOW.

THE TASK OF LEADERSHIP IS TO SET SUCH A COURSE; THE TASK OF CITIZENS IS TO MAKE SUCH A COMMITMENT. IN ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, I SAY TO THE CLASS OF 1968 TO GET INTO IT. WE ARE THE GOVERNMENT, YOU AND I. NOBODY IS GOING TO GET US OUT OF THIS MESS EXCEPT OURSELVES.

TAKE CRIME AND LAWLESSNESS, RIOTS AND DISORDERS. ALREADY, THIS LOOMS AS A LARGER NATIONAL ISSUE THAN THE WAR, AND ALREADY IT DIRECTLY AFFECTS MORE AMERICANS. HALF THE WOMEN IN THIS COUNTRY ARE AFRAID TO WALK ALONE AT NIGHT IN THEIR OWN NEIGHBORHOODS -- AND NO WONDER, SOMEONE IS MURDERED IN COLD BLOOD EVERY 41 MINUTES AND A SEXUAL ATTACK OCCURS EVERY
19 MINUTES, ON THE AVERAGE.

THE CRIME RATE IS RISING EIGHT TIMES AS FAST AS THE POPULATION. IN THE TIME I'VE BEEN TALKING HERE THERE HAVE BEEN SIX ROBBERIES AND NINE AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS SOMEWHERE IN AMERICA. THEY SAY IN WASHINGTON IT'S SO BAD EVEN THE PURSE-SNATCHERS AND MUGGERS ONLY WALK IN PAIRS.

EVERY OTHER AMERICAN NOW OWNS A GUN, AND ADMITS IT. MORE ALARMING, ONLY LAST AUGUST ONLY 27% OF THESE GUN-OWNERS SAID THEY WOULD USE THEIR WEAPON AGAINST ANOTHER PERSON IN THE EVENT OF A RIOT; NOW 67% SAY THEY'D SHOOT UNDER SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES. UNTOUCHED BY THE POLLSTERS ARE THOSE WHO ARE KILLING JUST FOR KICKS, OR OTHER MENTALLY SICK MOTIVES.

OF COURSE THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ORDINARY CRIMINAL ACTIVITY AND THE RIOTS AND ARSON AND LOOTING THAT SWEPT MORE THAN 125 CITIES IN ONE WEEK LAST APRIL. BUT THE DISTINCTION IS NOT SO PRECISE AS ONE MIGHT THINK; IT
Was one "ordinary" crime -- murder -- that triggered the "extra-ordinary" racial explosion that followed, and that spasm of anarchy and destruction was composed of thousands of instances of the "ordinary" crimes of burglary, theft, arson, assault and murder.

What all these forms of lawlessness and violence have in common, whether in a dark alley or in front of newsreel cameras in broad daylight or in the academic halls of Ivy, is the breakdown of the moral values and the boundaries around behavior by which society justifies and protects itself.

Thus this national concern over crime is also, at the core, a moral matter. It has been proved time and again that Congress cannot legislate morality; only in an imperfect sense can it reinforce and define, as Wilson said, the average conscience of the nation. To say that government is based upon the consent of the
GOVERNED IS TO STOP TOO SHORT; IT IS NOT ENOUGH THAT A MAJORITY OF CITIZENS CONSENT TO A LAW, AND AGREE TO OBEY IT THEMSELVES. THAT SAME PREPONDERANCE OF THE PEOPLE MUST COMMIT THEMSELVES TO THE MORAL PRINCIPLE THAT ALL LAW MUST BE OBEYED.

THE TASK OF LEADERSHIP IS TO TRANSLATE THE NATIONAL CONSCIENCE INTO EFFECTIVE LAWS AND TO ENFORCE THOSE LAWS FIRMLY BUT FAIRLY AGAINST OFFENDERS. THE TASK OF CITIZENS IS TO MAKE THEIR BROAD COMMITMENT TO THE RULE OF LAW CLEAR AND UNEQUIVOCAL AND TO USE THE READY ELECTORAL, LEGISLATIVE AND JUDICIAL MECHANISM TO CHANGE SUCH SPECIFIC LAWS AS SEEM TO THEM UNWISE OR UNJUST. SO I SAY AGAIN TO THE CLASS OF 1969, GET INTO IT. WE ARE THE GOVERNMENT, YOU AND I, NOBODY IS GOING TO GET US OUT OF THIS MESS EXCEPT OURSELVES.

THE LAST GREAT NATIONAL CONcern I SHALL MENTION TODAY IS INFLATION, AND YOU MAY WONDER
HOW I AM GOING TO MAKE ECONOMICS A MORAL ISSUE. YOUR PROFESSORS AND PARENTS ARE PERHAPS MORE TROUBLED BY CONTINUOUSLY RISING PRICES, RISING TAXES, RISING INTEREST RATES THAN YOU HAVE BEEN. THE DOLLAR DRAIN HAS BEEN, FOR SOME OF YOU, A PROBLEM BEST SOLVED BY A COLLECT PHONE CALL TO DEAR OLD DAD. AND EVEN WHEN YOU'RE HOLDING DOWN A JOB IN COLLEGE, IF I REMEMBER RIGHTLY, YOU DON'T HANG ONTO ANY DOLLAR BILL LONG ENOUGH TO REALIZE IT'S LOSING ITS VALUE.

BUT I ALSO SUSPECT A LOT OF YOU, IN CONSIDERING WHICH JOB LOOKS BEST, HAVE HAD OCCASION TO COMPARE THE FRINGE BENEFITS AND VARIOUS PENSION PLANS AND PROFIT-SHARING SYSTEMS WHICH TODAY'S EMPLOYERS USE TO ATTRACT THE ABLEST GRADUATES AND KEEP THEM ONCE THEY'RE ON THE PAYROLL. MAYBE YOU FIGURED YOUR CAREER WOULD BE BRIGHTER WITH ONE COMPANY RATHER THAN ANOTHER, EVEN THOUGH THE STARTING SALARY WAS A LITTLE LESS, BECAUSE OF THESE PROVISIONS FOR
YOUR FUTURE SECURITY AND THAT OF YOUR FAMILY.

YOU WOULDN'T HAVE ANY DOUBT THAT IT WAS A MORAL ISSUE IF AFTER GIVING THE BEST YEARS OF YOUR LIFE TO THAT EMPLOYER YOU WERE TOLD WHEN YOU REACH THE AGE OF 50 OR 60 THAT THEY'D SPENT THE MONEY, YOUR CONTRIBUTIONS AS WELL, ON SOMETHING ELSE, SO YOU'D HAVE TO GET ALONG ON HALF THE PENSION THEY'D PROMISED YOU IN 1968.

IS IT ANY DIFFERENT WHEN THE GOVERNMENT DOES THIS TO EVERYBODY? AND THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT GOVERNMENT HAS DONE, AND IS STILL DOING. IF AUNT JANE GAVE YOU A SAVINGS BOND -- WHAT DO THEY CALL THEM NOW, PEACE BONDS? -- WHEN YOU GRADUATED FROM HIGH SCHOOL, LET ME BREAK THE BAD NEWS -- INTEREST AND ALL, IT ISN'T WORTH AS MUCH TODAY AS WHAT DEAR OLD AUNT JANE PAID FOR IT IN REAL PURCHASING POWER. IF IT'S WRONG FOR UNCLE SAM TO TAKE A FEW YEARS OUT OF A CITIZEN'S LIFE AT THE START OF IT, AS SOME YOUNG PEOPLE CLAIM, THEN IT'S CERTAINLY IMMORAL FOR UNCLE SAM
TO RUIN THE LAST FEW YEARS OF A CITIZEN'S LIFE BY STEALING HALF HIS SAVINGS. AND THAT'S WHAT INFLATION IS ALL ABOUT.

THE EXPERTS WARN WE'RE ON THE BRINK OF THE WORST WORLDWIDE FISCAL CRISIS SINCE 1931. I REMEMBER THAT AS WELL AS YOU REMEMBER YOUR FIRST DAY IN COLLEGE -- AND I DON'T WANT IT TO HAPPEN AGAIN. BUT IT CAN HAPPEN IF WE AS A NATION FAIL TO PUT OUR FISCAL HOUSE IN ORDER, IF WE HESITATE TOO LONG TO TAKE THE NASTY ECONOMIC MEDICINE EVERY DOCTOR HAS PRESCRIBED. THIS COUNTRY IS RICH AND PRODUCTIVE BEYOND THE WILDEST IMAGININGS OF THE MEN WHO BUILT THIS COLLEGE. OUR ECONOMY CAN "AFFORD" ANYTHING WE NEED AND MUCH THAT WE REALLY DON'T NEED, BUT IT CANNOT GIVE US EVERYTHING WE WANT AND EVERYTHING WE MUST HAVE AND DO ALL THESE THINGS AT THE SAME TIME. "MUST"

WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO SET PRIORITIES FOR OUR NATIONAL UNDERTAKINGS EXACTLY AS YOU
HAVE HAD TO SET PRIORITIES FOR STUDYING FOR FINALS, WRITING TERM PAPERS, DATING AND DANCING AND DEMONSTRATING AND WHATEVER ELSE SENIORS DO THESE DAYS. A SOUND DOLLAR DOESN'T TURN EVERYBODY ON EMOTIONALLY LIKE THE DEADLY WAR IN VIETNAM AND THE CRIME RAGING IN OUR CITIES, BUT IT TOO DEMANDS CITIZEN COMMITMENT AND ENLIGHTENED LEADERSHIP. IT TOO IS SOMETHING THE CLASS OF 1968 OUGHT TO GET INTO. WE ARE THE GOVERNMENT, YOU AND I. NOBODY IS GOING TO GET US OUT OF THIS MESS EXCEPT OURSELVES.

WHY DO I KEEP TELLING YOU TO GET COMMITTED, TO BECOME INVOLVED, NOT JUST TO GET WITH IT BUT TO GET INTO IT? I'VE VISITED 22 COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES ALREADY THIS YEAR AND I KNOW VERY WELL THAT'S JUST WHAT YOU INTEND TO DO. I AM PERFECTLY AWARE OF WHAT THIS GENERATION OF STUDENTS IS LIKE; I'M NOT CONFUSED BY THE ANTICS OF AN IMMATURE HANDFUL WHO BANG THEIR HEADS ON THE FLOOR OR THREATEN TO HOLD THEIR
BREATH IF THEY CAN'T HAVE THEIR WAY -- OR DON'T GET ENOUGH ATTENTION. I'M MORE IMPRESSED WITH SEN. MCCARTHY'S YOUNG VOLUNTEER WORKERS THAN I AM WITH HIS POLITICS, TO BE HONEST, BUT I'D RATHER YOU ALL WENT OUT AND WORKED TO ELECT DEMOCRATS THAN TO OUT TO STORM THE PENTAGON, DO BLOODY BATTLE WITH THE POLICE, OR SHOUT DOWN THOSE WITH WHOM YOU DISSENT.

YOU ARE GRADUATING FROM COLLEGE IN A PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN YEAR, AND WHILE THIS IS HIDDEN BY A LOT OF FUN AND HOOPLA THERE ARE GRAVE AND VITAL CHOICES TO BE MADE NEXT NOVEMBER THAT WILL AFFECT YOUR LIVES VERY DIRECTLY. DON'T JUST GET WITH IT -- GET INTO IT.

I RECENTLY GOT A LOOK AT A VERY EXTENSIVE SURVEY MADE BY THE GALLUP POLL FOR THE AMERICAN HERITAGE FOUNDATION ON THE ATTITUDES AND POLITICAL OPINIONS OF YOUNG AMERICANS AGED 21 THROUGH 29. MUCH OF IT HASN'T BEEN PUBLISHED, AND IT'S AS FULL OF SURPRISES AND CONTRADICTIONS
AS YOUTH ITSELF.

FIRST, YOUNG PEOPLE LIKE TO THINK OF THEMSELVES AS "INDEPENDENTS" IN POLITICS -- THIS CATEGORY OUTNUMBERS BOTH REPUBLICANS AND DEMOCRATS, AND AMONG COLLEGE GRADUATES IT AMOUNTS TO 44 PERCENT. YOUNG MEN ARE MORE INDEPENDENT THAN YOUNG WOMEN -- I'M SPEAKING STRICTLY OF POLITICS -- AND, CURIOUSLY, THOSE TRADITIONAL BASTIONS OF DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN STRENGTH, THE SOUTH AND MIDWEST, TURN OUT THE MOST INDEPENDENT 20-YEAR-OLDS.

IN THIS AGE GROUP TWO-THIRDS EXPRESSED CONSIDERABLE INTEREST IN POLITICS, AND THIS ROSE TO 80 PER CENT ON THE COLLEGE CAMPUSES. FORTY-ONE PERCENT SAID THEY'D BE WILLING TO VOLUNTEER FOR CAMPAIGN WORK AND 42 PER CENT WOULD KICK IN $5 FOR THEIR FAVORITE CANDIDATE OR PARTY ---- A LOT BETTER ON BOTH COUNTS THAN THEIR AFFLUENT AND COMPLACENT ELDERS.

BUT THEN WHEN IT COMES TO THE SIMPLEST
Test of political commitment -- voting in a presidential election -- you don't come off so well. Too often, "independent" really means "indifferent." When a record 65 percent of the eligible electorate voted in the cliffhanger Kennedy-Nixon contest of 1960, only 54 percent of those in their twenties turned out. In '64 the record was slightly worse.

This year, although it's early in the game, only 48 percent of those in the 21 to 29 bracket are registered to vote in the precinct where they live. For all their expressed interest, for all their involvement with exciting causes and for all their ideals, only about 13 million young Americans are actually expected to cast their ballots this year.

Sure, you get busy, doing your job, starting a home, moving around. But that's why I keep harping on my theme: Get into it, and stay in.
IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE WAY IT IS, DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. MAYBE YOU DON'T WANT TO MAKE PUBLIC SERVICE YOUR CAREER, OR EVER RUN FOR ELECTIVE OFFICE. BUT THERE ARE A MILLION WAYS EACH OF YOU CAN UPGRADE THE STANDARDS OF POLITICS AND THE QUALITY OF GOVERNMENT AT EVERY LEVEL. YOU CAN'T ALL BE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, IN SPITE OF WILLIAM AND MARY'S HEADSTART PROGRAM IN THIS RESPECT, BUT PROBABLY ALL OF YOU WHO WANT TO BADLY ENOUGH TO WORK AT IT COULD BE PRESIDENT OF A SCHOOL BOARD, OR CHAIRMAN OF A COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE. AND REMEMBER, IF YOU DON'T YOU CAN BE SURE SOME IDIOT WILL GET THE JOB AND DO EVERYTHING WRONG. NOBODY IS GOING TO GET US OUT OF THIS MESS EXCEPT OURSELVES. WE ARE THE GOVERNMENT, YOU AND I.

ONE FINAL WORD AS ONE WHO HAS SOME EXPERIENCE WITH MINORITY STATUS. I HOPE MINE IS TEMPORARY, BUT YOURS IS PERMANENT. YOU ARE ABOUT TO JOIN THE OLDEST MINORITY IN THE WORLD --
THOSE WHO HAVE THE BRAINS AND THE DISCIPLINES OF EDUCATION THAT ENABLE THEM TO GIVE CIVILIZATION A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN THEY TAKE FROM IT.

THE EDUCATIONAL EXPLOSION IN THIS COUNTRY HAS BEEN FAR MORE SIGNIFICANT THAN ANY NUCLEAR BLAST. IN 1900 ALL THE INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING IN AMERICA AWARDED 27,410 DEGREES, ONLY 5,237 TO WOMEN. WHEN I RECEIVED MY DIPLOMA AT ANN ARBOR, THE COMBINED CLASS OF 1935 WAS NEARLY FIVE TIMES THAT LARGE, TWO-FIFTHS OF THEM WOMEN. YOU ARE AMONG AN ESTIMATED 673,000 SENIORS GRADUATING THIS SPRING, ANOTHER FOURFOLD INCREASE. THERE STILL AREN'T QUITE ENOUGH GIRLS TO GO AROUND -- I THINK THEY SEE TO THAT.

BUT WE BACHELORS OF ARTS AND ALL LIVING AMERICANS WHO HAVE EARNED A COLLEGE DEGREE STILL AMOUNT TO ONLY ABOUT 11 PER CENT OF THE ADULT POPULATION. WE ARE STILL IN THE MINORITY
AND ARE LIKELY TO REMAIN THERE ALL OUR DAYS.
SO LET'S TAKE AS OUR MOTTO "BUILD, MAN, BUILD!"
INSTEAD OF "BURN, BABY, BURN.
LET'S BUILD ON THE SOLID TRIAD OF LAW,
LEARNING AND LIBERTY -- NOT FOR OUR ANCESTORS'
SAKES, BUT FOR OUR DESCENDENTS. LET'S NOT
QUENCH THE REBEL AND THE DISSENTER IN US BECAUSE
OUR FATHERS WERE REBELS AND DISSENTERS AND
SO WILL OUR CHILDREN BE. BUT LET'S BUILD
INSTEAD OF BURN, WORK INSTEAD OF WRECK AND HELP
INSTEAD OF HATE.

JOHN STEINBECK IN "THE GRAPES OF WRATH"
WROTE THAT, ALONE IN THE UNIVERSE, "MAN GROWS
BEYOND HIS WORK, WALKS UP THE STAIRS OF HIS
CONCEPTS, EMERGES AHEAD OF HIS ACCOMPLISHMENTS."

YOU HAVE FINISHED THE WORK OF THE
CLASSROOM, YOU HAVE SORTED OUT YOUR CONCEPTS,
AND NOW COMES THE TIME FOR ACCOMPLISHMENT.
COME OUT AHEAD, CLASS OF 1968, AND GOD
BE WITH YOU.

END
"LAW, LEARNING AND LIBERTY"

President Paschall, faculty and friends, parents and students, and members of the Class of 1968 of the College of William and Mary at the close of your two hundred and seventy fifth year, greetings and congratulations.

I am grateful indeed to be invited to this distinguished landmark of higher education in America, and doubly delighted to have been chosen by a student committee. In issuing and accepting this honor none of us could foresee the dark cloud of tragedy which has cast its shadow on the political scene and transformed today into a national day of mourning. Yet I think Senator Kennedy would have been the last to want to rob you of any of the joys of this long-awaited day -- not that my speech will be a joy -- but its message may encourage some of you to take the same vigorous part in public affairs which both John F. and Robert Kennedy did from their youth. The red thread of violence and intolerance, with its ugly knots of assassination and anarchy, which has run through all your student years, will not be severed by sorrowing nor untangled with tears.

In this serene setting, the evident fulfillment of the Founding Fathers' dream of domestic tranquillity, it is hard to remember that even college campuses have not all escaped what one writer calls "the neon glow of the age of comfort and violence." Therefore it's reassuring to me to know that this historic Sir Christopher Wren Building, the oldest administration building in the country, which has been sacked by British Redcoats, commandeered by French officers, burned by Union cavalrymen and fortified by Federal cannoneers, has never yet fallen to the student body.

I did note that Commencement Exercises for the Class of 1849 were suspended, according to the college records, "to give time for the excitement and prejudice to subside." This simply illustrates that anything Columbia University can do, William and Mary did a century or so sooner.

What that excitement was all about I'm not sure. In my day the chief causes of campus commotion were swallowing goldfish, or raiding the women's dormitories.

(more)
I understand the latter situation has been reversed nowadays, with the girls doing the raiding, and to me this seems to be real progress.

As for the goldfish swallowing -- I believe the record was 87 -- that appears to have passed quickly, proving only that what you put into your head in college is more important than what you put into your stomach.

You are probably all thoroughly fed up with observations about the antiquity of the College of William and Mary, and I shall limit my remarks on your alma mater's age to this reminder: the first poor people's movement of this country was across the Atlantic Ocean, across the mountains and the plains and the mountains, and it has never really ceased.

These poor people, these outcasts, these disadvantaged, these rebels against the old Establishment, brought very little with them, but what they did bring was precious and must be preserved. They brought, even as they protested its capricious abuse, an abiding respect for the rule of law -- they built themselves systems of orderly self-government even before they erected dry houses.

They brought, also, to this unknown and untamed wilderness, a profound appreciation of and insistence upon the disciplines of formal education. As they built crude log stockades they also built schoolrooms, and then opened them to the children of their savage enemies as well as their own.

Finally, this poor people's march half a world around carried with it a common commitment to the future, to the half-humanist, half-theological idea of the perfectability of society, the enlargement of freedom and the innate and unique worth of individual man.

The College of William and Mary stands pre-eminent in the nurture of these three transplants to the New World. Some of your students, Thomas Jefferson, John Marshall, Peyton Randolph and George Wythe, synthesized them into the foundations of our present political system. Each of you, as you receive your degrees this evening, represent the latest fruition of this anglo-American legacy of law, learning and liberty.

We hear a good deal these days about a "generation gap" which is supposed to cut off effective communication between, say, the Class of 1935 and the Class of 1968. I am not sure this is anything more profound than a very old complaint, papa isn't always listening when sonny is talking, and vice versa.

Youth and age -- or for that matter male and female -- have always grumbled that they weren't really understood by the other, and what a dull life it would be if we always were!

(more)
You can reach back into the past about 10 times as far as the founding of William and Mary, for instance, and find in the Proverbs of Solomon page after page of good advice from father to son, without any hint as to whether the son ever paid any attention to it.

One proverb that sticks in my mind, however -- and it is honestly the only thing I can now remember from my own baccalaureate -- goes like this:

"Wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom;
"And with all thy getting, get understanding."

Now this is pretty good counsel, coming from Solomon, who was reputed to be the wisest man of all time -- though I've always wondered how you could say that about anyone who had 700 wives and 300 playmates in one palace. You have to admit, though, that such a household does call for a lot of understanding.

I thought I'd see whether Solomon's advice to his son has any relevance for today's graduating seniors, so I asked one of my younger generation consultants, who still communicates fairly successfully, what it meant to him:

"Wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom;
"And with all thy getting, get understanding."

His answer was not long in coming.

"I guess that means, like, first you get with it; but don't just get with it -- get into it," is the way he translated it.

Not bad. I doubt that it will replace the King James rendering, or ever go very big in the Sunday Schools. But I'm going to toss it across Generation Gap to you today, from Solomon and me, 30 years or 30 centuries give or take a little, and hope you'll remember it:

"Don't just get with it -- get into it."

I can almost hear some of your fathers and mothers whispering: Now what on earth is he telling them -- they're already messing into a lot more things than they ought to be. We always heard that Jerry Ford was a nice, solid type and here he is talking like that!

And did I hear still another whisper?

Yeah, what have we got to get into -- the Army? Who do you think you're kidding about law and learning and liberty. We've had too much of the first, just about enough of the second, and not very much of the third. You and all the politicians who have been running things ever since we were born have really made a mess of it. So now you want us to get into it with you.

Well, we are in quite a mess, that's certain. I suppose I could duck this (more)
by saying I've been in the minority most of the years I've been in Congress, so
don't blame me. That won't do, of course. All adult Americans have to accept
responsibility, at least some responsibility, for the way things are; because as
Theodore Roosevelt put it bluntly:

"The government is us; we are the government, you and I."

Another President, who was also a professor, said it a longer way:

"Government," said Woodrow Wilson, "is merely an attempt to express the
conscience of everybody, the average conscience of the nation, in the rules that
everybody is commanded to obey. That is all it is. If the government is going
faster than the public conscience, it will presently have to pull up; if it is not
going as fast as the public conscience, it will presently have to be whipped up."

The truth of Wilson's definition is nowhere more evident than in the House
of Representatives, which is why serving there is so rewarding. Congress is often
the scapegoat for the sins of American society because Congress is the people in
microcosm. This year and every two years we go home to the people who sent us to
Washington and do what many of you have been doing -- seeing about a job. If they
like the way we've been acting for them and speaking up for them they hire us for
another two-year contract, but if they don't -- that's all, brother. If you don't
get government that serves you best out of this arrangement you at least get the
kind that serves you right, as good as you deserve.

But is this good enough to come to grips with today's challenges?

No, frankly, it is not.

Without waiting to strike a partisan note it's merely a fact of recent history
that my party gained 47 seats in the House two years ago. We needed reinforcements
badly, we rejoiced in the quantity, but even more in the quality of the men and
women who joined our ranks -- many of them not too far from their own college
commencements.

These hard-driving, enthusiastic and tough-minded young legislators not only
brought the insights and initiatives of a new breed of politician to our debates;
already they have left their mark on the Congress. Their extra votes and persistent
pressures helped to create a new code of official conduct for the House, to greatly
improve and strengthen anti-crime and consumer protection laws, to expose waste and
corruption in the poverty and foreign aid programs, to focus attention on urban
needs, to demand long overdue reforms in the machinery of Congress and the Executive
Branch, to mention only a few areas of their activity.

The younger men and women coming up in Washington are not only with it, they

(more)
are in it -- and we are waiting to welcome more. But obviously, it will require
more than better and bolder leadership from government to cope with the problems
that face us. If my generation has learned nothing else in the postgraduate school
of experience it must be that government can do only so much, that simply passing
laws that promise easy solutions and raising taxes to redistribute the great
wealth of this country cannot begin to cope with what are essentially moral and
individual problems; indeed, government action can compound them.

Look at the grave issues which face our nation and each of us today. All
of them, when you think about it seriously, are at the very core moral concerns.
The pros and cons of these questions are fundamentally moral arguments, and perhaps
this explains why debate has become so impassioned and even violent.

Take the war in Vietnam. This is a major national concern, probably the
chief concern of young Americans. Let there be no doubt on one point -- we all,
every one of us, would much rather make love than war. This war is unpopular, but
I doubt very much that the United States has ever had a really popular war -- even
the war with Spain had its aftermath of disillusionment. The year I was graduated
from the University of Michigan was the year Adolf Hitler seized all power in
Germany -- and my generation didn't like the prospect of war disrupting our lives
any more than yours. But the nation met that challenge successfully because
America's moral commitment to the cause of human decency was clear, and we saw it
clearly. We fought that war for you -- even though you didn't yet exist.

The moral dilemma of Vietnam is much more difficult. Its magnitude is
measured by the cruel fact that during just two weeks in May -- even with peace
talks going on -- more young Americans were killed in action in Vietnam than
William and Mary will graduate here today. It has become a cliche to say that we
are bogged down in a war we cannot win and cannot lose, that there is no way out.
This nation has the material strength and human resources to do anything it sets
its mind to; what is lacking is the clear moral commitment of our people to a
course of action that is both reasonable and right, and we have neither now.

The task of leadership is to set such a course; the task of citizens is to
make such a commitment. In one way or another, I say to the Class of 1968 to get
into it. We are the government, you and I. Nobody is going to get us out of this
mess except ourselves.

Take crime and lawlessness, riots and disorders. Already, this looms as a
larger national issue than the war, and already it directly affects more Americans.
Half the women in this country are afraid to walk alone at night in their own
(more)
neighborhoods -- and no wonder, someone is murdered in cold blood every 41 minutes and a sexual attack occurs every 19 minutes, on the average.

The crime rate is rising eight times as fast as the population. In the time I've been talking to you there have been six robberies and nine aggravated assaults somewhere in America. They say in Washington it's so bad even the purse-snatchers and muggers only walk in pairs.

Every other American now owns a gun, and admits it. More alarming, only last August only 27% of these gun-owners said they would use their weapon against another person in the event of a riot; now 67% say they'd shoot under such circumstances. Untouched by the pollsters are those who are killing just for kicks, or from mentally sick motives.

Of course there's a difference between ordinary criminal activity and the riots and arson and looting that swept more than 125 cities in one week last April. But the distinction is not so precise as one might think; it was one "ordinary" crime -- murder -- that triggered the "extra-ordinary" racial explosion that followed; and that spasm of anarchy and destruction was composed of thousands of instances of the "ordinary" crimes of burglary, theft, arson, assault and murder.

What all these forms of lawlessness and violence have in common, whether in a dark alley or in front of newsreel cameras in broad daylight or in the academic halls of ivy, is the breakdown of the moral values and the boundaries around behavior by which society justifies and protects itself.

Thus this national concern over crime is also, at the core, a moral matter. It has been proved time and again that Congress cannot legislate morality; only in an imperfect sense can it reinforce and define, as Wilson said, the average conscience of the nation. To say that government is based upon the consent of the governed is to stop too short; it is not enough that a majority of citizens consent to a law, and agree to obey it themselves. That same preponderance of the people must commit themselves to the moral principle that law must be obeyed.

The task of leadership is to translate the national conscience into effective laws and to enforce those laws firmly but fairly against offenders. The task of citizens is make their broad commitment to the rule of law clear and unequivocal and to use the ready electoral, legislative and judicial mechanisms to change such specific laws as seem to them unwise or unjust. So I say again to the Class of 1968, get into it. We are the government, you and I. Nobody is going to get us out of this mess except ourselves.

The last great national concern I shall mention today is inflation, and you
may wonder how I am going to make economics a moral issue. Your professors and parents are perhaps more troubled by continuously rising prices, rising taxes, rising interest rates than you have been. The dollar drain has been, for some of you, a problem best solved by a collect phone call to Daddy. And even when you're holding down a job in college, if I remember rightly, you don't hang onto any dollar bill long enough to realize it's losing its value.

But I also suspect a lot of you, in considering which job looks best, have had occasion to compare the fringe benefits and various pension plans and profit-sharing systems which today's employers use to attract the ablest graduates and keep them after they're on the payroll. Maybe you figured your career would be brighter with one company rather than another, even though the starting salary was a little less, because of these provisions for your future security and that of your family.

You wouldn't have any doubt that it was a moral issue if, after giving the best years of your life to that employer, you were told when you reach the age of 50 or 60 that they'd spent the money, your contributions as well, on something else, so you'd have to get along on half the pension they'd promised you in 1968.

Is it any different when the government does this to everybody? And this is exactly what government has done, and is still doing. If Aunt Jane gave you a savings bond -- what do they call them now, Peace Bonds? -- when you graduated from high school, let me break the bad news -- interest and all, it isn't worth as much today as what the old dear paid for it in real purchasing power. If it's wrong for Uncle Sam to take a few years out of a citizen's life at the start of it, as some young people claim, then it's certainly immoral for Uncle Sam to ruin the last few years of a citizen's life by stealing half his savings. And that's what inflation is all about.

The experts warn we're on the brink of the worst worldwide fiscal crisis since 1931. I remember that as well as you remember your first day in college -- and I don't want it to happen again. But it can happen if we as a nation fail to put our fiscal house in order, if we hesitate too long to take the nasty economic medicine every doctor has prescribed. This country is rich and productive beyond the wildest imaginings of the men who built this college. Our economy can "afford" anything we need and much that we really don't need, but it cannot give us everything we want and everything we must have and do all these things at the same time.

We are going to have to set priorities for our national undertakings exactly as you have had to set priorities for studying for finals, writing term papers, dating and dancing and demonstrating and whatever else seniors do these days. A
sound dollar doesn't turn everybody on emotionally like the deadly war in Vietnam and the crime raging in our cities, but it too demands citizen commitment and enlightened leadership. It too is something the Class of 1968 ought to get into. We are the government, you and I. Nobody is going to get us out of this mess except ourselves.

Why do I keep telling you to get committed, to become involved, not just to get with it but to get into it? I've visited 22 college university campuses already this year and I know very well that's just what you intend to do. I am perfectly aware what this generation of students is like and I'm not confused by the antics of an immature handful who bang their heads on the floor or threaten to hold their breath if they can't have their way -- or don't get enough attention. I'm more impressed with Sen. McCarthy's volunteer workers than I am with his politics, to be honest, but I'd rather you all went out and worked to elect Democrats than go out to storm the Pentagon, do bloody battle with the police, or shout down those with whom you dissent.

You are graduating from college in a Presidential campaign year, and while this is hidden by a lot of fun and hoopla there are grave and vital choices to be made next November that will affect your lives very directly. Don't just get with it -- get into it.

I recently got a look at a very extensive survey made by the Gallup Poll for the American Heritage Foundation on the attitudes and political opinions of young Americans aged 21 through 29. Much of it hasn't been published, and it's as full of surprises and contradictions as youth itself.

First, young people like to think of themselves as "Independents" in politics -- this category outnumbers both Republicans and Democrats and among college graduates it amounts to 44 per cent. Young men are more independent than young women -- I'm speaking strictly of politics -- and, curiously, those traditional bastions of Democratic and Republican strength, the South and Midwest, turn out the most independent 20-year-olds.

In this age group two-thirds expressed considerable interest in politics, and this rose to 80 percent on the college campuses. Forty-one percent said they'd be willing to volunteer for campaign work and 42 percent would kick in $5 for their favorite candidate or party -- a lot better on both counts than their affluent and complacent elders.

But then when it comes to the simplest test of political commitment -- voting in a Presidential election -- you don't come off so well. Too often, "Independent"
really means "Indifferent." When a record 65 percent of the eligible electorate voted in the cliffhanger Kennedy-Nixon contest of 1960, only 54 percent of those in their Twenties turned out. In '64 the record was slightly worse.

This year, although it's early in the game, only 48 percent of those in the 21 to 29 bracket are registered to vote in the precinct where they live. For all their expressed interest, for all their involvement with exciting causes and for all their ideals, only about 13 million young Americans are actually expected to cast their ballots this year.

Sure, you get busy, doing your job, starting a home, moving around. But that's why I keep harping on my theme: Get into it, and stay in.

If you don't like the way it is, do something about it. Maybe you don't want to make public service your career, or ever run for elective office. But there are a million ways each of you can upgrade the standards of politics and the quality of government at every level. You can't all be President of the United States, in spite of William & Mary's headstart program in this respect, but probably all of you who want to badly enough to work at it could be president of a school board, or chairman of a county central committee. And remember, if you don't you can be sure some idiot will get the job and do everything wrong. Nobody is going to get us out of this mess except ours else. We are the government, you and I.

One final word as one who has some experience with minority status. I hope mine is temporary, but yours is permanent. You are about to join the oldest minority in the world -- those who have the brains and the disciplines of education that enable them to give civilization a little bit more than they take from it.

The educational explosion in this country has been far more significant than any nuclear blast. In 1900 all the institutions of higher learning in America awarded 27,410 degrees, only 5,237 of them to women. When I received my diploma at Ann Arbor, the combined Class of 1935 was nearly five times that large, two-fifth: of them women. You are among an estimated 673,000 seniors graduating this Spring, another fourfold increase. There still aren't quite enough girls to go around -- I think they see to that.

But we Bachelors of Arts and all living Americans who have earned a college degree still amount to only about 11 percent of the adult population. We are still in the minority and are likely to remain there all our days. So let's take as our motto "Build, Man, Build!" instead of "Burn, Baby, Burn."

Let's build on the solid triad of law, learning and liberty -- not for our ancestors' sakes, but for our descendents. Let's not quench the rebel and the
dissenter in us because our fathers were rebels and dissenters and so will our children be. But let's build instead of burn, work instead of wreck and help instead of hate.

Unlike any other thing in the Universe, John Steinbeck wrote in The Grapes of Wrath, "man grows beyond his work, walks up the stairs of his concepts, emerges ahead of his accomplishments."

You have finished the work of the classroom, you have chosen and sorted out your concepts, and now comes the time for accomplishment.

Come out ahead, Class of 1968, and God be with you.

# # #
President Paschall, faculty and friends, parents and students, and members of the Class of 1968 of the College of William and Mary at the close of your two hundred and seventy-fifth year, greetings and congratulations.

I am grateful indeed to be invited to this distinguished landmark of higher education in America, and doubly delighted to have been chosen by a student committee. In issuing and accepting this honor none of us could foresee the dark cloud of tragedy which has cast its shadow on the political scene and transformed today into a national day of mourning. Yet I think Senator Kennedy would have been the last to want to rob you of any of the joys of this long-awaited day -- not that my speech will be a joy -- but its message may encourage some of you to take the same vigorous part in public affairs which both John F. and Robert Kennedy did from their youth. The red thread of violence and intolerance, with its ugly knots of assassination and anarchy, which has run through all your student years, will not be severed by sorrowing nor untangled with tears.

In this serene setting, the evident fulfillment of the Founding Fathers' dream of domestic tranquility, it is hard to remember that even college campuses have not all escaped what one writer calls "the neon glow of the age of comfort and violence." Therefore it's reassuring to me to know that this historic Sir Christopher Wren Building, the oldest administration building in the country, which has been sacked by British Redcoats, commandeered by French officers, burned by Union cavalrmen and fortified by Federal cannoneers, has never yet fallen to the student body.

I did note that Commencement Exercises for the Class of 1849 were suspended, according to the college records, "to give time for the excitement and prejudice to subside." This simply illustrates that anything Columbia University can do, William and Mary did a century or so sooner.

What that excitement was all about I'm not sure. In my day the chief causes of campus commotion were swallowing goldfish, or raiding the women's dormitories.

(more)
I understand the latter situation has been reversed nowadays, with the girls doing
the raiding, and to me this seems to be real progress.

As for the goldfish swallowing -- I believe the record was 87 -- that appears
to have passed quickly, proving only that what you put into your head in college
is more important than what you put into your stomach.

You are probably all thoroughly fed up with observations about the antiquity
of the College of William and Mary, and I shall limit my remarks on your alma
mater's age to this reminder: the first poor people's movement of this country was
across the Atlantic Ocean, across the mountains and the plains and the mountains,
and it has never really ceased.

These poor people, these outcasts, these disadvantaged, these rebels against
the old Establishment, brought very little with them, but what they did bring was
precious and must be preserved. They brought, even as they protested its capricious
abuse, an abiding respect for the rule of law -- they built themselves systems of
orderly self-government even before they erected dry houses.

They brought, also, to this unknown and untamed wilderness, a profound
appreciation of and insistence upon the disciplines of formal education. As they
built crude log stockades they also built schoolrooms, and then opened them to the
children of their savage enemies as well as their own.

Finally, this poor people's march half a world around carried with it a
common commitment to the future, to the half-humanist, half-theological idea of
the perfectability of society, the enlargement of freedom and the innate and unique
worth of individual man.

The College of William and Mary stands pre-eminent in the nurture of these
three transplants to the New World. Some of your students, Thomas Jefferson, John
Marshall, Peyton Randolph and George Wythe, synthesized them into the foundations
of our present political system. Each of you, as you receive your degrees this
evening, represent the latest fruition of this anglo-American legacy of law,
learning and liberty.

We hear a good deal these days about a "generation gap" which is supposed to
cut off effective communication between, say, the Class of 1935 and the Class of
1968. I am not sure this is anything more profound than a new name for a very old
complaint, papa isn't always listening when sonny is talking, and vice versa.
Youth and age -- or for that matter male and female -- have always grumbled that
they weren't really understood by the other, and what a dull life it would be if
we always were!

(more)
You can reach back into the past about 10 times as far as the founding of William and Mary, for instance, and find in the Proverbs of Solomon page after page of good advice from father to son, without any hint as to whether the son ever paid any attention to it.

One proverb that sticks in my mind, however -- and it is honestly the only thing I can now remember from my own baccalaureate -- goes like this:

"Wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom;
"And with all thy getting, get understanding."

Now this is pretty good counsel, coming from Solomon, who was reputed to be the wisest man of all time -- though I've always wondered how you could say that about anyone who had 700 wives and 300 playmates in one palace. You have to admit, though, that such a household does call for a lot of understanding.

I thought I'd see whether Solomon's advice to his son has any relevance for today's graduating seniors, so I asked one of my younger generation consultants, who still communicates fairly successfully, what it meant to him:

"Wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom;
"And with all thy getting, get understanding."

His answer was not long in coming.

"I guess that means, like, first you get with it; but don't just get with it -- get into it," is the way he translated it.

Not bad. I doubt that it will replace the King James rendering, or ever go very big in the Sunday Schools. But I'm going to toss it across Generation Gap to you today, from Solomon and me, 30 years or 30 centuries give or take a little, and hope you'll remember it:

"Don't just get with it -- get into it."

I can almost hear some of your fathers and mothers whispering: Now what on earth is he telling them -- they're already messing into a lot more things than they ought to be. We always heard that Jerry Ford was a nice, solid type and here he is talking like that!

And did I hear still another whisper?

Yeah, what have we got to get into -- the Army? Who do you think you're kidding about law and learning and liberty. We've had too much of the first, just about enough of the second, and not very much of the third. You and all the politicians who have been running things ever since we were born have really made a mess of it. So now you want us to get into it with you.

Well, we are in quite a mess, that's certain. I suppose I could duck this (more)
by saying I've been in the minority most of the years I've been in Congress, so
don't blame me. That won't do, of course. All adult Americans have to accept
responsibility, at least some responsibility, for the way things are; because as
Theodore Roosevelt put it bluntly:

"The government is us; we are the government, you and I."

Another President, who was also a professor, said it a longer way:

"Government," said Woodrow Wilson, "is merely an attempt to express the
conscience of everybody, the average conscience of the nation, in the rules that
everybody is commanded to obey. That is all it is. If the government is going
faster than the public conscience, it will presently have to pull up; if it is not
going as fast as the public conscience, it will presently have to be whipped up."

The truth of Wilson's definition is nowhere more evident than in the House
of Representatives, which is why serving there is so rewarding. Congress is often
the scapegoat for the sins of American society because Congress is the people in
microcosm. This year and every two years we go home to the people who sent us to
Washington and do what many of you have been doing -- seeing about a job. If they
like the way we've been acting for them and speaking up for them they hire us for
another two-year contract, but if they don't -- that's all, brother. If you don't
get government that serves you best out of this arrangement you at least get the
kind that serves you right, as good as you deserve.

But is this good enough to come to grips with today's challenges?

No, frankly, it is not.

Without wanting to strike a partisan note it's merely a fact of recent history
that my party gained 47 seats in the House two years ago. We needed reinforcements
badly, we rejoiced in the quantity, but even more in the quality of the men and
women who joined our ranks -- many of them not too far from their own college
commencements.

These hard-driving, enthusiastic and tough-minded young legislators not only
brought the insights and initiatives of a new breed of politician to our debates;
already they have left their mark on the Congress. Their extra votes and persistent
pressures helped to create a new code of official conduct for the House, to greatly
improve and strengthen anti-crime and consumer protection laws, to expose waste and
corruption in the poverty and foreign aid programs, to focus attention on urban
needs, to demand long overdue reforms in the machinery of Congress and the Executive
Branch, to mention only a few areas of their activity.

The younger men and women coming up in Washington are not only with it, they
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are in it -- and we are waiting to welcome more. But obviously, it will require
more than better and bolder leadership from government to cope with the problems
that face us. If my generation has learned nothing else in the postgraduate school
of experience it must be that government can do only so much, that simply passing
laws that promise easy solutions and raising taxes to redistribute the great
wealth of this country cannot begin to cope with what are essentially moral and
individual problems; indeed, government action can compound them.

Look at the grave issues which face our nation and each of us today. All
of them, when you think about it seriously, are at the very core moral concerns.
The pros and cons of these questions are fundamentally moral arguments, and perhaps
this explains why debate has become so impassioned and even violent.

Take the war in Vietnam. This is a major national concern, probably the
chief concern of young Americans. Let there be no doubt on one point -- we all,
every one of us, would much rather make love than war. This war is unpopular, but
I doubt very much that the United States has ever had a really popular war -- even
the war with Spain had its aftermath of disillusionment. The year I was graduated
from the University of Michigan was the year Adolf Hitler seized all power in
Germany -- and my generation didn't like the prospect of war disrupting our lives
any more than yours. But the nation met that challenge successfully because
America's moral commitment to the cause of human decency was clear, and we saw it
clearly. We fought that war for you -- even though you didn't yet exist.

The moral dilemma of Vietnam is much more difficult. Its magnitude is
measured by the cruel fact that during just two weeks in May -- even with peace
talks going on -- more young Americans were killed in action in Vietnam than
William and Mary will graduate here today. It has become a cliche to say that we
are bogged down in a war we cannot win and cannot lose, that there is no way out.
This nation has the material strength and human resources to do anything it sets
its mind to; what is lacking is the clear moral commitment of our people to a
course of action that is both reasonable and right, and we have neither now.

The task of leadership is to set such a course; the task of citizens is to
make such a commitment. In one way or another, I say to the Class of 1968 to get
into it. We are the government, you and I. Nobody is going to get us out of this
mess except ourselves.

Take crime and lawlessness, riots and disorders. Already, this looms as a
larger national issue than the war, and already it directly affects more Americans.
Half the women in this country are afraid to walk alone at night in their own
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neighborhoods -- and no wonder, someone is murdered in cold blood every 41 minutes and a sexual attack occurs every 19 minutes, on the average.

The crime rate is rising eight times as fast as the population. In the time I've been talking to you there have been six robberies and nine aggravated assaults somewhere in America. They say in Washington it's so bad even the purse-snatchers and muggers only walk in pairs.

Every other American now owns a gun, and admits it. More alarming, only last August only 27% of these gun-owners said they would use their weapon against another person in the event of a riot; now 67% say they'd shoot under such circumstances. Untouched by the pollsters are those who are killing just for kicks, or from mentally sick motives.

Of course there's a difference between ordinary criminal activity and the riots and arson and looting that swept more than 125 cities in one week last April. But the distinction is not so precise as one might think; it was one "ordinary" crime -- murder -- that triggered the "extra-ordinary" racial explosion that followed; and that spasm of anarchy and destruction was composed of thousands of instances of the "ordinary" crimes of burglary, theft, arson, assault and murder.

What all these forms of lawlessness and violence have in common, whether in a dark alley or in front of newsreel cameras in broad daylight or in the academic halls of ivy, is the breakdown of the moral values and the boundaries around behavior by which society justifies and protects itself.

Thus this national concern over crime is also, at the core, a moral matter. It has been proved time and again that Congress cannot legislate morality; only in an imperfect sense can it reinforce and define, as Wilson said, the average conscience of the nation. To say that government is based upon the consent of the governed is to stop too short; it is not enough that a majority of citizens consent to a law, and agree to obey it themselves. That same preponderance of the people must commit themselves to the moral principle that law must be obeyed.

The task of leadership is to translate the national conscience into effective laws and to enforce those laws firmly but fairly against offenders. The task of citizens is make their broad commitment to the rule of law clear and unequivocal and to use the ready electoral, legislative and judicial mechanisms to change such specific laws as seem to them unwise or unjust. So I say again to the Class of 1968, get into it. We are the government, you and I. Nobody is going to get us out of this mess except ourselves.

The last great national concern I shall mention today is inflation, and you (more)
may wonder how I am going to make economics a moral issue. Your professors and
parents are perhaps more troubled by continuously rising prices, rising taxes, rising
interest rates than you have been. The dollar drain has been, for some of you, a
problem best solved by a collect phone call to Daddy. And even when you're holding
down a job in college, if I remember rightly, you don't hang onto any dollar bill
long enough to realize it's losing its value.

But I also suspect a lot of you, in considering which job looks best, have
had occasion to compare the fringe benefits and various pension plans and profit­
sharing systems which today's employers use to attract the ablest graduates and keep
them after they're on the payroll. Maybe you figured your career would be brighter
with one company rather than another, even though the starting salary was a little
less, because of these provisions for your future security and that of your family.

You wouldn't have any doubt that it was a moral issue if, after giving the
best years of your life to that employer, you were told when you reach the age of
50 or 60 that they'd spent the money, your contributions as well, on something else,
so you'd have to get along on half the pension they'd promised you in 1968.

Is it any different when the government does this to everybody? And this
is exactly what government has done, and is still doing. If Aunt Jane gave you a
savings bond -- what do they call them now, Peace Bonds? -- when you graduated
from high school, let me break the bad news -- interest and all, it isn't worth as
much today as what the old dear paid for it in real purchasing power. If it's
wrong for Uncle Sam to take a few years out of a citizen's life at the start of it,
as some young people claim, then it's certainly immoral for Uncle Sam to ruin the
last few years of a citizen's life by stealing half his savings. And that's what
inflation is all about.

The experts warn we're on the brink of the worst worldwide fiscal crisis
since 1931. I remember that as well as you remember your first day in college --
and I don't want it to happen again. But it can happen if we as a nation fail to
put our fiscal house in order, if we hesitate too long to take the nasty economic
medicine every doctor has prescribed. This country is rich and productive beyond
the wildest imaginings of the men who built this college. Our economy can "afford"
anything we need and much that we really don't need, but it cannot give us every­
thing we want and everything we must have and do all these things at the same time.

We are going to have to set priorities for our national undertakings exactly
as you have had to set priorities for studying for finals, writing term papers,
dating and dancing and demonstrating and whatever else seniors do these days. A
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sound dollar doesn't turn everybody on emotionally like the deadly war in Vietnam and the crime raging in our cities, but it too demands citizen commitment and enlightened leadership. It too is something the Class of 1968 ought to get into.

We are the government, you and I. Nobody is going to get us out of this mess except ourselves.

Why do I keep telling you to get committed, to become involved, not just to get with it but to get into it? I've visited 22 college university campuses already this year and I know very well that's just what you intend to do. I am perfectly aware what this generation of students is like and I'm not confused by the antics of an immature handful who bang their heads on the floor or threaten to hold their breath if they can't have their way -- or don't get enough attention. I'm more impressed with Sen. McCarthy's volunteer workers than I am with his politics, to be honest, but I'd rather you all went out and worked to elect Democrats than go out to storm the Pentagon, do bloody battle with the police, or shout down those with whom you dissent.

You are graduating from college in a Presidential campaign year, and while this is hidden by a lot of fun and hoopla there are grave and vital choices to be made next November that will affect your lives very directly. Don't just get with it -- get into it.

I recently got a look at a very extensive survey made by the Gallup Poll for the American Heritage Foundation on the attitudes and political opinions of young Americans aged 21 through 29. Much of it hasn't been published, and it's as full of surprises and contradictions as youth itself.

First, young people like to think of themselves as "Independents" in politics -- this category outnumbers both Republicans and Democrats, and among college graduates it amounts to 44 per cent. Young men are more independent than young women -- I'm speaking strictly of politics -- and, curiously, those traditional bastions of Democratic and Republican strength, the South and Midwest, turn out the most independent 20-year-olds.

In this age group two-thirds expressed considerable interest in politics, and this rose to 80 percent on the college campuses. Forty-one percent said they'd be willing to volunteer for campaign work and 42 percent would kick in $5 for their favorite candidate or party -- a lot better on both counts than their affluent and complacent elders.

But then when it comes to the simplest test of political commitment -- voting in a Presidential election -- you don't come off so well. Too often, "Independent"
really means "Indifferent." When a record 65 percent of the eligible electorate voted in the cliffhanger Kennedy-Nixon contest of 1952, only 54 percent of those in their Twenties turned out. In '64 the record was slightly worse.

This year, although it's early in the game, only 48 percent of those in the 21 to 29 bracket are registered to vote in the precinct where they live. For all their expressed interest, for all their involvement with exciting causes and for all their ideals, only about 13 million young Americans are actually expected to cast their ballots this year.

Sure, you get busy, doing your job, starting a home, moving around. But that's why I keep harping on my theme: Get into it, and stay in.

If you don't like the way it is, do something about it. Maybe you don't want to make public service your career, or ever run for elective office. But there are a million ways each of you can upgrade the standards of politics and the quality of government at every level. You can't all be President of the United States, in spite of William & Mary's headstart program in this respect, but probably all of you who want to badly enough to work at it could be president of a school board, or chairman of a county central committee. And remember, if you don't you can be sure some idiot will get the job and do everything wrong. Nobody is going to get us out of this mess except ourselves. We are the government, you and I.

One final word as one who has some experience with minority status. I hope mine is temporary, but yours is permanent. You are about to join the oldest minority in the world -- those who have the brains and the disciplines of education that enable them to give civilization a little bit more than they take from it.

The educational explosion in this country has been far more significant than any nuclear blast. In 1900 all the institutions of higher learning in America awarded 27,410 degrees, only 5,237 of them to women. When I received my diploma at Ann Arbor, the combined Class of 1935 was nearly five times that large, two-fifths of them women. You are among an estimated 673,000 seniors graduating this Spring, another fourfold increase. There still aren't quite enough girls to go around -- I think they see to that.

But we Bachelors of Arts and all living Americans who have earned a college degree still amount to only about 11 percent of the adult population. We are still in the minority and are likely to remain there all our days. So let's take as our motto "Build, Man, Build!" instead of "Burn, Baby, Burn."

Let's build on the solid triad of law, learning and liberty -- not for our ancestors' sakes, but for our descendents. Let's not quench the rebel and the (more)
dissenter in us because our fathers were rebels and dissenters and so will our children be. But let's build instead of burn, work instead of wreck and help instead of hate.

Unlike any other thing in the Universe, John Steinbeck wrote in *The Grapes of Wrath*, "man grows beyond his work, walks up the stairs of his concepts, emerges ahead of his accomplishments."

You have finished the work of the classroom, you have chosen and sorted out your concepts, and now comes the time for accomplishment.

Come out ahead, Class of 1968, and God be with you.

# # #