
Some people don't even learn from experience. You take the 1966 congressional election. It clearly showed that the voters of America were fed up with a rubber-stamp Congress and one-man government. But the word apparently hasn't filtered through to Lyndon B. Johnson. He still wants to run the show all by himself. At this moment, he has to be called the greatest obstacle to progress in America.

Mr. Johnson is an obstacle to progress because he is mistaken in his ideas about how the Federal government should be run, and he is mistaken in his ideas about how to achieve progress in America.

For example, Mr. Johnson insists that the burden of a 10 per cent income tax surcharge be laid on the backs of the American taxpayer and consumer. He refuses to admit there is a better way to handle the fiscal mess he has made since taking office--the way of spending cuts.

If it is possible to cut Federal spending, Mr. Johnson says, he doesn't want any help from the Congress. In fact, he is asking his Great Society friends in the United States Senate to restore every penny of the $4 billion cut from his spending requests by the House.

If there are going to be any spending cuts, Mr. Johnson says, he and he alone will make them. This raises the question, why have a Congress at all? By any other name, this is one-man government.

The American people can congratulate themselves for having changed the makeup of the House of Representatives in 1966. With a net gain in the House of 47 Republicans, the people took a step away from one-man rule and toward restoration of the balance of power envisioned by our founding fathers.

There is room for improvement, of course, and we hope to complete the process in the election of 1968.

* * *

Americans are great phrase-makers. They like catch-phrases, and they have latched on to one with just two words in it--the "credibility gap." How did it get started? I really don't know. Republicans didn't invent it. It just happens to fit the Johnson Administration.

(more)
The phrase, "credibility gap," traces to Mr. Johnson's penchant for secrecy and his unwillingness to give Americans the plain unvarnished truth about their government and its problems.

The credibility gap lies back of the uneasiness and uncertainty the American people feel about Mr. Johnson's Vietnam policy. They are never really sure just what that policy is or how the war is going, and they remember how Mr. Johnson eased this country into the Vietnam War without really letting the American people know what was happening. It has been a one-man show.

Congress belatedly realized this, and that is why there was an explosive reaction on Capitol Hill to Mr. Johnson's dispatch of three U.S. planes to the Congo in early July. Members of Congress had finally awakened to the way Mr. Johnson operates his one-man government--getting this country involved in a foreign mess and then giving Congress a convenient explanation as an afterthought.

In the case of the Congo, the Johnson Administration offered Congress three different explanations in the space of 72 hours. Why repeat those explanations here? We still don't know which one was valid, if any. Small wonder the American people don't trust the Johnson Administration.

***

The American people are keenly aware of the Credibility Gap and of the dangers of one-man government. They know, too, that it is the Republican Party which stands for a healthy balance in government--for a proper sharing of responsibilities among the Federal, state and local governments.

They know that it was Republicans in the House who insisted that federal grants under the House-approved Law Enforcement Assistance Act of 1967 be channeled through the states, that it was Republicans who won congressional approval for a shift in administration of the Teacher Corps to the states and universities, that it is Republicans who would shift Federal aid away from categorical grants tangled in red tape and Federal rules and regulations, to tax credits or percentage tax-sharing with a maximum of state and local responsibility and say-so.

This is the way of the future--the way to healthy and progressive government at all levels. This is the way the people pointed to in 1966 and the New Direction they will take in 1968. It is the way for the South as well as the rest of the country because the people of the South now recognize that Republicans best represent them and best express their philosophy of government. It is a better way--a far, far better way--than LBJ.
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