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CONGRESSMAN
GERALD R. FORD
HOUSE REPUBLICAN LEADER

For release December 20, 1965


In the final weeks of the past session about 30 House Republicans introduced bills to establish such a Commission on a bi-partisan basis. "The multiplication of Federal programs and the pyramiding of Federal agencies as a result of legislation adopted by the first session of the 89th Congress emphasizes the need for taking a new and thorough look at the present organization of the Executive Branch," Ford said.

In his statement, the Republican Leader pointed out that the two earlier Hoover commissions, in the finest bi-partisan tradition, produced substantial reforms. In the ten years since the second Commission made its report, Government has grown. Federal employment has risen by more than 100,000. Total Federal expenditure has increased by $57 billion. Vast new agencies and functions have come into being.

A recent survey indicates that in 1965 eight Federal departments and agencies had paper work totaling 2½ billion pieces at a total cost of $10 million.

There are now 42 separate Federal departments, agencies and bureaus involved in education programs. Urban programs are found in six Federal departments and the Housing and Home Finance Agency. In the field of natural resources, four Federal departments and numerous independent offices and commissions are involved.

There are at least 252 welfare programs today. These include 52 separate Federal economic aid programs, 57 job-training programs, and 50 Federal programs to improve health. The handicapped have 39 separate programs available to them, and the needy are offered legal aid in 12 different programs.

Such statistics make one wonder how many of these programs overlap. Is there coordination within each field? Are worthy projects being neglected at the expense of less important programs? Are these programs being coordinated with State and local agencies, not to mention private organizations? The rush to enact many of these programs into law has resulted in utter confusion. The Administration, for example, is unable to give any estimate of the number of new employees it will take to implement the programs enacted in 1965.

The Executive Branch of the Federal Government has become the dark continent of American politics. There is a need for an exploration of its organization and workings, looking toward thorough reorganization.
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February 17, 1966

General Dwight D. Eisenhower
Indio, California

Dear General Eisenhower:

At a recent meeting of the House Republican Policy Committee, the enclosed statement urging the establishment of a new, independent, bipartisan commission, patterned after the two distinguished Hoover Commissions, was adopted. As this statement indicates, we believe there is an urgent need for such a commission. In order to emphasize the importance of this commission and to ensure its success, we would like now to recommend and urge that you be appointed chairman of this commission.

Your experience in the Office of the President and your deep interest in the reorganization of the Executive Department and the implementation of the various recommendations of the first and second Hoover Commissions underscore the fact that you would be an excellent choice for chairman. Also, we have noted with great interest the recommendations for the reorganization of the Executive Department that you have included in your recent book, "Waging Peace - The White House Years - 1956-1961." As you have stated in this book, "Having lived all of my adult years with problems of organization, it was natural that in the White House I should give attention to the possibility of improving organization and management at higher government levels."

Certainly, your experience and ideas regarding this most important matter should not be wasted. As chairman of a new Hoover-type commission, you could do much to bring these ideas to fruition. We know an overwhelming majority of the American people would applaud your selection for this important task.

Therefore, with your permission, and your health permitting, we would like to recommend to the President and to the American people that you be designated as chairman of a commission that would study and recommend essential reorganization and reform in the Executive Branch of our government.

We hope that you are continuing to have a speedy recovery and that you can be present at the next Coordinating Committee meeting in Washington, D.C. on March 28. We need your wise counsel and guidance.

With every good wish.

Sincerely yours,

s/Gerald R. Ford, M.C.
s/Les Arends, M.C.
s/Melvin R. Laird, M.C.

(over)
s/John J. Rhodes, M.C.
s/Charles Goodell, M.C.
s/Bob Wilson, M.C.
Dear Gerry:

I am more than complimented by your suggestion that I be considered for the Chairmanship of a projected and new-type "Hoover Commission." I personally believe that the work of Mr. Hoover's two Commissions was highly beneficial and I agree with the conclusion that you and your associates in the House Republican Leadership have reached that the proliferation of bureaucratic agencies in the past five years clearly indicates the desirability of a new bipartisan and completely disinterested study of this development.

In considering your suggestion I have a very definite problem. My doctors have urged that I cut down materially on activities that require close attention and supervision of administrative activity. Since I, by nature, dislike intensely to participate in anything to which I cannot give my very best and continuous efforts, I rather think it would be unwise for me to undertake such a position even if it should be tendered me. Moreover, the Congress may well wish to look elsewhere for a Chairman.

All of which does not lessen my sense of pride that you and your associates should consider that I might have special qualifications for such an important task.

With warm personal regard,

Sincerely,

The Honorable Gerald Ford
Office of the Minority Leader
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C.

s/ Dwight D. Eisenhower
Former President Dwight D. Eisenhower had high praise for a House Republican-sponsored plan to establish a new Hoover Commission on Government Reorganization but declined the GOP suggestion that he head the new Commission because of his health.

In an exchange of correspondence released today by House Republican Leader Gerald R. Ford of Michigan, General Eisenhower said he believed the work of the two previous Commissions headed by former President Herbert Hoover "was highly beneficial and I agree with the conclusion that you and your associates in the House Republican Leadership have reached that the proliferation of bureaucratic agencies in the past five years clearly indicates the desirability of a new bipartisan and completely disinterested study of this development." Ford had written Eisenhower on February 17 urging that the General be appointed chairman of a new commission to conduct such a study.

"In considering your suggestion," General Eisenhower said in reply, "I have a very definite problem, my doctors have urged that I cut down materially on activities that require close attention and supervision of administration. Since I, by nature, dislike intensely to participate in anything to which I cannot give my best and continuous efforts, I rather think it would be unwise for me to undertake such a position, even if it should be tendered me. Moreover, the Congress... may well wish to look elsewhere for a Chairman."

(Note: The texts of the Ford-Eisenhower correspondence are attached.)