An appropriation of $9 million was authorized for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting by a vote of 241 to 133 in the House of Representatives last Wednesday. This is a "one-shot" proposition to get the Corporation under way. The Corporation was established last year to assist in developing radio and TV educational programs, to make these more readily available, and to help in the establishment and development of one or more systems of non-commercial educational TV or radio stations. Financing after the first year is not supposed to involve general tax funds. But some of those who opposed this legislation said they could "not find that there has been any meaningful work done since the passage of the act to hit upon a workable scheme for permanent financing." An excise tax on TV sets earmarked specifically for the Corporation has been suggested. But there has been general agreement that after the first year there should be no dependence on general tax funds nor any direct control by the Congress.

I voted for the bill with the distinct understanding that there is to be no further financing by general treasury funds.

FIRST APPROPRIATION BILL: The House of Representatives has approved the first of the 14 appropriation bills which must be passed each year to supply funds to operate the government. It provides $8.1 billion to finance the Treasury and Post Office Departments, the Executive Office, and four small independent agencies. The House cut the President's request by $181.7 million but the total for fiscal 1969 is still $610 million more than this year. The President is expected to request an additional $222 million as a supplemental appropriation to operate these departments between now and June 30. Moreover, there is nothing in the 1969 budget to cover the federal pay increase to go into effect on July 1 and which will require an additional $1.6 billion for these departments.

Postal revenues, estimated at $6.3 billion in 1969, will be used to offset in part these expenditures. So the tax funds appropriated for the Post Office and Treasury Departments in this bill amount to $1.7 billion of which $750 million is to subsidize the mail service.

REPUBLICANS' WAR AGAINST CRIME: Republicans have made 31 specific recommendations for combating the breakdown in law and order in our country. In the last seven years crime has increased 88 percent while the population has advanced only 10 percent. In presenting its 31 recommendations, the Republican Coordinating Committee, of which I am a member, said, "The Republican Party believes that crime can and must
be controlled. The situation demands new leadership, new measures, and new directions."

The Republican policy-makers went on to say: "We are seriously handicapping our law enforcement officials. We are indulging increasingly in a permissive philosophy by which those final barriers to criminal conduct, as expressed in law, are being eroded and regarded with indifference by vast numbers of citizens. The toll is very, very high."

"Many of the recommendations are technical in nature but all are aimed at a crackdown on organized crime and a strengthening of our law-enforcement efforts.

WAR ON POVERTY IN DETROIT: The U.S. General Accounting Office has just issued an 86-page Report on OEO's war on poverty in Detroit. It charges "duplication of administrative effort and cost," and states that in many instances "the reports on attendance were unreliable" or "inaccurate and misleading," and that rental payments were allowed for facilities neither used nor scheduled for use.

For instance, the GAO auditors said, "On the basis of our analysis and discussions with school officials, it appeared that 79 classes (for the poor) could possibly have been eliminated through consolidation with other classes in the same school." When they compared the actual sizes of the classes operated by the poverty program with the size specified in the program's informal criteria, the auditors found that 50 percent of the adult classes were below minimum size. Only 5 percent were "ideal or on target."

Poverty officials reported that in one school project there had been 7,539 participants. GAO stated that the figure was closer to 3,500. In another case, GAO found that only "2,479 non-adults were enrolled in the project in June rather than the 15,000 reported."

In one school, OEO paid a total of $10,920 for use of 12 rooms for 2,184 room-days during a 7-month period. The auditors found that during this period only 319 room-days had been used or scheduled and that the rental value should have been $1,595 rather than the $10,920 allowed. Rooms used for regular classes or other activities were charged to the poverty program.

These are just a few of the ways in which tax-money and personnel-efforts have been wasted by the OEO in its "war on poverty." It was this sort of thing Republicans in the House of Representatives were trying to remedy last fall when we offered our alternative to the program of waste developed by Mr. Johnson's war on poverty.

Now the U.S. General Accounting Office has presented additional evidence for altering the approach and for establishing programs to really help the poor. Republicans have proposed a "Human Renewal Fund" to provide jobs through the Republican "Human Investment Act," and to provide funds for education, housing, pollution control, and crime prevention. This proposal will cost $2.5 billion but is coupled with a $6.6 billion cutback in non-essential federal expenditures which have been spelled out in detail.
I have registered my protest against those wasteful and unworkable programs of the Department of Agriculture by voting "no" on its $5.5 billion appropriation bill. There are a number of fully justifiable, very effective farm programs and many dedicated employees in the Department. But, on the other hand there are many programs that are too costly and too burdensome to the farmers and public alike.

The House did approve a Republican-sponsored amendment to require by law what the committee said it intended; i.e., to set a precise ceiling of $6.5 billion in expenditures by the Department except for those Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) expenditures required by law. But the House defeated a Republican-sponsored amendment which would have limited to $10,000 per year payments to any one farmer in connection with CCC price supports, diversion payments, and other subsidy programs. It is estimated that such a limitation on CCC payments would save about $500 million a year. I believe the $10,000 ceiling is justified; certainly it would have no ill effect on the family-type farm.

Republicans offered another amendment which I strongly endorsed and which was adopted. This has the effect of cutting off the salary of any employee of the Department of Agriculture who is convicted in a court of law of rioting or inciting to riot. This rule already applies to employees of OEO and HEW. It is expected that a similar amendment will be offered to each appropriation bill in order to cover all federal employees.

NASA AUTHORIZATION: A bill authorizing an appropriation of $4.03 billion for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration was approved by the House last Thursday. The Committee had cut $153 million from the President's request. Several Republican amendments were adopted which reduced the authorization another $166 million for a total reduction of $339 million. A reduction was made in the Apollo program but it will not curtail the main thrust of our manned space probes. Another reduction was made in the administrative operations. NASA's annual administrative costs have risen from $85 million in 1959 to more than $600 million in 1969. For next year, the request for administrative operations constituted 14.8 percent of the total appropriation. We question whether NASA can justify such a heavy administrative load.

FAA AND VA GUARANTEED LOANS: The Congress has approved and sent to the President legislation designed to make more FHA and VA guaranteed home mortgages available
in many areas. The bill removes the mandatory 6 percent interest ceiling on these guaranteed loans and authorizes the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, upon consultation with the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs, to set the interest ceiling. In many areas it has been practically impossible to find a lending institution which would make an FHA or VA home loan because of the 6 percent interest limitation. With the higher rate, possibly 6½ percent, it is believed that more money will be available from lending institutions for those who need FHA or VA financing for their homes.

In my newsletter five weeks ago I mentioned that the principal veterans' organizations had approved the proposal for VA guaranteed loans. The Senate revised the bill to include FHA loans and the House agreed to the Senate amendment.

EXECUTIVE REORGANIZATION: The House has approved a bill which extends for two years the President's authority to submit reorganization plans. Under this authority the President may transfer or consolidate bureaus or agencies of the federal government to promote efficiency. The action of the President becomes final unless disapproved by either the House or the Senate within 60 days. Some object to this method as reversing the legislative process. They feel that Congress should take the affirmative action with the veto power left with the President instead of visa versa. But the House voted 298 to 51 to continue the reorganization plans for two years.

AN ECONOMY IN CRISIS: The Republican Coordinating Committee, of which I am a member, has just issued a 72-page scholarly statement on economic policies entitled, "An Economy in Crisis." A limited number of copies are available from my office.

The Committee points out that projected federal government spending in 1969 will be 102 percent greater than it was in 1960. This results from a 129 percent increase in non-defense spending and a 7½ percent rise in defense spending—including the cost of the war.

The Committee blames the nation's economic troubles, including rising prices, the attack on the dollar and the extensive gold drain, and high interest rates, on the departure by Democratic regimes from traditional American principles of freedom, efforts to manipulate the economy, and a massive orgy of spending and fiscal disorder. The Committee spells out its goals and lists recommendations for attaining them.

WHO CONTROLS SPENDING: Sooner or later in any discussion on reducing federal expenditures, the question arises: "Who has control, the President or the Congress?" We who have been calling on Mr. Johnson to cut spending were pleased, therefore, to see a recent editorial in the liberal Washington Post. This newspaper, in support of the President's 10 percent surtax said: "Until now the White House has countered the pressures for expenditure reductions by tossing the ball back into the lap of Congress, asking it to specify what programs ought to be cut and by how much. That tactic is not going to produce a surtax. Expenditures...will have to be controlled. And that is the task of the executive branch with its budget-making apparatus, not the Congress."
The House of Representatives has approved legislation setting four new Monday holidays. Washington's Birthday will be observed on the third Monday in February; Memorial Day on the last Monday in May; Columbus Day on the second Monday in October, and Veterans Day on the last Monday in that month. Labor Day will continue to be celebrated on the first Monday in September. New Year's Day, Independence Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas will be observed on the traditional dates. If this bill becomes law, the new arrangement will go into effect on January 1, 1971.

The legal effect of the federal law would be limited to the observance of holidays by employees of the federal government and observance in the District of Columbia. However, it is expected that if a federal law is enacted, the various states will pass similar legislation.

Among the advantages for Monday holidays as listed by the Committee on the Judiciary are: increased opportunities for families to be together, more time for travel, greater opportunity for leisure at home, and the stimulation of "greater industrial and commercial production by reducing employee absenteeism and enabling work weeks to be free from interruptions in the form of midweek holidays."

HUD AND INDEPENDENT OFFICES APPROPRIATION: The third of the 14 annual appropriation bills was approved by the House last Wednesday. The $16.6 billion request of the President for the Department of Housing and Urban Development and certain independent offices was reduced by the Committee to $13.1 billion. However, this represented a cut in new appropriations of only $790 million. Over $2.1 billion in reductions resulted from a refusal by the Committee to authorize the sale of "participation certificates" (shares in the mortgages and other financial assets held by such governmental agencies as FHA, VA, etc.) which does not affect any program action in the bill.

Republicans, therefore, called for a ceiling on actual expenditures of $15.5 billion for the next fiscal year. But our effort was defeated by a vote of 216 to 173. This means that the Administration will be able to go ahead with its desire to actually spend next year a total of $16.3 billion based on current and prior appropriations. Our $15.5 billion would have kept each item in the bill at the spending level in the 1968 law or in the President's proposal for 1969, whichever was lower.

Two Republican amendments were adopted. These cut off federal-aid funds to
any student convicted of rioting and eliminated the salary or wages of any employees in HJD or the agencies convicted of the same offense.

SECURITY MEASURES FOR BANKS: If legislation approved by the House on Monday becomes law, offices of all banks and savings and loan associations will be equipped with devices to discourage robberies and burglaries. The bill authorizes the federal supervisory agencies to require these offices to be equipped with such security devices as a camera, robbery alarm system, microphone and speaker system, etc., to make robbery and burglary more difficult or risky, and to assist in the identification and apprehension of persons committing such acts.

A "package" of such security devices for a given office will involve an initial installation cost of about $2,115 and annual maintenance charges of $211. There are nearly 40,000 offices of financial institutions which would be affected by this legislation.

The legislation was prompted by an enormous increase in bank robberies. During the past two years bank robberies have increased at a faster rate than any other type of robbery. Between 1960 and 1967 bank robberies increased 154 percent. The 6-month period ending in February 1968 shows a 13.5 percent increase over the same period one year ago. Total financial losses due to violations of the federal bank robbery law in 1967 were reported as about $15 million. During that same year, 23 persons were killed as a result of crimes against banks, with an additional 61 being injured. About one-half of the total number of institutions robbed or burglarized had no alarm system at all.

A STUDY OF AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE: Last Tuesday the House approved a resolution authorizing the Secretary of Transportation to make a comprehensive study of automobile insurance and to recommend answers to the complaints received by members of Congress or aired in various publications. These complaints include: 1. arbitrary cancellations or refusal to renew insurance policies; 2. the constant and enormous increases in premiums; 3. the disparity between the amounts paid as premiums and the amounts paid out for claims; 4. the long delays in processing and paying claims; and 5. the financial insolvency of insurance companies leaving policyholders and those with claims unprotected.

The investigation is to supply information necessary to the development of reasonable solutions to the problem rather than to fix blame or find a scapegoat. The Senate has approved a similar resolution but differences between the two versions must be ironed out.

As a result of traffic accidents in 1966, property losses amounted to $3.3 billion; 53,000 were killed; approximately 1,900,000 were injured, and medical expenses to those involved amounted to about $600 million. During that year (1966) the net premiums paid for automobile insurance amounted to $9.2 billion. The administrative costs involved in the insurance came to about $3.5 billion.
The House of Representatives last Tuesday voted 345 to 42 to continue for one more year the Food for Peace or Public Law 480 program. During the past 14 years during which this program has been in existence, farm products worth nearly $11 billion have been exported and sold for local currency in foreign lands to be used by our government locally for such purposes as our common defense, grants for economic development, loans to private enterprise, our embassy expenses, etc. Another $746 million worth has been exported under dollar credit. (Last year we received about $350 million in payment for commodities delivered 10 and 12 years ago; we are receiving payments on these credit sales.) Donations have totaled over $1 billion, barter approximately $2.6 billion, and AID and other mutual security programs account for an additional $2.2 billion. The total value of all farm commodities exported under Public Law 480 since 1954 is $17.2 billion. Total commercial exports were $48.1 billion during the same period.

The purpose of this program has been to help dispose of our agricultural surpluses, to make outright humane donations where people are in immediate need of food and to develop a commercial market to replace our gifts and concessional sales.

It was pointed out in the debate on the bill that many of our best customers today were a few years ago buying farm products with foreign currencies. Japan, which today provides an annual billion-dollar cash market had been a substantial recipient of Public Law 480 assistance. In recent years she shifted from paying in yen to making payments in dollars. Spain received a substantial amount of aid under this program but today is "one of our best cash customers." Of the 22 nations participating in the program in 1967, only four had no dollar-payment provision while six countries moved to payments in dollars or convertible currency. The four principal countries receiving subsidized exports were India, Vietnam, Pakistan, Korea, and Brazil.

COLORADO RIVER PROJECT: The House Thursday approved H.R. 3300, the bill authorizing the Colorado River Basin project. The project is designed to help solve the water problems of our Pacific Southwest by the development of a system of aqueducts, reservoirs, pumping stations, etc. The bill provides for further studies on how to best meet the water needs for this growing area. It also establishes procedures for operation to assure equitable treatment for all seven basin states. This bill represents a real victory for dedicated conservationists; the controversial Colorado River dams have been eliminated from the bill. Much concern had been expressed that these
dams would have a detrimental effect on the Grand Canyon. This bill is only an authorization and will have no impact on the federal budget for several years. I supported H.R. 3300 on final passage.

STOP AND FRISK LEGISLATION: I have joined 12 Republican members of the House Committee on the Judiciary in introducing a bill to give federal law enforcement officers the right and duty to stop those reasonably suspected of criminal activity, to detain them for questioning for a period of up to 20 minutes, and to "pat them down" (frisk them) for concealed weapons, all without effecting a formal arrest. It is perfectly reasonable, in my judgment, to permit police to take such action to detect and prevent crimes without going through all the formalities of the arrest procedure. Sixteen states have enacted similar legislation. The President's Crime Commission has noted the urgent need for "stop and frisk" laws as has the American Law Institute.

Under current rules, a suspect may refuse to cooperate with federal law-enforcement officials who wish to question him by demanding that he be formally arrested. When this is done many technical protections surround the suspect and the task of the officer is made much more difficult. For instance to require an officer to advise every person he questions that whatever that person says may be used in court is both unnatural and illogical.

FEDERAL AID TO URBAN AREAS: Over $37.5 billion in federal aid will be going to urban areas in fiscal year 1969, according to HUD Secretary Robert Weaver. This is more than a 100 percent increase over 1961 when the figure was $16.8 billion.

Secretary Weaver listed all the "Direct Programs and Grants-in-Aid" from 19 federal departments and agencies and the "Direct Loans, Loan Insurance, and Loan Guarantees" from 6 agencies to arrive at this total. His finding of $37.5 billion in federal aid to urban areas would hardly indicate that our cities are being grossly neglected by Uncle Sam as some would have us believe. And may I emphasize that this figure was submitted by Secretary Weaver. He presented it to the Committee on Appropriations at the hearings on HUD's budget.

THE TAX INCREASE: You know that I have opposed President Johnson's 10 percent surtax and have been advocating rather a reduction in non-essential expenditures in every department and agency of government. Recently the House-Senate conferees on the tax bill recommended a reduction of $6 billion in expenditures for the fiscal year beginning July 1, to be accompanied by a 10 percent surtax. The tax would become effective for individuals as of April 1 and would expire on June 30, 1969.

As much as I dislike a tax increase, I feel that we should accept the conference's proposal. This Administration has brought our nation into a serious fiscal and monetary situation and a potential recession or depression. In fact, the Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board has called it our "worst financial crisis since 1931." If the President will do his part by cutting spending by $6 billion, we should accept the tax boost in order to prevent financial and economic disaster.
The final version of the Truth-in-Lending bill was approved by both the House and the Senate last Wednesday and sent to the President. I supported it as I did the House version which was approved February 1. The bill is designed to make sure that users of installment credit are told precisely how much they are paying in interest or carrying charges. It also restricts the use of garnishment. Republicans were especially pleased that the loan shark amendment which we sponsored was included in the final version of the bill. This amendment will provide immediate and beneficial relief to those low-income persons who are the most frequent victims of the loan shark. We know that loan-sharking is the second most important source of revenue for organized crime. Our amendment makes it a federal offense with severe penalties to extend credit where failure or delay in repayment could result in the use of violence or other criminal means against the debtor.

INTERIOR APPROPRIATIONS: The House has approved a $1.4 billion appropriation bill for the Department of Interior and related agencies. The House cut $143 million from the President's request but the appropriation for 1969 is still $13.5 million greater than that for the current year.

A recommittal motion was offered to cut an additional $101 million in expenditures from the bill but this effort was defeated 207 to 174. Republicans in the House supported the reduction 144 to 29 but we were out-voted by the Democrat majority which went 178 to 30 for greater spending.

INTERSTATE TAXATION: For more than six years the Committee on the Judiciary has been studying problems involving state taxation of interstate commerce. Last week it presented a bill designed to protect small and medium sized businesses from conflicting and chaotic multiple-state taxation. The chairman of the subcommittee handling the bill said: "Our study clearly documents the fact that the present chaotic system of complex laws imposed by 50 states and thousands of local governments is completely unworkable. It is a system which calls upon the taxpayer to comply with the uncompliable, and the tax administrator to enforce the unenforceable. As a result, the system is a serious impediment to the free flow of commerce among all of the states."

The bill, H.R. 2158, is designed to remove some of the trade barriers impeding interstate commerce and to greatly help small business. Sponsors of the bill pointed
out that the enactment of the bill "cannot possibly cause any single state to either
gain or lose more than two-thirds of one percent of its total revenues in an immediate
sense." I supported the bill when it was approved by the House last Wednesday.

ASSAULTS ON POSTAL EMPLOYEES: Assaults on postal employees will become a
federal criminal offense under a bill approved by the House last Monday. Presently,
a person accused of beating, killing, or otherwise harming a postal employee in the
performance of his duty can be punished only under local and state laws. As was
pointed out in discussion of the bill, "It is a serious federal crime to steal a
postal card from the mails. Yet there is no violation of federal law for killing the
mailman who carries the postal card." The bill approved by the House by a vote of
333 to 5 corrects this situation. Passage was spurred by the fact that in 1967, the
rate of increase in the number of assaults on postal employees rose 123 percent over
the number for 1966.

VETERANS' CARE IN STATE FACILITIES: Also on Monday the House approved legis­
lation increasing the federal contribution to state veterans' homes such as the State
Veterans' Facility of Michigan in Grand Rapids. Payments for domiciliary care were
increased from $2.50 to $3.50 per day for each veteran in residence. For those in
nursing care units the federal payment per veteran per day was raised from $3.50 to
$5.00. The bill also contained provisions to encourage the development of nursing
units and nursing homes to care for veterans.

PAYROLL Padding and ADMINISTRATION RATIONALE: In discussing expenditure
reduction recently, Senator Williams of Delaware pointed out that President Johnson's
promise of December 2, 1965 to eliminate 25,000 federal jobs prior to June 1966
actually resulted in an increase in federal employment of 190,325. During the month
of December in which the promise was made federal employment increased by 2,819.
Increases continued monthly until June 1966 when 73,088 persons were added to Uncle
Sam's payroll. Instead of cutting out 25,000 jobs, a total of 190,325 were created.

But even more bewildering was the explanation given by the Director of the
Budget when Senator Williams asked him to explain this discrepancy to the Senate
Committee on Finance. The Director said it was very easy to explain. He noted that
when Mr. Johnson made the statement that he was going to make the reduction of 25,000
in federal employment he had in mind adding 225,000 employees. He changed his mind
and cut 25,000 from the figure he was planning to add. Actually, since he added only
190,000 instead of the 225,000 he had in mind, there was a reduction of 35,000, even
more than had been promised.

Senator Williams concluded: "Following that line of reasoning, why did the
President not fix his mind on adding 1 million employees, and then I suppose he would
have claimed a reduction of 800,000. It is this kind of tactic that is going to
bankrupt this country, and that is why we have this credibility gap."
The House of Representatives on Wednesday refused by a vote of 259 to 137 to instruct its conferees to reduce from $6 billion to $4 billion the amount of federal spending to be required in connection with the adoption of the 10 percent surtax. The Senate-House conferees have agreed that Uncle Sam's spending for the year beginning July 1 should be reduced by $6 billion to a level of $180 billion. The President is to make this reduction anywhere in the budget except for operational costs in Vietnam, interest on the debt, veterans benefits, and social security payments. In exchange, there would be a 10 percent surtax effective for individuals from April 1, 1968 through June 30, 1969. I have said I would support this compromise because of the serious fiscal crisis facing our government brought about by policies of the Johnson-Humphrey Administration.

But I could not endorse the proposal to levy the entire surtax on our taxpayers while requiring the President to cut only $4 billion in expenditures. The $180 billion spending limit for 1969 will still be 3 to 4 billion dollars more than is being spent this year. It will not represent an austerity program. The $6 billion cut will not seriously hurt any going program if the reductions are made on the basis of more realistic priorities. A cut of only $4 billion will not be sufficient to meet our current fiscal crisis nor would it have an adequate impact on the factors influencing inflation.

The Democratic Leadership has announced that the House will vote on the 10 percent - $6 billion proposal and other items in the conference report next Wednesday, June 12th. On Memorial Day President Johnson stated he would accept the $6 billion reduction if it were adopted by Congress.

FIFTH APPROPRIATION BILL: The House approved the fifth of the 13 appropriation bills last Tuesday when it granted $2 billion in new obligational authority to the Departments of State, Justice, and Commerce, the Judiciary, and related agencies. While the Committee had cut $153 million from the President's request, the bill still provided over $3 million more for 1969 than for 1968. Actually, the cut made by the Committee on Appropriations will result in a savings in expenditures in fiscal 1969 of only $67 million. An amendment was proposed, therefore, to further reduce expenditures by $69 million. This amendment was approved 223 to 154. Voting for it were 160 Republicans and 63 Democrats. Opposed were 144 Democrats and 10 Republicans.

THE CRIME BILL: The Senate has substantially amended the "Safe Streets and
Crime Control bill passed by the House on August 3, 1967. It has added provisions relative to the sale of firearms and overruling certain decisions of the Supreme Court which impair the work of our law-enforcement officers. An attempt was made last Wednesday to secure unanimous consent to send the bill to a House-Senate conference to iron out the differences. But some members felt that there was so much good in the bill and the need was so urgent that an immediate vote should be taken to approve the Senate amendment. (This would have been similar to action taken on the Civil Rights Bill.) Unanimous consent could not be obtained so the bill has been temporarily referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary where immediate favorable action is anticipated. A strong anti-crime bill is needed and the sooner this legislation becomes law the better. Fortunately both the House and Senate versions are improvements over the President's recommendations so we can expect a final version which will be an effective tool against the rising crime rate.

RIOT DAMAGE IN WASHINGTON: The House last Monday defeated 175 to 159 a bill which would have provided about $300,000 in tax money for the government of the District of Columbia to remove those buildings which were either totally destroyed in the recent riots or damaged beyond repair. Opponents contended that while all of us sympathize with innocent victims of the disorders, we should not "saddle upon all the taxpayers of the country the cost of cleaning up the rubble in the District of Columbia that was created by arsonists and rioters."

During the April riots a total of 645 buildings and 283 housing units were badly damaged or destroyed. Also, some 909 commercial establishments and their contents were destroyed or damaged. The direct cost of the April riots to the D.C. government has been set at $3.5 million with an additional loss in tax revenue for this year and next totaling $2.7 million. The insurance loss in the private sector comes to at least $24 million and the cost of providing troops exceeded $5 million.

RECENT VISITORS: From Belding: Mr. E. VanderVeer and daughters. From Byron Center: Mr. & Mrs. James Berkenpas. From Ionia: R. C. Milliron; Mr. & Mrs. Marvin Nelson, Jan and Lynn. From Wyoming: Sylvia Vredevoogd; Mr. & Mrs. Gerrit Sterk and family. From Grand Rapids: Rev. & Mrs. J. VanderPloeg; R.C. Smith; Mrs. Carl Merrill; Msgr. R. H. Zerfas; Ivan Zylstra; Donald Smalligan; Henry Huisler; Mr. & Mrs. E. Frey; Susan Humphrey, Kathy Weekly; Ellen Palmer, Linda Bylsma, Sue Bylsma, Margaret Blauw; Rev. Lyman Parks, Rev. Peter Ruimer; Mr. & Mrs. Jack Zuiderveld and family; Mrs. Edw. VanderVeer; Mrs. Harold Rockwell and family; Hazel Smits; W. Tyson; J. Griswold; Mr. & Mrs. James Walters; Mr. & Mrs. E. Hawrachi and family; Mae Mulder; Mr. & Mrs. Robert Avery and family; Dr. & Mrs. A. VanderKolk and family; Mr. & Mrs. John Tonkers and Julie; Mr. & Mrs. Gerald VandenBerk and family; Mr. & Mrs. Baker and family; Mr. & Mrs. Gerrit Ramphouse and girls; Mr. & Mrs. E. Hidenour, Nickie and Mary; Darlene Nagelkirk; Mr. & Mrs. Elvin J. VanSolkema and Ellen; Mr. & Mrs. Art Hekenberg and Gary; Mrs. V. Mingerink; Mrs. Marvin Geene; Mrs. Jack Hoffius; Mrs. Alice Hendrickson; Mrs. Ann Carlson; Mr. & Mrs. Ferdinand Bach III; Mr. & Mrs. L. James Stephens; Dorothy Hansen; Mr. & Mrs. Wesley Stone and Terry; Wm. Halliday, Jr.; Jack VanLaar and family.
I voted with the majority last Wednesday when the House of Representatives voted 317 to 60 against sending the crime bill to a House-Senate Conference. The majority favored a direct vote on accepting or rejecting the amendments added by the Senate to the House-approved bill. I believe the Senate amendments significantly improved our version of the bill. But the House Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, who would head the House Conferences, had stated publicly that he would do everything he could in conference to emasculate the Senate version in its entirety or perhaps even kill the bill. Because of the urgent need for constructive legislation against crime, I could not go along with the Chairman's delaying tactics.

On Thursday I again joined the majority in voting 368 to 17 to approve the bill and send it to the President.

THE CENSUS QUESTIONNAIRE: Two years hence the next general census will be taken. This decennial census is called for in the Constitution as a means of determining how many Representatives in Congress each state should have. But over the years numerous questions have been added to the census questionnaire. Some of these are included at the request of various business and industrial organizations as well as governmental agencies. But serious problems of propriety arise when many families will be asked questions as: Do you share your bathtub or shower? How much rent do you pay? Which door do you use to enter your home? Do you own an air conditioner? Under present law anyone who refuses to answer all the questions may be subject to a jail sentence up to 60 days and a fine up to $100.

Legislation has been introduced in the House to limit the information required under penalty of law. Under this bill everyone would be required to answer only the following questions: name and address, relationship to head of household, sex, date of birth, race or color, marital status, and visitors in home at time of census. Other questions could be asked but answers would be on a voluntary basis.

I think this is desirable legislation. I share the concern which has been expressed by many relative to governmental snooping and the unnecessary invasion of personal privacy. The Committee on Post Office and Civil Service held one day of public hearings last October on this problem but has taken no further action.

GUARANTEED ANNUAL WAGE: The guaranteed annual wage, negative income tax, or income maintenance (the concept has these and other names) has been discussed for a number of years and is one of the demands of the Poor People's March. This week the
Joint Economic Committee of the Congress opens six days of hearings on "Income Maintenance Programs." It will hear about 23 authorities in this field, primarily professors of economics.

But specific legislation has also been introduced in the House in the form of H.R. 17331, the "Income Maintenance Act." This bill would guarantee an income of $50 per month for the head of a family plus $39 for each dependent up to a maximum monthly payment of $284, or $3,408 per year. For a family of four this would mean a payment of $1,200 per year.

The bill would reduce the federal payment 50¢ for each dollar of other income the family receives. For example, a $2,000 federal payment would drop to $1,500 if the family had $1,000 in other income. The sponsor describes this as an incentive feature that will encourage recipients to seek employment and increase their earnings. A family of four with an outside income of $3,000 would receive $500 from the Treasury and all payments would be stopped when its earnings or other outside income reached $4,000.

Under this bill, needy individuals would apply for "maintenance benefits" to a new bureau to be established in the Treasury Department. They would submit simple monthly income statements, and would receive checks "as a matter of right, based on the deficiency of their other earnings."

The sponsor estimates the net cost under his bill at about $4 billion annually. He points out that the proposal will not eliminate poverty but would be "of benefit to 22 million Americans living in poverty who now receive no public assistance." Moreover, "it will increase the incomes of some 60 percent of the 8 million Americans who do receive some form of public assistance."

The bill's sponsor also contends that his "program is no substitute for the provision of meaningful jobs to all who are able to work. But it provides a minimal income, with dignity, for those who cannot work, or who cannot get jobs at living wages." He contends that it is a vast improvement over current welfare programs. All of us will be watching with a great deal of interest the hearings which opened this week. The Joint Committee is not a legislative committee, and Congress is by no means ready to adopt H.R. 17331. But this is an approach among others which deserves a thorough investigation and thoughtful consideration.

Public welfare outlays are running about $10 billion a year, with between 9 and 10 million recipients. Welfare is becoming a major source of the financial problems for many local governments. It is interesting to note that many organizations of widely divergent viewpoints have publicly stated disapproval of the present welfare setup on the basis that it is too costly, has no incentive feature for welfare recipients to strive to become self-sufficient, and has too much overlapping and bureaucracy.
Supplemental appropriation bills are enacted to provide additional funds during the current year for those agencies who have or will run short of money. The second supplemental for this year in the amount of $6.2 billion in new obligational authority was approved by the House last Tuesday. More than half, $3.8 billion, is for our military requirements in Southeast Asia. Increased Medicare costs required an additional $373 million and $1.1 billion is for grants to states to meet increased costs of Medicaid and other public assistance programs.

ON THE CRIME BILL: The bulk of our mail during the past week related to the crime bill (H.R. 5037) sent to the President on June 6 and to the proposal to provide more stringent firearms regulation. There was general approval of the action by the House in accepting the Senate amendments to the bill. Approved were amendments modifying certain Supreme Court decisions which the Congress felt made the task of our law-enforcement officers unnecessarily difficult. In the debate it was stated that Congress cannot be the winner in a confrontation with the Supreme Court. I rejected this view and said: "To admit that is to admit that the American people cannot control the U.S. Supreme Court. I think the American people today must have some control and jurisdiction over the judiciary of the federal government. The people of America - not the courts - are the ultimate authority in America."

The bill as passed makes it a federal criminal offense (punishable by a fine of up to $5,000 and imprisonment up to 5 years) to violate any state or local law involving firearms (short or long guns) transaction. This gives federal backing to every state and local law relative to any gun transaction.

Other amendments approved bar the sale of handguns by mail or to minors or to out-of-state residents. But rifles and shotguns ("long guns") are exempted from these provisions of the law. Many of those who write us insist that this exemption on "long guns" should be removed. Others object strenuously to further firearms control on the basis of rights guaranteed by the Second Amendment. They also contend that more federal restrictions on the sale or possession of guns will not solve the problems of crime and civil disorder. They point out that Senator Kennedy's assassin violated a number of California state laws relating to pistols, and that criminals, having no respect for the law, can always obtain firearms. The House Committee on the Judiciary is now considering additional gun control legislation and it appears there will be constructive action recommended.
ON AIRCRAFT NOISE: The House has given unanimous approval to a bill granting the Federal Aviation Administration authority to set standards for measuring aircraft noise and sonic boom and to make rules and regulations to control that noise. FAA already has 16 people working on the problem; this legislation will increase the number to 20. The senior Republican on the Committee reporting the bill pointed out that no one should believe that this action "will bring about any fast or dramatic change in the present situation." He went on to say that there are differences of opinion about the relationships between noise abatement procedures and safety of air operations. He concluded, "Many hearings have been held which could only be said to prove that airplanes, if they fly, make noise....But it is the intent of Congress to place the first and foremost emphasis on safety. Noise abatement is desirable, safety is essential."

ON THE PEACE CORPS: The House has joined the Senate in authorizing an appropriation of $112.8 million for the Peace Corps during fiscal 1969. I believe the Peace Corps has been the best United States investment in the area of foreign aid.

This year's appropriation was $107.5 million. It is estimated that there will be 11,120 volunteers overseas in 60 countries next year. Currently 11,837 are serving in 57 countries. The cost per volunteer this year is put at $7,692; for next year, $7,762.

Eight members of the Committee on Foreign Affairs submitted "supplemental views." They support the program but have an "ambivalent attitude" toward it. They find that "its objectives are meritorious but those who run the program show a disturbing distaste for details that raise questions of administrative competence. Poor administration is slowly choking the high ideals that marked the inception of the Peace Corps." The actual number of volunteers and trainees this year was more than 3,000 below the estimate given a year ago. The number of employees, not volunteers, with salaries exceeding $12,000 has increased from 146 in 1963 to 329 in 1968.

A year ago the Committee was told that contributions to the Peace Corps program by the host countries would be $4,575,000 in 1967 and $5,200,000 in 1968. Actually, the contributions turned out to be $3,574,000 and $3,487,000 respectively. This led the eight committee members to conclude "that the host countries are not as enthusiastic in their support of this program as are those who are a part of it," and that there should be some trimming of the "bureaucratic fat and the slick public relations posture" of the Corps. The House and Senate committees having jurisdiction over the program must keep a watchful eye to be certain that high standards are maintained and that the other countries continue to cooperate.
June 26, 1968

The 10-percent surtax coupled with a spending limitation of $180.1 billion was approved by the House last Thursday. The spending limitation means a reduction of $6 billion in President Johnson's budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1. The vote in the House was 268 to 150.

Democrats supported the tax-increase, expenditure-reduction by a vote of 154 to 77. There were 114 Republicans agreeing to the compromise arrangement while 73 voted "no." Many Republicans felt that more Democrats should have supported the legislation. The tax boost was demanded by President Johnson and it was the policies of the Democratic Administration which brought about the need for more revenue. Republicans do not want their Democratic opponents to tell the voters this fall: "Look, it was the Republicans who increased your taxes and cut off funds for your favorite projects. We Democrats voted against the tax hike and for more federal aid to your community." Four Democrats from Michigan did vote against the bill; one was absent and was recorded as opposed. A sixth voted "yes" and the seventh was absent but indicated his support. Three Republicans from our state voted "no."

I supported the legislation only because of the serious fiscal and monetary crisis which faces our nation -- a crisis resulting from the policies and projects of the Johnson-Humphrey Administration. It should be pointed out that even with the $6 billion spending limitation on the Johnson-Humphrey budget the federal government will still spend about $4 billion more next year than this year. This means any good program or project can be carried out without reduction below this year's level.

POULTRY INSPECTION ACT: The House has approved legislation to insure proper and adequate inspection of all poultry and poultry products sold in our country. In 1957 a federal inspection system was established for the 86 percent of all poultry products sold in interstate commerce. The new legislation is aimed at the remaining 14 percent. A federal-state cooperative inspection program is set up. States will have up to three years to establish an inspection program which will be at least equal to the federal system in insuring wholesome and unadulterated products which are honestly labeled. If a state does not accomplish this within the time limit, the federal government will take over the intrastate inspection activity.

One important exception to the inspection program would be those small farmers and processors (doing less than $15,000 worth of wholesale business per year) who
produce and sell clean and wholesome poultry. The burden of proof that a small processor is not doing the latter rests with the government.

TO AID THE HANDICAPPED: By a unanimous vote last Monday the House passed a bill to require new or remodeled public buildings to be so designed and constructed that they will be accessible to and usable by physically handicapped persons. The Congress is concerned about the 22 million Americans who because of some form of physical disability are restricted in their ability to move from place to place. In debate it was pointed out that: "Through unintentional neglect or oversight millions of our citizens have been literally locked out from public buildings which the federal government has constructed or otherwise financed by not requiring that these buildings be designed and constructed so that people with physical impairment could readily enter and use the facilities of such buildings, thus denying their use to many of the people whose tax dollars supported their construction."

PUBLIC WORKS AND ATOMIC ENERGY APPROPRIATION: In approving the Public Works and Atomic Energy Appropriation bill last Wednesday, the House eliminated $671,000 from the bill which the Committee had already sliced by $408 million. But this $671,000 cut was for continued planning on the Dickey-Lincoln School Reservoirs project in Maine. If this project is permitted to go ahead it will cost some $500 million to complete. The House has cast four roll-call votes against this project in the past three years. The project has been rejected because it would be constructed primarily to produce high-cost power at taxpayers' expense in an area where private enterprise can do the job. The Committee stated in its report that 'the project will provide efficient hydro-electric power at reasonable rates for peaking purposes in the New England area...'" But a Democratic Congressman from Connecticut in a letter to all House members said: "This project has been categorically opposed by the overwhelming majority of the New England delegation (in Congress)." I have consistently voted against this wasteful and unnecessary proposal.

The Committee on Appropriation and the House are to be commended in the handling of the public works appropriation bill often referred to as "pork barrel legislation." The Committee not only cut the President's request by $190 million but set the 1969 amount at $219 million, less than the 1968 appropriations. The total amount included in this appropriation bill for both public works and the Atomic Energy Commission is $4.4 billion.

RECENT VISITORS: From Rockford: Mr. and Mrs. Robert Haverkate and family. From Wyoming: Mrs. Emma Wood. From Grand Rapids: Mrs. Marba Perrott; Mrs. Mary R. Timmons; Mr. and Mrs. Dwight Coulter; Jim Crissman; Ms. E. Laura Smith; Mr. Bertha McKechron; Edward Basalski; Jack Cichon; James Thome; Mr. and Mrs. John Hekman; Mr. and Mrs. A.F. Davis; Mrs. G.A. Ferrey; Mr. and Mrs. Edwin Barnes; Roman A. Douglas; Gordon L. Carson; Mr. and Mrs. John Prickett; Robert Slade; Mr. and Mrs. John R. Klein; Mrs. L. Rosema; Mr. and Mrs. Eman Brael; John H. Voss; Mr. and Mrs. Peter Hoekstra; Mr. and Mrs. Robert Glass and 2 children; Mrs. Wm. Gagmon and Toni; Mr. and Mrs. Melvin De Kraker and 3 children; Mrs. Kate Borgman; Mrs. Peter Swagman; Miss Gertrude Olthoff; Miss Beatrice Kuipers; Mr. and Mrs. Fred DeVries and family.
The Committee on Banking and Currency has incorporated in the general housing legislation the provisions of my bill to permit FHA to insure mortgages obtained for construction purposes by non-profit hospitals. I mentioned the introduction of this bill in the newsletter of May 3, 1967 and said: "Community leaders in Grand Rapids tell me that during the next ten years our four largest hospitals will be required to expand their facilities to the extent of $35 million.... It was suggested to me that our non-profit hospitals might be able to borrow more money for construction at lower rates of interest if the federal government would insure hospital mortgages, as FHA now insures home mortgages."

We are pleased with the Committee’s favorable action on my proposal. I will do all possible to assure retention of this provision in the general housing bill as it moves through the Congress.

GUN CONTROL LEGISLATION: During the past two weeks we have received about 4000 letters and telegrams concerning federal control of firearms. These communications were evenly divided between those who favor and oppose more stringent legislation. But on details relative to the legislation, there was an array of recommendations. It may be well, therefore, to take a look at the current picture.

The President has signed into law (Public Law 90-351) the omnibus crime bill, H.R. 5027. This law:

1. Prohibits the sale of handguns to minors, and by mail to out-of-state residents.
2. Requires all manufacturers, dealers, and importers of firearms to be licensed.
3. Makes it a federal crime for the following persons to receive, possess, or transport firearms in interstate commerce: felons, the mentally incompetent, those discharged from the armed forces other than honorably, U.S. citizens who have renounced their citizenship, and aliens illegally in the U.S.
4. Makes it a federal criminal offense (punishable by a fine up to $5,000 and imprisonment up to five years) to violate any state or local law involving a firearms (short or long guns) transaction.
5. Does not prohibit an eligible individual to order a gun through the mail or by telephone, etc., from a licensed dealer within his own state.

The House Committee on the Judiciary has approved H.R. 17735. This bill:

1. Extends the prohibitions of the omnibus crime bill to long guns and ammunition.
2. Authorizes a state to permit its citizens to purchase long guns in an adjacent state.
President Johnson has sent a special message to Congress urging two additional measures. The President said:

1. "I propose, first, the national registration of every gun in America."
2. "I propose that every individual in this country be required to obtain a license before he is entrusted with a gun."

I voted for H.R. 5037 when it was passed by the House on June 6th. H.R. 17735 was approved in Committee on June 21 by a vote of 29 to 6 with all 15 Republicans voting in the affirmative. I believe this is acceptable legislation.

The President's proposal on June 24 must be thoroughly examined and subjected to exhaustive public hearings where the proponents and opponents will have the opportunity to present their case.

The President's latest proposal raises serious constitutional problems involving the authority of the federal government to order national registration and the question of the privilege against self-incrimination.

TO STUDY THE USE OF METRIC SYSTEM: By a vote of 269 to 42 the House approved a study to determine the advantages and disadvantages of increased use of the metric system in our country. The metric system is the decimal system of weights and measures based upon the meter and the gram as the fundamental units for length and mass. The terms "inches" and "yards" would be replaced by "centimeters" and "meters" and we would speak of "grams" and "kilograms" instead of "ounces" and "pounds."

Ninety percent of the world's population today is living with the metric system of measurement. Out of 106 countries only four are not using the metric system. These four are Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the United States, and the first three are moving in the direction of the metric system. The United States may soon stand alone.

The study by the Department of Commerce will analyze all factors involved, including the best method to make the transition to the new system. As the Committee pointed out, any effort to make the effective day too early "would undoubtedly work a severe hardship on the adult population not familiar with the metric system and would make obsolete a prohibitive number of everyday items pertaining to weights and measures." But the Committee also stressed the fact that "it would seem certain the U.S. will be using the metric system some day. The overwhelming forces of simplicity, ease of communication, and plain common sense will force us to adopt it."

ATTENDANCE RECORD: As of June 28, after 19 years of service in the House of Representatives, my attendance record stood at 90.9 percent. In these many sessions of the House there have been 5132 roll and quorum calls and I have answered 4666 and missed only 466.
The House of Representatives has amended and passed the Senate-approved National Gas Pipeline Safety Act. Under the House amendment the federal government establishes the safety standards and rules for the transportation of gas by pipeline. Enforcement will rest with the individual state provided the state accepts the federal standards and can show that it has the legal authority and is willing to enforce them. Otherwise the U. S. Department of Transportation will be the enforcing agent. The House amendment (version) was recommended by the Democratic-controlled Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce and was endorsed by the Republican Policy Committee.

The Senate version provided for a slightly different method for enforcing the standards. It also included "gathering lines" in the legislation. The House version exempts these lines (some 63,000 miles) which are located almost entirely in unpopulated areas until such time as the area through which a line runs becomes non-rural.

SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM: The House last Monday approved legislation to provide an additional $100 million for each of the next three years for free, or at reduced rates, lunches or breakfasts to children of low-income families who are not now receiving nutritional meals at school. The House has already this year voted $246 million for the school lunch program and $104 million for the special school milk program. Children do not have to be members of families on welfare to benefit under this bill. I supported the legislation when it was approved by a vote of 274 to 78. Most of the opposition developed over a procedural matter involving the appropriating process; there was practically no opposition to the program itself.

I also voted for another bill relative to the school lunch program. A major provision prohibits any action at school to show which students receive free or reduced-cost lunches. It eliminates special tokens, tickets, a published list of names, or the use of a special line in the cafeteria, or a specific time or place to eat for those who receive free or reduced-rate meals. This is such an obvious requirement that we regret its necessity. The bill also sets up a formula which will mean that eventually the states will meet 10 percent of the cost of the school lunch program. During 1967 Uncle Sam paid 20 percent of the cost, state and local governments (mostly local) 9 percent, local welfare, citizens associations, etc. 15 percent, and students' payments account for 56 percent.

FEDERAL AID IS BIG BUSINESS: The United States Government today is operating
over 1,000 federal assistance programs which distribute more than $20 billion a year. The operation has become so huge and complex that it often takes hours or even days to track down an answer to a question of what may be available to a given individual or community. Rep. Roth of Delaware listed in the Congressional Record for June 25 a total of 1,091 operating federal assistance programs, including descriptions of 521. This required 144 pages of mighty fine print. If this listing proves anything, it demonstrates the need for a clear explanation of these programs and an examination of all with a view to consolidation and simplification. For example, Rep. Roth found that at least eight departments and agencies have programs assisting directly or indirectly college and graduate scholars. There are conceivably 211 operating programs that could be a source of funds to a college or graduate student.

I have joined Rep. Roth and 71 others in sponsoring the "Program Information Act" which provides for the periodic publication of a catalog of federal assistance programs so all interested parties can be kept up to date on what is available.

SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS: Over $4.7 million in social security benefits were received during the month of February by 51,433 beneficiaries in Kent and Ionia Counties. This includes payments to retired and disabled workers and their dependents. Nearly 24 million Americans were paid over $2 billion in the same month from the Social Security Trust Fund. Slightly more than two-thirds were retired workers, their dependents, and the special age-72 beneficiaries. Nearly one-fourth were survivor beneficiaries.

TO THE ACADEMIES: Nine Fifth District young men have entered the service academies as a result of their success in the examination which I sponsored last fall. Gary Mirzayan of Ionia and David Hartman of Wyoming are at West Point. Michael Huissen, John Ronan, Michael Sooka (all of Grand Rapids), and John Ayen of Wyoming are at the Naval Academy in Annapolis. Thomas VanderHolen and Harry Bloem of Grand Rapids, and Larry Hall of Wyoming received appointments to the Air Force Academy at Colorado Springs. Our congratulations and best wishes to all these young men.

RECENT VISITORS: From Belding: Mr. & Mrs. Thomas Gasper, Chuck and Donnie. From Belmont: Mrs. W. Quinlan. From Byron Center: Mr. & Mrs. Henry Koetje & family. From Comstock Park: Mr. & Mrs. Floyd Barber, Jr., and family. From Grandville: Rev. & Mrs. Harvey Bultje and family; Mr. & Mrs. Louis VanVels; Mr. & Mrs. Keith Moores, David and Ann. From Rockford: The Kenneth Gager Family; Mr. & Mrs. H. Eastwood, Kathleen, Christine, and Collinda. From Sparta: Bobbie Ruth. From Wyoming: Gus Buchholz; Miss H. Workman; Mr. & Mrs. W. Kruis, Steve and Esther; Sister Mary Hubert. From Grand Rapids: Floyd Bakuaitis; Robert Davis; Mrs. Olive DeVries; Mrs. L. McKee, Mrs. Jay Hansen, Mrs. Kay Hagoom, Mrs. A. Starr, Elisabeth Knapp, and Mrs. S. TenHoor; Mr. & Mrs. John Wiese and Marths; Dr. & Mrs. M. Pattullo and family; Mr. & Mrs. Stephen Iden; Jon Steffensen; Mr. & Mrs. P. Dykstra; Mr. & Mrs. H.oun Dans, Rich, Gayla, and Randy; E. David Migo and; Mr. & Mrs. Frank Kime; Mrs. Geo. VanderLaan; Mrs. Ray Gouloon; Mr. & Mrs. J. Faiks and family; Christie VanSweden; Mrs. Jan Mangus; Mr. & Mrs. Robt. Eleveld; Cpl. Richard Vogelsang; Robert Alt; James VanDyk; Chris Overvoorde.
July 17, 1968

After three days of debate (18 hours and 32 minutes) and the consideration of 57 amendments, the House of Representatives on Wednesday approved the omnibus housing bill. The bill itself runs to 280 pages; the Committee report contains 359 pages. The comprehensive bill provides among other programs federal aid for homeownership, rental and cooperative housing, low and moderate income housing, riot and flood insurance, model cities, and college housing. I supported the bill on final passage when it was approved by a vote of 295 to 114.

The legislation as passed contained the provisions of my bill authorizing FHA loans for hospital construction. Because the Senate-passed housing bill (31½ pages) is not identical, the legislation has been sent to a House-Senate Conference Committee for resolving of differences.

GRANDVILLE -- HIGH PRIORITY FOR FLOOD INSURANCE: Because the Army Corps of Engineers has already completed a study of Grandville as a "flood prone area," this city may be among the first to become eligible for flood insurance if Title XI of the Housing Act becomes law. Title XI establishes a national flood insurance program under which flood insurance can be made available to occupants of flood-prone areas through the cooperative efforts of Uncle Sam and private insurance companies. Coverage is limited to one- to four-family dwellings and to smaller business properties. Details of the program are to be worked out by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

FOREIGN ASSISTANCE: The House is scheduled to consider this week a $2.3 billion authorization for our foreign aid program for the current fiscal year. The Committee on Foreign Affairs cut nearly $600 million from the President's request in the recognition "that federal expenditures must be substantially reduced." But the Committee went on to say that "there are nations cooperating with the United States in our efforts to alleviate current conflicts which depend on our assistance. There are governments which, with our approval, have committed themselves to policies and programs which cannot continue without U.S. aid. No one should ignore the danger that a suspension or drastic curtailment of U.S. assistance could jeopardize current negotiations and provoke new crises." It is likely, however, that additional reductions will be made.

INDIA AND U.S. AID: Americans have been generous in their aid to India, a nation of massive poverty. During the past year India continued to be the largest
single recipient of U.S. economic aid. Through August 7, 1967, India received a total of $7.8 billion in economic assistance. Through June of this year the U.S. had sent India a total of 34 million tons of food grains. Our technical assistance, fertilizer shipments, and other efforts have helped to make possible the production of a record food grain crop in India this year.

Yet key government officials in India continue to vigorously criticize our country. Furthermore, as seven members of the House Committee on Foreign Affairs pointed out, "It is reliably reported that the Government of India is acquiring a sizable quantity of expensive, sophisticated arms, including jet fighters, tanks, and submarines from the Soviet bloc." These members also mentioned the report that with Soviet help India is constructing production and assembly plants for fighter aircraft, air-to-air missiles, tanks, and small arms.

These committeemen go on to say: "One wonders to what extent our aid to India is, in effect, subsidizing that country's purchase of Soviet arms. One can also wonder to what extent the arms buildup by India will upset that country's delicate balance with Pakistan, which is so important to peace in Asia.... It is not surprising that hard-pressed American taxpayers are increasingly critical of foreign aid...."

TV INVESTIGATION: Legislation has been introduced in the House directing the Federal Communications Commission to investigate the effects of violence in TV programs. The study would include consideration of the connection between the display of violence in TV programs and the attitudes of TV viewers toward violence, and what should be the public policy toward the display of violence on TV.

The resolution calling for the study would have Congress find "that the increase in violence in American society, and the increase in the acceptance of violence by the American people, are critical national problems." I agree, and I hope the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, to which the legislation has been referred will take prompt action on it.

DEFICITS AND DEBTS: According to the most recent "Daily Statement of the U.S. Treasury" (July 2), the national debt stands at $350 billion. This is an increase of $24 billion in just one year. The debt on the same day one year ago totaled $326 billion.

Table 19 in the Budget of the United States for Fiscal 1969 presents a frightening story of how the public debt burden has grown (all figures in billions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>The Debt</th>
<th>Annual Increase</th>
<th>Interest Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>$286.5</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$ 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>289.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>298.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>306.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>312.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>317.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>320.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>326.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968 (est.)</td>
<td>351.6</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969 (est.)</td>
<td>363.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As I predicted last week, the House of Representatives has made an additional reduction in the foreign aid authorization bill. The Committee on Foreign Affairs had cut the President's request by nearly $600 million. In its consideration of the bill last Thursday the House made a further cut of $370 million. This put the amount authorized for 1969 at $1.9 billion, a reduction of $1 billion from Mr. Johnson's request of $2.9 billion.

The vote to make the additional reduction of $370 million was 268 to 150. Because of our own U.S. fiscal situation, including the recent tax increase, and because I believe it is time to reduce our foreign aid commitments, I voted for the cut. With the authorization set at less than $2 billion (the lowest figure in 21 years of the program) I voted for the bill on final passage when it was approved 228 to 194.

LSD LEGISLATION: The House has approved legislation increasing the penalties for illegal trafficking in drugs and making the possession of LSD and similar drugs for one's own use a federal crime. Presently there are penalties for the unauthorized manufacture, distribution, and sale of LSD, but no penalty for its use. The bill approved recently (H.R. 14096) makes possession of LSD for one's own use a crime punishable up to one year in jail and a fine up to $1000 for the first offense. For the second or subsequent violations, the jail sentence may go to three years, and the fine to $10,000. Stiffer penalties are provided for those who illegally manufacture or sell the drug.

The Bureau of Drug Abuse Control estimates that each year about 4 billion pills and capsules of barbiturates, amphetamines, and tranquilizers produced in the U.S. are diverted to illicit sale and use.

VOCATIONAL EDUCATION: With bi-partisan support, the Vocational Education bill of 1968 was unanimously approved by the House last Monday. The bill among other things increases the authorization for vocational education from the past year's $225 million to $355 million for this fiscal year and to $565 million annually thereafter. Michigan's share this year will be nearly $12 million.

Republican members of the Committee on Education and Labor not only endorsed this program but co-sponsored it. They pointed out "that the turmoil in our cities and the quiet despair that has settled on many depressed rural areas is caused in large measure by our failures to emphasize and carry out intensive programs of
vocational-technical education for those who most need it." They went on to say that the evidence "would indicate that not more than one high school graduate in 10 is prepared with entrance level skills in an occupation for which an actual job exists," and that "less than 4 percent of the 18-21 age group are enrolled in post secondary vocational-technical programs." "Yet," these members concluded, "we know that four out of five young people will need occupational training if they are to be employable."

EDUCATION vs MAKE-WORK: In their report in support of this legislation, the Republican members of the Committee also said: "This (Johnson) Administration has a large and growing profusion of manpower programs which do not add up to a recognizable national manpower program.... For example, more federal dollars are budgeted this year for the 40,000 enrollees in the Job Corps than for the support of vocational-technical education with 7 million enrollees. The 1968 federal expenditures for vocational-technical education were $253 million; the expenditures for adult make-work programs under the Office of Economic Opportunity were $400 million. In total, for every federal dollar budgeted for vocational-technical education, $4 are budgeted for make-work and salvage type programs which would never be needed if we had an effective system of vocational education. By any rational standard the priorities reflected by these expenditures is completely askew."

IN SHORT: The provisions of my bill authorizing FHA-insured loans for hospital construction have been retained in the omnibus housing bill as approved by the House-Senate Conferences. We have every reason to believe that this will become law.... As we go to press the House is considering H.R. 17735, the bill calling for further gun control. Details next week.... Members of the Republican Task Force on Crime have introduced legislation to empower federal judges to extend prison terms up to 20 years over the maximum for the specific offense of that which the accused is convicted if the case involves: the habitual offender, the professional or organized crime hoodlum, or one who uses firearms during the commission of a crime.

FLAGS OVER THE CAPITOL: American flags with certificates stating that they have been flown over the U. S. Capitol building are available from my office. These are new flags which have been flown from the pole atop the Capitol for a short period. A 3x5 ft. flag may be obtained for $2.75 and a 5x8 for $5.50.

VISITORS: From Grand Rapids: Charles Mondy; Anthony Smith; Margaret McLean; Rev. John Williams; Mr. & Mrs. Harold Cooper and Mary; Betty Achtyes, Ann Kreager; Harriet and Betty Wiersma; Donald Shankin; George Fischer; Lawrence Murray; Sister Mary Agnes; Mrs. H. Karl and son; Susan Berkeley; Mrs. Donald VanAllsbury and family; Mr. & Mrs. Hal Smith, Linda, Julie, Mike and Tim; Mr. & Mrs. Elliswoth Woolpert, Sam, Sue, John, Julie; Mr. & Mrs. C. Scheuermann; Mike Huissen, Don Nestor; Mr. & Mrs. Gerald Postema; Mr. & Mrs. G. Osterman and family; Charles Swanson; Mr. & Mrs. Robert Van de Kopple, Bob and Diane; Marian Takens, Hilda Takens; Mrs. Louis Dykstra, Patty and Mary Lou; Robert Poppe; Richard Baker; Mr. & Mrs. Chuck Zedlles, Mike and Clark; Mr. & Mrs. George Meyer; Mr. & Mrs. Bert Messelsink; Mr. & Mrs. William Flikkema; Mr. & Mrs. Stephen Marwick and family; Mr. & Mrs. Jerry Wegkamp; Mr. & Mrs. James VanderVloeg; Mr. & Mrs. Donald Row and family; Mr. & Mrs. B. Dunnette, Jan, Jill, Scott, and Steve; Donna Rolfe; Mr. & Mrs. Gerald Jeukema, Pat, Karen and Jan; Mr. & Mrs. John Postmus; Mr. & Mrs. Joe Krajtenbrink; Rev. & Mrs. Wesley Smedes; Bill Flikkema, Jr., John Witte.
Further gun legislation was adopted by the House of Representatives last Wednesday without requiring registration of every gun or the licensing of every gun owner. But an amendment was approved requiring mandatory prison sentences for those who use or carry firearms while committing a federal felony. Anyone convicted in a federal court of a felony charge who used or carried a gun while committing the crime is to get an additional one to ten years in prison for the first offense and five to 25 years for the second offense. These prison terms would be in addition to the normal penalty for the basic crime committed. I supported this amendment when it was adopted by a vote of 410 to 11.

The bill, H.R. 17735, prohibits the sale of rifles and shotguns to minors (those under 18) and to out-of-state residents. A state may authorize its citizens to purchase long guns in an adjacent state if the gun laws of both states are obeyed. In general, the bill makes applicable to long guns and ammunition the restriction recently imposed on handguns by the omnibus crime bill. All ammunition for pistols, revolvers, machine guns, and other destructive devices (instruments often used for criminal purposes) comes under the act. But by a vote of 218 to 205 the House exempted rifle and shotgun shells, and .22 caliber rimfire shells, all designed and used primarily for sporting and hunting purposes. By a vote of 225 to 198 the House approved a provision which was intended by its sponsors to permit the shipment of weapons and ammunition to recognized rifle clubs and ROTC units without regard to the licensing provisions of the act. However, many members believe that this provision would completely exempt persons in these groups from the entire act. I felt that the bill worked out by the Committee should have been supported as reported with some minor exceptions.

There is nothing in this bill to prevent a person to hunt in another state and ship his gun or take it with him. He can buy ammunition at the hunting site and can transport or ship home the unused portion. The same is true of those who engage in target shooting in another state. Gun collectors can continue their hobby; "antique firearms" are exempt from the provisions of the bill.

The bill imposes some needed restrictions on interstate firearms traffic without interfering with legitimate recreational and self-protection uses of firearms by law-abiding citizens. The amendment setting mandatory prison terms for those who
carry guns while committing a felony is sound. I supported the bill when it was approved on final passage by a vote of 304 to 118. The House spent 21 hours and 42 minutes considering this bill. Eighty amendments were proposed and 47 were adopted.

TO BAN SWITCHBLADES: About 90 members of the House have joined in introducing legislation which, in effect, would halt the sale of switchblade and gravity knives. Existing law bans the manufacture or distribution of these instruments in interstate commerce. The new bill prohibits anyone who deals in interstate commerce of any kind from manufacturing or distributing these knives. Sponsors point out that these knives serve no legitimate purposes and "have no redeeming social value whatsoever."

FOOD STAMP PROGRAMS: The House may consider this week a bill to authorize an additional $20 million for the Food Stamp program. This will bring the total for fiscal year 1969 to $245 million. Designed to serve the unemployed, the underemployed, and the unskilled, the program now is assisting about 2.7 million persons.

The current legislation (H.R. 18049) also aims to correct abuses brought to the attention of the Committee on Agriculture. In some areas persons on strike have received food stamps because their assets and monthly income had fallen below the minimum requirement. Some married college students discovered that they could get stamps because their assets and income qualified them for aid. The bill as recommended by the committee prohibits the use of food stamps by those on strike or those attending college unless they were receiving stamps before the strike or before entering college. This spells out specifically what had been the original intention of the Congress. The Johnson-Humphrey Administration is opposed to these restrictions on the program. An effort will be made on the floor of the House to eliminate the committee's restrictions and to further expand coverage under the program.

Food stamps are now available in designated counties for those who meet certain qualifications. In Michigan, for instance, a family of four with assets under $1000 and a monthly income of less than $265 may be eligible. The family uses the amount of cash it would normally pay for food to obtain food stamps in an amount which will more nearly provide an adequate and balanced diet. For example, it could use $70 to obtain $140 worth of stamps. At the very minimum, each individual must contribute 50¢ per month to obtain stamps. Tax funds make up the difference between the amount paid for the stamps and their actual value at the local grocery store.

RECENT VISITORS: From Wyoming: Richard Burchfield; Mr. and Mrs. N. Overbeek, Bill, David, and Karen. From Lowell: Mr. and Mrs. Allan Tolle, Jr. From Sparta: Mrs. Roger Landheer. From Alto: Richard E. Posthumus. From Belding: Mr. and Mrs. Albert Honson. From Grandville: Melvin Cooke, Jr. From Grand Rapids: Betty Siekiski; Mr. and Mrs. Louis Cantile and family; Mrs. and Mrs. William Schruer and family; Mrs. and Mrs. Robert Honson; Dr. and Mrs. R. H. Siebers and family; Mrs. J. Minaar; Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Glovick and Paul; Mr. and Mrs. Robert DeYoung and family; Dr. and Mrs. Luther Carpenter; Mr. and Mrs. Gerald Knoll, Bernard, Harry, Jacky, and Bobby; Mr. and Mrs. Ed Limman and Debbie; Evelyn Cinder; Mr. and Mrs. Tom Roach and Mary Kay; Mr. and Mrs. H. J. Staal and family; Mr. and Mrs. Henry Jonker, Dan and Carol.
The various farm support programs were extended through the 1970 crop year in action taken by the House of Representatives last Wednesday. The programs covering such commodities as wheat, feed grains, cotton, and wool are now scheduled to expire December 31, 1969. The Johnson Administration has asked that the subsidy programs be made permanent. The Senate has approved a four-year extension. The Democratic-controlled House Committee on Agriculture took the position that "a one-year extension will give the new Congress and the new administration adequate time to enact amendments to the present program or to develop alternatives that will improve the economic status of American agriculture." The Republican Policy Committee endorsed this position.

But the House did vote 230 to 160 to place a $20,000 limit on payments to any one producer in a given year beginning with the 1970 crop. Michigan Congressmen were practically unanimous in supporting this limitation; only one of the 19 voted "no."

On final passage of the bill the Michigan delegation split 13 to 6 with the majority in opposition. I voted for the one-year extension with the $20,000 ceiling only to give the new Administration an opportunity to submit a better solution to the farm problem in the early days of the next Congress. I announced that I would not vote for any extension which exceeds one year. This was to make clear to the Senate-House conferees who will be considering the legislation that I will not support any compromise which calls for a greater extension of present programs.

FOOD STAMP LEGISLATION: The House also acted on the food stamp bill last week, agreeing to a four-year, no-limit authorization. If this measure becomes law, it will mean that the program will continue for four more years without further congressional review and that availability of funds will be determined only in the appropriation bill. On February 6 Ionia County requested that it be designated as an eligible area. According to the Department of Agriculture, Ionia County will be included in the program this fall if sufficient funds are available.

POST OFFICE EXEMPTED: Both the House and Senate have approved a bill to exempt the Post Office Department from the employment limitation required in the tax increase-expenditure reduction compromise recently enacted into law. In order to get the 10 percent surtax through Congress, the President had to agree to cut $6 billion in federal expenditures this year. To help achieve this saving, the compromise agreement called for a reduction in federal employment to the July 1966 level. This was
to be achieved by filling only three out of each four normal resignations and retirements.

Proponents of the Post Office exemption said that unless the law was changed the Department would be forced to cut its employment by 30,780 workers while being required this year to process about 84 billion pieces of mail compared with 75.6 billion pieces in 1966. As you know the Postmaster General threatened to eliminate Saturday mail deliveries and to close certain post offices unless the Department was granted an exemption.

However, those who opposed the exemption (and this is important) pointed out that there was no requirement in the Revenue and Expenditure Control Act that each and every Department of government reduce personnel. It was overall federal employment that was to be cut back. If the Post Office needed more men, they could be hired -- with reductions made in other agencies in a manner which would have the least disturbing effect on public service. The mandatory reduction in employment did not require the removal or firing of a single federal employee. Full discretion was given to the President as to which agencies were to be effected by the reduction in force. Instead of threatening to reduce postal services the Johnson Administration could have taken on the more difficult task of establishing priorities and making reductions in less essential areas.

And we should not forget that the Congress and the President had agreed to cut federal spending and federal employment in exchange for the 10 percent surtax. The taxpayers are now feeling the tax increase; they have every right to expect the President to keep his part of the bargain. They also have the right to expect continuation of regular mail delivery and improved postal service.

FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT: Let me quote from a statement issued last Wednesday by the Democratic-controlled Joint Committee on Reduction in Federal Expenditure: "Executive Agencies of the federal government reported civilian employment in the month of June totaling 3,026,376. This was a net increase of 95,350 as compared with employment reported in the preceding month of May. This is the highest employment level since November 1945." We can well ask whether the Johnson-Humphrey Administration has any intention of cutting down on federal employment or the taxpayers' burden.

ATTENDANCE RECORD: Through August 1, I answered 282 roll calls out of the 304 taken this session. This puts my attendance record for this year at 92.7 percent.

IN RECESS: Because of the Congressional recess the next issue of "Your Washington Review" will reach you in September. The House is scheduled to reconvene on September 4.

RECENT VISITORS: From Wyoming: Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Holwerda and 3 children; Mr. and Mrs. Duane Becker, Gary and Kim; Mr. and Mrs. Fred J. Eardley. From Byron Center: Dr. and Mrs. Earl Kik and family. From Portland: Mr. and Mrs. Don Crandall.
During the two weeks between the national conventions I plan an eight-stop mobile office tour of our congressional district.

My "office on main street" will be open from 2:30 until 6:00 p.m. on the days indicated below. I am also maintaining office hours from 9:00 to 11:00 a.m. in my Eastbrook office in Grand Rapids. No appointment is necessary and all are welcome at either the Mobile Office or at Eastbrook. We will be pleased to discuss issues before the Congress or any personal problem involving the Federal Government.

In addition, I have scheduled a number of noon and evening engagements, all of which are mentioned in the schedule below:

**TUESDAY, AUGUST 13**
Noon: Rockford Rotary
Mobile Office: ROCKFORD (Don's Pharmacy)
Evening: Sparta Lions

**WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 14**
9:00-11:00 Eastbrook Office
Noon: Ionia Rotary
Mobile Office: CEDAR SPRINGS (Main and Ash Streets)
Evening: Kent County Convention

**THURSDAY, AUGUST 15**
9:00-11:00 Eastbrook Office
Noon: Grand Rapids Rotary
Mobile Office: SPARTA (Village Parking Lot on E. Division)
Evening: West Walker Sportsmen's Club

**FRIDAY, AUGUST 16**
9:00-11:00 Eastbrook Office
Noon: Grand Rapids Roundtable
Mobile Office: CALEDONIA (Wenger's Standard Service)

**SATURDAY, AUGUST 17**
Rockford Quasquicentennial (Over, please)
MONDAY, AUGUST 19
9:00-11:00 Eastbrook Office
Noon: Belding Rotary
Mobile Office: LOWELL (City Hall)
Evening: Lowell Showboat

TUESDAY, AUGUST 20
9:00-11:00 Eastbrook Office
Noon: Wyoming Rotary
Mobile Office: LAKE ODESSA (Village Parking Lot)
Evening: Standale Lions

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 21
9:00-11:00 Eastbrook Office
Noon: Cedar Springs Rotary
Mobile Office: IONIA (Main Street; front of Penney Store)

THURSDAY, AUGUST 22
10:00 Dedication: Belding Senior Citizens Home
Noon: Comstock Park Rotary
Mobile Office: BELDING (City Hall)
Evening: Lyon-Muir Civic Club (Muir Village Park)

FRIDAY, AUGUST 23
9:00-11:00 Eastbrook Office

# # # # #
Since my last newsletter the primary election has been held and the two
canvass offices. During the time between the
Republican and Democratic Conventions I spent 2 weeks in Kent and Ionia Counties,
and now Congress has reconvened.

I want to express my appreciation to all those who endorsed my record at the
August 6th Primary. And it was wonderful to meet so many of you at my Eastbrook
office and in the Mobile Office and at my numerous speaking engagements in the two
weeks between conventions.

REDWOOD PARK: Last Thursday the House approved the final version of the bill
establishing a Redwood National Park. The Park will contain 58,000 acres including
28,101 acres of privately owned timber land on which timber cutting will stop as soon
as the President signs the bill.

FOREIGN MILITARY SALES: On Tuesday the House approved a bill permitting the
President to sell up to $816 million worth of military hardware and services to
friendly countries to help provide for their own defense. The bill also authorizes
$296 million to finance credit sales to be paid within 10 years. Three Republican-
sponsored amendments were adopted: to forbid credit sales to countries with oppres­
sive military dictatorships, to cut off sales to any country which seizes U. S.
fishing vessels more than 12 miles off its shore, and to prohibit the sale of sophis­
ticated weapons to less-developed countries which cannot afford them.

PEAR MARKETING ORDER DEFEATED: A proposal to authorize federal pear market­
ing orders for canned or frozen pears was defeated in the House last Monday. The
Republican-led opposition showed that these marketing orders would mean higher prices
for the consumers and more federal control over the production of another food item.
Furthermore, processors would be bound by, and have to pay for the administration of,
rules and regulations over which they had no control.

If approved this legislation would have permitted grower associations working
with the federal government to regulate what, when, and how much a canner could pur­
chase for processing. He could be told what grades he could buy, and in what amounts
from which growers. There also would have been a "check-off" of funds to be used for
research and promotion and advertising so that people would eat more pears.

SURPLUS AND SPENDING CUTS: To get the 10 percent surtax, the Johnson-Humphrey
Administration agreed to a $6 billion expenditure reduction this year. Most of us
who voted for the tax increase did so because of the spending cut agreement. But as you know the Democratic Administration now insists it can't make the cuts and has already gotten the Congress to exempt the Post Office Department, FAA traffic controllers, TVA employees, and others from the personnel reduction features of the law.

The President also wants to exempt from the expenditure control agreement the $14.5 billion Commodity Credit Corporation. The CCC pays for the farm price support programs for wheat, feed grains, and cotton. It is true that once the Secretary of Agriculture has announced the level at which these commodities will be supported by the taxpayers, the expenditures become somewhat uncontrollable. But the Secretary has broad discretion in setting the support price (subsidy). Costs of these programs have varied as much as $1 billion or more from one year to the next.

It is widely rumored here that before November 5, the Secretary of Agriculture will announce a vastly expanded program, with some non-agriculture connotations. It is essential, therefore, that the Congress maintain its spending restraints on the Secretary and the President. The American people are paying the President's 10 percent surtax; he must keep his part of the bargain by cutting $6 billion in federal expenditures.

FARM SUBSIDY PAYMENTS: You will remember I reported in the last newsletter that the House had placed a $20,000 limitation on farm subsidy payments to any one farmer in a single year beginning in 1970. Based on 1967 payments this limitation would reduce farm subsidy costs by over $209 million in 1970.

During 1967 farm subsidy payments of $20,000 or more were made to 9,952 farms. The total payments of over $20,000 amounted to more than $408 million. It is interesting to note that Texas led all the states in these super-payments: nearly $100 million going to 2975 farms. Next came California with $67 million divided among 1094 farms, all getting $20,000 or more. Mississippi received $63 million in 1440 payments. The figures show that 89 percent of the farmers getting over $20,000 live in the 15 "cotton" states and that they get 89 percent of the dollars paid out in these super-payments.

In contrast, only five payments in excess of $20,000 were made in Michigan: two each in Lenawee and Saginaw Counties, and one in Monroe County. There were 12 states in which no farmer received over $20,000 in payments.

ACADEMY OPPORTUNITIES: Applications are being received from young men in Kent and Ionia Counties who are interested in appointments to the service academies. We have two appointments to West Point and to Colorado Springs, and one to Annapolis. Selections will be based on the results of a Civil Service examination to be given on October 5 and November 9. Applications and additional information may be obtained by contacting my Grand Rapids office (425 Cherry Street, S.E.; telephone GL 6-9747) or by writing me at H-230 The Capitol, Washington, D.C.
The House of Representatives spent nearly eight hours last Tuesday considering private bills which usually are disposed of in a relatively short time. The main item of contention was an "omnibus claims bill" composed of 15 bills which had previously been refused passage under the normal, unanimous consent procedure of the "private calendar." These involved claims for money by private parties against the government. Of the 15 bills (or titles in the omnibus bill) eight were passed and seven defeated. There were seven roll call votes on these 15 titles; each roll call takes about 25 minutes. This was the first time since 1952 that the House had considered an omnibus claims bill.

The House also acted on 64 other private bills. Included in those approved was my bill authorizing a Kent County family to adopt its third Korean orphan.

NO TAXPAYER AID TO UNRULY STUDENTS: House-Senate Conferences agreed to deny federal educational grants and loans to students who are convicted of the "use of force, disruption or seizure of property" at any college or university. Aid could also be denied if a student "willfully refused to obey a lawful regulation" of the college. Both the Senate and the House are expected to approve this provision.

CLARIFIES A COURT DECISION: By unanimous consent the House approved legislation specifically authorizing postal inspectors to serve warrants and subpoenas and to make arrests in the enforcement of the postal laws. A recent decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals left in doubt whether postal inspectors had federal authority to perform these duties. The Court held that the validity of an arrest by an inspector must be judged by state law since there is no federal authority. This was a new twist - and had to be straightened out.

The bill passed by the House gives postal inspectors full authority under federal law to arrest those who steal from the mails, send fraudulent or obscene matter through the mail, or violate other postal laws.

OVERRULES THE COURT: The House last Monday also approved legislation to overrule a decision of the Court of Appeals. By a narrow interpretation of the National Stolen Property Act, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals had decided that no federal crime was involved when signatures on traveler's checks were forged. As a result, the Justice Department could not prosecute a large number of cases involving traveler's checks with forged countersignatures. And there has been a drastic increase in losses due to crimes of this sort.
The bill sent to the President on Monday makes it a federal crime to take from one state to another, with fraudulent intent, any traveler's check with a forged countersignature. It was pointed out in debate that the petty thief who steals these securities is generally not a forger. He goes to some known criminal, skilled in the art of forgery, who forges the checks, and thus there is created a traffic in these securities.

FOR TRAINING THE HANDICAPPED: The House has passed and sent to the Senate a bill to establish model and demonstration projects for aiding handicapped children at an early (pre-school) age. Authorities agree that early detection and treatment of a physical, mental, or emotional handicapped child is extremely important. The bill would set up 75 to 100 model programs to discover the best methods for helping the child at the earliest age, involving the parents in the training programs, and acquainting the community with the problems and potential of its handicapped children.

The bill authorizes $1 million for these purposes this year and $10 and $12 million for the next two years. We are told that it costs about $2500 per year to institutionalize a handicapped child. Any educational program which will help such a child eventually to earn up to as much as $1500 a year under sheltered conditions can mean a great deal to the individual and to all of us.

COMMISSION ON NEGRO HISTORY AND CULTURE: A third bill approved on Monday under suspension of the rules (a procedure which requires a 2/3's majority for passage) sets up an 11-member Commission composed of authorities on Negro history and culture to study all proposals to create a better understanding and knowledge of this subject. The Commission is to make a report on its findings and recommendations to the President and to the Congress within 12 months.

CONSTRUCTION SAFETY ACT: The House defeated under suspension of the rules a bill to establish health and safety standards in any construction project which is federally financed or federally assisted. Congressmen are not opposed to safe practices in construction work, but there were no legislative guidelines for these standards. Determination of the standards and their administration remained with the Executive Branch with no right of judicial review. This means that any person who contended he was unjustly penalized under the Act could not appeal to the courts for relief. It was felt by many that there were other defects in the bill which might have been corrected by amendments. But under "Suspension of the Rules," no amendments can be offered and members must vote "yes" or "no" on the bill as presented. The vote in the House was 197 in favor to 136 opposed, but the bill was defeated as a 2/3's vote is required under "suspension."

RECENT VISITORS: From Grand Rapids: Mr. & Mrs. Martin Stob and daughter; Mr. & Mrs. Robert Dykstra; Mr. & Mrs. Thomas Dykstra; Mrs. Patricia Geistert and David; Mr. & Mrs. Harry Start, Barbara and Melanie; Tom Rain; John Crawford II; Mr. & Mrs. H. Delko and two children; Mrs. Wm. Potrafke, Beth and Claudia; Louise Koss; Mr. & Mrs. J. Collins; Mr. & Mrs. Harold Atkinson and Elizabeth; Mr. & Mrs. John Barendse and two daughters; Nancy Wangvasky; Claudette Baranowski; Mr. & Mrs. Charles Garvey; Mr. & Mrs. Sherwin VanKlompenberg and family; Mr. & Mrs. Walter Werkoven.
The final versions (conference reports) of the farm subsidy bill and the food stamp bill were approved by the House of Representatives last Wednesday. The existing agricultural program will be extended through the crop-year 1970 as proposed by the House. This will give the new Administration and the new Congress sufficient time to develop alternatives to current programs. The Senate had approved a four-year extension. The Senate conferees agreed to the one-year period but flatly rejected the House-approved limit of $20,000 in subsidy payments to any one farmer in one year. This provision was, therefore, not in the final compromise version of the bill which was approved 189 to 172. I supported the Conference Report, not because I agreed with all its provisions, or the lack of them, but because the new Administration (Republican or Democrat) should have time to work out new proposals and farmers must have time to make adjustments in their plans.

In the Food Stamp Bill, the Senate had knocked out the provision approved by the House denying food stamps to college students or strikers who become eligible only because they are college students or are on strike. The Democratic-controlled Committee on Agriculture originally recommending the inclusion of this provision, had said: "In view of the original intent and purposes of the food stamp program, the acute need to stretch the funds of government to reach as many of the needy as possible and the adequacy of other resources, public and private, to help students and participants in industrial disagreements, the Committee voted to prohibit the use of stamps either to aid education or to support industrial disputes."

An effort was made in the House last Wednesday to retain this provision and to instruct the House Conferees to return to Conference and insist upon the House position. It was pointed out that "the food stamp program was designed to assist families on welfare, the unemployable, the aged, the blind, the disabled, and mothers with dependent children. The legitimate needs of these needy persons cannot be adequately met if the program's limited funds are spread too thin by including strikers and college students. Neither should the federal government take sides in a labor dispute by helping finance a strike."

The House had originally approved the bill with this restriction by a vote of 315 to 83. I voted to insist upon the House position but we lost 158 to 187. The Conference Report was then accepted by a vote of 245 to 98. I voted for the final version as recommended by the Conferees.
FOREIGN AID CUT: Congress has reduced President Johnson's request of $2.9 billion for foreign assistance to $1.6 billion. This is a cut of $1.3 billion. It must be pointed out, however, that this particular "foreign aid" bill is dealing with but a little more than one-third of the total foreign aid programs for which the President has requested funds. There are 21 separate programs involving international activities for which there are budget requests totaling $10.8 billion.

Most of you know that in the past I have supported our mutual security activities. But I have also supported the recent congressional reductions in the dollar amounts. I endorse the cuts made in the program this year.

Because of the money being used this year out of previous appropriations (unexpended balances), the $1.3 billion cut will result in a reduction of only about $237 million in actual expenditures out of the new appropriation. These annual cuts in appropriations, however, do help reduce the unexpended balances. Last year's cut in funds resulted in a reduction of $700 million in the unexpended balance at the end of fiscal year 1968.

It is evident that the American people are fatigued and frustrated with the cost of foreign assistance, its lack of effectiveness in some cases, the many instances of mismanagement, and the lack of real effort on the part of beneficiaries. We must reduce the dollar expenditures to help alleviate our balance-of-payments deficit, and to help reduce the burden on our taxpayers. Cutbacks are specifically justified because of our enormous war expenditures in Vietnam. Our allies in the free world must assume a greater responsibility in combating communist expansion and in assisting the developing countries. Finally, the new administration in January will and should have the opportunity to review the entire concept of foreign aid. It should have the freedom necessary to establish new priorities and new programs, unencumbered by old, unproductive projects which too often are strangling our assistance efforts.

CONGRESSIONAL REFORM: Republicans in the House have been urging consideration of the Senate-approved congressional reform bill which has been bottled up in the Committee on Rules where the Democrats have a majority of 10 to 5.

Among other things, this bill provides for: open committee meetings and public disclosure of committee votes (committee decisions are now made in many instances in closed executive sessions); open hearings of the Appropriations Committees in both the House and the Senate (all House Committee meetings are now closed to the public); mandatory roll call votes on all appropriation bills (bills involving billions of tax dollars may now be approved by a voice vote); removal of postmasters and rural mail carriers from the patronage system (these federal employees now must have political clearance from the political party in control of the Executive Branch); live telecasting and broadcasting of committee hearings (presently prohibited in the House); and increased regulation of lobbying activities.
Final action was taken in the House of Representatives last Thursday on the Vocational Education Act and the Labor and HEW Appropriation bill. At this writing the Senate has just passed the Defense Appropriation bill and has taken up the Foreign Aid Appropriation bill. These are the last of the 13 regular money bills which must be approved by Congress each year to provide funds for operating the government. It is expected that there will also be one more supplemental appropriation bill to cover additional funds needed by various agencies and not previously provided.

EQUAL TIME ON AIR: The law requires any radio or television station which permits a candidate for public office to use its facilities to give equal opportunities to all other candidates. This is a good and equitable provision. It applies to both free and paid radio or TV time except regular news coverage. But if this provision (Section 315 of the Communications Act of 1934) remains in effect the presidential and vice-presidential candidates of all the minor and splinter parties would be entitled to broadcast opportunities equal to those afforded Democrats and Republicans. The networks and stations would then be discouraged from donating time or reducing rates for the two major candidates.

The time for the 1960 Nixon-Kennedy debates was donated as a public service. During that year the provisions of Section 315 were suspended. Otherwise, in the various states 14 presidential candidates could have demanded free equal time. On this year's ballot in Michigan there are six candidates for president and vice-president.

On May 29 the Senate approved a resolution suspending Section 315 for the 1968 presidential campaign but left it up to the networks or the stations to give or refuse to give time to the presidential and vice-presidential candidates. It was assumed that the networks would offer time only to the Democratic and Republican candidates.

The House committee amended the bill to make it apply also to Mr. Wallace and to require the networks or stations to offer to all three an opportunity to appear on the same program. The House committee bill is different from the Senate version. If the House follows its committee recommendation there must still be a reconciliation with the Senate version. The legislation is scheduled for consideration this week.

MAILING OF MASTER KEYS: Legislation has been sent to the President which would prohibit the mailing of automobile keys designed to fit the locks or switches of two or more cars. The bill also prohibits the mailing of any advertisement for the sale of these master keys. The Postmaster General is authorized to exempt certain parties
such as locksmiths, motor vehicle dealers, and employees of parking facilities.

The bill was designed to halt the ease with which potential car thieves could obtain master keys. During 1967 there were more than 650,000 auto thefts in the U.S.

SOME HOME DATES: This week Saturday I'm planning to participate in the Red Flannel Day festivities at Cedar Springs. That same evening I'm scheduled to speak at Eastminster Church.

There are indications that Congressional adjournment will come this week. In any event, next week I will be meeting with three luncheon clubs: Grand Rapids Downtown Kiwanis on Monday; Grand Rapids Lions on Tuesday, and Grand Rapids South Kiwanis on Wednesday. On Monday evening I will meet with the Elfun Society and on Saturday evening I will be helping our Latvian friends in Grand Rapids celebrate Latvia's 50th Independence Day anniversary.

TELEPHONE TALKS: During the past few weeks I have made four talks to groups in Grand Rapids by long distance telephone. Because of the extended session of the Congress it was impossible to keep the appointment in person. But by use of a public address speaker attached to the telephone line, I talked from the House floor or my office to the Association of Accountants, the Mayflower Congregational Church supper, the meeting at Creston High sponsored by the Shawnee Park School for the Oral Deaf, and the Grand Rapids Ministerial Association. Those in attendance tell me that this arrangement worked out fine.

I would be pleased to cooperate with any group in a telephone address when my personal appearance at home is prevented by my duties in the Capital.

ATTENDANCE RECORD: Through October I have answered 332 out of 368 roll calls this session, for an attendance record of 90.2 percent. I have missed only 20 out of 214 yea-and-nay votes.

NEXT NEWSLETTER IN JANUARY: This is the last newsletter for this session of the Congress which may adjourn this week. Our next issue will be mailed after the 91st Congress convenes in January.

If your address on the newsletter envelope is not correct, please let me know. If there is someone who would like to receive "Your Washington Review," we will be happy to have his name and address.

ACADEMIES: Applications will continue to be received through October at my Grand Rapids and Washington offices from those young men in the Fifth District who wish to be considered for appointment to one of the service academies. November 9 is the final opportunity to write the Civil Service examination from which selections are made.

RECENT VISITORS: From Rockford: Mr. & Mrs. Frank Evans; Mr. & Mrs. Roger Wolthuis and family; From Wyoming: Mr. & Mrs. Fred Hardley; Mr. & Mrs. Henry Vanden Brook and family; Mr. & Mrs. Herman Johnson; Mr. & Mrs. William Franks and two sons; Mrs. Maynard Dood; Mr. & Mrs. Alfred Gelderloos. From Grandville: John Brewer; Mr. & Mrs. Jannereth and family; Mr. & Mrs. David Streelman; Mr. & Mrs. Louis Dykstra and two daughters. From Ada: Mr. & Mrs. Cornelius Plantinga. From Byron Center: Mr. & Mrs. Roger Gritter and four children. From Comstock Park: Mr. & Mrs. Richard Krupp; Mr. Martin Buth. From Sparta: Mr. & Mrs. Helvin Barclay. From Grand Rapids: Gloria Hodyna, Judy Witkoski; Mr. & Mrs. Jelle Sterk and 2 daughters; Mrs. Fred Dykstra.