The original documents are located in Box C50, folder "Presidential Handwriting, 10/21/1976" of the Presidential Handwriting File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

October 21, 1976

MR PRESIDENT:

Jack Marsh reviewed and approved the attached memorandum. OMB (Ogilvie) had no comments.

Jim Connor

Surable

WASHINGTON

SECRET - GDS

ACTION October 20, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

BRENT SCOWCROFT

SUBJECT:

Release to NATO of the United States Reply to the 1976 NATO Defense Planning Questionnaire (DPQ 76)

Defense has forwarded the proposed U.S. reply to the 1976 Defense Planning Questionnaire (DPQ) and has asked that you approve release of the document to NATO. The Questionnaire is sent annually to each member of the Alliance (less France and Greece) as part of the NATO defense planning cycle. Responses to the Questionnaire are the primary source of information on individual country force plans. In this year's responses, each country will describe its tentative plans for 1977 through 1981, and will designate the forces it has actually committed to NATO for calendar year 1977.

The U.S. response as originally transmitted for Defense Review Panel (DRP) review by Secretary Rumsfeld proposed a drawdown in the number of U.S. nuclear-capable aircraft deployed to Europe and a reduction in the availability status of one of the two aircraft carriers continuously stationed in the Atlantic. After substantial high-level review of the proposed reply, the Defense Department was able to modify the DPQ and its force program to avoid the proposed cutbacks.

-- Nuclear Aircraft. The principal nuclear aircraft issues concerned the proposed deployment of a second F-111 wing to the U.K. and a wing of F-15 aircraft to the NATO Guidelines Area (NGA). The original version of the DPQ reply would have shown a substantial reduction in the number of U.S. nuclear-capable aircraft in Europe as older U.S. nuclear-capable F-4 aircraft were replaced by more modern F-15 aircraft in the FRG. While highly capable in the

conventional role, the F-15 aircraft are optimized for air-to-air combat and are not configured to deliver nuclear weapons. In 1977 the number of U.S. nuclear-capable aircraft in the NGA would have declined by 66 aircraft. In addition to the obvious loss in operational nuclear delivery capability, a drawdown of this magnitude might have more subtly reawakened Allied doubts about our commitment to use nuclear weapons in the defense of Europe, and would have risked undermining the MBFR talks (particularly the value of our Option III proposal which includes 54 U.S. F-4s). For these reasons the Defense Review Panel decided to maintain the existing F-4s at the present level in the FRG, permanently based, with no reductions upon arrival of the F-15s.

A second nuclear aircraft issue that had not been included in the original DPQ response involved deployment of F-111 aircraft to England. Earlier this year, Defense had recommended that a second F-111 wing be deployed to the U.K. (there is one wing in U.K. now), as a further step toward increasing our combat forces in Europe under the Nunn Amendment. The wing of F-111s (84 aircraft) would replace an F-4 wing (72 aircraft) that would be returned to the United States. The F-111s would give NATO a significant improvement in adverse weather delivery capability, while taking up the nuclear mission of the replaced F-4s. The Defense Review Panel agreed with DOD's proposal to deploy the F-111 beginning in 1977. US NATO, the FRG, and the U.K. have all been consulted and approve the intended nuclear aircraft levels and European basing arrangements.

-- Carrier Readiness: As originally proposed, the US DPQ response would have reduced the availability status of one A-1 (available in 48 hours) aircraft carrier committed to the Atlantic Fleet, to a lower status A-3 (available in 5-15 days). DOD cited maintenance and overhaul scheduling problems caused by the reduction in worldwide carrier assets in October 1977 (from 13 to 12) as reasons for the reduction. As a result of objections to the proposed reduction, it was reconsidered and agreement was reached to provide for continuation of current carrier commitments throughout the DPQ period (1981). While Defense will try to maintain this commitment, it will be difficult to manage, and this is pointed out in the DPQ.

The proposed DPQ reply reflects these changes in our aircraft replacement program and in aircraft carrier readiness. The remaining sections of the DPQ, particularly those dealing with ground forces, describe our efforts to upgrade the war fighting capability of our ground forces by modernizing their equipment and earmarking some

army units stationed in the United States for SACEUR strategic reserve. In sum, the DPQ should now provide good evidence to our Allies of our continuing commitment to the defense of Europe.

I concur, as does State, with DOD's request to release the DPQ 76 response to NATO.

RECOMMENDATION

That you authorize me to sign the memo at Tab A releasing the DPQ 76 response to NATO.

_____Approve

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

SUBJECT:

Release to NATO of the United States Reply to the 1976 NATO Defense Planning Questionnaire (DPQ 76)

The President has approved the release to NATO of the US reply to DPQ 76 as amended.

Brent Scowcroft

cc: Secretary of State

* 'ACTION MEMORANDUM

WASHINGTON

LOG NO .:

Date: October 20, 1976

Time:

FOR ACTION: Jack Marsh Jim Lynn

cc (for information):

worl

FROM THE STAFF SECRETARY

DUE: Date:

Thursday, October 21, 1976

Time:

10:00 A.M.

SUBJECT:

Release to NATO of the United States Reply to the 1976 NATO Defense Planning Questionaire (DPQ 76)

ACTION REQUESTED:

For Necessary Action

X For Your Recommendations

Prepare Agenda and Brief

_ Draft Reply

X For Your Comments

___ Draft Remarks

REMARKS:

Lynn-agelnie SECRET ATTACHMENT
Marsh-approne

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately.

Jim Connor For the President

MEMORANDUM OF CALL YOU WERE CALLED BY-YOU WERE VISITED BY-PHONE NO. CODE/EXT. WILL CALL AGAIN IS WAITING TO SEE YOU RETURNED YOUR CALL WISHES AN APPOINTMENT MESSAGE STANDARD FORM 63 REVISED AUGUST 1967 GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 6PO : 1980------

63-108

OCT 2 0 1976

ACTION MEMORANDUM

WASHINGTON

LOG NO.;

No. dee: 10/21

Date: October 20, 1976

Time:

16:00

FOR ACTION:
Jack Marsh
Jim Lynn

cc (for information):

Send to Bouerie

FROM THE STAFF SECRETARY

DUE: Date:

Thursday, October 21, 1976

Time:

10:00 A.M.

SUBJECT:

Release to NATO of the United States Reply to the 1976 NATO Defense Planning Questionaire (DPQ 76)

ACTION REQUESTED:

____ For Necessary Action

X For Your Recommendations

Prepare Agenda and Brief

____ Draft Reply

X For Your Comments

____ Draft Remarks

REMARKS:

SECRET ATTACHMENT

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate delay in submitting the required material, pleas telephone the Staff Secretary immediately.

Jim Connor
For the President

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

TO:

BOB LINDER

FROM:

TRUDY FRY

The attached is sent to you for review before it is forwarded to the President.

Am presently staffing this to Jack Marsh and Jim Lynn with a quick turnaround.

NA