# The original documents are located in Box C22, folder "Presidential Handwriting, 5/26/1975 (1)" of the Presidential Handwriting File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

## **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box C22 of The Presidential Handwriting File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 26, 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH:

FROM:

DONALD RUMSFE RICHARD B. CHENEY

SUBJECT:

Inclusion of Statement on Uranium Enrichment in Proposed Television Speech

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

After Saturday's meeting on the issue of uranium enrichment, you instructed me to have language drafted which could be used in your TV address tomorrow night when you will announce your decision to impose the second dollar tariff on oil imports.

I would recommend, however, that you not discuss the issue in tomorrow night's address. Frank Zarb and others of FEA believe that discussing uranium enrichment will detract from the basic message of tomorrow night's speech, namely that Congress has failed to adopt an energy program and, therefore, you are going to move administratively to reduce oil imports and increase production.

FEA's arguments are attached.

Should you decide to make some reference to uranium enrichment, I have also attached draft language prepared by FEA.

Make No Reference to Uranium Enrichment



Include Statement on Uranium Enrichment

Attachments



### FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20461

May 26, 1975

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR

FROM: JOHN A. HILL

SUBJECT: Inclusion of Statement on Uranium Enrichment in President's May 27 Energy Speech

ISSUE

Should the President's May 27 Energy Speech include a reference to uranium enrichment?

#### ANALYSIS

The purpose of the President's energy speech is to announce his decisions on the second dollar on import fees and to frame that decision within the context of Congressional inaction on his or any other energy program. The intended results are (1) to insure success of the second dollar (to avoid losing the veto vote); (2) to again underscore problems with the vetoed strip mining bill and to enhance our chances for sustaining the veto; and (3) to spur the public to work on their Congressmen during the recess to act on energy legislation when they return.

Uranium enrichment does not fit particularly well within the overall purposes of the May 27 speech:

- The Administration has made no proposal to Congress regarding uranium enrichment; it may even be somewhat vulnerable to the charge that it has dragged its feet on this issue.
- 2. Uranium enrichment is a highly technical issue and its relationship to overall energy policy is both indirect and difficult to perceive by the average citizen.

3. Given items (1) and (2), inclusion of uranium enrichment in the speech is likely to detract (both substantively and in terms of overall impact) from the speech by including items not understood by the public and give Congress an issue they can focus on in response to the speech that would allow them to beg the real issues of energy policy.

The only rationale for including uranium enrichment in the President's speech would be to support Kissinger's statement on uranium enrichment at the IEA meeting this week in Paris. Although this could be an important signal to foreign nations, it is doubtful that it would tell foreign nations something they do not know already -- that the U.S. intends to be a major player in the international enrichment market. The need to include the statement therefore in a speech aimed at the homefront is thus not compelling.

#### RECOMMENDATION

FEA recommends that uranium enrichment <u>not</u> be included in the President's May 27 energy speech.

If it is included, FEA would recommend the language provided in the attachment.

Attachment

#### SUGGESTED STATEMENT ON URANIUM ENRICHMENT

Although much of the energy debate to date has focused on the need to increase the supply and constrain the demand of our scarce fossil fuels, attention must also be given to the nuclear situation. I have recently submitted legislation that would expedite the siting and licensing of nuclear power plants, and will shortly submit a proposal to extend existing protections to the public in the unlikely event of a nuclear accident. I will also decide by June 30 how the Nation should increase its capacity for enriching uranium, not only to meet the future fuel needs of domestic utilities but also those of foreign nations. Although my recommendations in this area could involve either private sources of supply or the continuation of the Government's past monopoly, the objective must be to add to our capacity to enrich uranium.

I am hopeful that Congress will be able to act on these proposals without the delays we have encountered in my comprehensive energy program. At stake is this Nation's ability to ultimately eliminate its vulnerability by relying increasingly on the production of power from nuclear sources.

# THE WHITE HOUSE

Date 5/26/75

DICK CHENEY TO: JERRY H. J

FROM:

2

For your information.