# The original documents are located in Box 14, folder "Expo '81" of the James M. Cannon Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

# **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box 14 of the James M. Cannon Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

DECISION

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 16, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

JIM CANNON

SUBJECT:

Presidential Recognition of the Proposed 1981 International Exposition in Ontario, California

## ISSUE

Should you give formal "Federal recognition" to the proposed exposition?

#### BACKGROUND

To celebrate the 200th anniversary of the founding of Los Angeles, a major exposition is proposed. This proposed exposition is more fully described in the attached letter from Secretary Richardson at Tab A and the detailed Commerce report at Tab G.

It is argued that Federal recognition of this exposition is required by Wednesday, November 17, 1976, so that this recognition can be transmitted to a Paris meeting of the Bureau of International Expositions. This is the group which sanctions international events of this kind. The next meeting of this group will not occur for six months.

While there might be a time pressure on this matter, you should be aware of a number of problems related to this proposal. The apparent lack of suitable financing, negative newspaper stories in the Los Angeles Times, and the purchase of a bankrupt speedway (whose owners are not identified) all suggest caution.

My understanding of the "downside" risk of no action at this time is that six months would be lost in receiving BIE recognition (with no guarantee of future positive BIE action), and the Expo '81 planning corporation would lose some desired impetus in its search for financing.



After several weeks of skeptical analysis of this proposed exposition by the Office of the Secretary of Commerce, the report from Secretary Richardson arrived at the White House on the morning of November 16 and this material has been quickly reviewed by the White House staff.

## OPTIONS

Option 1

Grant Federal recognition as proposed by Secretary Richardson, but make continuation of this recognition contingent upon several conditions proposed by Secretary Richardson.

- This is responsive to the apparent time pressures in this matter.
- The recognition is being recommended by Roy Ash and Tex McCrary.
- The qualified nature of the endorsement provides some protection if problems develop in the future.

Option 2

Delay recognition until a more careful assessment of the merits of this issue can be undertaken.

- Many potential problems exist in this matter and these should be resolved before any decision is made which may have adverse political repercussions.
- It is not clear that a delay in recognition will jeopardize either BIE registration or financing arrangements for the exposition.

## AGENCY AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Secretary Richardson, Acting Secretary of State Robinson (see Tab B), Bill Seidman and Jack Marsh recommend Option 1. Strong Congressional support for this option has been received from Senators Cranston and Magnuson, as well as Congressmen Wiggins and Goldwater. NSC recommends Option 1.

Phil Buchen (see Tab C) and Paul O'Neill recommend Option 2. OMB argues:

"We believe that Federal recognition of an International exposition should be withheld at this time pending a more careful assessment of the merits of this proposal. We note that Secretary Richardson's letter to the President raises a number of contingent conditions which are to be met to retain continued Federal recognition. These conditions raise a number of serious questions which we believe should be addressed before the President grants approval and not after.

#### RECOMMENDATION

I strongly recommend that you choose Option 2 and withhold Federal recognition at this time because of the many potential problems which have not been adequately analyzed in the excessive haste to place this matter before you.

#### DECISION

Option 1

Grant recognition to the proposed exposition. (This could be done by telephone with formal documents to follow)

Approve

If you approve Option 1 you need to sign the letter to the Secretary of State at Tab D and the letter to the Secretary of Commerce at Tab E.

You also need to approve the statement at Tab F.

Approve

Option 2

Withhold recognition at this time pending further study.

Approve



cc: Leach

) NOONE is account

# THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 18, 1976

# ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

JIM CANNON

FROM:

JIM CONNOR JEE

SUBJECT:

Presidential Recognition of the Proposed 1981 International Exposition in Ontario, California

The President reviewed your memorandum of November 16 on the above subject and advised that he would take no action until he has received further information.

You are requested to follow-up with appropriate action and we would like a report back within three weeks.

A COLUMN STORY & STORY

cc: Dick Cheney



DEC 1 5 1976

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

#### WASHINGTON

976 DEC 16 19769 10

MEMORANDUM FOR:

PHIL BUCHEN ROBERT T. HARTMANN LACK MARSH MAX FRIEDERSDORF JIM LYNN BILL SEIDMAN

JIM CANNON AND PUER

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Presidential Recognition of EXPO '81

Please review the attached draft decision memorandum to the President re: Federal recognition of EXPO '81 -- a proposed World's Fair to be held in Ontario, California.

I would appreciate your comments and recommendations by noon, Thursday, December 16.

I she incoming administration



#### WASHINGTON

#### MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

# JIM CANNON

SUBJECT:

FROM:

# Expo '81 -- a World's Fair proposed to be held in Ontario, California

The purpose of this memorandum is to seek your decision on whether or not to grant Federal recognition to Expo '81 -- a proposed international exposition to be held in Ontario, California, in 1981.

# I. BACKGROUND

On November 16, a brief decision memorandum was sent to you regarding EXPO '81. There was some urgency at that time because of a November 17 meeting in Paris of the Bureau of International Expositions (BIE), the international organization which registers events of this time. Our understanding at the time was that Presidential "recognition" was required before the BIE could officially register Expo '81. Since the next scheduled BIE meeting was in April of 1977, Expo '81 appeared to face a six-month delay if Presidential recognition were not forthcoming by November 17.

Your recognition was not granted. The BIE, however, took the apparently unprecedented step of granting its approval anyway -- contingent upon subsequent Presidential recognition. That is where the matter now stands.

At your request we have, since then, been collecting additional information. Members of my staff have met with representatives of the group promoting Expo '81 (including its Board Chairman, General James Doolittle). In addition, discussions have been held with a variety of interested parties including persons in the Commerce Department responsible for studying the viability of the Fair.

The following is additional background which may prove helpful in your determination of whether or not to grant recognition to Expo '81.



## A. Federal recognition

P.L. 91-296 establishes uniform standards under which the President shall "recognize international expositions proposed to be held in the United States." Recognition of such expositions is not required, but is very important to their success because it permits international registration and can lead to direct Federal participation in the exposition. Under the law, the President can grant recognition after considering:

-2-

- (1) A report from the Secretary of Commerce which includes "a determination that guaranteed financial and other support has been secured by the exposition from affected State and local governments and from business and civil leadership of the region and others, in amounts sufficient in his judgment to assure the successful development and progress of the exposition";
- (2) A report by the Secretary of State that the proposed exposition qualifies for consideration for BIE registration; and
- (3) "Such other evidence as the President may consider appropriate."
- B. Withdrawal of recognition.

The statute permits the President to "withdraw recognition or participation whenever he finds that continuing recognition or participation would be inconsistent with the national interest and with the purposes of this Act."

C. Federal participation in international expositions.

The Federal Government may participate only with Congressional authorization. It is expected that if authority is granted, an estimated \$20-25 million appropriation would be required to finance a U.S. pavilion.

# III. EXPO '81

• \*

A. Background.

Expo '81 would be the first "Category 1" exposition to be held in the U.S. since 1939. Its theme would be "People to People -- Pathway to Understanding. It would celebrate the bicentennial of Los Angeles, although it would be held in Ontario, California (San Bernardino County) about 40 miles east of Los Angeles. It would be built on the 697 acre site of the near bankrupt Ontario Motor Speedway, an Indianapolis size raceway constructed at a cost of \$25 million in 1969.

# B. Site selection.

The Ontario site was selected principally because no suitable and affordable site could be found within Los Angeles County and because the Speedway already has an infrastructure (parking, utilities, sewers, administration buildings, etc.) sufficient to handle many of EXPO's needs.

# C. Financing

The proposed financial plan for EXPO '81 includes the following elements to provide the projected \$39 million cash requirements through 1980:

1. A \$7 million loan to EXPO '81 to purchase the Raceway and provide operating capital. The loan would be secured by title to the Raceway.

(A New York investment firm, Allen and Company, has committed these funds.)

- 2. A \$35 million State of California revenue bond issue secured by sales taxes in Los Angeles County and adjacent counties. The proceeds of this issue would be loaned to EXPO '81 for operating capital. (No state action has yet been taken on this matter.)
- 3. Commitments from the cities of San Bernadino and Ontario of \$10,000 each conditional on Presidential recognition, as well as tentative commitments from business groups and other area governments.
- 4. Fairs of this type also receive significant funding from businesses purchasing certain concessions rights.



-3-

D. Local government support.

The idea of EXPO '81 has been endorsed by the governors of the thirteen Western states; the California State Senate; Senators Cranston, Tunney, Hatfield, and Hansen; Mayors Tom Bradley and Pete Wilson; the California legislature; City Councils of Los Angeles, and Ontario; and the Board of Supervisors in the Counties of San Bernardino, Orange, San Diego, Ventura, and Kern.

# E. EXPO '81 principals.

The Chairman of the Board of EXPO '81 is General James Doolittle. Its President is Richard Pittenger, a retired Corporate Vice President of the Farmers Insurance Group and public relations expert. There are fourteen other directors who by and large are Southern California businessmen. The group appears to be honest and dedicated to making EXPO '81 a success.

#### IV. POTENTIAL PROBLEMS

At this time, there appear to be at least two problems with the EXPO '81 proposal.

(1) Lack of adequate financing

The financing package is currently incomplete. The firmest financing component is the \$7 million loan, and even it generates several questions (see below). The \$35 million bond issue requires action by the State of California legislature, and there is no good indication that the legislature is willing to take such action. Other financial support is modest (\$10,000 each from two cities) or only verbally committed. The overall financial uncertain ties raise the question of whether or not the proposal meets the financial qualifications required by P.L. 91-269.

### (2) Ownership of the Speedway.

The \$7 million loan would permit EXPO '81 to make a purchase offer to the bondholders who own the Speedway. The proposed offer would give holders of Ontario Motor Speedway Corporation bonds a choice of exchanging them at face value for EXPO '81 bonds or receiving cash of 25% of face value. A registration statement for the EXPO '81 bonds has been filed with the SEC and action is pending. However, it is not known whether the bondholders will accept the offer, although it appears likely given the probable alternative of a foreclosure sale of the property.

-5-

Speedway ownership raises another difficulty, however. Most (90%) of the existing bonds are unregistered "bearer" bonds, so it is not possible to identify the current bondholders. This situation creates an opportunity for mischief and suggests caution by the Administration, even though there is no evidence of wrongdoing at this time.

# V. DECISION OPTIONS

The basic question is whether or not to grant recognition to EXPO '81. In addition, there is the question of what qualications should accompany your decision. Two options are presented for your consideration.

# Option #1. Grant Federal Recognition.

Arguments in favor of this option:

- It is supported by the conclusions of the Commerce Department.
- Recognition can always be withdrawn if serious problems arise in the future.
- Recognition would help EXPO '81 avoid losing momentum and valuable planning time.
- The recognition could be accompanied with a statement describing the conditions which must be met to avoid subsequent withdrawal of the recognition.

Option #2. Deny Federal Recognition.

Arguments in favor of this option:

- There is inadequate financial backing to justify recognition at this time (the Commerce report states that "the major part of 'guaranteed' financial support . . . is not assured at this time.")
- The uncertainties about ownership of the bankrupt Speedway make recognition unnecessarily risky at this time. (The Commerce

report states that the organizers should obtain SEC approval of this bond issue prior to recognition.)

• The denial can be accompanied with a strong statement which support the efforts to date and indicates that recognition can be granted when certain conditions are met (e.g. conditional approval by the California State legislature of the \$35 million bond issues, etc.)

A carefully presented denial need not destroy EXPO '81's momentum.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

(To be added)

VII. DECISION

Option #1. Grant Recognition

Sign statement at Tab A which grants recognition but specifies conditions which need to be met to retain recognition.

Approve

Disapprove

Sign letter at Tab B to Secretary of State asking him to inform BIE that recognition has been granted to EXPO '81.

Approve

Disapprove

Sign letter at Tab C to Secretary of Commerce asking him to monitor for you the progress of EXPO '81 developments.

Approve Disapprove

Option #2. Deny Recognition

Sign letter to the Secretary of Commerce at Tab D which asks that additional conditions be met before recognition can be given.

Approve

Disapprove

DECISION

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 17, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

SUBJECT:

JIM CANNO Decision Memorandum on EXPO '81

I apologize for the length of the attached memorandum. However, the issue is more complicated than it appears and has generated diverse recommendations among your advisors.

Therefore, I felt you should have a complete explanation of the issue in order to make your decision.

Attachment



#### WASHINGTON

# December 17, 1976

MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

SUBJECT:

JIM CANN Expo '81 World's Fair proposed to a be held in Ontario, California

The purpose of this memorandum is to seek your decision on whether or not to grant Federal recognition to Expo '81 -- a proposed international exposition to be held in Ontario, California, in 1981.

#### I. BACKGROUND

On November 16, a brief decision memorandum was sent to you regarding EXPO '81. There was some urgency at that time because of a November 17 meeting in Paris of the Bureau of International Expositions (BIE), the international organization which registers events of this time. Our understanding at the time was that Presidential "recognition" was required before the BIE could officially register Expo '81. Since the next scheduled BIE meeting was in April of 1977, Expo '81 appeared to face a six-month delay if Presidential recognition were not forthcoming by November 17.

Your recognition was not granted. The BIE, however, took the apparently unprecedented step of granting its approval anyway -- contingent upon subsequent Presidential recognition. That is where the matter now stands.

At your request we have, since then, been collecting additional information. Members of my staff have met with representatives of the group promoting Expo '81 (including its Board Chairman, General James Doolittle). In addition, discussions have been held with a variety of interested parties including persons in the Commerce Department responsible for studying the viability of the Fair.

The following is additional background which may prove helpful in your determination of whether or not to grant recognition to Expo '81.

# II. LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

#### A. Federal recognition

P.L. 91-296 establishes uniform standards under which the President shall "recognize international expositions proposed to be held in the United States." Recognition of such expositions is not required, but is very important to their success because it permits international registration and can lead to direct Federal participation in the exposition. Under the law, the President can grant recognition after considering:

- (1) A report from the Secretary of Commerce which includes "a determination that guaranteed financial and other support has been secured by the exposition from affected State and local governments and from business and civic leadership of the region and others, in amounts sufficient in his judgment to assure the successful development and progress of the exposition";
- (2) A report by the Secretary of State that the proposed exposition qualifies for consideration for BIE registration; and
- (3) "Such other evidence as the President may consider appropriate."

# B. Withdrawal of recognition.

The statute permits the President to "withdraw recognition or participation whenever he finds that continuing recognition or participation would be inconsistent with the national interest and with the purposes of this Act."

C. Federal participation in international expositions.

The Federal Government may participate only with Congressional authorization. It is expected that if authority is granted, an estimated \$20-25 million appropriation would be required to finance a U.S. pavilion.



# III. EXPO '81

# A. Background.

Expo '81 would be the first "Category 1" exposition to be held in the U.S. since 1939. Its theme would be "People to People -- Pathway to Understanding."

It would celebrate the bicentennial of Los Angeles, although it would be held in Ontario, California (San Bernardino County), about 40 miles east of Los Angeles. It would be built on the 697 acre site of the near bankrupt Ontario Motor Speedway, an Indianapolis size raceway constructed at a cost of \$25 million in 1969.

# B. Site selection.

The Ontario site was selected principally because no suitable and affordable site could be found within Los Angeles County and because the Speedway already has an infrastructure (parking, utilities, sewers, administration buildings, etc.) sufficient to handle many of EXPO's needs.

# C. Financing

The proposed financial plan for EXPO '81 includes the following elements to provide the projected \$39 million cash requirements through 1980:

- A \$7 million loan to EXPO '81 to purchase the Raceway and provide operating capital. The loan would be secured by title to the Raceway. (A New York investment firm, Allen and Company, has committed these funds.)
- 2. A proposed \$35 million State of California revenue bond issue secured by sales taxes in Los Angeles County and adjacent counties. The proceeds of this issue would be loaned to EXPO '81 for operating capital. (No state action has yet been taken on this matter.)
- 3. Commitments from the cities of San Bernadino and Ontario of \$10,000 each conditional on Presidential recognition, as well as tentative commitments from business groups and other area governments.



 Fairs of this type also receive significant funding from businesses purchasing certain concessions rights.

# D. Local support.

The idea of EXPO '81 has been endorsed by the governors of the thirteen Western states; the California State Senate; Senators Cranston, Tunney, Hatfield, and Hansen; Mayors Tom Bradley and Pete Wilson; the California legislature; City Councils of Los Angeles, and Ontario; and the Board of Supervisors in the Counties of San Bernardino, Orange, San Diego, Ventura, and Kern. Roy Ash and Tex McCrary have also indicated strong support for the project.

# E. EXPO '81 principals.

The Chairman of the Board of EXPO '81 is General James Doolittle. Its President is Richard Pittenger, a retired Corporate Vice President of the Farmers Insurance Group and public relations expert. The Executive Vice President is Bill Welsh, a Los Angeles businessman and television sportscaster for 25 years. The other thirteen directors are respected Southern California businessmen who appear to be dedicated to making EXPO '81 a success.

## IV. POTENTIAL PROBLEMS

At this time, there appear to be at least two problems with the EXPO '81 proposal.

# (1) Lack of adequate financing

The financing package is currently incomplete. The firmest financing component is the \$7 million loan, and even it generates several questions (see below). The \$35 million bond issue requires action by the State of California legislature, and there is no good indication that the legislature is willing to take such action. Other financial support is modest (\$10,000 each from two cities) or only verbally committed. The overall financial uncertainties raise the question of whether or not the proposal meets the financial qualifications required by P.L. 91-269.



# (2) Ownership of the Speedway.

The \$7 million loan would permit EXPO '81 to make a purchase offer to the bondholders who own the Speedway. The proposed offer would give holders of Ontario Motor Speedway Corporation bonds a choice of exchanging them at face value for EXPO '81 debentures or receiving cash of 25% of face value. A registration statement for the EXPO '81 debentures has been filed with the SEC and action is pending. However, it is not known whether the bondholders will accept the offer, although it appears likely given the probable alternative of a foreclosure sale of the property.

Speedway ownership raises another difficulty, however. Most (90%) of the existing bonds are unregistered "bearer" bonds, so it is not possible to identify the current bondholders. This situation creates an opportunity for mischief and suggests caution by the Administration, even though there is no evidence of wrongdoing at this time.

# V. DECISION OPTIONS

The basic question is whether or not to grant recognition to EXPO '81. In addition, there is the question of what qualications should accompany your decision. Two options are presented for your consideration:

# Option #1. Grant Federal Recognition.

Arguments in favor of this option:

- It is supported by the conclusions of the Commerce Department.
- Recognition can always be withdrawn if serious problems arise in the future.
- Recognition would help EXPO '81 avoid losing momentum and valuable planning time.
- The recognition could be accompanied with a statement describing the conditions which must be met to avoid subsequent withdrawal of the recognition.

Option #2. <u>Withhold Federal Recognition at this time</u>. Arguments in favor of this option:

-6-

- There is inadequate financial backing to justify recognition at this time (the Commerce report states that "the major part of 'guaranteed' financial support . . . is not assured at this time."). A project of this kind should be built from the ground up, with strong local support, rather than from the top down.
- The uncertainties about ownership of the bankrupt Speedway make recognition unnecessarily risky at this time. (SEC approval of EXPO '81's registration statement prior to recognition would be helpful in this regard.)
- The denial can be accompanied with a strong statement which supports the efforts to date and indicates that recognition can be granted when certain conditions are met (e.g. conditional approval by the California State legislature of the \$35 million bond issue, etc.).

#### VI. STAFF AND AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS

Secretary Richardson recommends that you grant recognition and indicate that the recognition can be withdrawn if certain conditions are not met. (His letters of November 15 and December 14 are attached at Tab A, and his formal report at Tab G.)

The Secretary of State has determined that the exposition qualifies for international recognition. (Acting Secretary Robinson's letter of October 27, 1976, appears at Tab B.)

Bill Seidman, Max Friedersdorf, and Bob Hartmann recommend that you grant recognition to EXPO '81. Hartmann's statement:

"Why not get credit (California and the West is Ford country) instead of letting Carter, Jerry Brown and Tom Bradley take it all. In addition, Tex McCrary (who's helping to promote EXPO '81) tells me the sub-theme will be our Third Century -- and the President is identified with start of that." OMB (O'Neill) and Counsel's office (Schmults) recommend that you withhold recognition at this time. OMB notes: "recognition . . . should be withheld pending further review and clarification of the financial problems surrounding the proposal."

Jack Marsh recommends that the question "be deferred without prejudice to the incoming Administration."

## VII. RECOMMENDATION

I recommend that you withhold recognition at this time because of the financial uncertainties. I believe this action can be taken with a generally supportive statement which should avoid serious damage to EXPO '81's ultimate prospects for success.

VIII. DECISION

Option #1. Grant Recognition (Supported by Secretary Richardson, Deputy Secretary Robinson, Seidman, and Friedersdorf and Hartmann).

Sign letter at Tab C to Secretary of Commerce which grants recognition but asks him to monitor for you the progress of EXPO '81 developments, and sign letter at Tab D to Secretary of State asking him to inform BIE that recognition has been granted to EXPO '81.

Approve Disapprove

In addition, you should sign the statement at Tab E which grants recognition but specifies conditions which need to be met to retain recognition.

Approve

Disapprove

Option #2. Withhold Recognition at this time (Supported by O'Neill, Schmults, and Cannon).

Sign letter to the Secretary of Commerce at Tab F which is generally supportive but asks that additional conditions be met before recognition can be given.

Approve

Disapprove

(All letters and the statement have been cleared by Doug Smith.)



NOV 15 1976

The President The White House Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

I am pleased to forward herewith a report on the application of the Expo '81 Corporation of Los Angeles, California, for Federal recognition of an International General Category (Universal) Exposition proposed to be held in the County of San Bernardino, California, on the site of the Ontario Motor Speedway. The report is forwarded pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 91-269 which require a report by the Secretary of Commerce before the President decides whether to grant Federal recognition to any international exposition to be held in the United States.

In addition to the Commerce report, the law requires a report from the Secretary of State as to whether the proposed exposition qualifies for registration by the Bureau of International Expositions (BIE). I understand that Secretary Kissinger is forwarding a favorable report, and will also advise that the BIE has reserved the 1981 date for Expo '81.

I am satisfied that the organizers of Expo '81 have fulfilled, to the extent possible at this time, applicable requirements of Public Law 91-269 and the implementing regulations (15 C.F.R. Part 1202). The theme of the exposition, "People to People--Pathways to Understanding," is appropriate for a Category I exposition. Expo '81 has generated interest in the State of California, the Cities of Los Angeles and Ontario, the Counties of Los Angeles and San Bernardino, and other surrounding communities. The proposal has also received endorsements from regional representatives in the United States Senate and House of Representatives and from Governors of the Western States.



The organizers are working on securing full financial commitments which are necessary to assure the success of Expo '81. At present, for example, there are financial arrangements which are dependent on approval of legislative measures in the State and local governments. There are, additionally, some other unresolved questions raised in the enclosed full report as to the exposition's viability, but the financial implications are the most compelling immediate concern. We believe that with your endorsement through Presidential recognition, and the subsequent registration by the Bureau of International Expositions in Paris, all facets have a reasonable possibility of being resolved.

Accordingly, I do recommend that you find Federal recognition of the proposed Los Angeles exposition in the national interest and that you sanction an official United States request for registration of the event by the BIE. However, the continuation of this Federal recognition should be contingent upon the following conditions:

o A satisfactory analysis and review of environmental issues through the completion of the final environmental impact statement;

o Authorization by the State of California of the planned \$35 million bond issue; and

o Completion of other planned financial arrangements sufficient to develop and operate the exposition.

I further recommend that you authorize me, acting on your behalf, to monitor the fulfillment of these conditions. If they are not satisfied in a timely manner, I will recommend that Federal recognition be withdrawn.

If you concur in the foregoing approach, we will proceed, in cooperation with the Department of State, with an application for BIE registration at the organization's next meeting in Paris on November 17. As part of the application process, we will make clear to our fellow BIE member countries the basis upon which you have accorded Presidential recognition. With that done, should either Federal recognition or BIE registration later have to be rescinded, there will be no attendant embarrassment to either the exposition's sponsors or to the United States Government. In addition to Federal recognition, the organizers have requested Federal participation in the exposition. Therefore, if you favor Federal recognition, I propose that we proceed with action authorized by Section 3 of the law in the manner recommended in the conclusion of the report. This section calls for preparation by this Department, in cooperation with other Federal departments and agencies at the appropriate time, of a plan for Federal participation in the exposition, for submission by you to the Congress for its consideration. Based upon previous expositions which the Federal Government has participated, I estimate that this would entail a Federal commitment of about \$25 million for construction of a pavilion.

Respectfully,

Elliot L. Richardson

Enclosure



DEC 141976

The President The White House Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

By letter dated November 15, 1976, I reported to you on the application of the Expo '81 Corporation of Los Angeles, California for Federal recognition of an International General Category I (Universal) Exposition to be held in San Bernardino County, California in 1981. My letter, which recommended that you find recognition of the exposition to be in the national interest, was delivered on an urgent basis because the Bureau of International Expositions (BIE) was at that time meeting in Paris to consider whether to register Expo '81 as an officially sanctioned international exposition.

At that meeting, the BIE decided that Expo '81 would be formally registered at such time as the BIE is notified that Federal recognition has been granted by the President of the United States. Accordingly, a finding by you as recommended in my report is now all that remains to secure formal international ratification.

As I indicated in my November 15 letter, the exposition has already obtained substantial guaranteed support -- financial and otherwise. In my judgment, this support is sufficient reasonably to assure that development and progress in preparation for the exposition will successfully continue. This determination by me satisfies the statutory prerequisite, set forth in section 2(a)(1)(B) of P.L. 91-269, to your finding that Federal recognition would be in the national interest.



As with any project of this magnitude, however, many important tasks necessarily remain to be accomplished. As I noted in my November 15 letter, review of the final environmental impact statement, authorization by California of a \$35 million bond issue, and completion of additional financial arrangements are anticipated events important to the ultimate success of the exposition. If complications should arise with these or other aspects of the preparations for the exposition, it could become appropriate for you or your successor to consider withdrawing Federal recognition. Section 5 of P.L. 91-269 expressly provides that the President may withdraw Federal recognition or participation should he determine that continued recognition or participation would be contrary to the public interest or the purposes of the Act.

Respectfully,

Elliot L. Richardson

- 2 -

#### 7622086

# DEPARTMENT OF STATE WASHINGTON

# October 27, 1976

#### MEMORANDUM FOR:

# : THE PRESIDENT

Charles W. Robinson, Acting Secretary

Subject:

From:

Registration of the 1981 Los Angeles Universal Exposition with the Bureau of International Expositions (BIE)

In 1975 the organizers of the Los Angeles, California General First Category (Universal) Exposition to be held in 1981 applied to the Departments of Commerce and State for Federal recognition under Public Law 91-269 of May 27, 1970. Federal recognition is necessary in order to obtain official international recognition and to qualify for Federal financial support.

I understand that a comprehensive and favorable study of the Los Angeles project is now in process of being forwarded to you by the Secretary of Commerce.

PL 91-269 requires a finding by the Secretary of State that the proposed exposition "qualifies for consideration of registration" by the BIE. This is the case, as the 1981 date has now officially been reserved by the BIE for a universal exposition to be held near Los Angeles. This action by the BIE now qualifies the exposition for official BIE registration at the next plenary session on November 17, 1976, provided that official U.S. Federal recognition has been obtained before that date.

The Department of State considers the Los Angeles exposition, with the theme of "People to People - Pathways to Understanding," worthy of support. If it is found that the Los Angeles 1981 Exposition qualifies for Federal recognition, I would appreciate instructions so that the Department of State might proceed promptly with diplomatic action designed to win official BIE registration of Los Angeles at the next BIE meeting on November 17. Honorable Elliot L. Richardson Secretary of Commerce Washington, D.C.

Re: Federal Recognition of EXPO '81

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Pursuant to the requirements of P.L. 91-269, I have reviewed your report and recommendations concerning EXPO '81, an International General Category I (Universal) Exposition proposed to be held in the County of San Bernardino, California, on the site of the Ontario Motor Speedway. I have also reviewed your letter of December 12 indicating that the Bureau of International Expositions has decided that EXPO '81 will be formally registered once Federal recognition is granted.

Having reviewed your report and recommendations, I have determined that Federal recognition of EXPO 81 is in the national interest. As your December 17 letter points out, important tasks remain to be accomplished, and I will rely upon you to monitor their completion to assure that EXPO '81 can be successfully developed and executed. I am satisfied, however, that the preparation made and the commitments obtained to date justify my granting Federal recognition. Accordingly, I have asked the Secretary of State to notify the Bureau of International Expositions of the action I have taken today, and I would appreciate your taking the appropriate steps to notify the Congress, in accordance with Section 2(c) of P.L. 91-269.

Sincerely,

Gerald R. Ford

Honorable Henry Kissinger Secretary of State Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary,

In accordance with the provisions of P.L. 91-269, I have received a report from the Secretary of Commerce recommending that Federal recognition be granted to EXPO '81, a General Category I (Universal) International Exposition proposed to be held at Ontario, California, in 1981. I have also been advised that at its November 17 meeting in Paris, the Bureau of International Expositions decided that EXPO '81 will be formally registered once Federal recognition is granted.

Having reviewed the report and recommendations of the Secretary of Commerce, I have determined that Federal recognition of EXPO '81 is in the national interest and have today taken action to grant Federal recognition. Accordingly, I would appreciate your instructing the United States delegate to the Bureau of International Expositions to notify the Bureau of my action.

Gerald R. Ford



# Statement by the President - Federal Recognition for Expo '81

It gives me great pleasure to announce that I have today granted Federal recognition to "Expo '81," a world's fair to be held in San Bernardino County, Accordingly, I have directed the Secretary of State to notify the Bureau of International Expositions, which decided at its November 17 meeting in Paris that Expo '81 would be formally registered once it received federal recognition.

Pursuant to P.L. 91-269, the Department of Commerce has evaluated the plans for the exposition, and I have received Secretary Richardson's report recommending that federal recognition to Expo '81 be granted. This report recognizes that other tasks remain to be accomplished --for example, environmental factors will be further considered upon receipt of public comments on the draft Environmental Impact Statement now being circulated, and additional financial arrangements must still be made, including the planned authorization of a \$35 million bond issue by the State of California. I am satisfied, however, that the preparations made and the commitments obtained to date fully justify my decision to grant federal recognition.

Those who were fortunate enough to visit other recent world's fairs -- in Spokane, in San Antonio, in Seattle, or in Montreal, know the great economic, social and cultural benefits which such an undertaking brings to a region as well as to the Nation. The staging of an exposition requires substantial construction activity and produces a wide variety of other employment opportunities. Expo '81 will attract tourists from across the Nation and we around the world who will also visit many of other attractions throughout that beautiful part of the country. This "world's fair," which will be held on the site of the Ontario Motor Speedway on the outskirts of Los Angeles, will celebrate the 200th anniversary of the founding of the City of Los Angeles.

With the support of the American people and the residents of Southern California, Expo '81 will be a great success and a cornerstone marking the beginning of America's "Third Century." I look forward to having the opportunity to visit Expo '81.

- 2 -

#### WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE

SUBJECT:

EXPO '81

I am impressed with the quality of work done to date by the EXPO '81 organizers. Their vision and commitment have produced the groundwork for an international exposition which could bring economic, social, and cultural benefits to both the region and the Nation.

However, I do not believe that the EXPO '81 planning process has yet reached the point where it merits Federal recognition. I refer specifically to the concerns you expressed regarding the financing of EXPO '81.

I understand that final commitments on elements of the financing package may be contingent on Federal recognition being granted. I do believe, however, that the financial arrangements should progress further (particularly the \$35 million State of California bond issue) before recognition is granted.

Therefore, in your communications to the EXPO '81 organizers, I would appreciate your informing them of my overall support for EXPO '81. Be as specific as possible in instructing them about additional steps they should take in order to secure recognition.



Commerce kppo '81

WASHINGTON

December 20, 1996 DEC 20 AM 10 39

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

JIM CANNON

FROM:

JIM CONNOR JE 6

SUBJECT:

Expo '81 -- a World's Fair proposed to be held in Ontario, Canada

The President reviewed your memorandum of December 17 on the above subject and approved the following:

Option #1 - Grant Recognition.

To implement this decision, the President signed the following documents:

Letter to Secretary of Commerce granting recognition but asking him to monitor the progress of EXPO '81 developments,

Letter to Secretary of State asking him to inform BIE that recognition has been granted to EXPO '81.

In addition, a Presidential Statement was signed which grants recgonition but specifies conditions which need to be met to retain recognition.

With a copy of this memorandum, the signed documents are being given to Robert Linder for appropriate handling.

Please follow-up with any other action that is necessary.

cc: Dick Cheney Robert Linder



#### WASHINGTON

December 15, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

PHIL BUCHEN DEBERT T. HARTMANN JACK MARSH MAX FRIEDERSDORF JIM LYNN BILL SEIDMAN

JIM CANNON

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Presidential Recognition of EXPO '81

12/15/76 3p.m

FOR

Please review the attached draft decision memorandum to the President re: Federal recognition of EXPO '81 -- a proposed World's Fair to be held in Ontario, California.

I would appreciate your comments and recommendations by noon, Thursday, December 16.

fecomend southitional yiptoral bail upon skegnate fundered amangemente fitle to the realistate - real renderd he the organy ens I strongly favor Vresidential recognition (Option 1) with vecessary caveats, Why get credit ( Calif and the West is 2d country ) instead of letting Carter, erry Brown and Tom Bradley take it all x In addition, Tex Mc Crary ( who's helping to promote Expo's1) tells me the sub-theme will be our Third Century - and Pres is identified with start of that.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT



# OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

# DEC 1 6 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

DANIEL P. KEARNEY

JIM CANNON

SUBJECT:

FROM:

Presidential Recognition of EXPO '81

This is in response to your memorandum of December 15, 1976, to Jim Lynn requesting comments on a draft decision memorandum to the President re: Federal recognition of EXPO '81--a proposed World's Fair to be held in Ontario, California.

We have no comments on the memorandum itself; it appears to present adequately both sides of the issue. We continue to believe, however, that Federal recognition of EXPO '81 should be withheld pending further review and clarification of the financial problems surrounding the proposal. There are no new arguments presented in the paper which were not considered when we previously recommended against recognition.

It should be noted that we are planning to include in the President's FY 1978 budget for the Department of Commerce, \$200,000 specifically for the purpose of analyzing the cost/benefit of this and other similar proposals and developing recommendations on an appropriate Federal role, if any. Results from this study should be available in time to be reflected in the FY 1979 budget.

We believe it is more prudent to await completion of the above study before the Federal Government makes even a "conditional" commitment to the proposed EXPO. We therefore recommend that recognition be denied at this time.



WASHINGTON

December 16, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES M. CANNON

FROM: L. WILLIAM SEIDMAN **RBP** 

SUBJECT: Presidential Recognition of EXPO '81

I recommend that the President grant Federal recognition to EXPO '81 (Option #1). I also recommend that the President sign the statement at Tab A granting recognition but specifying conditions which need to be met to retain recognition and that the President sign the letters to the Secretaries of State and Commerce at Tabs B and C.

