The original documents are located in Box 9, folder: "Speech - April 10, 1975 - 26th Annual Membership Meeting of the Mississippi Economic Council" of the Frank Zarb Papers at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Frank Zarb donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THE FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION
FEDERAL BUILDING
12TH AND PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20461

REMARKS BY THE HONORABLE FRANK G. ZARB ADMINISTRATOR, FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION TO THE

26TH ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING
MISSISSIPPI ECONOMIC COUNCIL
MISSISSIPPI TRADE MART
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI
APRIL 10, 1975
12:00 NOON, CDT

EMBARGOED FOR RELEASE UNTIL: THURSDAY, APRIL 10, 1975, 12:00 NOON, CDT

IT'S A REAL PLEASURE TO BE HERE TODAY, AS THE
MISSISSIPPI ECONOMIC COUNCIL MOVES INTO ITS SECOND QUARTERCENTURY AS MISSISSIPPI'S "VOICE OF BUSINESS."

AND IT IS ESPECIALLY REWARDING TO ME TO BE ABLE
TO ADDRESS YOU IN THIS MAGNIFICENT NEW TRADE MART. THE
COMPLETION OF THIS AMBITIOUS CONVENTION AND BUSINESS CENTER
IS AN OUTSTANDING EXPRESSION OF CONFIDENCE IN THE FUTURE
ECONOMIC HEALTH OF THIS STATE, THIS REGION, AND THIS COUNTRY.

I SHARE THAT CONFIDENCE WITH YOU. I AM CONVINCED THAT, WHILE WE DO HAVE SOME SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS TO DEAL WITH NOW, WE ALSO HAVE SOME EQUALLY SIGNIFICANT OPPORTUNITIES TO ACT NOW TO GUARANTEE OUR ECONOMIC SUCCESS IN FUTURE YEARS.

SINCE THE LATE 1950'S, THIS STATE HAS MADE HUGE AND HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL STRIDES IN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT. SUCH MAJOR NATIONAL FIRMS AS LITTON INDUSTRIES, FRUEHAUF, GEORGIA-

PACIFIC, ST. REGIS, AND MANY OTHERS NOW HAVE NEW OR EXPANDED OPERATION IN MISSISSIPPI.

CERTAINLY, THE BEST DEVELOPMENTAL TOOL THIS STATE

HAS IS THE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER, WHICH HAS AN

EXCELLENT RECORD OF AIMING MISSISSIPPI'S INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

EFFORTS TOWARD GOALS BEST SUITED BOTH FOR THE STATE AND FOR THE

NEW INDUSTRIES LOCATING HERE.

AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS SCHEDULED A MAJOR

NEW FEDERAL BUILDING FOR JACKSON. SITE SELECTION IS NOW UNDER

WAY, AND THIS MAJOR NEW BUILDING IS YET ANOTHER REFLECTION OF

THE DYNAMIC GROWTH EXHIBITED BY THIS STATE.

IT IS THE DETERMINIATION OF THE PEOPLE OF MISSISSIPPI AND THE LEADERS OF THIS STATE --BOTH IN BUSINESS AND IN THE STATE GOVERNMENT -- THAT HAS CREATED THIS VITAL SPIRIT.

TODAY, LET'S SPEND SOME TIME THINKING AND TALKING ABOUT SOME VERY UNPLEASANT FACTS.

- --LET'S TALK ABOUT A COUNTRY WHOSE FLEXIBILITY AND

 EFFECTIVENESS IN IMPORTANT AREAS OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS IS CONDITIONED

 BY ENERGY SUPPLY DECISIONS OF OTHER NATIONS.
- --LET'S TALK ABOUT AN ENERGY ECONOMY AT HOME THAT IS
 IN LARGE PART TIED TO SUCH DECISIONS OVERSEAS.
- - --LET'S TALK ABOUT A PEOPLE WHO WERE ONCE
 - -- SECURE ENOUGH IN THEIR OWN BORDERS,

- --STRONG ENOUGH BY THEMSELVES, AND
- --SURE ENOUGH OF THEIR OWN MATERIAL AND POLITICAL RESOURCES,
- --NOT JUST TO MAKE THEIR OWN WAY IN THE WORLD,
- --BUT TO CLEAR A PATH FOR OTHERS LESS FORTUNATE THAN THEY.
- -- IN SHORT, LET'S TALK ABOUT THE UNITED STATES.

NOT LONG AGO, THIS COUNTRY COULD FORMULATE ITS FOREIGN POLICY BY CONSULTING ITS OWN INTERESTS AND THOSE OF ITS ALLIES.

NOW IT MUST CONSIDER -- AND WEIGH VERY CAREFULLY -THE INTERESTS OF OTHERS -- INTERESTS WHICH HAVE DIFFERED SHARPLY
FROM OURS IN THE PAST AND MAY WELL DO SO IN THE FUTURE.

ONCE WE COULD HANDLE OUR ECONOMIC AFFAIRS WITH AN ASSURANCE OF STABLE SUPPLIES OF VITAL COMMODITIES AT REASONABLE AND PREDICTABLE PRICES.

NOW WE MUST LABOR WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT OUR IMPORTED OIL -- SO VITAL TO THAT PRODUCTION -- CAN BE CUT OFF AT A MOMENT'S NOTICE OR PRICED AT STILL MORE EXORBITANT LEVELS.

A YEAR AGO, THESE DANGERS WERE BROUGHT HOME WITH FORCE TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE:

--THE ENERGY CRISIS -- YEARS, EVEN DECADES IN THE MAKING -- SUDDENLY BECAME AN EVERY DAY REALITY:

--SERVICE STATION LINES AND SKYROCKETING UTILITY
BILLS BECAME COMMON EXPERIENCES FOR ALL OF US.

TODAY, THE THREAT IS MORE SUBTLE. BUT WHETHER WE CHOOSE TO CALL IT A CRISIS, OR A PROBLEM, OR A DILEMMA, IT IS NO LESS DANGEROUS AND NO LESS PERSISTENT. IT HAS SIMPLY TAKEN A DIFFERENT FORM.

--EARLY LAST YEAR THE ENERGY CRISIS MEANT EMPTY
GAS PUMPS.

--TODAY IT MEANS A NATIONAL POCKETBOOK WHICH IS BEING EMPTIED TO PAY QUADRUPLED PRICES FOR IMPORTED OIL.

--NOT AS DRAMATIC AS GASOLINE LINES, PERHAPS -A BIT MORE SUBTLE --BUT EVERY BIT AS SERIOUS AS THE SHORTAGES
OF LAST YEAR.

--IN 1970, WE PAID \$3 BILLION FOR FOREIGN OIL.

-- IN 1974, WE PAID \$24 BILLION.

--THAT MEANS THAT LAST YEAR WE PAID FOR FOREIGN OIL AT A RATE OF MORE THAN \$100 FOR EVERY MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD IN THE NATION.

--AND THAT TRANSLATES, CONSERVATIVELY, INTO \$425 FOR EVERY AMERICAN FAMILY FOR FOREIGN OIL IN 1974.

LAST YEAR THE UNITED STATES RAN A BALANCE OF PAYMENTS DEFICIT OF FLIGHTLY MORE THAN \$10 BILLION.

--THAT COULD HAVE BEEN A PAYMENT SURPLUS OF

PERHAPS \$8 BILLION -- EVEN IF OUR 1973 OIL PAYMENTS HAD

MERELY DOUBLED. BUT, THEY WERE NOT ONLY DOUBLED, BUT DOUBLED

AGAIN, TO PUT THIS NATION IN DEBT.

NOW TO SOME PEOPLE THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS IS A COMPLICATED CREATURE OF THE ECONOMISTS. BUT IN PLAIN PAY-DAY TERMS,

- --IT MEANS DOLLARS TAKEN OUT OF AMERICAN PAY CHECKS AND PUT INTO FOREIGN BANK ACCOUNTS. ---IT MEANS LESS FOR PAYROLLS IN JACKSON, MORE
- --STILL, THERE ARE THOSE WHO HAVE EYES AND YET WILL NOT SEE;

FOR BANKS IN BAGHDAD.

- --THERE ARE THOSE WHO CONTINUE TO DENY THE DANGER, DEFY THE FACTS, AND DEMAND NOTHING MORE THAN "BUSINESS-AS-USUAL."
- --DOING NOTHING WOULD MEAN BY 1977 ACQUIESCING IN A 1000 PER CENT INCREASE OVER 1970 IN THE ANNUAL COST OF IMPORTED OIL.
- --IT WOULD MEAN \$32 BILLION DRAWN OUT OF THE AMERICAN ECONOMY AND TRANSFERRED TO OTHER NATIONS LESS THAN 2 YEARS FROM NOW.

NOW, WE IN WASHINGTON ARE VERY GLIB IN TOSSING AROUND FIGURES LIKE A \$32 BILLION OUTFLOW AND A 1000 PER CENT INCREASE.

- --THE AVERAGE AMERICAN WANTS TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN TO THE FAMILY BUDGET.
- --BUSINESS-AS-USUAL MEANS THAT IN 1977 AN AMERICAN FAMILY WOULD PAY OUT -- AND THIS IS A CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE -- AN AVERAGE OF \$575 TO OTHER COUNTRIES FOR OIL.

PAYMENTS HAVE BEEN AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE "RECYCLED" -- RETURNED TO THE ECONOMIES FROM WHICH THEY CAME.

--so, some of our dollars come back to us in the form of purchases of goods and services -- food, machinery, technology, and so forth.

--BUT THOSE SAME DOLLARS CAN ALSO BUY COMPANIES, IN WHOLE OR IN PART.



FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES IS NOT NECESSARILY
AN INHERENTLY EVIL PHENOMENON, BUT THE MAGNITUDE OF
THESE INTERNATIONAL CASH FLOWS THAT WE ARE SEEING TOCAY
TODAY MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THIS KIND OF RE-CYCLING CAN
PROVIDE NO VIABLE, PERMANENT SOLUTION TO THE ENERGY CRISIS.

--RECYCLING MAY BE NECESSARY CRISIS MANAGEMENT
--BUT IT IS NOTA CRISIS SOLUTION.

AND, UNTIL WE SOLVE THE ENERGY CRISIS, WE WILL REMAIN

VULNERABLE TO EXORBITANT PRICES AND TO ANOTHER EMBARGO

AND CUT-OFF OF OIL IMPORTS.

IN FACT, THE THREAT OF ANOTHER EMBARGO COULD BE, IN A WAY,
AS EFFECTIVE AS THE REALITY. UNLESS WE TAKE DECISIVE STEPS
TO PROTECT OURSELVES, THE GRAVITY OF THAT THREAT AND THE
POTENTIAL IMPACT OF AN ACTUAL EMBARGO WILL GROW WITH EACH
ADDITIONAL BARREL OF OIL WE IMPORT.

LET'S SEE WHAT THAT MEANS FOR THE FUTURE.

- --IN TWO YEARS' TIME, IF WE DO NOTHING, PERHAPS 50 PERCENT
 OF OUR PETROLEUM SUPPLIES WILL BE COMING FROM OVERSEAS
 SOURCES.
- -- IF ALL THOSE SUPPLIES WERE CUT OFF, A SIX-MONTH EMBARGO WOULD BRING A \$45 BILLION DROP IN THE GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT.
- -- IT'S DIFFICULT TO SAY EXACTLY HOW MUCH IT WOULD INCREASE UNEMPLOYMENT

- DUT IT'S ESTIMATED THAT THE ! (64

- -- BUT IT'S ESTIMATED THAT THE 1973 EMBARGO -- WHICH INVOLVED ONLY 14 PERCENT OF U. S. PETROLEUM CONSUMPTION -THREW HALF A MILLION PEOPLE OUT OF WORK
 -- THE PRICE OF OIL WILL INCREASE FURTHER.
- IT'S BEEN SAID THAT THOSE WHO DON'T LEARN FROM HISTORY ARE CONDEMNED TO REPEAT IT. WELL, WE SHOULD HAVE LEARNED A LOT FROM THE PAST 18 MONTHS, AT LEAST ENOUGH TO TRY TO CHANGE THE FUTURE.

AND NO NATION IS SO CAPABLE OF MOLDING ITS

- -- YES, IT WILL COST BILLIONS, BUT THIS IS A MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR COUNTRY.
- -- IT WILL TAKE RESOURCES, BUT WE HAVE THOSE IN ABUNDANCE.
- -- IT WILL TAKE SOME SACRIFICE, BUT WE HAVE NEVER SHRUNK FROM THAT IN THE PAST.
- I MAY HAVE MADE OUT OUR SITUATION SOUND FAIRLY DISMAL, BUT,

 IN FACT, THE CHALLENGE WE FACE CONSTITUTES ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY

 TO DEMONSTRATE OUR FUNDAMENTAL RESILIENCE AND STRENGTH AS A PEOPLE.
- -- THE SHAPE OF OUR ENERGY FUTURE IS IN OUR HANDS NOW,

-- THE MEASURES WE ENACT NOW,

WILL AFFECT THE SECURITY OF OUR NATION AND THE STABILITY
OF OUR ECONOMY FOR DECADES TO COME.

BUT IT IS NOT JUST 1985 OR THE 21ST CENTURY THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 1975, AND THE NECESSITY FOR PROMPT ACTION TODAY --

- -- PROMPT ACTION THAT WILL PERMIT US TO REPAIR IMMEDIATE DAMAGE
- -- AND GIVE US THE OPPORTUNITY TO FORM A MORE SECURE FUTURE FOR OUR CHILDREN.

I'M ENCOURAGED BY WHAT'S BEEN DONE SO FAR.

- -- IN JANUARY, FOR THE FIRST TIME IN HISTORY, A NATIONAL ENERGY POLICY WAS PROPOSED.
- -- PRESIDENT ** FORD'S LEADERSHIP AND HIS WILLINGNESS TO

 MAKE DIFFICULT CHOICES HAVE GIVEN THE COUNTRY THE FIRST

 TRULY COMPREHENSIVE POLICY
- -- TO REDUCE CONSUMPTION,
- -- TO STIMULATE THE PRODUCTION OF OCONVENTIONAL FUELS, AND
- -- TO EXPEDITE THE DEVELOPMENT OF MORE ADVANCED ENERGY RESOURCES.

AS A RESULT OF THE PRESIDENT'S ENERGY PROPOSALS, THE INERTIA
THAT HAD BEEN BLOCKING THE DEVELOPMENT OF A NATIONAL ENERGY
POLICY WAS OVERCOME.

--DURING THE LAST FEW WEEKS, WE HAVE SEEN RESPONSES FROM THE CONGRESS WHICH, THOUGH INADEQUATE AT FIRST, HAVE PROVIDED CAUSE FOR SOME HOPE.

--WE HAVE SEEN THE FIRST INDICATIONS THAT CONGRESS RECOGNIZES

THE URGENT NEED FOR A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN THE • COST

OF ENERGY TO REDUCE CONSUMPTION AND PROMOTE PRODUCTION.

--IT HAS BECOME INCREASINGLY CLEAR THAT OUR MAJOR DIFFERENCES
ARE OVER TIMING AND DEGREE.

AND THAT'S GOOD NEWS BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS CAN BE RESOLVED

THE SAME WAY AMERICANS HAVE ALWAYS ADJUSTED THEIR DIFFERENC!

--THROUGH DEBATE, COMPROMISE AND CONCILIATION.

THE ADMINISTRATION WILL CONTINUE NOW, AS IT HAS IN THE PAST,

TO JOIN IN THE PROCESS.

--IN HIS STATE OF THE UNION MESSAGE, THE PRESIDENT SET THINGS IN MOTION, AND WE INTEND TO KEEP THINGS MOVING.

COMPOROMISE IS POSSIBLE IN MANY AREAS IF IT ADVANCES US
TROWARD A SOUND NATIONAL ENERGY POLICY.

--BUT WHAT IS NOT OPEN TO COMPROMISE CAN BE SUMMED UP
EASILY. OUR GOALS MUST BE:

--TO STOP THE DANGEROUS GROWTH OF OUR VULNERABILITY
TO FOREIGN OIL SUPPLIERS,

-- TO BECOME INVULNERABLE BY 1985, AND

--TO ACCOMPLISH THESE OBJECTIVES IN THE FAIREST AND MOST EQUITABLE MANNER.

HAVING SAID THAT, LET'S SEE, IN A GENERAL WAY HOW THE APPROACHES OF CONGRESS AND THE ADMINISTRATION COMPARE.

THE ADMINISTRATION PLAN

CONSUMED, AND

- --RELIES PRIMARILY ON THE MARKET MECHANISM,
 --BALANCES EVERY ELEMENT OF THE PROGRAM IN
 TERMS OF BARRELS OF OIL PRODUCED, SAVED, AND
- --INTEGRATES ALL OF ITS ENERGY INITIATIVES INTO
 AN OVERALL ECONOMIC STRUCTURE.

BECAUSE OF THE FLEXIBILITY, THE ECONOMIC SOUNDNESS AND -IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS 4-- THE BASIC FAIRNESS OF THE MARKETPLACE

- --THE ADMINISTRATION PREFERS TO COMBINE UNCONTROLLED

 DOMESTIC OIL AND GAS PRICES WITH IMPORT FEES AND

 EXCISE TAXES TO ACHIEVE THE NECESSARY SAVINGS.
- --IN SHORT, WE PREFER A SYSTEM THAT WILL ALLOW THE PRICE OF ENERGY TO REFLECT ITS TRUE VALUE IN THE ECONOMY.

BUT THEN MANY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ARE PRONE TO
SEE GOVERNMENT INTRUSION INTO THE ECONOMY AS THE DESIRABLE WAY
TO DEAL WITH OUR DIFFICULTIES.

- --SOME, FOR EXAMPLE, WOULD ESTABLISH A FEDERAL PURCHASING SYSTEM FOR ALL OUR OIL IMPORTS.
- --THIS WOULD BE A MAJOR STEP IN THE DIRECTION OF
 GREATER GOVERNMENT CONTROL OVER THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY AND
 WOULD INVOLVE MANY OF THE BUREAUCRATIC DISADVANTAGES OF QUOTAS
 AND ALLOCATIONS.
- --WHETHER SUCH A SYSTEM WOULD PLACE ANY SIGNIFICANT PRESSURE ON THE WORLD PRICE OF OIL IS OPEN TO QUESTION.
- --THERE ARE THOSE WHO BELIEVE THAT A FEDERAL
 PURCHASING AUTHORITY WOULD EVEN DRIVE THE CARTEL CLOSER TOGETHER
 AND, THEREFORE, GENERATE HIGHER PRICES OVER THE LONG-TERM.

THESE ARE SOME OF OUR DIFFERENCES.

- --BUT THEY ARE FEWER NOW THAN WHEN THE PRESIDENT FIRST PROPOSED HIS ENERGY POLICY.
- --AND THE REMAINING AREAS OF DISAGREEMENT WILL

 CONTINUE TO NARROW, AS CONGRESS AND THE ADMINISTRATION EXPLORE

 MORE OF THE COMMON GROUND OF COMPROMISE.

EACH BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT HAS APPROACHED THIS GROUND FROM A DIFFERENT QUARTER.

- --BUT CONGRESS HAS SINCE COVERED MUCH OF THE SAME TERRITORY THAT WE IN THE ADMINISTRATION HAD PREVIOUSLY SURVEYED.
 - --THEY'VE SEEN HOW DIFFICULT IT IS TO CROSS,
 - -- AND NOW THERE IS A GROWING REALIZATION THAT ONE

OF THE FEW RELIABLE CHARTS AVAILABLE IS THE ONE OFFERED BY THE PRESIDENT.

IF WE SUCCEED IN REACHING A VIABLE AGREEMENT SOON,

--THE BENEFICIARIES WILL BE TODAY'S AMERICANS
AND THE AMERICANS OF MANY DECADES TO COME.

IF DELAY CONTINUES,

--THE LOSERS WILL BE THE CONSUMERS, WORKERS,

FARMERS AND TAXPAYERS -- EVERYONE IN THE COUNTRY -- TODAY,

FIVE, TEN AND TWENTY YEARS FROM NOW.

WE CAN NO LONGER AFFORD THE LUXURY OF INACTION.

- --DEBATE IS VALUABLE AND PRODUCTIVE.
- --BUT ENDLESS DEBATE MEANS ENDLESS INACTION.
- --AND THAT IS JUST TOO COSTLY FOR OUR NATION'S PRESENT AND FUTURE.

THANK YOU.

-FEA-

