# The original documents are located in Box 15, folder "Federal Election Commission - Corporate Political Contributions" of the Philip Buchen Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

# **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald R. Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box 15 of the Philip Buchen Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library THE WHITE HOUSE Eva: Please teminal me to call dim Brown on this subject.

# THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

February 28, 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR:

PHIL BUCHEN

FROM:

KEN LAZARUS

SUBJECT:

Corporate Political Contributions

You requested some guidance on the limitations imposed by the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 relative to volunteer political efforts by corporate officials.

# Statutory Provisions

18 U.S.C. \$610 (Tab A) prohibits any corporation from making a "contribution or expenditure" in connection with any primary election, convention or caucus, or general election involving Federal elective office. Violations of this provision are punishable by felony sanctions as follows: (1) organizational liability may be imposed with a fine not to exceed \$5,000; (2) derivative individual liability of an officer or director who consents to such contribution may be imposed up to one year imprisonment and/or a fine not to exceed \$1,000 -- if "willful" culpability exists these sanctions are raised to two years and/or \$10,000; and (3) recipients are subject to the same sanctions as corporate officers and directors. This prohibition dates back to 1940.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (Pub. L. 92-225) amended section 610 by codifying a definition of "contribution or expenditure" (Section 205, see Tab B) which earlier had been left to case law. By this definition, the phrase includes "... any direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value ..." (emphasis added).

Oddly enough, section 205 of the 1971 Act goes on to express exclude from the definition of "contribution or expenditure" tollowing:

de de de

". . . the establishment, administration, and solicitation of contributions to a separate segregated fund to be utilized for political purposes by a corporation or labor organization: provided . . ."
[there is no element of coercion in the acquisition of contributions to the fund]

\* \* \*

# Discussion

The underlying purpose of 18 U.S.C. \$610 as it relates to corporate contributions is twofold: (1) to prohibit corporations from controlling elections; and (2) to protect stockholders from having corporate officers and directors endorse and support candidates or attempt to influence voters contrary to the wishes of individual stockholders. See U.S. v. Lewis Food Co., 236 F. Supp. 849 (D.C. Cal. 1964). With this purpose in mind, the statutory distinction which is drawn between the contribution of "any services" and the "establishment, administration and solicitation of contributions" is perhaps not chimerical.

By authorizing corporate officials to utilize business hours and bookkeeping resources to fund corporate political coffers, Congress has introduced a <u>de minimis</u> or indirect-cost exclusion into the law which tempers the sweep of the ban on the contribution of services by corporate officials. This leads me to the conclusion that the sanction is not designed to reach volunteer political activity by a corporate official in situations where it is clear that such activity does not derogate from his general contractual responsibilities to the corporation and its stockholders.

In considering the practical problem at hand, this conclusion may be nothing more than a cute legal argument that smacks of gimmickry. Although I am convinced that criminal liability can be avoided through compliance with the standard set forth above, it is likely not responsive to the larger dimensions

the problem. In terms of public perception, the standard could be seen as an evasion rather than an avoidance.

# Recommendation

First, corporate officials desirous of contributing their services to any political effort should be instructed to arrange for a formal leave of absence or a pro rata diminution of their salaries covering their periods of political service.

Second, I would suggest that you communicate this view to counsel at the RNC.



Any violation of this section by any political committee shall be deemed also to be a violation by the chairman and the treasurer of such committee and by any other person responsible for such violation and shall be punishable by a fine of not more than \$1,000 or imprisonment of not more than one year, or both; and, if the violation was willful, by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or imprisonment of not more than two years, or both. June 25, 1948, c. 645, 62 Stat. 723.

# Historical and Revision Notes

Reviser's Note. Based on section 252 gress, were incorporated at end of section of Title 2, U.S.C., 1940 ed., The Congress and Title 1S, U.S.C., 1940 ed., 5 6it (Feb. tained in words "and the penalties pro-28, 1925, c. 368, Title III, § 314, 43 Stat. vided in sections 241-256 of Title 2 shall 1074; Aug. 2, 1930, c. 410, § 20, as added apply to violations of this section", now July 19, 1940, c. 640, § 6, 54 Stat. 772).

Cinci

who

STEE

2 25,

Har

1:rgia

" Title

2 tiris

erson"

55 as

ia said 'r the

Y - 192

and in

don is

: the 100 501 F 553

d Title

33 00-

40:007.

to to

**Eting** 

i Han

ind

Fich

57m-

: 05 -ion

This section consolidates the provisions and section 61t of Title 13, both of U.S.C.,

The punishment provisions of section 252 of Title 2, U.S.C., 1940 ed., The Con- Soth Congress House Report No. 204.

upon authority of reference to them conomitted.

Words "or both" were added to the of section 252 of Title 2. The Congress, second punishment provision to conform to the almost naiversal formula of this

Changes were made in phraseology.

## Cross References

Definitions of terms applicable to this section, see section 591 of this title. Dutles as to contributions; accounts and receipts; statements; Himitations upon expecditures, see sections 242-248 of Title 2, The Congress.

# § 610. Contributions or expenditures by national banks, corporations or labor organizations

It is unlawful for any national bank, or any corporation organized by authority of any law of Congress, to make a contribution or expenditure in connection with any election to any political office, or in connection with any primary election or political convention or caucus held to select candidates for any political office, or for any corporation whatever, or any labor organization to make a contribution or expenditure in connection with any election at which Presidential and Vice Presidential electors or a Senator or Representative in, or a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to Congress are to be voted for, or in connection with any primary election or political convention or caucus held to select candidates for any of the foregoing offices, or for any candidate, political committee, or other person to accept or receive any contribution prohibited by this section.

Every corporation or labor organization which makes any contribution or expenditure in violation of this section shall be fined not more than \$5,000; and every officer or director of any corporation, or officer of any labor organization, who consents to any contribution or expenditure by the corporation or labor organization, as the case may be, and any person who accepts or receives any con-

Cl

to

lab C.F

PRI

nct.

DC-77 per

SUL

550

3

EF

mni

(33

100

C22

5-1-

2---

Arg.

530

200

P

POT:

poli

poss

Ting

bers

caad

W. P. T.

divi

Cont

193

: In BATTE

> Cont tion

> not cant

SUZA crim:

कि वर

meet.

Local

Sann

4. E

form.

section

234

mas.

or E

ing

tribution, in violation of this section, shall be fined not more than \$1,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if the violation was willful, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than two years, or both.

For the purposes of this section "labor organization" means any organization of any kind, or any agency or employee representation committee or plan, in which employees participate and which exist for the purpose, in whole or in part, of dealing with employers concerning grievances, labor disputes, wages, rates of pay, hours of employment, or conditions of work. June 25, 1948, c. 645, 62 Stat. 723; May 24, 1949, c. 139, § 10, 63 Stat. 90; Oct. 31, 1951, c. 655, § 20 (c), 65 Stat. 718.

# Historical and Revision Notes

(Feb. 28, 1925, c. 368, Title III, § 313, 43 Stat. 1074).

The War Labor Disputes Act, June 25, 1943, c. 144, § 9, 57 Stat. 167, amends this section by making it temporarily applicable to labor organizations; therefore the effective date of this section will be postponed by a special provision of the enacting bill until the expiration of the amendatory act. (See sections 1509 and 1510 of Title 50, App.U.S.C., 1949 ed.)

Minor changes in phraseology were made. 80th Congress House Report No.

Senate Revision Amendments. Tha special effective date provision was eliminated by Senate Amendment, inasmuch as the Act of June 23, 1947, ch. 120, § 304, 61 Stat. 150, which became an additional source of this section, made the provisions

Reviser's Note. Based on section 251 of section 9 of the War Labor Disputes of Title 2, U.S.C., 1949 ed., The Congress Act (50 U.S.C., App. § 1509), which had temporarily umended section 231 of Title 2 U.S.C., permanent legislation. section was accordingly changed by Senate amendment so as to give effect to such Act of June 23, 1947, ch. 120, § 204, 61 Stat. 150. See 80th Congress Senate Report No. 1620, Amendments Nos. 4 and

> 1951 Amendment. Act Oct. 21, 1951 inserted in second paragraph "and any person who accepts or receives any contribution" and the additional punishment provisions after the semi-colon.

> 1949 Amendment. Act May 24, 1949. added "or expenditures" to the catchline.

Legislative History: For legislative history and purpose of Act May 21, 1919, see 1940 U.S.Code Cong.Service, p. 1248. See, also, Act Oct. 31, 1951, 1951 U.S.Code Cong Service, p. 2578.

#### Cross References

Definitions of terms applicable to this section, see section 531 of this title.

#### Notes of Decisions

Constitutionality 1 Construction 2 Effect of violation 10 Evidence, sufficiency of 9 Expenditure 4 Indictment 8 Labor organizations 5 Offenses 7 Purpose 3 State elections 6

# 1. Constitutionality

Congress has constitutional power to prohibit contributions to be made by cer-

tain corporations in connection with any election at which, among others, representatives in Congress are to be voted for. U. S. v. Brewers' Ass'n, D.C.Pa.1916, 239 F. 163.

Provision of former section 251 of Title 2 [now this section] making it un!awful for any labor organization to make expenditures in connection with election to federal office or for any officer of organization to consent to such expenditure is an unconstitutional abridgement of freedom of speech, the press, and assembly, notwithstanding power of Congress to control manner of holding elections and

## 1 contributions

tent as applicable with respect to a Representative, see sections 204(a), 205(b) of Thod., 51-705, Title II, Sept. 22, 1970, St State S2, set out as notes under section 25 of Title 2. The Congress.

#### thions and expenditures

the expanditures from his personal s immediate family, in connection with dection, or election, to Federal office in

of a candidate for the office of Presi-

a candidate for the office of Senator:

a candidate for the office of Reprelent Commissioner to the Congress. action, "immediate family" means a arent, grandparent, brother, or sister such persons.

munittee shall knowingly accept any aliture in violation of the provisions

this section is punishable by a fine for not to exceed one year, or both. :-225. Title II, § 203, 86 Stat. 9.

punishment of officers, directors, and managing heads of a partnership, completive, association, corporation, or other resolution or group of persons for showingly and willfully participating in modations of this section.

Subsected). Pub.L. 92-225 struck out provising defining term "contribution", new covered by section 591(e) of this ti-

Effective Date of 1972 Amendment, imendment of section by Pub.L. 92-225 fective slave days after Feb. 7, 1972, see when 466 of Pub.L. 92-225, set out as a see under section 431 of Title 2, The

Legislative History. For legislative fory and purpose of Pub.L. 92-225, 1972 U.S.Code Cong. and Adm. News,

# Index to Notes

two pertions 4 ri-diction 2 mings 1

surplining alleging that national cama committee of political parties had and were illegally circumventing that and section to of this title ing individual political contributions purchase in support of campaigns bertly federal offices and that the Proportional varieties and that the low sufficient to state a civil of cation upon which relief could be cause v. Pemocratical Countries, D.C.D.C.1971, 233 P.

The fasher of plainties, including after than who alleged that they sal as run for reelection, brought

# action against the major political campaign committees asserting that such committees had been and were illegally circumventing federal laws Emiling individual political contributions and committee receipts and expenditures in absence of any allegations with aspect to contributions or expenditures for specific correlation and contributions or expenditures for specific correlation and contributions or expenditures for specific correlation and contributions.

CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

currently active candidates, complaint must be dismissed without prejudice as to such congressmen who would not suffer irreparable hacm if they waited until specific activities they challenge became more evident. Id.

2. Jurisdiction

2. Jurisdiction
Federal district court had jurisdiction
to consider equitable action brought by
nonprofit corporation and others against
national campaign committees of political
parties which allegedly employed and
conspired with each other to employ devices to illegally circanwent this section
and section 609 of this title limiting individual political contributions and purchases and committee receipts and expenditures in support of campaigns for
elective federal offices. Common Cause v.
Democratic Nat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1971,
333 F.Snpp. 893.

3. Parties
Head of nonprofit corporation conerned with promotion of social welfare,
civic betterment and social improvement
in United States and two United States congressmen, with intention of seeking

reelection, and other voters had standing to bring action against national campaign committees of political parties for describery and injunctive relief asserting decir-story and injunctive relief asserting that such committees were engaged in practices to illegally circumvent this section and section 6.9 of this title limiting individual political contributions and purchases and committee receipts and expenditures in support of campaigns for elective federal offices. Common Cause v. Democratic Nat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1971, 333 F.Supp. 803.

4. Class actions Action by nonprofit corporation interested in civic betterment and other plainested in civic betterment and other plain-tiffs against major campaign committees of the national political parties alleging that such committees were illegally evad-ing federal statutes limiting individual political contributions and purchases and committee receipts and expenditures in campaigns for elective federal offices would be designated as a class action as to all members of the nonprofit corpora-tion who were also registered voters or who otherwise participated in campaigns who otherwise participated in campaigns for elective federal office, but the diverse interests made action imappropriate for class-plaintiff designation as to voters, contributors and campaign workers who had not joined such corporation and as to political parties or committees not in-dividually named. Common Cause v. Democratic Nat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1971, 353 F.Supp. 803.

§ 609. Repealed. Pub.L. 92-225, Title II, § 204, Feb. 7, 1972, 88 Stat. 10

Section, Act June 25, 1945, c. 645, 62 Stat, 723, prescribed maximum contribu-tions and expenditures limitation of \$3,000,000 for any calendar year.

Effective Date of Repeal. Section re-pealed effective sixty days after Feb. 7, 1072, see section 408 of Pub.L. 92-225, set out as a note under section 431 of Title 2, The Congress.

§ 610. Contributions or expenditures by national banks, corporations or labor organizations

As used in this section, the phrase "contribution or expenditure" shall include any direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value) (except a lean of money by a national or State bank made in accordance with the applicable banking laws and regulations and in the ordinary course of business) to any candidate, campaign committee, or political party or organization, in connection with any election to any of the offices referred to in this section; but shall not include communications by a corporation to its stockholders and their families or by a labor organization to its memoers and their families on any subject; nonpartisan registration and get-out-the-vote campaigns by a corporation aimed at its stockholders and their families, or by a labor organization aimed at its members and their families; [the establishment, administration, and solicitation of contributions to a separate segregated fund to be utilized for political purposes by a corporation or labor organization! Provided, That it shall be unlawful for such a fund to make a contribution or expenditure by utilizing money or anything of value secured by physical force, job discrimination, financial reprisals, or the threat of force, job discrimination, or financial reprisal; or by dues, fees, or other monies required as a condition of membership in a labor organization or as a condition of employment, or by monies obtained in any commercial transaction. As amended Feb. 7, 1972, Pub.L. 92-225, Title II, § 295, 86 Stat. 10.

paragraph defining "contribution or expenditure" and making it unlawful for the separate segregated fund to make a contribution or expenditure by utilizing money or anything of value secured by

ation Laws

# THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 28, 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR:

PHIL BUCHEN

FROM:

KEN LAZARUS

SUBJECT:

Corporate Political Contributions

You requested some guidance on the limitations imposed by the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 relative to volunteer political efforts by corporate officials.

# Statutory Provisions

18 U.S.C. §610 (Tab A) prohibits any corporation from making a "contribution or expenditure" in connection with any primary election, convention or caucus, or general election involving Federal elective office. Violations of this provision are punishable by felony sanctions as follows: (1) organizational liability may be imposed with a fine not to exceed \$5,000; (2) derivative individual liability of an officer or director who consents to such contribution may be imposed up to one year imprisonment and/or a fine not to exceed \$1,000 -- if "willful" culpability exists these sanctions are raised to two years and/or \$10,000; and (3) recipients are subject to the same sanctions as corporate officers and directors. This prohibition dates back to 1940.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (Pub. L. 92-225) amended section 610 by codifying a definition of "contribution or expenditure" (Section 205, see Tab B) which earlier had been left to case law. By this definition, the phrase includes "... any direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value ..." (emphasis added).

Oddly enough, section 205 of the 1971 Act goes on to expressly exclude from the definition of "contribution or expenditure" the following:

\* \* \*

". . . the establishment, administration, and solicitation of contributions to a separate segregated fund to be utilized for political purposes by a corporation or labor organization: provided . . . " [there is no element of coercion in the acquisition of contributions to the fund]

. .

# Discussion

The underlying purpose of 18 U.S.C. \$610 as it relates to corporate contributions is twofold: (1) to prohibit corporations from controlling elections; and (2) to protect stockholders from having corporate officers and directors endorse and support candidates or attempt to influence voters contrary to the wishes of individual stockholders. See U.S. v. Lewis Food Co., 236 F. Supp. 849 (D.C. Cal. 1964). With this purpose in mind, the statutory distinction which is drawn between the contribution of "any services" and the "establishment, administration and solicitation of contributions" is perhaps not chimerical.

By authorizing corporate officials to utilize business hours and bookkeeping resources to fund corporate political coffers, Congress has introduced a <u>de minimis</u> or indirect-cost exclusion into the law which tempers the sweep of the ban on the contribution of services by corporate officials. This leads me to the conclusion that the sanction is not designed to reach volunteer political activity by a corporate official in situations where it is clear that such activity does not derogate from his general contractual responsibilities to the corporation and its stockholders.

In considering the practical problem at hand, this conclusion may be nothing more than a cute legal argument that smacks of gimmickry. Although I am convinced that criminal liability can be avoided through compliance with the standard set forth above, it is likely not responsive to the larger dimensions of

the problem. In terms of public perception, the standard could be seen as an evasion rather than an avoidance.

# Recommendation

First, corporate officials desirous of contributing their services to any political effort should be instructed to arrange for a formal leave of absence or a pro rata diminution of their salaries covering their periods of political service.

Second, I would suggest that you communicate this view to counsel at the RNC.





#### 18 § 610 **ELECTIONS—POLITICAL ACTIVITIES** Ch. 29

Any violation of this section by any political committee shall be deemed also to be a violation by the chairman and the treasurer of such committee and by any other person responsible for such violation and shall be punishable by a fine of not more than \$1,000 or imprisonment of not more than one year, or both; and, if the violation was willful, by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or imprisonment of not more than two years, or both. June 25, 1948, c. 645, 62 Stat. 723.

#### Historical and Revision Notes

Reviser's Note. Based on section 252 gress, were incorporated at end of section of Title 2, U.S.C., 1940 ed., The Congress and Title 18, U.S.C., 1940 ed., § 61t (Feb. 28, 1925, c. 368, Title III, § 314, 43 Stat. 1074; Aug. 2, 1939, c. 410, § 20, as added July 19, 1940, c. 640, § 6, 54 Stat. 772).

h. 29

hip,

roup

who

pun-

have

e 25.

limilar Virgin

Title

rson"

w of

ition

Tens

ich as

Ma cal.,

ated in

tion is

at the Man 591 of auto-

Title

23 BB-

ology.

ating

an

and

with

Fich

com-

said the Ton till

This section consolidates the provisions of section 252 of Title 2, The Congress, and section 61t of Title 18, both of U.S.C., 1940 ed.

The punishment provisions of section 252 of Title 2, U.S.C., 1940 ed., The Con- 80th Congress House Report No. 304.

upon authority of reference to them contained in words "and the penalties provided in sections 241-256 of Title 2 shall apply to violations of this section", now omitted.

Words "or both" were added to the second punishment provision to conform to the almost universal formula of this title.

Changes were made in phraseology.

#### Cross References

Definitions of terms applicable to this section, see section 591 of this title. Duties as to contributions; accounts and receipts; statements; limitations upon expenditures, see sections 242-248 of Title 2, The Congress.

# § 610. Contributions or expenditures by national banks, corporations or labor organizations

It is unlawful for any national bank, or any corporation organized by authority of any law of Congress, to make a contribution or expenditure in connection with any election to any political office, or in connection with any primary election or political convention or caucus held to select candidates for any political office, or for any corporation whatever, or any labor organization to make a contribution or expenditure in connection with any election at which Presidential and Vice Presidential electors or a Senator or Representative in, or a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to Congress are to be voted for, or in connection with any primary election or political convention or caucus held to select candidates for any of the foregoing offices, or for any candidate, political committee, or other person to accept or receive any contribution prohibited by this section.

Every corporation or labor organization which makes any contribution or expenditure in violation of this section shall be fined not more than \$5,000; and every officer or director of any corporation, or officer of any labor organization, who consents to any contribution or expenditure by the corporation or labor organization, as the case may be, and any person who accepts or receives any contribution, in violation of this section, shall be fined not more than \$1,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if the violation was willful, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than two years, or both.

For the purposes of this section "labor organization" means any organization of any kind, or any agency or employee representation committee or plan, in which employees participate and which exist for the purpose, in whole or in part, of dealing with employers concerning grievances, labor disputes, wages, rates of pay, hours of employment, or conditions of work. June 25, 1948, c. 645, 62 Stat. 723; May 24, 1949, c. 139, § 10, 63 Stat. 90; Oct. 31, 1951, c. 655, § 20 (c), 65 Stat. 718.

#### Historical and Revision Notes

Reviser's Note. Based on section 251 of Title 2, U.S.C., 1940 ed., The Congress (Feb. 28, 1925, c. 368, Title III, § 313, 43 Stat. 1074).

The War Labor Disputes Act, June 25, 1943, c. 144, § 9, 57 Stat. 167, amends this section by making it temporarily applicable to labor organizations; therefore the effective date of this section will be postponed by a special provision of the enacting bill until the expiration of the amendatory act. (See sections 1509 and 1510 of Title 50, App.U.S.C., 1940 ed.)

Minor changes in phraseology were made. 80th Congress House Report No. 304.

Senate Revision Amendments. The special effective date provision was eliminated by Senate Amendment, inasmuch as the Act of June 23, 1947, ch. 120, § 304, 61 Stat. 159, which became an additional source of this section, made the provisions

of section 9 of the War Labor Disputes Act (50 U.S.C., App. § 1509), which had temporarily amended section 251 of Title 2 U.S.C., permanent legislation. This section was accordingly changed by Senate amendment so as to give effect to such Act of June 23, 1947, ch. 120, § 304, 61 Stat. 159. See 80th Congress Senate Report No. 1620, Amendments Nos. 4 and 5.

1951 Amendment. Act Oct. 31, 1951 inserted in second paragraph "and any person who accepts or receives any contribution" and the additional punishment provisions after the semi-colon.

1949 Amendment. Act May 24, 1949, added "or expenditures" to the catchline.

Legislative History: For legislative history and purpose of Act May 24, 1949, see 1949 U.S.Code Cong.Service, p. 1248. See, also, Act Oct. 31, 1951, 1951 U.S.Code Cong.Service, p. 2378.

# Cross References

Definitions of terms applicable to this section, see section 591 of this title.

#### Notes of Decisions

Constitutionality 1
Construction 2
Effect of violation 10
Evidence, sufficiency of 9
Expenditure 4
Indictment 8
Labor organizations 5
Offenses 7
Purpose 3
State elections 6

# 1. Constitutionality

Congress has constitutional power to prohibit contributions to be made by certain corporations in connection with any election at which, among others, representatives in Congress are to be voted for. U. S. v. Brewers' Ass'n, D.C.Pa.1916, 239 F. 163.

Provision of former section 251 of Title 2 [now this section] making it unlawful for any labor organization to make expenditures in connection with election to federal office or for any officer of organization to consent to such expenditure is an unconstitutional abridgement of freedom of speech, the press, and assembly, notwithstanding power of Congress to control manner of holding elections and

Ch. 29

prevent con gress of 1 D.C.1948, 7

2. Constru

If a stri
to this se
labor orga
or expend
tain electi
activity b
would det
penditure
struction
ion No.
S69.

3. Purpos
The evil
in this sention fun
(as disting
ion memb
candidate
Internatio
craft and
America
529, 352 U
denied 77

763.

Purpose porations political c porations ling electi bers from candidates which madividual Central I 193 F.Sup-

In enac lawful for contribution with not intercent amore expenditueriminal to depriving expenection v. C. C. Local Un Supp. 868

"Exper former section] and exiting no applicate particular

TAB B



# CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

#### contributions

tent as applicable with respect to a Representative, see sections 204(a), 206(b) of Pub.L. 91-405, Title II, Sept. 22, 1970, 84 of Stat. 852, set out as notes under section 25 of Title 2, The Congress.

# tions and expenditures

nake expenditures from his personal s immediate family, in connection with lection, or election, to Federal office in

f a candidate for the office of Presi-

a candidate for the office of Senator;

a candidate for the office of Repreent Commissioner to the Congress. ction, "immediate family" means a arent, grandparent, brother, or sister such persons.

mimittee shall knowingly accept any aditure in violation of the provisions

this section is punishable by a fine or not to exceed one year, or both. 2-225. Title II, § 203, 86 Stat. 9.

punishment of officers, directors, and managing heads of a partnership, combuffee, association, corporation, or other efganization or group of persons for knowingly and willfully participating in violations of this section.

Subsec. (d). Pub.L. 92-225 struck out provision defining term "contribution", now covered by section 591(e) of this title.

Effective Date of 1972 Amendment. Imendment of section by Pub.L. 92-225 ffective sixty days after Feb. 7, 1972, see ection 406 of Pub.L. 92-225, set out as a pote under section 431 of Title 2, The buggess,

Legislative History. For legislative story and purpose of Pub.L. 92-225, e 1972 U.S.Code Cong. and Adm. News,

# Index to Notes

us actions 4 risdiction 2 rties 3 adings 1

Pleadings
omplaint alleging that national camfin committees of political parties had
a mid were illegally circumventing
section and section 60% of this title
ting individual political contributions
purchases in support of campaigns
elective federal offices and that the
have been sufficient to state a civil
of action upon which relief could
lanted. Common Cause v. Democratat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1971, 333 F.
803.

are cumber of plaintiffs, including tongressmen who alleged that they see to run for reelection, brought

# CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 18 § 610

action against the major political campaign committees asserting that such committees had been and were illegally circumventing federal laws limiting individual political contributions and committee receipts and expenditures in absence of any allegations with respect to contributions or expenditures for specific currently active candidates, complaint must be dismissed without prejudice as to such congressmen who would not suffer irreparable harm if they waited until specific activities they challenge became more evident. Id.

2. Jurisdiction
Federal district court had jurisdiction to consider equitable action brought by nonprofit corporation and others against national campaign committees of political parties which allegedly employed and conspired with each other to employ devices to illegally circumvent this section and section 609 of this title limiting individual political contributions and purchases and committee receipts and expenditures in support of campaigns for elective federal offices. Common Cause v. Democratic Nat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1971, 333 F.Supp. 803.

3. Parties

Head of nonprofit corporation concerned with promotion of social welfare, civic betterment and social improvement in United States and two United States congressmen, with intention of seeking

reelection, and other voters had standing to bring action against national campaign committees of political parties for declaratory and injunctive relief asserting that such committees were engaged in practices to illegally circumvent this section and section 609 of this title limiting individual political contributions and purchases and committee receipts and expenditures in support of campaigns for elective federal offices. Common Cause v. Democratic Nat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1971, 333 F.Supp. 803.

Democratic Nat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1911, 333 F.Supp. 803.

4. Class actions

Action by nonprofit corporation interested in civic betterment and other plaintiffs against major campaign committees of the national political parties alleging that such committees were illegally evading federal statutes limiting individual political contributions and purchases and committee receipts and expenditures in campaigns for elective federal offices would be designated as a class action as to all members of the nonprofit corporation who were also registered voters or who otherwise participated in campaigns for elective federal office, but the diverse interests made action inappropriate for class-plaintiff designation as to voters, contributors and campaign workers who had not joined such corporation and as to political parties or committees not individually named. Common Cause v. Democratic Nat. Committee, D.C.D.C.1971, 333 F.Supp. 803.

§ 609. Repealed. Pub.L. 92-225, Title II, § 204, Feb. 7, 1972, 86 Stat. 10

Section, Act June 25, 1948, c. 645, 62 Stat. 723, prescribed maximum contributions and expenditures limitation of \$3,000,000 for any calendar year.

Effective Date of Repeal. Section repealed effective sixty days after Feb. 7, 1972, see section 406 of Pub.L. 92-225, set out as a note under section 431 of Title 2, The Congress.

§ 610. Contributions or expenditures by national banks, corporations or labor organizations

As used in this section, the phrase "contribution or expenditure" shall include (any direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value) (except a loan of money by a national or State bank made in accordance with the applicable banking laws and regulations and in the ordinary course of business) to any candidate, campaign committee, or political party or organization, in connection with any election to any of the offices referred to in this section; but shall not include communications by a corporation to its stockholders and their families or by a labor organization to its members and their families on any subject; nonpartisan registration and get-out-the-vote campaigns by a corporation aimed at its stockholders and their families, or by a labor organization aimed at its members and their families; The establishment, administration, and solicitation of contributions to a separate segregated fund to be utilized for political purposes by a corporation or labor organization *Provided*, That it shall be unlawful for such a fund to make a contribution or expenditure by utilizing money or anything of value secured by physical force, job discrimination, financial reprisals, or the threat of force, job discrimination, or financial reprisal; or by dues, fees, or other monies required as a condition of membership in a labor organization or as a condition of employment, or by monies obtained in any commercial transaction.

As amended Feb. 7, 1972, Pub.L. 92-225, Title II, § 205, 86 Stat. 10.

1972 Amendment. Pub.L. 92-225 added paragraph defining "contribution or expenditure" and making it unlawful for the separate segregated fund to make a contribution or expenditure by utilizing money or anything of value secured by

physical force, job discrimination, financial reprisals, or the threat of force, job discrimination, or financial reprisal, or by dues, fees, or other monies required as a condition of membership in a labor organization or as a condition of employ-

Horning

# THE WHITE HOUSE

INFORMATION

WASHINGTON

November 18, 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR:

JIM CONNOR

THROUGH:

PHIL BUCHEN

FROM:

BARRY ROTH BY

SUBJECT:

FEC Decision

Per your request, in a major decision, the FEC today gave corporations permission to set up political funds similar to those that are used by the major labor unions. In a 4 to 2 vote, which reversed a staff recommendation and followed an opinion from the Department of Justice, the FEC supported a proposal by Sun Oil Company to establish two political funds.

The first, SunPac, will solicit funds from employees and shareholders, and channel the donations to candidates chosen by corporate officers who head up the political committee. Although corporate funds cannot be used for the contributions, they can be used to defray the administrative costs of soliciting the funds. The second fund, SunEPA, involves the use of corporate funds to establish a trustee account which will collect voluntary political contributions from employees, to be given to the candidates chosen by the employees who participate. Corporate funds can be used for items such as payroll checkoff. However, the corporation cannot in any way direct how the money is to be expended. As you are aware, each political committee can give no more than \$5,000 to an individual candidate.

