### The original documents are located in Box 61, folder "Special Prosecutor (4)" of the Philip Buchen Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

#### **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald R. Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

## WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

September 11, 1974

Philip W. Buchen, Esq. Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Buchen:

Yesterday's announcement that the President had ordered a study involving the pardon of all so-called Watergate offenders -- both those already convicted as well as those still to be tried -- has created problems in the prosecution of the case now set for trial on September 30 involving John Mitchell, Robert Haldeman, John Ehrlichman, and three others. Unless the announcement is clarified, the problems will increase. Undoubtedly, there will be motions for continuance and other stratagems to delay the trial. More importantly, from the standpoint of the prosecution force, there is now such confusion and uncertainty as to affect adversely the preparation for trial on the 30th, a trial date already once postponed from the earlier setting of September 9.

The Washington Post article of this morning was not authorized by me and I have not been able to ascertain who, if anyone, purported to quote my views If my view is of interest to the President, however, you are authorized to state that I believe the ends of justice would be offended by the pardoning of any individual or individuals, now charged or still to be charged in advance of trial. Similarly, I think it is unwarranted to grant across-the-board pardons to those who have pleaded or have been convicted. I fully understand that if there is any individual case arising

CONFIDENTIAL

TORO CONTRACTOR

By Ja 3/29/2016

out of "Watergate" presenting circumstances for pardon consideration, the President naturally would weigh it just as he would any "non-Watergate" conviction. But this is far different from the wholesale granting of pardons, regardless of individual merit. In fact, President Ford explained to the nation that the action he took regarding Mr. Nixon was on the basis of the peculiar facts and circumstances involving Mr. Nixon.

Please observe the confidentiality of this communication, otherwise it may be viewed as adversely affecting the rights of the defendants in the September 30th trial.

Thanking you for presenting these views to President Ford, if you deem it appropriate, I am

Sincerely yours,

LEON JAWORSKI Special Prosecutor

CONFIDENTIAL



#### WALRGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

September 11, 1974

Philip W. Buchen, Esq. Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Buchen:

Yesterday's announcement that the President had ordered a study involving the pardon of all so-called Watergate offenders -- both those already convicted as well as those still to be tried -- has created problems in the prosecution of the case now set for trial on September 30 involving John Mitchell, Robert Haldeman, John Ehrlichman, and three others. Unless the announcement is clarified, the problems will increase. Undoubtedly, there will be motions for continuance and other stratagems to delay the More importantly, from the standpoint of the prosecution force, there is now such confusion and uncertainty as to affect adversely the preparation for trial on the 30th, a trial date already once postponed from the earlier setting of September 9.

The Washington Post article of this morning was not authorized by me and I have not been able to ascertain who, if anyone, purported to quote my views If my view is of interest to the President, however, you are authorized to state that I believe the ends of justice would be offended by the pardoning of any individual or individuals, now charged or still to be charged in advance of trial. Similarly, I think it is unwarranted to grant across-the-board pardons to those who have pleaded or have been convicted. I fully understand that if there is any individual case arising

Oetamined to be an emphismative marking Cancelled per E.O. 12366, Sec. 1.3 and Archivist's memo of March 16, 1983

DAD-NAR# date 1/20/88

out of "Watergate" presenting circumstances for pardon consideration, the President naturally would weigh it just as he would any "non-Watergate" conviction. But this is far different from the wholesale granting of pardons, regardless of individual merit. In fact, President Ford explained to the nation that the action he took regarding Mr. Nixon was on the basis of the peculiar facts and circumstances involving Mr. Nixon.

Please observe the confidentiality of this communication, otherwise it may be viewed as adversely affecting the rights of the defendants in the September 30th trial.

Thanking you for presenting these views to President Ford, if you deem it appropriate, I am

Sincerely yours,

hear genoresa

LEON JAWORSKI Special Prosecutor

CONFIDENTIAL



THE WHITE HOUSE

Found in file between Sept. 10, 1974 9 Sept 11, 1974 documents



Representatives of the White House and the Special Prosecutor met today to discuss the status of the tapes and documents of the administration of former President Nixon. It has been agreed that none of the files will be moved pending further discussions, and the Special Prosecutor's office is satisfied with the present arrangements.



### THE WHITE HOUSE

Found in file botween Sept. 10, 1974 9 Sept 11, 1974 documents Representatives of the White House and the Special Prosecutor met today to discuss the status of the tapes and documents of the administration of former President Nixon. It has been agreed that none of the files will be moved pending further discussions, and the Special Prosecutor's office is satisfied with the present arrangements.



### Friday 9/13/74

10:15 Larry Speakes needs to talk with you for guidance about how to handle the press on the "alleged"

Jaworski meeting yesterday -- which did not take place.



TERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION F CE
United States Department of Justice
1425 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
September 13, 1974

Honorable Philip W. Buchen Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Buchen:

I am writing to set forth our understanding of the situation as it was discussed and agreed during the meeting yesterday afternoon attended by Messrs. Ruth, Vorenberg and Kreindler of our Office, Messrs. Silberman and Wilderotter of the Department of Justice, Mr. Casselman of the White House legal staff, and the two of us.

At that meeting we explained our objections and reservations concerning the basis and thrust of the Attorney General's opinion dated September 6, 1974, and the validity and effect of the letter agreement between former President Nixon and General Services Administrator Sampson, also dated September 6, 1974. Specifically, we noted that, even assuming the correctness of the Attorney General's opinion on the private ownership question, the opinion implies but does not develop a basis for guaranteeing the government's right to utilize those materials for the present legitimate interests of the public. The letter agreement, however, makes no effort to secure or protect these public interests but rather purports to cede to Mr. Nixon the right of exclusive access to all tapes and documents and authorizes him to withdraw or destroy any or all of the tapes and documents without ever making them available for review by the government or people of the United States. We explained our reasons for believing that the September 6 agreement violates various provisions of the Presidential Libraries Act, on which it is apparently based, as well as the spirit of that statute.



In light of these serious problems, I believe you have granted our request that no further action that might possibly affect adversely the interests of this Office will be taken pending further discussions. In particular, none of the files compiled during the Administration of former President Nixon will be moved from their present locations nor will any steps be taken to implement the arrangement of September 6, such as by giving either Mr. Nixon or Mr. Sampson any "keys" to those files or any other access to them.

I believe it is important to state this agreement in the clearest terms possible. On August 14, 1974, I wrote to Mr. Buzhardt, then Counsel to the President, that "the status quo should be maintained and no materials of any type should be relinquished from the custody or control of the White House." (A copy of this letter is attached.) On August 15, 1974, members of our Office met with you and Mr. Buzhardt to discuss this request and it was agreed at that time that none of the files in question would be moved pending further discussions. You authorized us to release a public statement to that effect and we did so, explaining that we were satisfied with that arrangement.

Nevertheless, the agreement of September 6, 1974, about which we were not consulted in any way, purports to recognize that Mr. Nixon was, as of that date, the "custodian" of the files "with sole right and power of access thereto." Apart from the questions we have raised about the legal validity of that agreement, it purports to have transferred legal custody of the tapes and documents to Mr. Nixon and, if valid, may seriously complicate our ability to obtain prompt and effective access to evidence necessary to investigations under our jurisdiction.

Thus, until we can pursue the alternatives that were discussed at yesterday's meeting for clarifying or altering the terms or effect of the September 6 letter agreement, we would appreciate it if the physical arrangements existing at present not be modified in any way. It is our understanding that you have agreed to this request.



Please let me know if the agreement set forth above does not coincide with the discussions as you understand them.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Lacovara

Counsel to the Special

Prosecutor

### Enclosure

cc: Honorable Laurence H. Silberman Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530 WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE
United States Department of Justice
1425 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
August 14, 1974

PAL:sek

J. Fred Buzhardt, Esq. Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Buzhardt:

When members of our office met with you and Mr. St. Clair yesterday you indicated that the process of transition between Administrations would involve a supplemental appropriation and the appointment of a liaison official between the President and government agencies, including the White House and the Special Prosecutor's office. It was our understanding that this process would take some time, and that in particular no documents or materials to which the former President might be entitled would be or could be removed from the White House files until that time. You also then advised us of your judgment that, by custom, materials in the White House files become the "private property" of a former President when he leaves office.

As you know, materials in the White House files are of extreme importance to a number of investigations within the jurisdiction of this office. In fact, at the time of President Nixon's resignation, there were outstanding a considerable number of unresolved requests from us for access to specific tapes or documents or to categories of tapes and documents. The scope of a former President's entitlement to materials that, during his incumbency, were official White House files is, in our judgment, not free from doubt. Regardless of that question, however, the government, including the Special Prosecutor's office and the grand jury, certainly has a legitimate interest in access to these materials to the extent that they relate to continuing business of the government.



We therefore request that, until White House counsel, representatives of the former President, and this office can explore and hopefully agree upon procedures for assuring access to relevant evidence now located in White House files, the status quo should be maintained and no materials of any type should be relinquished from the custody or control of the White House.

We understand that, on the basis of your discussion with General Haig, he will explore this matter further with the Special Prosecutor and that for the present there will be no change in the status or location of the materials in question.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Lacovara Counsel to the Special Prosecutor

# WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 September 13, 1974

Honorable Philip W. Buchen Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Buchen:

I am writing to set forth our understanding of the situation as it was discussed and agreed during the meeting yesterday afternoon attended by Messrs. Ruth, Vorenberg and Kreindler of our Office, Messrs. Silberman and Wilderotter of the Department of Justice, Mr. Casselman of the White House legal staff, and the two of us.

At that meeting we explained our objections and reservations concerning the basis and thrust of the Attorney General's opinion dated September 6, 1974, and the validity and effect of the letter agreement between former President Nixon and General Services Administrator Sampson, also dated September 6, 1974. Specifically, we noted that, even assuming the correctness of the Attorney General's opinion on the private ownership question, the opinion implies but does not develop a basis for quaranteeing the government's right to utilize those materials for the present legitimate interests of the public. letter agreement, however, makes no effort to secure or protect these public interests but rather purports to cede to Mr. Nixon the right of exclusive access to all tapes and documents and authorizes him to withdraw or destroy any or all of the tapes and documents without ever making them available for review by the government or people of the United States. We explained our reasons for believing that the September 6 agreement violates various provisions of the Presidential Libraries Act, on which it is apparently based, as well as the spirit of that statute.



In light of these serious problems, I believe you have granted our request that no further action that might possibly affect adversely the interests of this Office will be taken pending further discussions. In particular, none of the files compiled during the Administration of former President Nixon will be moved from their present locations nor will any steps be taken to implement the arrangement of September 6, such as by giving either Mr. Nixon or Mr. Sampson any "keys" to those files or any other access to them.

I believe it is important to state this agreement in the clearest terms possible. On August 14, 1974, I wrote to Mr. Buzhardt, then Counsel to the President, that "the status quo should be maintained and no materials of any type should be relinquished from the custody or control of the White House." (A copy of this letter is attached.) On August 15, 1974, members of our Office met with you and Mr. Buzhardt to discuss this request and it was agreed at that time that none of the files in question would be moved pending further discussions. You authorized us to release a public statement to that effect and we did so, explaining that we were satisfied with that arrangement.

Nevertheless, the agreement of September 6, 1974, about which we were not consulted in any way, purports to recognize that Mr. Nixon was, as of that date, the "custodian" of the files "with sole right and power of access thereto." Apart from the questions we have raised about the legal validity of that agreement, it purports to have transferred legal custody of the tapes and documents to Mr. Nixon and, if valid, may seriously complicate our ability to obtain prompt and effective access to evidence necessary to investigations under our jurisdiction.

Thus, until we can pursue the alternatives that were discussed at yesterday's meeting for clarifying or altering the terms or effect of the September 6 letter agreement, we would appreciate it if the physical arrangements existing at present not be modified in any way. It is our understanding that you have agreed to this request.



Please let me know if the agreement set forth above does not coincide with the discussions as you understand them.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Lacovara

Counsel to the Special

Prosecutor

#### Enclosure

cc: Honorable Laurence H. Silberman Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530



WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE
United States Department of Justice
1425 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
August 14, 1974

PAL:sek

J. Fred Buzhardt, Esq. Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Buzhardt:

When members of our office met with you and Mr. St. Clair yesterday you indicated that the process of transition between Administrations would involve a supplemental appropriation and the appointment of a liaison official between the President and government agencies, including the White House and the Special Prosecutor's office. It was our understanding that this process would take some time, and that in particular no documents or materials to which the former President might be entitled would be or could be removed from the White House files until that time. You also then advised us of your judgment that, by custom, materials in the White House files become the "private property" of a former President when he leaves office.

As you know, materials in the White House files are of extreme importance to a number of investigations within the jurisdiction of this office. In fact, at the time of President Nixon's resignation, there were outstanding a considerable number of unresolved requests from us for access to specific tapes or documents or to categories of tapes and documents. The scope of a former President's entitlement to materials that, during his incumbency, were official White House files is, in our judgment, not free from doubt. Regardless of that question, however, the government, including the Special Prosecutor's office and the grand jury, certainly has a legitimate interest in access to these materials to the extent that they relate to continuing business of the government.



We therefore request that, until White House counsel, representatives of the former President, and this office can explore and hopefully agree upon procedures for assuring access to relevant evidence now located in White House files, the status quo should be maintained and no materials of any type should be relinquished from the custody or control of the White House.

We understand that, on the basis of your discussion with General Haig, he will explore this matter further with the Special Prosecutor and that for the present there will be no change in the status or location of the materials in question.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Lacovara Counsel to the Special Prosecutor Hon. Philip Buchen

Department of the Treasury

Office of the pom. \_\_\_\_\_date, 9/13/74 General Counsel

General Counsel Richard R. Albrecht

room 3000 ext. 2093

10

September 13, 1974

Re: U.S. v. Mitchell, et al, United States District Court for the District of Columbia No. 74-110

Dear Mr. Jaworski:

I understand that the subpoens in the above matter served on E. S. Knight, Director, United States Secret Service, on September 4, 1974, has been referred to your office for action. This letter will constitute your authorization to represent Mr. Knight in connection with the subpoens and to make an appropriate motion to quash the subpoens.

Sinceraly yours,

(Signed) Richard R. Albrecht

Richard R. Albrecht

Mr. Leon Jaworski Watergate Special Prosecutor 1425 K Street, N. W. 9th Floor Washington, D. C. 20005

ATTN: Mr. Philip Lacovara



Department
to. Hon. Philip Buchen Of the Treasury
Office of the
room. \_\_\_\_\_date. 9/13/74 General Counsel

General Counsel Richard R. Albrecht

room 3000 ext. 2093



### THE GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE REASURY

wet selfel

September 13, 1974

Re: U.S. v. Mitchell, et al, United States District Court for the District of Columbia No. 74-110

Dear Mr. Jaworski:

I understand that the subpoens in the above matter served on H. S. Knight, Director, United States Secret Service, on September 4, 1974, has been referred to your office for action. This letter will constitute your authorization to represent Mr. Knight in connection with the subpoens and to make an appropriate motion to quash the subpoens.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Richard R. Albrecht

Richard R. Albrecht

Mr. Leon Jaworski Watergate Special Prosecutor 1425 K Street, N. W. 9th Floor Washington, D. C. 20005

ATTN: Mr. Philip Lacovara



## WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FOREE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

September 13, 1974

BY HAND

Philip W. Buchen, Esq. Counsel to the President Executive Office Building Washington, D. C.

Re: United States v. Mitchell, et al Criminal No. 74-110

Dear Mr. Buchen:

As we indicated in our meeting at your office yesterday, certain original White House records and documents are needed in connection with the trial of <u>United States</u> v. Mitchell, et al. These include:

- 1. The complete personnel file of John W. Dean, III;
- 2. Dean's travel records relating to Mr. Dean for the period June 18, 1972 through April 30, 1973;
- 3. Records reflecting the departure and arrival of the Eastern Airlines flight chartered to take the White House staff to and from the Republican Convention in the summer of 1972;
- 4. Records reflecting the dates that Mr. Dean was on Air Force One in January 1973. (At that time the airplane probably was being tested for future use by the President and had not been designated Air Force One.);
- 5. Transportation records reflecting travel by Mr. Dean from the White House to Camp David and then back to the White House on November 15, 1972;
- 6. Records reflecting the date that Gordon Strachan terminated his employment at the White House;
- 7. Records reflecting the visits of Paul O'Brien, Kenneth Parkinson and Robert Mardian to the White House from June 18, 1972 through April 30, 1973;

Page 2 Philip W. Buchen, Esq. September 13, 1974

8. The President's Daily Diary records as maintained by the archivist for the period June 17, 1972 through December 31, 1973.

In view of the fact that trial is scheduled to begin on October 1, 1974, we would appreciate it if these materials could be assembled and made available to us as soon as possible.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours

Richard Ben-Veniste

Assistant Special Prosecutor

### THE WHITE HOUSE

Copies to:

Casselman Buzhardt Jack Miller Silberman

## WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

September 13, 1974

BY HAND

Philip W. Buchen, Esq. Counsel to the President Executive Office Building Washington, D. C.

Re: United States v. Mitchell, et al Criminal No. 74-110

Dear Mr. Buchen:

As we indicated in our meeting at your office yesterday, certain original White House records and documents are needed in connection with the trial of <u>United States</u> v. Mitchell, et al. These include:

- 1. The complete personnel file of John W. Dean, III;
- 2. Dean's travel records relating to Mr. Dean for the period June 18, 1972 through April 30, 1973;
- 3. Records reflecting the departure and arrival of the Eastern Airlines flight chartered to take the White House staff to and from the Republican Convention in the summer of 1972;
- 4. Records reflecting the dates that Mr. Dean was on Air Force One in January 1973. (At that time the airplane probably was being tested for future use by the President and had not been designated Air Force One.);
- 5. Transportation records reflecting travel by Mr. Dean from the White House to Camp David and then back to the White House on November 15, 1972;
- 6. Records reflecting the date that Gordon Strachan terminated his employment at the White House;
- 7. Records reflecting the visits of Paul O'Brien, Kenneth Parkinson and Robert Mardian to the White House from June 18, 1972 through April 30, 1973;

Page 2 Philip W. Buchen, Esq. September 13, 1974

8. The President's Daily Diary records as maintained by the archivist for the period June 17, 1972 through December 31, 1973.

In view of the fact that trial is scheduled to begin on October 1, 1974, we would appreciate it if these materials could be assembled and made available to us as soon as possible.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

 $C_{i}$ 

Very truly yours,

Richard Ben-Veniste

Assistant Special Prosecutor

## No Jaworski Voice Is Reported In Plan to Return Nixon Tapes

#### By JOHN M. CREWDSON

Special to The New York Times

The special Watergate prosecu- House, and one maintained that tor's office, although strongly President Ford himself had opposed to the decision to re- "never even looked into the turn White House tapes and legal questions" surrounding documents to former President the controversy, but had left I Richard M. Nixon, was given the problem in the hands of no direct role in that conclu-Philip W. Buchen, the White sion, according to several well- House counsel. placed sources.

terials.

None of the sources said they knew of any consultation

WASHINGTON, Sept. 14 - cial prosecutor and the White

A memorandum of reply said The views of Leon Jaworski, to have been prepared by Mr. the special prosecutor, were re- Jaworski's office and sent to portedly solicited by, and sub-the department's Office of Legal | mitted to, the Justice Depart-Counsel reportedly recommendment lawyers who began in ed that custody of the tapes mid-August to hammer out a and documents, some of which legal opinion on the owner-the prosecutors believe they ship of the Presidential ma- need to complete their work, be retained by the Government. But Mr. Jaworski's office.

on the matter between the spe- Continued on Page 54, Column 4



# WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 September 16, 1974

Honorable Philip W. Buchen Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Re: United States v. Mitchell, et al., Criminal No. 74-110

Dear Mr. Buchen:

I am enclosing a copy of the motion to quash that this Office filed on behalf of Mr. Knight, Director of the Secret Service, today. I will keep you informed of any developments in this matter.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Lacovara Counsel to the Special

Prosecutor

Enclosure

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Criminal No. 74-110

JOHN N. MITCHELL, et al.

### MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

The Special Prosecutor, on behalf of and at the request of H. S. Knight, Director, United States Secret Service, hereby moves to quash the trial subpoena <u>duces tecum</u> issued to Mr. Knight in the above-entitled action on August 29, 1974, upon the application of defendant Ehrlichman. (A copy of the subpoena is attached as Exhibit A.) This subpoena, which is made returnable in advance of trial on September 16, 1974, was not issued pursuant to an order of this Court as required by Rule 17(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and, accordingly, is of no force and effect.

Rule 17(c) provides in relevant part that the court "may direct that books, papers, documents or objects designated in the subpoena be produced before the court at a time prior to the trial..." (Emphasis added.) Under this rule, "the return day of the subpoena may be designated in advance of the trial date only if the Court so directs or permits." If it is issued without leave of the court, it must be quashed.

United States v. Ferguson, 37 F.R.D. 6 (D.D.C. 1965) (Holtzhoff, J.).

This motion is made without prejudice to any other grounds that could be raised for quashing the subpoena if it were validly issued.

It is respectfully submitted that for the above-stated reasons the motion to quash should be granted.

LEON JAWORSKI Special Prosecutor

PHILIP A. LACOVARA Counsel to the Special Prosecutor

JAMES F. NEAL Associate Special Prosecutor

PETER M. KREINDLER
Executive Assistant to the Special
Prosecutor

United States Department of Justice
1425 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
September 16, 1974

Honorable Philip W. Buchen Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Re: United States v. Mitchell, et al., Criminal No. 74-110

Dear Mr. Buchen:

I am enclosing a copy of the motion to quash that this Office filed on behalf of Mr. Knight, Director of the Secret Service, today. I will keep you informed of any developments in this matter.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Lacovara Counsel to the Special Prosecutor

Enclosure



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Criminal No. 74-110

JOHN N. MITCHELL, et al.

### MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

The Special Prosecutor, on behalf of and at the request of H. S. Knight, Director, United States Secret Service, hereby moves to quash the trial subpoena <u>duces tecum</u> issued to Mr. Knight in the above-entitled action on August 29, 1974, upon the application of defendant Ehrlichman. (A copy of the subpoena is attached as Exhibit A.) This subpoena, which is made returnable in advance of trial on September 16, 1974, was not issued pursuant to an order of this Court as required by Rule 17(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and, accordingly, is of no force and effect.

Rule 17(c) provides in relevant part that the court "may direct that books, papers, documents or objects designated in the subpoena be produced before the court at a time prior to the trial..." (Emphasis added.) Under this rule, "the return day of the subpoena may be designated in advance of the trial date only if the Court so directs or permits." If it is issued without leave of the court, it must be quashed.

United States v. Ferguson, 37 F.R.D. 6 (D.D.C. 1965) (Holtzhoff, J.).

This motion is made without prejudice to any other grounds that could be raised for quashing the subpoena if it were validly issued.



It is respectfully submitted that for the above-stated reasons the motion to quash should be granted.

LEON JAWORSKI Special Prosecutor

PHILIP A. LACOVARA Counsel to the Special Prosecutor

JAMES F. NEAL Associate Special Prosecutor

PETER M. KREINDLER
Executive Assistant to the Special
Prosecutor

THE WHITE HOUSE Jaworski 9/4/14 Str & Bushen for Jaworski re length of Islay + went of 9/3 from Henry Buth 9/10/77 re assumption of tesponsibility for release of 9/11/74 Att for Jaworski re amount enant for study swolving pardonfell so called water get 9/14/74 Lts & Buchenfrom Jawrok- ju 3 schedules

### Watergate Special Prosecution Force

September 19, 1974

To Philip Buchen from Philip Lacovara re letter of 9/4 requesting access to tape of meeting between former President Nixon and Richard Moore on 4/19------ withdrawing our request for access.

(((letter filed in Rockefeller file in safe)))



## Watergate Special Prosecution Force

September 19, 1974

To Philip Buchen from Philip Lacovara re letter of 9/4 requesting access to tape of meeting between former President Nixon and Richard Moore on 4/19----- withdrawing our request for access.

(((letter filed in Rockefeller file in safe)))

# Watergate Special Prosecution Force

September 19, 1974

To Philip Buchen from Philip Lacovara re letter of 9/4 requesting access to tape of meeting between former President Nixon and Richard Moore on 4/19------ withdrawing our request for access.

(((letter filed in Rockefeller file in safe)))



Sept. 19, 1974

To: Mr. Silberman

From: Phil Areeda

We have the original. This is the <u>only</u> copy. And perhaps it should not be copied further. We have not sent any copy to Miller and probably should not until we all have a chance to talk.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL



9/20/74

Returned without review by Deputy Attorney General.

alview of more to was drawn

W. RGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FC E United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

September 18, 1974

Philip W. Buchen, Esq. Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Buchen:

In accordance with prior discussions between you and members of my staff, I am enclosing three schedules listing the White House "records" of the Administration of former-President Nixon that this office considers material to its on-going grand jury investigations and prosecutions. By records, of course, I refer to tape recordings of Presidential conversations as well as correspondence, memoranda, and other writings.

The first of the three schedules, which lists one Presidential conversation, relates to the Watergate cover-up investigation and trial. This is in addition to the request made by letter to you from Mr. Ben-Veniste on September 13, 1974, a copy of which I am enclosing. Schedule 2 relates to matters within the jurisdiction of our Campaign Contributions and ITT Task Forces, and Schedule 3 relates to matters within the jurisdiction of our Plumbers Task Force.

As I previously indicated to you, the schedules identify our primary areas of interest and include as much detail as possible in order to give you an idea of the extent of the search that may be required. In many instances, the requests are limited to recordings and documents related to specific Presidential conversations—for example, paragraphs II—1 and II—6 of Schedule 2. We were hampered in framing other areas more narrowly, however, because we are not familiar with how White House files and the files of individual staff members were organized and maintained. Despite repeated requests, this information never has been available to us.

Members of my staff will be ready at your convenience to discuss each of the areas with a view to further delineating and refining the requests and determining what would constitute



a reasonable search. For example, although the request in paragraph II-2-a appears to be very broad, it may be that the request could be readily satisfied by searching a limited number of identifiable files of the staff members referred to. Similarly, paragraph I of Schedule 2 is nine pages in length because it details as many events as possible relevant to the subject matters under investigation. We expect that a small number of files would be involved in fulfilling this request. In short, I want to emphasize that the schedules are intended at this point only to serve as a basis for discussion and should not lead anyone to believe that we are interested in, or ever would demand, an extended search of all White House files and records compiled during the Administration of Mr. Nixon.

I hope that we can discuss these matters further with you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

LEON JAWORSKI

Special Prosecutor

Enclosures



Sept. 19, 1974

To: Mr. Silberman

From: Phil Areeda

We have the original. This is the only copy. And perhaps it should not be cepied further. We have not sent any copy to Miller and probably should not until we all have a chance to talk.



# WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

September 18, 1974

Philip W. Buchen, Esq. Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Buchen:

In accordance with prior discussions between you and members of my staff, I am enclosing three schedules listing the White House "records" of the Administration of former-President Nixon that this office considers material to its on-going grand jury investigations and prosecutions. By records, of course, I refer to tape recordings of Presidential conversations as well as correspondence, memoranda, and other writings.

The first of the three schedules, which lists one Presidential conversation, relates to the Watergate cover-up investigation and trial. This is in addition to the request made by letter to you from Mr. Ben-Veniste on September 13, 1974, a copy of which I am enclosing. Schedule 2 relates to matters within the jurisdiction of our Campaign Contributions and ITT Task Forces, and Schedule 3 relates to matters within the jurisdiction of our Plumbers Task Force.

As I previously indicated to you, the schedules identify our primary areas of interest and include as much detail as possible in order to give you an idea of the extent of the search that may be required. In many instances, the requests are limited to recordings and documents related to specific Presidential conversations—for example, paragraphs II—1 and II—6 of Schedule 2. We were hampered in framing other areas more narrowly, however, because we are not familiar with how White House files and the files of individual staff members were organized and maintained. Despite repeated requests, this information never has been available to us.

Members of my staff will be ready at your convenience to discuss each of the areas with a view to further delineating and refining the requests and determining what would constitute

a reasonable search. For example, although the request in paragraph II-2-a appears to be very broad, it may be that the request could be readily satisfied by searching a limited number of identifiable files of the staff members referred to. Similarly, paragraph I of Schedule 2 is nine pages in length because it details as many events as possible relevant to the subject matters under investigation. We expect that a small number of files would be involved in fulfilling this request. In short, I want to emphasize that the schedules are intended at this point only to serve as a basis for discussion and should not lead anyone to believe that we are interested in, or ever would demand, an extended search of all White House files and records compiled during the Administration of Mr. Nixon.

I hope that we can discuss these matters further with you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

LEON JAWORSKI

Special Prosecutor

Enclosures



# WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE United States Department of Justice 1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

September 13, 1974

BY HAND

Philip W. Buchen, Esq. Counsel to the President Executive Office Building Washington, D. C.

Re: United States v. Mitchell, et al Criminal No. 74-110

Dear Mr. Buchen:

As we indicated in our meeting at your office yester-day, certain original White House records and documents are needed in connection with the trial of <u>United States</u> v. <u>Mitchell, et al.</u> These include:

- 1. The complete personnel file of John W. Dean, III;
- 2. Dean's travel records relating to Mr. Dean for the period June 18, 1972 through April 30, 1973;
- 3. Records reflecting the departure and arrival of the Eastern Airlines flight chartered to take the White House staff to and from the Republican Convention in the summer of 1972;
- 4. Records reflecting the dates that Mr. Dean was on Air Force One in January 1973. (At that time the airplane probably was being tested for future use by the President and had not been designated Air Force One.);
- 5. Transportation records reflecting travel by Mr. Dean from the White House to Camp David and then back to the White House on November 15, 1972;
- 6. Records reflecting the date that Gordon Strachan terminated his employment at the White House;
- 7. Records reflecting the visits of Paul O'Brien, Kenneth Parkinson and Robert Mardian to the White House from June 18, 1972 through April 30, 1973;

Page 2
Philip W. Buchen, Esq.
September 13, 1974

8. The President's Daily Diary records as maintained by the archivist for the period June 17, 1972 through December 31, 1973.

In view of the fact that trial is scheduled to begin on October 1, 1974, we would appreciate it if these materials could be assembled and made available to us as soon as possible.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours.

Richard Ben-Veniste

Assistant Special Prosecutor

#### SCHEDULE--1

I. Material Relating to Possible Perjury Prosecution in Watergate Matter

All recordings, memoranda, notes and transcripts relating to the meeting between Richard M. Nixon and Richard Moore on April 19, 1973, from 3:46 to 5:00 p.m. in the Old Executive Office Building office of the President.



#### SCHEDULE--2

- I. Political Contributions, Misuse of Government Agency and Tax Investigations as to Charles Rebozo, Presidential Appointees, and White House Staff Members
- All records which record, refer or relate in any way to:
  - 1. The solicitation, negotiation, receipt, acknowledgement, storage, deposit, transmittal, disbursement, report or return by Charles Rebozo of any political campaign contribution or payment for the use or benefit of Richard M. Nixon or his designee including, but not limited to, any contribution or payment from or through any of the following persons: Howard R. Hughes, A.D. Davis, J.E. Davis, Raymond Guest, J.P. Getty, Robert Abplanalp, William Griffin, James Crosby, I.G. Davis, and George Smathers, including, but not limited to any and all notes, records, memoranda, diary entries, or tapes relating to:
    - a. Memorandum dated February 17, 1969, from H.R. Haldeman to John Ehrlichman concerning the President's request that Charles Rebozo contact J. Paul Getty regarding "major contributions" and seeking advice on how the control of funds so obtained can be maintained by the White House;
    - b. Meetings or conversations between Charles G. Rebozo and John Ehrlichman in the period April 22-27, 1969, concerning the decision to have Mr. Rebozo retain in Florida campaign funds derived from contributions to the 1968 campaign of Richard M. Nixon;
    - c. Memorandum dated March 2, 1971, from Mr. Charles Colson to Mr. John Dean indicating that a \$50,000 political campaign contribution authorized by Howard R. Hughes to be made to Richard M. Nixon or his representative for his campaign or other purposes may have been diverted by Mr. Robert A. Maheu to his own use;
    - d. Meeting between Mr. Herbert Kalmbach and John Ehrlichman at the White House in early March of 1971 in which Mr. Kalmbach reported that \$100,000 in political campaign contributions had been delivered by agents of Howard R. Hughes to Charles G. Rebozo in 1969-1970 and that there was a danger of publication disclosure;

- e. Entries into the grounds of the White House or any Presidential Compound or meetings with the President as shown in Executive Protective Service Clearance Forms (Number 21), Secret Service and U.S. Marine Corps (Camp David) entry logs and Presidential daily diaries for the following persons for the following persons and locations:
  - (1) Richard G. Danner and Robert A. Maheu at the Compound at Key Biscayne, Florida: September 10-12, 1969; February 2-6, 1970; March 19-23, 1970; August 18-21, 1970; and October 27-29, 1970; at the Compound at San Clemente, California: July 2-4, 1970; and at Camp David, Maryland: May 17-20, 1973;
  - (2) Robert Abplanalp, William Griffin, James Crosby and I.G. Davis at the White House, Camp David, San Clemente or Key Biscayne at any time between April 1 and June 27, 1973;
- f. Meetings or telephone conversations between Charles G. Rebozo and Richard M. Nixon as indicated in the President's daily diary or other documents for the following periods and locations:

At Washington, D.C., or Camp David, Maryland--

<u>1969</u> :	January 17-22 May 27-June 2 September 10-13	November 4-5 November 15-19 December 1-2
<u>1970</u> :	February 2-7 March 19-24 August 8-12 August 18-22	September 18-20 October 27-30 December 17-20
<u>1971</u> :	January 21-25 January 28-31 March 15-18	May 7-11 June 11-13 September 11-12
<u>1972</u> :	January 15-19 April 7-10 May 10-14 September 10-14	November 15-19 November 24-28 December 12-13

1973: January 6-10 June 1-3 January 17-20 June 12-15 March 3-6 July 1-4 March 10-11 July 15-18 July 21-23 March 13-17 July 27-29 April 7 April 14-16 September 1-4 April 28-May 1 September 13-17 May 11-14 October 19-21 May 17-21 November 21-25

1974: January 19-21 March 19-20

and at San Clemente, California, for the following periods--

1970: January 7-10 August 21-25
July 3-10 August 27-September 6

1971: March 30-April 5 July 6-14

1971: March 30-April 5 July 6-14 April 30-May 2 August 28-30

1972: July 1-4 August 25-29 July 9-12

1973: February 10-12 June 27-29
April 3-7 July 1-5
June 25 August 22-23

- g. The following meetings or telephone conversations:
  - (1) Telephone conversation on August 26, 1972, between John Ehrlichman and Charles Rebozo;
  - (2) Meeting in the Oval Office on August 29, 1972, including Richard M. Nixon, H.R. Haldeman, and John Ehrlichman and Charles Rebozo, and lasting from 12:58 to 1:15 p.m.;
  - (3) Meeting at Camp David, Maryland, on or about February 23 or 24, 1973, between Richard M. Nixon and Secretary Shultz concerning the request of Internal Revenue Service agents to interview Charles G. Rebozo;
  - (4) Telephone conversation on February 26, 1973, at 2:30 p.m. between Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Rebozo;

- (5) Telephone conversation on February 27, 1973, at 10:30 a.m. between Mr. Haldeman and Charles Rebozo;
- (6) Meeting at the White House on March 5, 1973, from 12 noon to 12:30 p.m. between Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Rebozo;
- (7) Meeting at the White House on March 5, 1973, commencing at 12:48 p.m. including Richard M. Nixon, Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Haldeman;
- (8) Meeting on March 6, 1973, in the Oval Office between 8:15 and 8:40 a.m. including Richard M. Nixon, Secretary Shultz and Mr. Haldeman;
- (9) Meeting on March 6, 1973, in the Oval Office commencing at 8:40 a.m., when Secretary Shultz departed, between Richard M. Nixon and Mr. Haldeman;
- (10) Meeting at the White House on March 9, 1973, commencing at 4 p.m. between Mr. Ehrlichman and Roger Barth;
- (11) Meeting on April 6, 1973, at 10:30 a.m. at San Clemente, California, between Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Rebozo;
- (12) Meeting on April 6, 1973, in San Clemente, California, commencing at 11 a.m. between Richard M. Nixon and Mr. Ehrlichman;
- (13) Meeting on April 11, 1973, at the White House between 2:10 and 2:15 p.m. between Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Barth;
- (14) Meeting on April 11, 1973, between 2:15 and 4 p.m. at the White House between Mr. Ehrlichman and Richard M. Nixon;
- (15) Meeting on April 29, 1973, in Washington, D.C., between Mr. Rebozo and Richard M. Nixon;
- (16) Meeting on April 29, 1973, at the White House between 2:49 and 3:25 p.m. between Richard M. Nixon and Mr. Ehrlichman;



- (17) Meeting on April 30, 1973, between approximately 7:45 and 8:15 a.m. at the White House between Mr. Rebozo and Mr. Kalmbach;
- (18) Meeting on April 30, 1973, in Washington, D.C., between Mr. Rebozo and Richard M. Nixon;
- (19) Meeting on May 1, 1973, in Washington, D.C., between Richard M. Nixon and Mr. Rebozo;
- (20) Meeting on May 1, 1973, in Washington, D.C., at the White House, between approximately 8 and 9 a.m. between Mr. Rebozo and Mr. Kalmbach;
- (21) Meeting on May 18, 1973, at the Madison Hotel in Washington, D.C., between Mr. Rebozo and Mr. Danner;
- (22) Meeting or conversation on May 18 or 19, 1973, in Washington, D.C., between Mr. Rebozo and Richard M. Nixon.
- (23) Meeting on May 20, 1973, at Camp David, between Mr. Danner, Mr. Rebozo and Richard M. Nixon.
- 2. Any governmental action, decision, plan or proposal involving the following known interests of Howard R. Hughes:
  - a. Approval by the Civil Aeronautics Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission, Richard M. Nixon, or other officer or agency of the United States of the acquisition of the assets and operating authority of Air West by Howard R. Hughes, Hughes Tool Company, or any of its subsidiary or affiliated companies or any governmental subsidy in connection with the operation of Air West or Hughes Air West;
  - b. Approval of the Attorney General or any other officer or employee of the U.S. Department of Justice regarding the proposed acquisition of the Dunes Hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada, by Howard R. Hughes, the Hughes Tool Company or any of its subsidiary or affiliated companies;



- c. The position of the United States Government as stated in legal briefs or otherwise regarding the private antitrust litigation between Trans World Airlines and Hughes Tool Company, originating as Trans World Airlines, Inc. v. Hughes in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (61 Civ. 2324), including, but not limited to, the Government's position on the issues of whether exclusive jurisdiction was vested in the Civil Aeronautics Board and on the amount of the supersedeas bond;
- d. The Government's response to requests from Howard R. Hughes, or his representatives, for the cessation of nuclear testing in the State of Nevada; including the following meetings, conversations or contacts:
  - (1) Meeting on January 10, 1970, at Department of Justice between Attorney General John Mitchell and Richard G. Danner;
  - (2) Meeting on January 23, 1970, from 3:45 to 4 p.m. at the Department of Justice between John Mitchell and Richard Danner;
  - (3) Telephone conversation on February 16, 1970, from 2:40 to 2:46 p.m. between John Mitchell and Richard Danner;
  - (4) Meeting on February 16, 1970, commencing at 4:45 p.m. at the White House, attended by John Mitchell;
  - (5) Telephone conversation on February 18, 1970, from 2 to 2:05 p.m. between John Mitchell and Richard Danner;
  - (6) Meeting on February 18, 1970, between 3:05 and 5:15 p.m. attended by John Mitchell and Governor Laxalt of Nevada;
  - (7) Meeting on February 26, 1970, from 4:15 to 5:09 p.m. at the Department of Justice between John Mitchell and Richard G. Danner;

- (8) Telephone conversations on March 2 and 6, 1970, between Richard Danner and some officer of the Department of Justice;
- (9) Conversation on March 6, 1970, between 12:30 and 12:32 p.m. between John Mitchell and John Ehrlichman;
- (10) Telephone conversation on March 9, 1970, between 4:50 and 5 p.m. between John Mitchell and Richard G. Danner;
- (11) Meeting on March 10, 1970, between 3 and 5 p.m. at the President's office at the Executive Office Building including John Mitchell, John Ehrlichman and Richard M. Nixon:
- (12) Telephone conversations on March 11, 13, 16, 1973, between Richard Danner and some officer of the Department of Justice;
- (13) Telephone conversation on March 18, 1970, from 2 to 2:14 p.m. between H.R. Haldeman and John Mitchell;
- (14) Meeting on March 19, 1970, from 12:15 to 12:36 p.m. at the Department of Justice between John Mitchell and Richard G. Danner;
- (15) Telephone conversation on March 19, 1970, from 6:20 to 6:25 p.m. and 6:27 to 6:30 p.m. between John Mitchell and John Ehrlichman.
- 3. The decision in July 1969 of the Attorney General or other officer or employee of the Department of Justice not to prosecute Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc., including the following meetings, conversations or contacts:
  - (1) Telephone conversation in May 1969 between Charles G. Rebozo and Attorney General John Mitchell;
  - (2) Telephone conversation or meeting between Richard Kleindienst, Deputy Attorney General, and Johnnie M. Walters, Assistant Attorney General for the Tax Division of the Department of Justice, between June 11 and June 13, 1969;

- (3) Meeting on June 13, 1969, at the Department of Justice including Richard Kleindienst, Johnnie M. Walters, Fred Ugast, and George Smathers;
- (4) Meeting on June 20, 1969, at the Department of Justice including John Mitchell, Richard Kleindienst, and Johnnie M. Walters;
- (5) Meeting on July 18, 1969, at the Department of Justice attended by Richard Kleindienst, Johnnie M. Walters and George Smathers.
- 4. The following financial transactions:
  - a. A loan in the amount of \$65,000 obtained on December 19, 1968, from the First National Bank of Miami payable January 20, 1969, at 7 percent interest, on the basis of a note executed by Charles G. Rebozo;
  - b. A loan of \$65,000 from Richard M. Nixon to Charles G. Rebozo as of March 12, 1973, payable after three years to Patricia Nixon Cox at 8 percent interest;
  - c. Loan from Richard M. Nixon to Charles Rebozo of December 27, 1972, in the amount of \$10,000.
  - d. Sale on December 28, 1972, of real estate belonging to Richard M. Nixon located in the Cape Florida Development at Key Biscayne, Florida, and the use of the proceeds therefrom;
  - e. The sale by Richard M. Nixon or his family of stock in Fisher's Island, Inc., and use of the proceeds therefrom;
  - f. The purchase, downpayment, financing, rental and use of the property at 7000 Armat Drive, Bethesda, Maryland;
  - g. Payment of \$5,000 by cashier's check dated June 28, 1972, drawn on the First National Bank of Miami payable to Harry Winston, Inc., a jeweler in New York City, and applied toward the purchase price of diamond platinum earrings ordered by or on behalf of Richard M. Nixon.

- 5. Acquisition, improvement, repairs, maintenance, alteration, or enlargement ordered by or at the instance of Charles G. Rebozo or paid for by Charles Rebozo or his agent of any real estate in which Richard M. Nixon holds or held a substantial ownership interest, including, but not limited to:
  - a. Lots at Cape Florida Developments, Key Biscayne, Florida;
  - b. 500 and 516 Bay Lane and other properties within the former Presidential Compound at Key Biscayne, Florida;
  - c. Improvements including, but not limited to, the construction of a swimming pool and pool cover at the residences at 500 and 516 Bay Lane, Key Biscayne, Florida;
  - d. Real estate at or near the Presidential Compound at San Clemente, California.
- 6. Any investment made by or at the instance of Charles G. Rebozo for the benefit, then, now, or at any time in the future, of Richard M. Nixon or any member of his family or any agent, friend, or associate, including, but not limited to, investments in real estate, certificates of deposit, precious gems or metals and currency, no matter in whose name such investments were or are held and no matter where.
- II. Various Investigations Relating to Political Contributions by Corporations and Individuals, and the Influence of Such Contributions on Governmental Action
  - All records which record, refer or relate in any way to:
    - 1. The following occurrences as they bear upon the milk price support decisions announced March 12 and March 25, 1971; the commencement, prosecution, or attempted settlement of an antitrust suit commenced by the Department of Justice against the Associated Milk Producers, Inc.; and, contributions received or expected to be received from the Associated Milk Producers, Inc.:
      - a. A meeting between John B. Connally and Richard M. Nixon beginning on or about 2:30 p.m. on March 16, 1971;
      - b. A telephone conversation between John B. Connally and Richard M. Nixon beginning on or about 11:45 a.m. on March 19, 1971;



- c. A meeting between John B. Connally and Richard M. Nixon beginning on or about 6:20 p.m. on March 18, 1971;
- d. A telephone conversation between Richard M. Nixon and John B. Connally on March 20, 1971;
- e. A telephone conversation between John B. Connally and Richard M. Nixon on March 22, 1971;
- f. A meeting among Richard M. Nixon, John Ehrlichman, John B. Connally, Clifford Hardin, John Whitaker, George Shultz, J.Phil Campbell, and Donald Rice on March 23, 1971, from 5:05 to 5:35 p.m., including a meeting at the conclusion thereof between Richard M. Nixon and John B. Connally;
- g. A Meeting between then-Attorney General Mitchell, H.R. Haldeman, Lee Nunn, and Richard M. Nixon on May 5, 1971;
- h. A meeting among Richard M. Nixon, H.R. Haldeman, and Charles W. Colson held on September 22, 1972, in the Oval Office between 8:58 and 9:08 a.m.;
- i. A meeting among Richard M. Nixon, H.R. Haldeman, and Charles W. Colson on September 29, 1972, in the Oval Office between 12:11 and 2:03 p.m., a meeting which Mr. Alexander Butterfield joined for the period 12:15 to 12:17 p.m.
- 2. Communications between or among Maurice H. Stans, H.R. Haldeman, John Ehrlichman, John N. Mitchell, Herbert W. Kalmbach, Peter M. Flanigan, Harry S. Dent, Rose Mary Woods, Charles W. Colson, and Richard M. Nixon concerning:
  - a. Any offer or request for governmental benefit favor or assistance to or by the following contributors or potential contributors or their representatives to the campaign of Richard M. Nixon for nomination or election to the Office of President at the 1972 election:

Abplanalp, Robert and/or Precision Valve Co.
Allen, Robert and/or Gulf Resources Corporation
Anderson, Robert and/or Atlantic Richfield Company
Ashley, Ted and/or Warner Communications, Inc.
Atkins, Orin and/or Ashland Oil Co.

Bobst, Elmer and/or Warner-Lambert Co. Fisher, Max

Fitzsimmons, Frank and/or the Internal Brotherhood of Teamsters Georgia Pacific Co.

Hall, Paul and/or Seafarer's International Union Hammer, Armand and/or Occidental Petroleum Co. Hess, Leon and the Amerada Hess Corporation Hoffa, James R.

Hoffman, Wayne and/or Flying Tiger Airlines Jones, Thomas F. and/or the Northrop Corporation Keeler, William and/or Phillips Petroleum Co. Kendall, Donald and/or the Pepsi-Cola Co. Kovens, Cal.

Kroc, Ray and/or the MacDonald Corporation Lawrence, Harding and/or Braniff Airlines Liedtke, William and Hugh and/or United Gas-Pennzoil Linder, Carl and/or the American Financial Corporati Pappas, Thomas

Perot, H.Ross and/or Electronic Data Systems, Inc. Rebozo, Charles G.

Rollins, John and/or Rollins International & Affiliated Companies

Scaife, Richard

Schreiber, Taft and/or Universal/MCA Corporation Six, Robert and/or Continental Airlines Smith, C. Arnholt

Spater, George and/or American Airlines Steinbrenner, George or the American Shipbuilding Company

Stone, Clement

Susquehanna Corporation

United Airlines and/or Edward E. Carlson Verity, William and/or ARMCO Steel Corporation Vesco, Robert

Wild, Claude or Gulf Oil Company

- b. Actual or proposed contributions by or from foreign nationals in connection with Richard M. Nixon's Presidential campaigns, including records relating to the solicitation, making, disposition, propriety, or legality of any such contributions.
- 3. Any plan, scheme, program, effort, organization or committee such as the so-called Townhouse Operation, which was to operate during the congressional campaign leading up the the election held on November 3, 1970, to raise and distribute funds in support of candidates for the United States House of Representatives or the United States Senate, and all records relating to the roles played in any such plan, scheme, program, organization or committee



by any of the following: Richard M. Nixon, Harry R. Haldeman, Harry S. Dent, Herbert W. Kalmbach, Jack A. Gleason, Murray Chotiner, Robert Finch, Donald Rumsfeld, Charles W. Colson, Lawrence M. Higby, Jeremiah Milbank, Bryce Harlow, Jeb S. Magruder, John A. Mulcahy, John Rollins, Thomas Evans, John Ehrlichman, John W. Dean, III, and Maurice H. Stans.

- 4. Consideration for Presidential appointment as an ambassador of the United States of the following individuals: Cornelius V. Whitney, Ruth Farkas, Vincent deRoulet, Anthony Angelos, Fife Symington, Jr., Kingdon Gould, Florenz Ourisman, Walter Annenberg, John Safer, Daniel Terra, and Anthony Marshall.
- 5. A proposed antitrust suit to be brought by the United States against the American Broadcasting Companies, Inc., Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc., and National Broadcasting Company, Inc., contained within the files of Charles W. Colson, John D. Ehrlichman, Herbert G. Klein, and Richard A. Moore.
- 6. The following meetings relating to the International Telephone and Telegraph Co.:
  - a. Meetings between and among John Mitchell, H.R. Haldeman, Robert Dole, and Richard M. Nixon on June 3, 1971;
  - b. Meetings between Charles Colson and Richard M. Nixon on March 18, 1972;
  - c. Meetings between and among Charles Colson, H.R. Haldeman and Richard M. Nixon on March 30, 1972;
  - d. Meetings between and among John Mitchell, H.R. Haldeman and Richard M. Nixon on April 4, 1972;
  - e. A meeting among John Ehrlichman, John Mitchell, George Shultz, John Connally, and Richard M. Nixon on May 11, 1971.



#### I. Tax Investigation as to Pre-Presidential Papers.

The requests pertaining to this investigation involve material related to the Grand Jury investigation of possible tax evasion and false statements regarding Mr. Nixon's tax deductions in connection with his gifts of pre-Presidential papers. This investigation was referred to this office by IRS with a delineation of five possible subjects other than Mr. Nixon.

All records prepared or produced between December 15, 1968, and August 1, 1970, and including but not limited to such papers as are in the files of Richard M. Nixon, John Ehrlichman, Edward L. Morgan, John W. Dean, III, Egil Krogh, Charles Stuart, Tod Hullin, Ken Cole, and/or Rosemary Woods, which in any way relate to the: (a) Plans of Richard M. Nixon to donate to the United States of America, and/or to transfer to the custody of the General Services Administration, pre-Presidential papers and/or other material in 1968 and/or 1969; (b) Preparation and/or signing of the joint Federal income tax returns of Richard M. Nixon for 1968 and/or 1969; (c) Gifts of papers allegedly made by Richard M. Nixon to the United States of America in 1968 and/or 1969; (d) Plans of Richard M. Nixon to donate pre-Presidential and/or Presidential papers to the United States of America by way of a will or other testamentary instrument; (e) Tax Reform Act of 1969 insofar as the Act provided for changes in the tax law relating to the deductibility of charitable contributions and/or the tax treatment of gifts of papers, including both papers written or produced before, and papers written or produced after, the enactment of that law in December 1969, and including particularly, but not limited to, all diaries and/or logs maintained by Mr. Bryce Harlow, from July 1, 1969, to December 31, 1969, and all relevant papers in Mr. Harlow's files; (f) Plans to establish a Nixon Foundation and/or plans to establish a Nixon Library; and (g) Plans of H.R. Haldeman to make a gift of various of his pre-Presidential papers to the United States of America in 1969, including particularly, but not limited to, such papers as are in the files of H.R. Haldeman and/or Lawrence M. Higby.



- 2. All calendar, diary and/or log notations, which in any way indicate any or all telephone calls and/or meetings had by Richard M. Nixon, John Ehrlichman, H.R. Haldeman, Edward L. Morgan, and/or Egil Krogh, with one another and/or with anyone else from December 10, 1968, to December 31, 1968; from April 7, 1969, to April 15, 1969; on May 6, 1969; from September 2, 1969, to September 4, 1969; from October 5, 1969, to October 7, 1969; from November 16, 1969, to November 20, 1969; on December 8, 1969; from December 15, 1969, to December 31, 1969; from February 1, 1970, to February 3, 1970; from March 1, 1970, to March 4, 1970; from March 12, 1970, to March 14, 1970; and from March 27, 1970, to April 24, 1970.
- All records which in any way reflect visits to the White House, the Western White House, and/or the Executive Office Building and/or reflect the person(s) so visited, by (a) Mr. Frank DeMarco on May 6, 1969; on September 3, 1969; from October 5, 1969, to October 7, 1969; from March 1, 1970, to March 4, 1970; from April 1, 1970, to April 3, 1970; and/or from April 9, 1970, to April 10, 1970; (b) Mr. Ralph Newman, from April 6, 1969, to April 11, 1969; from June 19, 1969, to June 22, 1969; from November 3, 1969, to November 4, 1969; from November 15, 1969, to November 22, 1969; from December 8, 1969, to December 14, 1969; from January 23, 1970, to January 27, 1970; from February 22, 1970, to February 28, 1970; from May 3, 1970, to May 4, 1970; and from July 19, 1970, to July 22, 1970; and (c) Mr. Herbert W. Kalmbach on May 6, 1969; on September 3, 1969; from January 1, 1970, to January 10, 1970; from April 1, 1970, to April 4, 1970; and from April 9, 1970, to April 12, 1970.

## II. Alleged Perjury During Gray Confirmation Hearings

This series of requests relates to the Grand Jury investigation of alleged perjury committed during the confirmation hearings of Mr. L. Patrick Gray as Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The alleged perjury relates to sworn statements regarding facts concerning the 17 national security wiretaps of various White House staff members and reporters from 1969 to 1971.

1. All records which in any way relate to the testimony of L. Patrick Gray, III, in his confirmation hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee in February and March 1973, concerning the FBI's operation of wiretaps

at the direction of the White House, and/or concerning the article in the March 5, 1973, issue of Time Magazine referring to White House directed electronic surveillance, including, but not limited to, all records which in any way relate to the following specific conversations and meetings:

- a. Telephone conversation between Mr. Ehrlichman and Richard M. Nixon from 4:11 to 4:15 p.m. on January 9, 1973;
- b. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon and Mr. Ehrlichman, from 4:50 to 5:43 p.m. on February 15, 1973;
- c. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon, Mr. Ehrlichman, and Mr. Gray from 9:08 to 9:38 a.m. on February 16, 1973;
- d. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman from 9:35 to 10:05 a.m. on February 23, 1973;
- e. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Kleindienst from 10:08 to 10:52 a.m. on February 23, 1973;
- f. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman from 2:48 to 3:40 p.m. on February 27, 1973;
- g. Meetings of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Dean on March 1, 1973, at (1) 9:18 to 9:46 a.m., (2) 10:36 to 10:44 a.m., (3) 1:06 to 1:14 p.m.;
- h. Telephone conversations of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Kleindienst on March 1, 1973, at 9:36 a.m. and from 10:52 to 10:56 a.m.;
- i. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Dean on March 7, 1973, from 8:53 to 9:16 a.m.

- 2. All records prepared, written, or produced at any time between May 2, 1972, and April 27, 1973, relating to conversations, correspondence, or contacts between Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Gray (a) concerning the FBI's operation of 17 wiretaps from May 1969 to February 1971, performed at White House direction, (b) concerning an FBI wiretap project from December 1971 to June 1972, investigating leaks to columnist Jack Anderson, performed at White House direction, and/or (c) concerning Mr. Egil Krogh, including, but not limited to, all records which in any way relate to the following specific conversations:
  - i. Telephone conversations between Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Gray at 3 p.m. on January 9, 1973;
  - ii. Telephone conversation between Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Gray at 12:57 p.m. on February 27, 1973.
- 3. All records that reflect visits or admissions to the White House and/or Executive Office Building between February 1, 1973, and April 27, 1973, by William C. Sullivan, and all records relating to meetings and/or conversations during that period of time between John Dean and William C. Sullivan or W. Mark Felt.
- 4. All records which in any way relate to press briefings conducted at the White House from on or about February 23, 1973, to on or about March 2, 1973, which in any way relate to the article in the March 5, 1973, issue of Time Magazine referring to White House directed electronic surveillance.

# III. Obstruction of Justice through Unauthorized Removal of FBI Records

This series of requests involves the Grand Jury investigation of the removal from the FBI to the White House of records concerning the above-mentioned national security wiretaps and alleged criminal concealment of those records from judicial authorities.

1. All records from the files of Messrs. Haldeman, Ehrlichman, Colson, Kissinger, Haig, Krogh, Young, Hunt, Liddy, Higby, Hullin, and Moore (Richard)

1

prepared from on or about June 13, 1971, until on or about December 1, 1971, referring to the 17 wiretaps operated by the FBI from May 1969 to February 1971, at White House direction, which relate in any way to:

- a. The concealment of the fact of this wiretap project, or any aspect thereof, from duly authorized officials of the Department of Justice; and,
- b. The movement, storage or handling at any time of the records of these wiretaps.
- 2. All records which relate in any way to the following specific conversations and meetings:
  - a. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Mitchell and Ehrlichman at approximately 3:45 p.m. on June 15, 1971;
  - b. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Kissinger, Ehrlichman and Haldeman at approximately 5:20 p.m. on June 17, 1971;
  - c. Telephone conversation between Messrs. Ehrlichman and Mitchell at approximately 9:55 a.m. on June 29, 1971;
  - d. Telephone conversation between Messrs. Ehrlichman and Mitchell at approximately 4:32 p.m. on June 29, 1971;
  - e. Telephone conversation between Richard M. Nixon and Mr. Mitchell at approximately 5:50 p.m. on June 29, 1971;
  - f. Meetings of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell from approximately 2:55 p.m. to 3:07 p.m., and from approximately 5:15 p.m. to 6:33 p.m. on June 30, 1971;
  - g. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Haldeman, Ehrlichman and Colson at approximately 10:50 a.m. on July 1, 1971;
  - h. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrichman on July 1, 1971;

- i. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Ehrlichman at approximately 5:35 p.m. on July 2, 1971;
- j. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Mitchell, Haldeman and Ehrlichman at approximately 11 a.m. on July 6, 1971;
- k. Meeting with Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Haldeman and Ehrlichman probably occurring at approximately 10:30 a.m. on July 9, 1971;
- 1. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Haldeman, Ehrlichman and Miss Woods on July 10, 1971;
- m. Meeting between Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Mardian at approximately 8:15 a.m. on July 12, 1971;
- n. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Haldeman, Ehrlichman and Mardian at approximately 11 a.m. on July 12, 1971;
- o. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman at approximately 11:15 a.m. on July 20, 1971;
- p. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell at approximately 3:30 p.m. on August 9, 1971;
- q. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman on August 11, 1971;
- r. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman at approximately 6 p.m. on August 19, 1971;
- s. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman on September 16, 1971;
- t. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Mitchell, Haldeman and Ehrlichman at approximately 12:15 p.m. on September 18, 1971;
- u. Telephone conversation of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell at approximately 3:30 p.m. on September 18, 1971;



- v. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell at approximately 10 a.m. on September 30, 1971;
- w. Telephone conversation of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell at approximately 12 noon on October 4, 1971;
- x. Telephone conversation of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell at approximately 11:05 a.m. and 11:11 a.m. on October 7, 1971;
- y. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Mitchell and Ehrlichman at approximately 10 a.m. on October 8, 1971;
- z. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Messrs. Ehrlichman and Helms at approximately 11 a.m. on October 8, 1971;
- aa. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman at approximately 12 noon on October 25, 1971;
- bb. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell at approximately 3:30 p.m. on October 27, 1971;
- cc. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Mitchell at possibly or about 4 p.m. on November 1, 1971;
- dd. Meeting of Richard M. Nixon with Mr. Ehrlichman at approximately 4:30 p.m. on November 2, 1971.
- 3. All records relating to telephone conversations between Richard M. Nixon and Director Hoover at the following times:
  - a. At approximately 5:33 p.m. on July 25, 1971;
  - b. At approximately 5:59 p.m. on July 1, 1971;
  - c. At approximately 5:35 p.m. on November 22, 1971.

4. All records relating to correspondence, probably dated in or about October-November 1971, between Director Hoover and any White House staff member, and/or Richard M. Nixon, in any way relating to the removal from FBI files of the records of the 17 wiretaps operated by the FBI from May 1969 to February 1971, at White House request.

#### IV. Illegal Use of IRS by White House Staff Members

This series of requests relates to the Grand Jury investigation of allegations concerning misuse of IRS information and other illegal uses of IRS by White House staff members as to Lawrence F. O'Brien.

- 1. All records prepared or written at any time between July 15, 1972, and November 15, 1972, which in any way relate to the financial and/or income tax affairs of Lawrence F. O'Brien and/or any persons or corporations with which Mr. O'Brien has been associated, including, but not limited to, Howard Hughes, McDonnel & Company, Claude De Sautels, Joseph Napolitan, Hughes Tool Company, Lawrence F. O'Brien, Assoc., Dukor Industries, Inc., and/or George Bissel.
- 2. All records which in any way relate to the following specific conversations and meetings:
  - a. Telephone conversations on August 29, 1972, at approximately 11 a.m. and 6:50 p.m. between Mr. Ehrlichman and Secretary of the Treasury George Shultz and a telephone conversation at 4:16 p.m., September 5, 1972, between Messrs. Shultz and Ehrlichman;
  - b. A meeting in the Oval Office at the White House between Richard M. Nixon, Mr. Ehrlichman, Mr. Haldeman, and Mr. Rebozo, from 12:58 to 1:15 p.m. on August 29, 1972; and,
  - c. A meeting in the Oval Office at the White House between Richard M. Nixon, Secretary Shultz, and Mr. Ehrlichman, from 10:41 a.m. to 11:50 a.m. on September 7, 1972;

- d. All meetings between Richard M. Nixon and H.R. Haldeman only in the Oval Office at the White House on September 15, 1972.
- 3. All records that reflect visits or admissions to the White House and/or Executive Office Building and the persons and/or offices visited between July 15, 1972, and November 15, 1972, by any of the following individuals: Roger V. Barth, Mike DiMichele, Otha Hamer, Clyde Wise.
- 4. All records that reflect telephone calls which John Ehrlichman had between July 15, 1972, and November 15, 1972, to or from Roger V. Barth.

#### V. Other Possible Requests

There are other matters under investigation by the Plumbers Task Force. Complete investigation of these matters might require us to request additional materials in the future. Following is a brief description of these investigations and a description of the types of documents which it would possibly be necessary to request.

Investigation of the allegations that from about June 1, 1971, to March 15, 1972, White House staff members solicited information from IRS officials, particularly from Vernon D. Acree, then Assistant Commissioner (Inspection), for political purposes. It might prove necessary to request papers prepared during this period and located in the files maintained on behalf of Messrs. John Ehrlichman, John Dean, and Jack Caulfield. Such searches could be expedited by identifying the persons and/or organizations concerning whom tax information was sought. In addition, it might prove necessary to request the diaries and/or calendars of Messrs. Ehrlichman, Caulfield and Dean, for this time period and to request, as well, entry logs for the White House and Executive Office Building.



2. Investigation of an assault upon Daniel Ellsberg and other anti-war demonstrators occurring at the Capitol on May 3, 1972, and perpetrated by various of the persons involved in the June 1972 Watergate break-in and the September 1971 break-in of Ellsberg's psychiatrist, Dr. Fielding. Evidence concerning this incident establishes the involvement of various White House personnel then serving on the staff of Charles Colson. Accordingly, it

might prove necessary to request papers relating to this assault prepared during the period from approximately April 20, 1972, to May 31, 1972, and located in the files of Mr. Colson or in the files of various of his staff members. A check of the White House and Executive Office Building entry logs for this period of time could also be necessary.

- 3. Investigation of the so-called "responsiveness program," particularly, the allegations that grant programs involving the funding of organizations for the elderly were manipulated for political purposes. Evidence establishes that one Llewellyn "Bud" Evans, a member of Mr. Colson's staff, was in charge of this operation. It might prove necessary to request various of Mr. Evans' files for 1972 and his diaries and appointment calendars for the year.
- 4. Investigation of allegations that from late August to November 1972, White House officials interfered with Post Office Department work for political purposes. It might prove necessary to request a search of Mr. Ehrlichman's files for this time period.
- 5. Investigation of the initiation, operation and termination of the 17 national security wiretaps of various White House staff members and reporters from 1969-1971, referred to above. Further investigation of this wiretap project itself might be required both for purposes of establishing evidence relevant to the obstruction of justice investigation (III, supra), and for purposes of determining whether or not witnesses who already have testified about the project are guilty of perjury. If so, it would probably prove necessary only to request narrowly-defined classes of documents from the files of Messrs. Haldeman, Haig, and Kissinger, prepared or written at specific times.

