

The original documents are located in Box 20, folder “President - Transportation Costs” of the Loen and Leppert Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 3, 1975

Dear Mr. Curtis:

This is in response to Notice 1975-38 (F.R. 40202) in which the Federal Election Commission has sought comments concerning a request from the campaign manager for Mr. Louis Wyman for an opinion of the FEC General Counsel on several questions relating to possible travel by "President Ford and former Governor Reagan" to New Hampshire for the purpose of endorsing Mr. Wyman in the September 16, 1975, special Senatorial election. The General Counsel has proposed for Commission review an opinion responding to this request which states, in part, as follows:

"Presidential expenditures in connection with such a visit provide unique problems of attribution. It would be illogical, and unnecessarily restrictive, to require the attribution of the actual cost of a presidential campaign foray. Hence, only the equivalent commercial rates will be chargeable against an incumbent President's individual contribution limitations and against the candidate's overall expenditure limitation. Expenses for accompanying staff personnel will be charged against the foregoing limitations only if such staff personnel serve primarily as advance persons or other campaign staff members and do not provide support services to the Office of the President. Additionally, special costs attendant upon Ford's office as President, such as the Secret Service, police and medical attention, are not to be included within this amount. These costs are relatively fixed and are related to Ford's position as President and not to his political function as head of his party."



In the form of comment on this one provision, we wish to bring to your attention the manner in which we intend to apportion the various costs incurred to operate government-owned aircraft on which the President and accompanying government personnel travel to and from localities where the President appears for other than official purposes. As the General Counsel's proposed opinion indicates, expenditures for such travel by the President present problems that are unique to his Federal office, in that the President must continue to perform in his official capacity at the same time he undertakes political activities.

For this reason, whenever the President travels, regardless of the purpose of the particular trip, he is accompanied by a number of persons who are present to support him in his official role. For example, certain members of the White House staff, military aides, medical aides, Secret Service and communications personnel are present not for any political purpose, but solely to provide the President with support which in many cases they are required by law to perform. The Secret Service, in particular, is required by P.L. 90-331 to provide protection to "major Presidential and Vice Presidential" candidates at the direction of the Secretary of the Treasury and on the basis of consultation with an advisory committee of bipartisan congressional membership.

(1) Costs of Operating Government-Owned Aircraft
on Political Trips

When the President travels on a trip which entails only political stops, the cost of operating the Government-owned aircraft that are used to transport the President can be readily determined from the enclosed hourly rate schedule, used by the Department of Defense to recover its costs from other government agencies that use military aircraft. In our view, the costs of transporting any persons aboard the aircraft who are traveling for political purposes should be borne by the appropriate political committee. On the other hand, the costs of transporting those persons who are traveling for the purpose of supporting the Office of the President should not be attributed to a political committee.

For the purpose of the President's future travels, we will identify those individuals who could be considered to be present for a



political purpose. We plan to treat as political travelers the President and First Family, political committee officials, certain White House and other officials, who may perform some political activities, and any other persons whose activities could be viewed as political. Although White House officials are present for official support activities, and generally spend a substantial majority, if not all, of their time on official business, we intend to consider the following categories of officials to be political for the purpose of such travel: White House officials who may advise on political matters (e.g., Donald Rumsfeld, Robert Hartmann, John Marsh, Ron Nessen, Richard Cheney, etc.), speechwriters, advance men, and a White House photographer.

The remainder of the White House personnel is present for the purpose of supporting the President in his official capacity, e.g., a civilian aide or personal secretary, along with non-White House support personnel, e.g., the Secret Service, military aides, medical and communications personnel, etc. They are not present for any political purpose, and the costs of their travel should not be attributed to a political committee. In this regard, it is our understanding that in 1972 the Secret Service paid up to the cost of comparable first-class airfare for its agents traveling on board chartered aircraft of non-incumbent Presidential candidates.

Therefore, on future Presidential travel the appropriate political committee will be charged by DOD for its pro rata share of the hourly costs of using government-owned aircraft, based on the percentage of the passengers on board who are present mainly or in part for a political purpose.

(2) Costs of Operating Government-Owned Aircraft on Mixed Official-Political Trips

In most cases, it is not possible to schedule the President's travel in a manner that will allow trips to be solely official or solely political. We believe that the best formula for apportioning the transportation costs on mixed official-political purpose trips is one which may be referred to as the "round trip airfare formula." Under this formula, the political stops are



isolated from the official stops in order to establish the political trip that would have been made if the President did not have the responsibilities of his office. For this purpose, where a particular stop includes both official and political events, it will be treated as a political stop. A stop will be regarded as official when that is its main purpose, even though the President may meet, incidental to the official event, with political figures in an informal and unpublicized meeting, e.g., a private breakfast with a local political figure or greeting a small group of local politicians.

Once the political stops of such a trip have been determined, DOD calculates the cost of that "political" trip and charges the appropriate political committee for its share, as described above, of the costs of the trip, based on the round trip flying time between the initial point of departure, generally, Washington, D.C., and the political stops made. An example might help to clarify this approach. Suppose the President makes a trip from Washington to San Francisco for official purposes, then to Los Angeles for political purposes, and returns to Washington via St. Louis where a stop is made for official purposes. Under this formula, the appropriate political committee is charged for its pro rata share of the hourly costs of a trip from Washington to Los Angeles and return to Washington, even though there was no direct Washington to Los Angeles leg of the flight.

(3) Other Travel Costs

In order to assure that all costs related to the political portion of a trip are treated as political costs, the appropriate political committee will be charged the expenses for each political stop of any member of the Presidential party who is present mainly or in part for a political purpose, as determined above. Thus, political funds will pay the expenses of the President and these other officials, but not the expenses of those persons who are present to support the President entirely in his official capacity.

Such items as communications arrangements, motorcades, automobile rentals, and other miscellaneous items are readily identifiable as to their purpose, and are to be paid by the appropriate political committee when they are for political purposes.



Where an item, such as the cost of a bus for a motorcade involves a mixed purpose, e.g., transporting the members of the Presidential party who are considered to be present for a political purpose, and also those serving the President in his official capacity, the appropriate political committee will bear the full cost of that item.

In every case where a candidate for Federal office is an incumbent, either in an office to which he seeks re-election or in another office, his campaign activities may become intermingled with his official activities, and similar problems will arise in ascertaining which costs he incurs are campaign-related. The proposals herein made provide a reasonable method for resolving such problems.

(4) Services of Government Personnel

For the purpose of identifying the costs of travel to be borne by the appropriate political committee, we understand that it is not necessary to apportion the salaries of those members of the personal staffs of incumbent candidates for Federal office within either the Executive or Legislative Branches who, in addition to their official duties, also participate in some limited political activities. For example, employees "paid from the appropriation for the office of the President" are exempted by 5 U.S.C. 7324(d)(1) from the general prohibition contained in 5 U.S.C. 7324(a)(2) against Executive Branch employees participating in "political management or in political campaigns." This section effectively places the White House staff in a position comparable to that of the personal staffs of members of Congress.

No precise dividing line now exists, nor is one likely to be drawn, which clearly indicates when such employees are performing official duties and when those duties are political. So long as these employees expend a substantial majority (an average in excess of forty hours per week) of their time on official duties, there is no need to attribute any portion of the salaries of such employees to a political committee.


The reason for this letter is to bring to the Commission's attention the means by which we intend to attribute to a political committee the costs of the President's travel for purposes of support of the



Republican Party, support of specific candidates, or support of his own candidacy. To the extent this treatment may be different from that proposed by the General Counsel, we do not imply that a change need be made in the proposed opinion of such counsel. Rather we believe that the proposed opinion is consistent with the requirements of the applicable law and that if a more liberal attribution of expenses is made to a political committee such is within a candidate's discretion.

We intend to now implement with respect to future travel by the President, this treatment for attribution of such travel costs. We would appreciate very much any comments or suggestions the Commission may think are appropriate to make with respect to our treatment of the President's travel costs.

Sincerely,



Philip W. Buchen
Counsel to the President

The Honorable Thomas B. Curtis
Chairman
Federal Election Commission
Washington, D.C. 20463



27000 (Air Force One) (VC-137C)

Cost per hour: \$2,206.00

Passengers: Approximately 50

26000 (Air Force One backup) VC-137C)

Cost per hour: \$2,206.00

Passengers: Approximately 50

Jet Star (VC-140)

Cost per hour: \$ 889.00

Passengers: 8

White Top Helicopter (VH-3A)

Cost per hour: \$ 723.00

Passengers: 12

Huey Helicopter (VH-IN)

Cost per hour: \$ 262.00

Passengers: 8



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 19, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

JACK MARSH
MAX FRIEDERSDORF

FROM:

CHARLES LEPPERT, JR. *CLJ.*

SUBJECT:

Rep. Frank Horton (R. - N. Y.)

I followed up on your request with Rep. Frank Horton on the questions relating to the inquiry underway in the House Government Operations Committee.

Horton requests that when further information is available that he be informed as he would discuss the matter with Rep. Jack Brooks.



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

June 16, 1976

JUN 17 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

MAX FRIEDERSDORF

✓ CHARLIE LEPPERT

FROM:

JACK MARSH 

The attached relates to an inquiry currently underway by the House Government Operations Committee.

Bill Gulley and I met with Jack Brooks and key staffers this afternoon. I advised Frank Horton of the general subject matter prior to the meeting and there is some follow-up necessary, particularly with Frank.

I would like to discuss this with the both of you as to the next steps.

Many thanks.



QUESTIONS:

1. How many helicopters are assigned to the White House?
2. What general mission is performed by the helicopters?
3. How many men are assigned to this unit?
4. How much money is involved in supporting this function?
5. What general guidelines exist to ^{govern} ~~cover~~ the utilization of these helicopters?
6. Who has access to these helicopters (who can use them)?
7. What kind of records do you keep--flight manifests, names of passengers, etc.
8. Who supervises the use of helicopters?
9. ~~When~~ helicopters are utilized in the course of "campaigning" what procedures do you have to secure reimbursement?
10. Who determines the charges associated with the campaign use of White House helicopters?



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

June 22, 1976

JUN 22 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: JACK MARSH
DICK CHENEY
JIM CONNOR
MAX FRIEDERSDORF
BARRY ROTH
CHARLIE LEPPERT ✓
BILL GULLEY

FROM: RUSS ROURKE *Rourke*

Attached are the composite responses to Jack Brooks' inquiries re helicopters. The responses have been coordinated with and cleared by Ed Schmults, Barry Roth and Bill Gulley.

Charlie Leppert will provide Rep. Frank Horton with a copy of the attached Q & A's. Based on the content of the attached responses, it is hoped that Rep. Horton will intercede with Jack Brooks and the House Government Operations Committee staff in an effort to obviate the necessity of another personal appearance on the Hill by Bill Gulley.

6/23-
CL delivered to Reps. Brooks and Horton



QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS FOR CONGRESSMAN BROOKS

1. How many helicopters are assigned to the White House?

A. Helicopters presently assigned by Unit:

Marine Corps	8 VH3
	3 VHIN

Army	3 VH3
	3 VHIN

On 1 July 1976 the Army unit will be decommissioned and the Marine Corps will then have a total package of 8 VH3, and 5 VHIN helicopters.

2. What general mission is performed by the helicopters?

A. To provide emergency evacuation for certain government officials, to provide transportation for the President and Vice President and visiting foreign heads of state who are in the United States officially.

3. How many men are assigned to this Unit?

A. Army	23 Officers
	96 Enlisted
	<u>9 Civilians</u>
	128 Total

Marine Corps	2 Officers
	148 Enlisted
	<u>1 Civilian</u>
	151 Total

B. As of 1 July 1976 the Army support personnel noted above will be eliminated. The only change in the Marine Corps figure will be an increase from 2 Officers to 7 Officers.

4. How much money is involved in supporting this function?

A. It is anticipated that the operations cost for the Army unit for FY 76 will be \$334,300.00.

It is anticipated that the operations cost for the Marine Corps unit for FY 76 will be \$1,027,600.00.



The difference in the operating cost of the two units is that all maintenance for both units is paid for by the Marine Corps unit.

5. What general guidelines exist to govern the utilization of these helicopters?

A. A certain number of the helicopters are dedicated at all times for emergency evacuation purposes. In addition, helicopters are provided to the President and Vice President (1) normally on trips that the Secret Service feels the need of the added security that the helicopters have over automobiles, and (2) to maximize the time that can be spent working rather than in transit.

All missions assigned to helicopters must be approved by the Military Office at the White House. Security is also the prime concern in assigning helicopters to transport foreign heads of state.

6. Who has access to these helicopters (who can use them)?

A. Excluding emergency requirements, the President, the Vice President, foreign heads of state and others as may be approved by the Chief of Staff at the White House, such as Congressional and other senior government officials.

7. What kind of records do you keep -- flight manifests, names of passengers, etc.

A. Aircraft maintenance records and charts are maintained by the individual units. Flight manifests of all Presidential flights become a permanent part of Presidential records. Other manifests are retained for a period of 30 days.

8. Who supervises the use of helicopters?

A. Mr. W.L. Gulley



9 & 10. If helicopters are utilized in the course of "campaigning" what procedures do you have to secure reimbursement and who determines the charges associated with the campaign use of White House helicopters?

A. The charges for use of helicopters during campaign trips are calculated by the Military Office at the White House based on the standard military-wide rate for operating aircraft of that type and in accordance with the letter of Philip W. Buchen, Counsel to the President, dated September 3, 1975, to the Federal Election Commission (copy attached). Information identifying which persons are aboard for political purposes is provided to the Military Office by the Advance Office.

Bills for helicopter use during campaign trips are submitted by the Military Office at the White House to the President Ford Committee, the national committee of either political party, or other appropriate political committee as the case may be. Checks are made payable to the Treasury of the United States and are subsequently forwarded to the Department of Defense for deposit.



June 23, 1976

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Attached pursuant to your request is the
information you requested.

Sincerely,

Charles Leppert, Jr.
Deputy Assistant
to the President

Honorable Jack Brooks
Chairman
Committee on Government Operations
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

Attach.

CL:nd

cc: ^{Cong.} Frank Horton



June 23, 1976

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Attached pursuant to your request is the
information you requested.

Sincerely,

Charles Leppert, Jr.
Deputy Assistant
to the President

Honorable Jack Brooks
Chairman
Committee on Government Operations
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

Attach.

CL:nd

cc: Cong. Frank Horton

