The original documents are located in Box 15, folder "President - Economic and Energy Proposals (2)" of the Robert T. Hartmann Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

#### **Copyright Notice**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

EMBARGOED FOR RELEASE UNTIL 1:00 P.M., EST

JANUARY 15, 1975

EMBARGOED FOR WIRE TRANSMISSION UNTIL 10:00 A.M., EST

Office of the White House Press Secretary

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

v.a. ! ·

TO THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES:

Twenty-six years ago, a freshman Congressman, a young fellow, with lots of idealism who was out to change the world, stood before Speaker Sam Rayburn in the well of this House and solemnly swore to the same oath you took yesterday. That is an unforgettable experience, and I congratulate you all.

Two days later, that same freshman sat in the back row as President Truman, all charged up by his single-handed election victory, reported as the Constitution requires on the State of the Union.

When the bipartisan applause stopped, President Truman said:

"I am happy to report to this Eighty-first Congress that the State of the Union is good. Our Nation is better able than ever before to meet the needs of the American people and to give them their fair chance in the pursuit of happiness. It is foremost among the nations of the world in the search for peace."

Today, that freshman Member from Michigan stands where Mr. Truman stood and I must say to you that the State of the Union is not good.

Millions of Americans are out of work. Recession and inflation are eroding the money of millions more. Prices are too high and sales are too slow.

more

This year's Federal deficit will be about \$30 billion; next year's probably \$45 billion. The national debt will rise to over 8500 billion.

Our plant capacity and productivity are not increasing fast enough. We depend on others for essential energy.

Some people question their government's ability to make the hard decisions and stick with them. They expect Washington politics as usual.

Yet, what President Truman said on January 5, 1949, is even more true in 1975.

We are better able to meet the peoples' needs.

All Americans do have a fairer chance to pursue happiness. Not only are we still the foremost nation in pursuit of peace, but today's prospects of attaining it are infinitely brighter.

There were 59,000,000 Americans employed at the start of 1949. Now there are more than 85,000,000 Americans who have jobs. In comparable dollars, the average income of the American family has doubled during the past 26 years.

Now, I want to speak very bluntly. I've got bad news, and I don't expect any applause. The American people want action and it will take both the Congress and the President to give them what they want. Progress and solutions can be achieved. And they will be achieved.

My message today is not intended to address all the complex needs of America. I will send separate messages making specific recommendations for domestic legislation, such as General Revenue Sharing and the extension of the Voting Rights Act.

The moment has come to move in a new direction. We can do this by fashioning a new partnership between the Congress, the White House and the people we both represent.

Let us mobilize the most powerful and creative industrial nation that ever existed on this earth to put all our people to work. The emphasis of our economic efforts must now shift from inflation to jobs.

To bolster business and industry and to create new jobs, I propose a one-year tax reduction of \$16 billion. Three-quarters would go to individuals and one-quarter to promote business investment.

This cash rebate to individuals amounts to 12 percent of 1974 tax payments -- a total cut of \$12 billion, with a maximum of \$1,000 per return.

I call today on the Congress to act by April 1. If you do, the Treasury can send the first check for half the rebate in May and the second by September.

The other one-fourth of the cut, about \$4 billion, will go to businesses, including farms, to promote expansion and create more jobs. The one-year reduction for businesses would be in the form of a liberalized investment tax credit increasing the rate to 12 percent for all businesses.

This tax cut does not include the more fundamental reforms needed in our tax system. But it points us in the right direction -- allowing us as taxpayers rather than the Government to spend our pay.

Cutting taxes, now, is essential if we are to turn the economy around. A tax cut offers the best hope of creating more jobs. Unfortunately, it will increase the size of the budget deficit. Therefore, it is more important than ever that we take steps to control the growth of Federal expenditures.

Part of our trouble is that we have been self-indulgent. For decades, we have been voting ever-increasing levels of Government benefits -- and now the bill has come due. We have been adding so many new programs that the size and growth of the Federal budget has taken on a life of its own.

One characteristic of these programs is that their cost increases automatically every year because the number of people eligible for most of these benefits increases every year. When these programs are enacted, there is no dollar amount set. No one knows what they will cost. All we know is that whatever they cost last year, they will cost more next year.

It is a question of simple arithmetic. Unless we check the excessive growth of Federal expenditures or impose on ourselves matching increases in taxes, we will continue to run huge inflationary deficits in the Federal budget.

If we project the current built-in momentum of Federal spending through the next 15 years, Federal, State, and local government expenditures could easily comprise half of our gross national product. This compares with less than a third in 1975.

I am now in the process of preparing the budget submissions for fiscal year 1976. In that budget, I will
propose legislation to restrain the growth of a number of
existing programs. I have also concluded that no new
spending programs can be initiated this year, except those
for energy. Further, I will not hesitate to veto any new
spending programs adopted by the Congress.

As an additional step toward putting the Federal government's house in order, I recommend a five percent limit on Federal pay increases in 1975. In all Government programs tied to the consumer price index — including social security, civil service and military retirement pay, and food stamps — I also propose a one-year maximum increase of 5 percent.

None of these recommended ceiling limitations, over which the Congress has final authority, are easy to propose, because in most cases they involve anticipated payments to many deserving people. Nonetheless, it must be done. I must emphasize that I am not asking you to eliminate, reduce or freeze these payments. I am merely recommending that we slow down the rate at which these payments increase and these programs grow.

Only a reduction in the growth in spending can keep Federal borrowing down and reduce the damage to the private sector from high interest rates. Only a reduction in spending can make it possible for the Federal Reserve System to avoid an inflationary growth in the money supply and thus restore balance to our economy. A major reduction in the growth of Federal spending can help to dispel the uncertainty that so many feel about our economy, and put us on the way to curing our economic ills.

If we do not act to slow down the rate of increase in Federal spending, the United States Treasury will be legally obligated to spend more than \$360 billion in Fiscal Year 1976 -- even if no new programs are enacted. These are not matters of conjecture or prediction, but again of simple arithmetic. The size of these numbers and their implications for our everyday life and the health of our economic system are shocking.

I submitted to the last Congress a list of budget deferrals and recisions. There will be more cuts recommended in the budget I will submit. Even so, the level of outlays for fiscal year 1976 is still much too high. Not only is it too high for this year but the decisions we make now inevitably have a major and growing impact on expenditure levels in future years. This is a fundamental issue we must jointly solve.

1 (3)

The economic disruption we and others are experiencing stems in part from the fact that the world price of petroleum has quadrupled in the last year. But we cannot put all of the blame on the oil-exporting nations. We in the United States are not blameless. Our growing dependence upon foreign sources has been adding to our vulnerability for years and we did nothing to prepare ourselves for an event such as the embargo of 1973.

During the 1960s, this country had a surplus capacity of crude oil, which we were able to make available to our trading partners whenever there was a disruption of supply. This surplus capacity enabled us to influence both supplies and prices of crude oil throughout the world. Our excess capacity neutralized any effort at establishing an effective cartel, and thus the rest of the world was assured of adequate supplies of oil at reasonable prices.

In the 1960s, our surplus capacity vanished and, as a consequence, the latent power of the oil cartel could emerge in full force. Europe and Japan, both heavily dependent on imported oil, now struggle to keep their economies in balance. Even the United States, which is far more self-sufficient than most other industrial countries, has been put under serious pressure.

I am proposing a program which will begin to restore our country's surplus capacity in total energy. In this way, we will be able to assure ourselves reliable and adequate energy and help foster a new world energy stability for other major consuming nations.

But this Nation and, in fact, the world must face the prospect of energy difficulties between now and 1985. This program will impose burdens on all of us with the aim of reducing our consumption of energy and increasing production. Great attention has been paid to considerations of fairness and I can assure you that the burdens will not fall more harshly on those less able to bear them.

I am recommending a plan to make us invulnerable to cut-offs of foreign oil. It will require sacrifices. But it will work.

I have set the following national energy goals to assure that our future is as secure and productive as our past:

-- First, we must reduce oil imports by 1 million barrels per day by the end of this year and by 2 million barrels per day by the end of 1977.

-- Second, we must end vulnerability to economic disruption by foreign suppliers by 1985.

the fig. I want to be a first to the same

-- Third, we must develop our energy technology and resources so that the United States has the ability to supply a significant share of the energy needs of the Free World by the end of this century.

To attain these objectives, we need immediate action to cut imports. Unfortunately, in the short-term there are only a limited number of actions which can increase domestic supply. I will press for all of them.

I urge quick action on legislation to allow commercial production at the Elk Hills, California, Naval Petroleum Reserve. In order that we make greater use of domestic coal resources, I am submitting amendments to the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act which will greatly increase the number of power plants that can be promptly converted to coal.

Voluntary conservation continues to be essential, but tougher programs are also needed -- and needed now. Therefore, I am using Presidential powers to raise the fee on all imported crude oil and petroleum products. Crude oil fee levels will be increased \$1 per barrel on February 1, by \$2 per barrel on March 1 and by \$3 per barrel on April 1. I will take action to reduce undue hardship on any geographical region. The foregoing are interim administrative actions. They will be rescinded when the necessary legislation is enacted.

To that end, I am requesting the Congress to act within 90 days on a more comprehensive energy tax program. It includes:

- Excise taxes and import fees totalling \$2 per barrel on product imports and on all crude oil.
  - -- Deregulation of new natural gas and enactment of a natural gas excise tax.
  - -- Enactment of a windfall profits tax by April 1 to ensure that oil producers do not profit unduly. At the same time I plan to take Presidential initiative to decontrol the price of domestic crude oil on April 1.

The sooner Congress acts, the more effective the oil conservation program will be and the quicker the Federal revenues can be returned to our people.

I am prepared to use Presidential authority to limit imports, as necessary, to assure the success of this program.

I want you to know that before deciding on my energy conservation program, I considered rationing and higher gasoline taxes as alternatives. Neither would achieve the desired results and both would produce unacceptable inequities.

A massive program must be initiated to increase energy supply, cut demand and provide new standby emergency programs to achieve the independence we want by 1985. The largest part of increased oil production must come from new frontier areas on the Outer Continental Shelf and from the Naval Petroleum Reserve No. In Alaska. It is the intention of this Administration to rove ahead with exploration, leasing and production on those frontier areas of the Outer Continental Shelf where the environmental risks are acceptable.

Use of our most abundant domestic resource -- coal -is severely limited. We must strike a reasonable compromise
on environmental concerns with coal. I am submitting Clean
Air Act amendments which will allow greater coal use without sacrificing our clean air goals.

I vetoed the strip mining legislation passed by the last Congress. With appropriate changes, I will sign a revised version into law.

I am proposing a number of actions to energize our nuclear power program. I will submit legislation to expedite nuclear licensing and the rapid melection of sites.

In recent months, utilities have cancelled or postponed over 60 percent of planned nuclear expansion and 30 percent of planned additions to non-nuclear capacity. Financing problems for that industry are growing worse. I am therefore recommending that the one year investment tax credit of 12 percent be extended an additional two years to specifically speed the construction of power plants that do not use natural gas or oil. I am also submitting proposals for selective changes in State utility commission regulations.

To provide the critical stability for our domestic energy production in the face of world price uncertainty, I will request legislation to authorize and require tariffs, import quotas or price floors to protect our energy prices at levels which will achieve energy independence.

Increasing energy supplies is not enough. We must also take additional steps to cut long-term consumption. I therefore propose:

- -- Legislation to make thermal efficiency standards mandatory for all new buildings in the United States. These standards would be set after appropriate consultation with architects, builders and labor.
- -- A new tax credit of up to \$150 for those home owners who install insulation equipment.
- -- The establishment of an energy conservation program to help low income families purchase insulation supplies.
- -- Legislation to modify and defer automotive pollution standards for 5 years to enable us to improve new automobile gas mileage 40 percent by 1980.

These proposals and actions, cumulatively, can reduce our dependence on foreign energy supplies to 3-5 million barrels per day by 1985. To make the United States invulnerable to foreign disruption, I propose standby emergency legislation and a strategic storage program of 1 billion barrels of oil for domestic needs and 300 million barrels for defense purposes.

I will ask for the funds needed for energy research and development activities. I have established a goal of 1 million barrels of synthetic fuels and shale oil production per day by 1985 together with an incentive program to achieve 1t.

I believe in America's capabilities. Within the next ten years, my program envisions:

- -- 200 major nuclear power plants,
- -- 250 major new coal mines,
- -- 150 major coal-fired power plants,
- -- 30 major new oil refineries,

- -- 20 major new synthetic fuel plants,
- -- the drilling of many thousands of new oil wells,
- -- the insulation of 18 million homes,
- and construction of millions of new automobiles, trucks and buses that use much less fuel.

We can do it. In another crisis -- the one in 1942 -- President Franklin D. Roosevelt said this country would build 60,000 aircraft. By 1943, production had reached 125,000 airplanes annually.

If the Congress and the American people will work with me to attain these targets, they will be achieved and surpassed.

From adversity, let us seize opportunity. Revenues of some \$30 billion from higher energy taxes designed to encourage conservation must be refunded to the American people in a manner which corrects distortions in our tax system wrought by inflation.

People have been pushed into higher tax brackets by inflation with a consequent reduction in their actual spending power. Business taxes are similarly distorted because inflation exaggerates reported profits resulting in excessive taxes.

Accordingly, I propose that future individual income taxes be reduced by \$16.5 billion. This will be done by raising the low income allowance and reducing tax rates. This continuing tax cut will primarily benefit lower and middle income taxpayers.

For example, a typical family of four with a gross income of \$5,600 now pays \$185 in Federal income taxes. Under this tax cut plan, they would pay nothing. A family of four with a gross income of \$12,500 now pays \$1,260 in Federal taxes. My plan reduces that by \$310. Families grossing \$20,000 would receive a reduction of \$210.

Those with the very lowest incomes, who can least afford higher costs, must also be compensated. I propose a payment of \$80 to every person 18 years of age and older in that category.

State and local governments will receive \$2 billion in additional revenue sharing to offset their increased energy costs.

To offset inflationary distortions and to generate more economic activity, the corporate tax rate will be reduced from 48 percent to 42 percent.

Now, let me turn to the international dimension of the present crisis. At no time in our peacetime history has the state of the Nation depended more heavily on the state of the world. And seldom if ever has the state of the world depended more heavily on the state of our Nation.

The economic distress is global. We will not solve it at home unless we help to remedy the profound economic dislocation abroad. World trade and momentary structure provides markets, energy, food and vital raw materials —for all nations. This international system is now in jeopardy.

This Nation can be proud of significant achievements in recent years in solving problems and crises. The Berlin Agreement, the SALT agreements, our new relationship with China, the unprecedented efforts in the Middle East -- are immensely encouraging. But the world is mot free from crisis. In a world of 150 nations, where nuclear technology is proliferating and regional conflicts cuntinue, international security cannot be taken for gramed.

'So let there be no mistake about it: international cooperation is a vital fact of our lives today. This is not a moment for the American people to turn inward. More than ever before, our own well-being depends on America's determination and leadership in the world.

We are a great Nation -- spiritually, politically, militarily, diplomatically and economically. America's commitment to international security has sustained the safety of allies and friends in many areas -- in the Middle East, in Europe, in Asia. Our turning away would unleash new instabilities and dangers around the globe which would, in turn, threaten our own security.

At the end of World War II, we turned a similar challenge into an historic achievement. An old order was in disarray; political and economic institutions were shattered. In that period, this Nation and its partners built new institutions, new mechanisms of mutual support and cooperation. Today, as then, we face an historic opportunity. If we act, imaginatively and boldly, as we acted then, this period will in retrospect be seen as one of the great creative moments of our history.

The whole world is watching to see how we respond.

A resurgent American economy would do more to restore the confidence of the world in its own future than anything else we can do. The program that this Congress will pass can demonstrate to the world that we have started to put our own house in order. It can show that this Nation is able and willing to help other nations meet the common challenge. It can demonstrate that the United States will fulfill its responsibility as a leader among nations.

At stake is the future of the industrialized democracies, which have perceived their destiny in common and sustained it in common for 30 years.

The developing nations are also at a turning point. The poorest nations see their hopes of feeding their hungry and developing their societies shattered by the economic crisis. The long-term economic future for the producers of raw materials also depends on cooperative solutions.

Our relations with the Communist countries are a basic factor of the world environment. We must seek to build a long-term basis for coexistence. We will stand by our principles and our interests; we will act firmly when challenged. The kind of world we want depends on a broad policy of creating mutual incentives for restraint and for cooperation.

As we move forward to meet our global challenges and opportunities, we must have the tools to do the job.

Our military forces are strong and ready. This military strength deters aggression against our allies, stabilizes our relations with former adversaries and protects our homeland. Fully adequate conventional and strategic forces cost many billions, but these dollars are sound insurance for our safety and a more peaceful world.

Military strength alone is not sufficient. Effective diplomacy is also essential in preventing conflict and building world understanding. The Vladivostok negotiations with the Soviet Union represent a major step in moderating strategic arms competition. My recent discussions with leaders of the Atlantic Community, Japan and South Korea have contributed to our meeting the common challenge.

But we have serious problems before us that require cooperation between the President and the Congress. By the Constitution and tradition, the execution of foreign policy is the responsibility of the President.

In recent years, under the stress of the Vietnam War, legislative restrictions on the President's capability to execute foreign and military decisions have proliferated. As a member of the Congress, I opposed some and approved others. As President, I welcome the advice and cooperation of the House and Senate.

But, if our foreign policy is to be successful we cannot rigidly restrict in legislation the ability of the President to act. The conduct of negotiations is ill suited to such limitations. For my part, I pledge this Administration will act in the closest consultations with the Congress as we face delicate situations and troubled times throughout the globe.

When I became President only five months ago, I promised the last Congress a policy of communication, conciliation, compromise and cooperation. I renew that pledge to the new members of this Congress.

To sum up:

America needs a new direction which I have sought to chart here today -- a change of course which will:

- -- put the unemployed back to work;
- -- increase real income and production;
- -- restrain the growth of government spending;
  - -- achieve energy independence; and
  - -- advance the cause of world understanding:

We have the ability. We have the know-how. In partnership with the American people, we will achieve these objectives.

As our 200th anniversary approaches, we owe it to ourselves, and to posterity, to rebuild our political and economic strength. Let us make America, once again, and for centuries more to come, what it has so long been -- a stronghold and beacon-light of liberty for the world.

GERALD R. FORD

THE WHITE HOUSE,

January 15, 1975.

lotter of the Abinite Community, Sepan all Kalmi Serve bays contributed to our maptima the or mon pheldengo. EMBARGOED FOR WIRE TRANSMISSION UNTIL 10:00 A.M., EST

Office of the White House Press Secretary

# THE WHITE HOUSE

#### FACT SHEET

#### THE PRESIDENT'S STATE OF THE UNION MESSAGE

		P	age
	THE PRESIDENT'S ECONOMIC AND TAX PROGRAM		
	Background Current Situation and Near-term Outlook for the Economy. Major Elements of the President's Economic and Tax Program I. A \$16 Billion Temporary Anti-Recession Tax Reduction II. Energy Conservation Taxes and Fees III. Permanent Tax Reduction Made Possible by Energy Taxes and Fees IV. One Year Moratorium on New Federal Spending Programs V. Budget Reductions		5 6 7 7 7 7 8 8
	Specific Proposals Announced by the President		9
	of \$16 Billion		9
	Credit of \$4 Billion  II. Energy Conservation Taxes and Fees  A. Administrative Actions  1. Oil Import Fee  2. Crude Oil Price Decontrol  3. Control of Imports  B. Taxes Proposed to the Congress  1. Petroleum Excise Tax and Import Fee  2. Natural Gas Excise Tax  3. Windfall Profits Tax  III. Permanent Tax Reductions and Payments to		12 12 13 13 14 14
	Nontaxpayers made possible by Energy Conservation Taxes  A. Reductions for Individuals of \$16.5 Billion.		17
	B. Residential Conservation Tax Credit of \$.5 Billion		18 19
7	of \$6 Billion		20

CARL CLERKING

Page !! Page
IV. Moratorium on New Federal Spending Programs 20 V. Budget Reductions
Summary of the Budget Impact of the New Taxes, Fees and Tax Cuts
Inflation Impact of the Taxes, Fees and Tax Cuts 26
Presidential Proposals of October 8, 1974, being Resubmitted for Congressional Action
THE PRESIDENT'S ENERGY PROGRAM
Background
National Energy Policy Goals and Principles  Announced by the President
Actions Announced Today by the President
b. Petroleum Excise Tax and Import Fee 34 c. New Natural Gas Deregulation

" WELL

was transported that the property was

pl ar			F	Page
II.	Actions	Announced by the President		
		Mid-term (1975-1985) Goals		36
	A. Act	ions to Increase Domestic Energy Supply		
	1.	Naval Petroleum Reserve Number 4		
		(Legislative)		36
	2.	Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)		
		Leasing (Administrative)		37
		Reducing Domestic Energy Price		
2		Uncertainty (Legislative)		37
	4.	Clean Air Act Amendments (Legislative)		37
	5.	Surface Mining (Legislative)		38
	6.	Coal Leasing (Administrative)		38
A .	7.	Electric Utilities		39
	n,	a. Uniform Investment Tax Credit		
		(Legislative)		39
A L		b. Higher Investment Tax Credit		
		(Legislative)		39
		c. Preferred Stock Dividend		
		Deductions (Legislative)		39
		d. Mandated Reforms of State Utility		
		Commission Processes (Legislative)		39
	2,12	e. Energy Resources Council Study		
		(Administrative)		39
	8.	Nuclear Power		40
		a. Expedited Licensing and Siting		
		(Legislative)		40
		b. 1976 Budget Increase for Safety,		
		Safeguards and Waste Management		
		(Legislative)	0	40
	9.	Energy Facilities Siting (Legislative)		40
	B. Act	ion to Conserve Energy	4	40
	1.	Auto Gasoline Mileage Increases		40
	0	(Administrative)	•	40
	۷.	Building Thermal Standards		. /. 1
	2	(Legislative)		41
	3.	Residential Conservation Tax Credit		11
	,	(Legislative)	•	41
	4.	Low-Income Energy Conservation		42
	E	Program (Legislative)		42
	5.	Appliance Efficiency Standards		42
	6.	(Administrative)		46
	0.	Appliance and Auto Efficiency		42
		Labelling Act (Legislative)		T died

C. Emergency Preparedness Actions 1. Strategic Petroleum Storage (Legislative) 2. Standby and Planning Authorities (Legislative) 4. Energy Conservation 5. Petroleum Allocation 6. Petroleum Allocation 7. Emergency Domestic Oil 7. Production Increase 7. Petroleum Inventory Regulation Foundation Energy Coals A. Synthetic Fuels Program (Administrative) B. Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA)  4. Actions Announced ERDA	3 3 3 3 3
III. Actions Announced by the President to Meet Long-term (Beyond 1985) Goals	3
	+
Table Summarizing Impacts of Near- and Mid-term Actions on Petroleum Consumption and Imports 4	5
INTERNATIONAL ENERGY POLICY AND FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS	
Background	5
U.S. Position	5
Actions Taken by Oil Consuming Nations	
Other U.S. Actions and Proposals	
competent of the second	
14	
4. Por Lilling Educates Classocation Program (Lestal educa)  Little Control (Control educa)  Little Control (Control educates)  Administration of Control (Control educates)	
more	

140.

TORO E

into a cost for medical consister complete and car lo spoids their iter on redul are open seed

Hi where district and the control of the for the

## The President's Economic and Tax Program

ers introductions both fr

The President's State of the Union Address outlined the nation's current economic situation and outlook, and his economic and tax program which are designed to wage a simultaneous three-front campaign against recession, inflation and energy dependence. of Indicate to the thank gradies.

COURSEM WE TO BE WATER SHIP AT A TO

### BACKGROUEID

The U.S. economy is faced with the closely linked problems of inflation and recession. During 1974, the economy experienced the highest rate of inflation since World War II. Late in 1974, when a recession set in, unemployment rose sharply to over 7 percent, the highest level in 13 years.

Accelerated inflation had its roots in the policies of the past and several recent developments not subject to U.S. control. Specifically:

- Excessive Federal spending and lending for over a decade and too much money and credit growth.
- Unusually poor harvests contributed heavily to world-wide food shortages and escalating food prices.
- World petroleum product prices increased dramatically due to the Arab nations' embargo on shipments of oil to the U.S., the quadrupling of the price of crude oil by the OPEC nations, and their share reductions in crude oil production to maintain higher prices. Higher energy prices were passed through in the prices of other products and services.
- The decline in U.S. domestic production of oil and natural gas that began in the 1960's also contributed to higher energy prices.



- -- An economic boom occurred simultaneously in the industrialized nations of the world.
- --- There were two international devaluations of the dollar.

Inflation contributed strongly to the forces of recession:

- The real purchasing power of workers' paychecks was reduced.
- -- Inflation also reduced consumer confidence, contributing to the most severe slump in consumer purchasing since World War II.
- Inflation forced interest rates to very high levels, draining funds out of financial institutions that supply most mortgage loans and thus sharply reducing construction of homes.
- -- Federal Government spending and lending programs, accounting for over half the funds raised in capital markets, reduced the amount of money available for capital investments needed to raise productivity and increase living standards.

## CURRENT SITUATION AND NEAR-TERM OUTLOOK

The economy is now in a full-fledged recession and unemployment will rise further. Inflation continues at a rapid pace and the need to take immediate steps to conserve energy will further complicate the problem initially.

There are no instant cures. A careful and balanced policy approach is required. It will take time to yield full results. There is, however, no prospect of a long and deep economic downturn on the scale of the 1930's.

more

# MAJOR ELEMENTS OF THE PRESIDENT'S ECONOMIC AND TAM PROGRAM

- I. A \$16 Billion Temporary, Anti-Recession Tax Reduction. This major reduction in taxes proposed for individuals and businesses is designed to restore consumer confidence and promote a recovery of production and employment. The recession is deeper and more widespread than expected earlier, but the tax reduction -- together with the easing of monetary conditions that has already taken place -- will support a healthy economic recovery. The tax reduction must be temporary to avoid excessive stimulus resulting in a new price explosion and congested capital markets. The temporary nature of the reduction is consistent with the long-term economic goals of achieving and maintaining reasonable price stability and raising the share of national output devoted to saving and capital formation.
- II. Energy Taxes and Fees. Energy excise taxes and fees on petroleum and natural gas will reduce use of these energy sources and reduce the nation's need for importing expensive and insecure foreign oil. Removal of price controls from demestic crude oil (together with other energy actions) will encourage domestic oil production. A windfall profits tax would recover windfall profits resulting from crude oil decontrol. Energy taxes and fees are expected to raise \$30 billion in new Federal revenues on an annual basis.
- III. Permanent Tax Reduction Made Possible By Energy
  Taxes and Fees. The \$30 billion annual revenue
  from energy conservation excise taxes and fees
  and the windfall profits tax on crude oil would
  be returned to the economy through a major tax
  cut, a cash payment for non-taxpayers, and direct
  distribution to governmental units. Tax reductions
  are designed to go mainly to low-and middle-income
  taxpayers.

- IV. One Year Moratorium on New Federal Spending Programs.

  The moratorium on new spending programs proposed by the President will permit the Federal Government to move toward long-term budget responsibility and to avoid refueling inflation when the economy begins rising again.
- V. Budget Reductions. The President will propose significant spending reductions in his Fiscal Year 1976 Budget. The reductions total more than \$17 billion, including \$7.8 billion savings from reductions proposed last year and \$6.1 billion from the 5 percent ceiling to be proposed on Federal employee pay increases and on Federal benefit programs that rise automatically with the Consumer Price Index.

en francisco de la francia La francia de la francia d La francia de la francia d

#### SPECIFIC PROPOSALS ANNOUNCED BY THE PRESIDENT

- A Temporary, Anti-Recession Tax Cut of \$16
  Billion. The President proposed a temporary,
  tax reduction of approximately \$16 billion to
  provide prompt stimulus to consumer spending
  and business investment. The tax cut is
  divided 75 percent to individuals and 25 percent
  to corporations, which is approximately the
  ratio that individual income taxes bear to
  corporate income taxes. The cuts would be:
  - A. A Tax Reduction for Individuals of \$12 Billion.
    - 1. Individuals will receive a cash refund equal to 12 percent of their 1974 tax liabilities, as reported on their 1974 tax returns now being filed, up to a limit of \$1,000. Married couples filing separately would receive a maximum refund of \$500 each.
    - 2. The temporary reduction will be a uniform 12 percent for all taxpayers up to about the \$41,000 income level where the \$1,000 maximum takes effect, and will then be a progressively smaller percentage for taxpayers above that level.
    - 3. The refund will be paid in two equal installments in 1975 with payments of the first installment beginning in May and the second in September.
- 4. The proposal does not affect in any way the manner in which taxpayers complete and file their 1974 tax returns. They will file and pay their tax in accordance with existing law, without regard to the tax reduction.

  Later they will receive their refund checks from the Internal Revenue Service. Because no changes in deductions and other such items are involved, the Internal Revenue Service will be able to determine the amount of the refund and mail the checks without requiring further forms and computations from taxpayers.



9. "

5. The effect of the tax refund can be illustrated for a family of four as follows:

Adjusted Gross Income	Present Tax	Proposed Percent Refund Saving
\$ 5,000 7,000 10,000 12,500 15,000 20,000 40,000 50,000 60,000 100,000 200,000	\$ 98 402 867 1,261 1,699 2,660 7,958 11,465 15,460 33,340 85,620	\$ 12

Although the taxpayer will not figure his own refund, it is a simple matter for him to anticipate how much the Internal Revenue Service will be sending him, by calculating 12 percent of his total tax limitity for the year (on Form 1040 for 1974, it is line 18, page 1, and on Form 1040A, line 19).

- B. A Temporary Increase in Investment Tax Credit for Business and Farmers of \$4 billion.
  - 1. There will be an increase or one year in the investment tax credit to percent for all taxpayers, including utilities (which presently have, in effect, a percent credit). Utilities will continue to receive a 12 percent credit for two additional years for qualified investment in electrical power plants other than oil-or gas-fired facilities.
  - 2. This increase in the credit will provide benefits of \$4 billion in 1975 to immediately stimulate job-creating investment. (In view of the need for speedy enactment and the temporary nature of the increased credit, this change does not include the basic restructuring of the credit as proposed on a permanent basis in October 1974.)



3. With respect to utilities, it includes a temporary increase in the amount of credit which may be used to offset income tax. Under current law, not more than 50 percent of the income tax liability for the year may be offset by the investment credit. Since many utilities have credits they have been unable to use because of this limitation, under this proposal utilities will be permitted to use the credit to offset up to 75 percent of their tax liability for 1975, 70 percent for 1976, 65 percent for 1977, and so on, until 1980, when they will in five annual steps have returned to the 50 percent limitation applicable to industry generally.

4. The 12 percent credit will apply to property placed in service during 1975 and to property ordered during 1975 if placed in service before the end of 1976. The credit will also be available to the extent of construction, reconstruction or erection of property by or for a taxpayer during 1975, without regard to the date ultimately placed in service. Similar rules will apply to investment in electrical power plants other than oil-or gas-fired facilities, for which the 12 percent credit will continue through 1977.

II. Energy Conservation Taxes and Fees. Energy taxes and fees, in conjunction with domestic crude oil price decontrol and the proposed windfall profits tax, would raise about \$30 billion on an annual basis. The fees and taxes and related actions (discussed more fully in Part Two of this Fact Sheet) include:

### A. Administrative Actions.

- 1. Import Fee -- The President is acting immediately within existing authorities to increase import fees on crude oil and petroleum products. These new import fees will be modified upon passage of the President's legislative package.
- (a) Import fees on crude oil and petroleum products will be increased by \$1 effective February 1, 1975; an additional \$1 effective March 1; and another \$1 effective April 1, for a total increase of \$3.00 per barrel. Currently existing fees will also remain in effect.



- (b) FEA's "Old Cil Entitlements" program will be utilized to spread price increases on crude among all refiners, and to lessen disproportionate regional effects, such as New England, or in any specific industries or areas of human need where oil is essential.
- (c) As of February 1975, product imports will cease to be covered by FEA's "Old Oil Entitlements" program. In order to overcome any severe regional impacts that could be caused by large fees in import dependent areas, imported products will receive a fee rebate corresponding to the benefit which would have been obtained under that program. The rebate should be approximately \$1.00 in February, \$1.40 in March, and \$1.30 per barrel thereafter.
  - (d) The import fee program will reduce imports by an estimated 500,000 barrels per day and generate about \$400 million per month in revenues by April.
  - 2. Crude Oil Price Decontrol -- To stimulate domestic production and further cut demand, steps will be taken to remove price controls on domestic crude oil by April 1, 1975, subject to congressional disapproval as provided by \$4(g) of the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973.
  - 3. Control of Imports -- The energy conservation measures to be imposed administratively outlined above, the energy conservation taxes outlined below and other energy conservation measures covered in Part Two below, will be supplemented by the use of Presidential power to limit oil imports as necessary to fully achieve the President's goals of reducing foreign oil imports by one million barrels a day by the end of 1975 and by two million barrels before the end of 1977.



- B. Taxes Proposed to the Congress. The President asked the Congress to pass within 90 days a comprehensive energy conservation tax program which will raise an estimated \$30 billion in revenues on an annual basis. The taxes proposed are:
  - 1. Petroleum Excise Tax and Import Fee -- An excise tax on all domestic crude oil of \$2 per barrel and a fee on imported crude oil and product imports of \$2 per barrel.
  - 2. Natural Gas Excise Tax -- An excise tax on natural gas of 37¢ per thousand cubic feet (mcf), the equivalent on a Etu basis to the \$2 per barrel petroleum excise tax and import fee.

adaption to the

14. TEMP 10% ...

the other of

- 3. Windfall Profits Tax -- To ensure that the end of controls on crude oil prices does not result in one sector of the economy benefitting unfairly at the expense of other sectors, a windfall profits tax will be levied on the profits realized by producers of domestic oil. This tax is intended to recapture excessive profits which would otherwise be realized by producers as a result of the rise in international oil prices. This tax does not itself cause price increases, but simply recaptures the profits from price increases otherwise induced. It will, together with the income tax on such profits, produce revenues of approximately \$12 billion. In aggregate, the windfall profits tax is sufficient to absorb all the profits that would otherwise flow from decontrolling oil prices, plus an additional \$3 billion. More specifically the tax will operate as follows:
  - (a) A windfall profits tax at rates graduated from 15 percent to 90 percent will be imposed on that portion of the price per barrel that exceeds the producer's adjusted base price and therefore represents a windfall profit. The initial "adjusted base price" will be the producer's ceiling price per barrel on December 1, 1973 plus 95 cents to adjust for subsequent increased costs and higher price levels generally. Each month the bases will be adjusted upward on a specified schedule, which will gradually raise the adjusted base price to reflect long-run supply conditions and provide the incentive for new investment in petroleum exploration. Percentage depletion will not be allowed on the windfall profits tax liability.
  - (b) The windfall profits tax rates will be applied to prices per barrel in excess of applicable adjusted base prices as follows:

Portion of price per barrel in excess of base and subject to tax

Amount of tax

Less than \$0.20

CAST PROPERTY

- --

THE PARTY OF THE

DE THE SHE IN

\$0.20, under \$0.50°

\$0.50, under \$1.20

\$1.20, under \$3.00

\$3.00 and over

to Institute last will a tell properly sometime. the transfer as a constant of the formation of

les traintes a la morro com qual la grifa, el entre Brenda sente mon loi salmanos frades de conservaells of the water mel rollies runterer.

in bala sets as constanted on like only

TIC KESS

15% of amount within bracket \$0.03 plus 30% of amount within bracket \$0\_12 plus 60% of amount within bracket \$0.34 plus 80% of amount within bracket \$1.98 plus 90% of amount within bracket

- (c) The windfall profits tax does not include a "plowback" provision, no does it contain exemptions for classes of production or producers. It does, however, include the limitation that the amount subject to tax may not exceed 75 percent of the net income from the barrel of crude oil. The tax will be retroactive to January 1, 1975.
  - (d) The windfall profits tax reduces the base for the depletion allowance.

I was a state of the state of

(b) The minifelt profice con incompatible to assert it formed use swing of believes resolich as empare esse bassmins sideoffica



III. Permanent Tax Reductions and Payments to Mon-Taxpayers Hade Possible by Energy Conservation Taxes.

Of the \$30 billion in revenue raised annually by the proposed conservation taxes outlined above, about \$5 billion is paid by governments through the higher costs of energy in their purchases. This \$5 billion includes:

. \$3 billion by the Federal government. . \$2 billion by state and local governments.

The President is proposing to the Congress that \$2 billion of the revenues be paid to State and local governments, pursuant to the distribution formulas applicable to general revenue sharing. The other \$25 billion will be returned to the economy mostly in the form of tax cuts. As in the case of the temporary tax reduction, this permanent change will be divided between individuals and corporations on a 75-25 percent basis, about \$19 billion for individuals and about \$6 billion for corporations. Specifically, this would include:

A. Reductions for Individuals in 1975 --Tax cuts for individuals will be achieved in two ways: (1) through an increase in the Low Income Allowance and (2) a cut in the schedule of tax rates. In this way, tax-paying individuals will receive a reduction of approximately \$15 1/2 billion, with proportionately larger cuts going to low-and middle-income families. The Low Income Allowance will be increased from the present \$1,300 level to \$2,600 for joint returns and \$2,000 for single returns. That will bring the level at which returns are nontaxable to what is approximately the current "poverty level" of \$5,600 for a family of 4. In addition, the tax rates applicable to various brackets of income will be reduced. The aggregate effects of these changes are as follows: 

restions are not relation to provide the provide the market at the management at the contract of the contract

	Income Tax Paid Under Present Law	: Amount of : Income Tax : Reduction	Percentage Reduction in Income Tax
(\$000) 0 - 3 3 - 5 5 - 7 7 - 10 10 - 15 15 - 20 20 - 50 50 - 100 100 and over	3 1.3 4.0 8.9 21.9 22.8 44.4 13.5 13.3	25 - 1.20 - 1.96 - 3.38 - 4.72 - 2.70 - 2.15 11 03	-83.3% -65.7 -49.0 -38.0 -21.6 -11.8 - 4.8 - 0.8 - 0.2
Total	130.9	-16.50*	-12.6

\*Does not include payments to nontaxpayers

The effect of these tax changes can be illustrated for a family of 4, as follows:

Adjusted	Present	Ilew	<u>Saving</u>	Percent
Gross Income	Tax I/	Tax		Saving
\$ 5,600	\$ 185	\$ 0	\$185	100.0%
7,000	402	110	292	72.6
10,000	867	518	349	40.3
12,500	1,261	961	300	23.8
15,000	1,699	1,478	221	13.0
20,000	2,660	2,450	210	7.9
30,000	4,988	4,337	151	3.0
40,000	7,958	7,323	130	1.6

I/ Calculated assuming Low Income Allowance or itemized deductions equal to 17 percent of income, whichever is greater.

B. Residential Conservation Tax Credit (Discussed in the Energy Section of this Fact Sheet). The President seeks legislation to provide incentives to homeowners for making thermal efficiency improvements, such as storm windows and insulation, in existing homes. This measure, along with a stepped-up public information program, could save the equivalent of over 500,000 barrels of cil per day by 1985. Under this legislation:

Part of the service o

- 1. A 15 percent tax credit retroactive to January 1, 1975 for the cost of certain improvements in thermal efficiency in residences would be provided. Tax credits would apply to the first \$1,000 of expenditures and can be claimed during the next three years.
- 2. At least 18 million homes could qualify for these tax benefits, estimated to total about \$500 million annually in tax credits.
  - C. Payments to Nontaxpayers of \$2 billion.
    The final component of the \$19 billion distribution to individuals is a distribution of nearly \$2 billion to nontaxpayers and certain low-income taxpayers. For this low-income group, a special distribution of \$80 per adult will be provided, as follows:
    - 1. Adults who would pay no tax even without the tax reductions in A above, will receive \$80.
    - 2. Adults who receive less than \$80 in such tax reductions will receive approximately the difference.
    - 3. Persons not otherwise filing returns but eligible for these special distributions will make application on simple forms provided by the Internal Revenue Service on which they would furnish their name, address, social security number, and income.
- "adults" are individuals who during the year are at least 18 years old and who are not eligible to be claimed as a dependent under the Federal income tax laws.
- 5. Since most taxpayers will receive their 1975 income tax reductions in 1975 through reductions in withholding on wages and estimated tax payments, the special distribution to non-taxpayers and low-income

more

wad gold the this damages as a daily accepted

(OVER)

Consoner Felice Indiano

Authorities the cross to better the a li

Wistro to Jose out the 61.20 through of taxpayers will also begin in 1975. It is anticipated that disbursement, based on 1974 income can be made in the summer of 1975.

- Yathana D. Tax Reductions for Corporations. The lasor of the corporate rate will be reduced by 6 . Bling and percentage points, effectively lowering the corporate rate from 48 percent to . 10 111 42 percent for 1975. The resulting benefit in 1975 is estimated at about environal a \$6 billion. and as apideolydaib
- tion of meaning to bulking we have a first of the state o IV: Moratorium on New Federal Spending Programs. The President announced that he would propose no new Federal spending programs except for energy. He also indicated that he would not hesitate to veto any new spending programs passed by the Congress. The need for the moratorium is demonstrated by preliminary FY 1976 Budget estimates:

Sebinori n

1 . Prote

		cal Year	S	Percent	
	1974	1975	1976	75/74	76/75
Revenues	264.9	280		5.7%	8.2;
Outlays Deficit	268.4	314 32-34	349 45-47	17 %	11.1%

NOTE: Estimates for 1975 and 1976 are subject to a variation of \$2 billion in the final budget.

Fire a patient taken to be and V. Budget Reductions. The budget figures shown above assume that significant budget reductions proposed by the President are effected. Including rediag aductions proposed in a series of special discremessages sent to the last session of Congress, these budget reductions total more than \$17 wall billion. Of this total, over \$6 billion will result from the proposed 5% ceiling on Federal pay increases and on those Federal benefit programs that rise automatically with the Consumer Price Index.

( mary )

The following summarizes reductions in 1976 spending to be included in the upcoming budget:

	(Outlays in billions)
Effect of budget reductions proposed last year (including administrative actions)	\$8.9
Amounts overturned by the Congress	-1.1
Remaining savings	7.8
Further reductions to be proposed:	
Ceiling of 5% on Federal pay and programs tied to the CPI	6.1
Other actions planned	3.6
Total reductions	17.5

The following lists those programs to which the 5% ceiling will apply and shows spending amounts for them:

Effect of 5% Ceiling on Pay Increases and Programs Tied to CPI (Fiscal year estimates; Dollars in billions)

Programs Affected	1975 Outlays	1976 Out Without ceiling	With ceiling	Difference 1975-1976 (with ceiling)
Social security	64.5	74.3	.71.8	+7.3
Railroad retirement	3.0	3.4	3.3	+0.3
Supplemental Security Income	4.7	5.5	5.4	
Civil service and military retirement payments		16.2		+1.4
Foreign Service retirement	.1	.1	.1	*
Food stamp program	3.7	, 3.9	3.6	-0.1
Child nutrition	1.3	1.8	1.6	+0.3
Federal salaries:				
Military	23.2	23.1	22.5	-0.7
Civilian	35.5	33.9	38.0	+2.5
Coal miner benefits	1.0	1.0	1.0	*
Total	150.5	168.2	162.1	+11.7

<sup>\*</sup> Less than \$50 million.

The 5% ceiling will take into account increases that have already occurred since January 1, 1975. Under the plan, after June 30, 1976, adjustments would be resumed in the same way as before the establishment of the 5% ceiling. However, no catchup of the increases lost under the ceiling would take place.

SUMMARY OF THE BUDGET IMPACT OF THE NEW TAXES AND FEES A grey and AND THE TAX CUTS The state of the s

1: 1 1 3 1 2 C of appropriately the state of the The following table summarizes the estimated direct budget impact, on a full-year-effective basis, of the tax and related changes proposed by the President to deal with the economic and energy situations: and anywhere Inch are the

### Revenue Raising Measures

Estimated Amounts (\$ billions) + 9 1/2

A 1033 C. T.

The state of the state of the state of the sail

Oil excise tax and import fee Natural gas excise tax Windfall Profits tax Total

+30

TOTATE IN ALL ALLASSED ROLL ON I IN CONTRACT OF THE BALL OF regarding the season of the se

I was an all the same the little to the

Passing and some management of the action aged of the topic tropic tropic to semestic in 7 25 15

of system a complete St. tall + casto representations of the second second

I The total of the to the second of the Emmi lie sin a comme parte . The best off

that the state of below to be the best of 100 The state of the second with the second second second second

Ediliana Mi to bisis out took Callida to Live first the therry connection teach and a le de siective as or innerty 1, 1975. The coerses are eleublythat for individuels are expected to of into effect on there i. in Pade on Standard of little according pathing intly and of indifference of coincides sufcer if The track from a sure contains to

(OVER)

Revenue	Disbursing	Measures

## Estimated Amounts (S billions)

CONTRACTOR

The state of

Energy rebates:	The second
Energy rebates: Income tax cuts, individuals	-16 1/2
Residential tax credit	3 2/2
Nontaxpayer distribution	- 2 0
Corporate tax cut	= 6
State and local governments	-: 2
Federal government costs	- 3
Subtotal	-30
	ill exclan tax
Temporary economic stimulus: Individual tax refunds	-12
Investment credit increase	- 4
Subtotal	-16
Total Revenue Disbursing Measures	46

The tax and related changes will go into effect at different times, but all of them during the year 1975:

- -- The energy conservation taxes are proposed to go into effect April 1.
- -- The increase in import fees would go into effect
  - \$1 per barrel February 1.
  - To \$2 per barrel March 1.
  - To \$3 per barrel, if the energy taxes have not been enacted, April 1.
- -- The windfall profits tax on crude oil would be effective as of January 1, 1975. First payments of the tax would be made in the third quarter.
- The permanent tax cuts for individuals and corporations made possible by the revenues from the energy conservation taxes would be effective as of January 1, 1975. The changes in withholding rates for individuals are expected to go into effect on June 1. The withholding changes will be adjusted so that 12 months reduction is accomplished in the 7 months from June through December.

- The tax credit for energy-saving improvements to existing residences would go into effect as of January 1, 1975.
- -- The special distribution to nontaxpayers is expected to be paid out in the summer of 1975.
- -- The \$2 billion distribution to State and local governments would be effective with the second quarter of 1975.
- -- The temporary anti-recession tax cut for individuals will be paid out in two installments, in the second and third quarters.
- The one-year increase in the investment tax credit becomes effective retroactively to January 1, 1975.

The timing of the various changes suggests a pattern of direct budget changes as follows. The timing of the economic stimulus or restraint will depend, as well, on such factors as the indirect effects of the budget changes, the timing of the pass-through of higher energy costs to final users, the extent to which the changes are anticipated, and a variety of monetary and financial developments that arise out of these changes.

Timing of Direct Budget Impact

(\$ billions)

			Calend	ar Year	rs		
			1975			1976	
	I	II	III	IV	III	III	IV
Energy Taxes	+0.2	+4.1	+12.6	+7.6	+7.6 +7.5	+7.5	+75
					A Contraction		
Return of Energy			6.7 3502		and stout!	cal this	
Revenues to Ed	-				Part of the State		a de jugar de la companya del companya del companya de la companya
Tax Reduction			- 9.0	-9.0	-5.6 -7.9	-6.3	-6.4
Nontaxpayers			- 2.0			-2.0	
S&L Gov'ts			- 0.5		-0.5 -0.5		-0.5
Federal Govt.	.0	.0	- 0.8	-0.7	-0.8 -0.7	-0.8	-0.7
Managara Managara	- 4- 0	6 7	177 0	06	0000	0	0
Temporary Tax Cu	10.0	-0.1	1.9	-0.6	-0.8 -0.9	0	0
Net Effect	40 2	-5 7	- 7.6	2 2	-0.1 -2.5	-2.7	-0.1
Med Ellect	10.2	-7.1	1.0	2.6	-0.1 -2.3	-2.1	

My Dilling

#### INFLATION IMPACT CANTON NICE AND AND AND

Both major parts of the tax package require inflation impact analysis. The excise taxes on crude oil and natural gas, combined with the tariff and decontrol of prices of both "old" oil and new natural gas, will add to the general price level immediately. The consumer price index is expected to rise by about two percent when these tax and price increases go into effect. However, this increase has a one-time impact on the price level that, with exceptions in some areas, should not add materially to inflationary pressures in future years. 409 His West and

SHIP OF JOHN TOWNEY AND The inflationary impact of the \$16 billion anti-recession tax cut is more difficult to assess. While some economists may argue that a tax cut will add to the rate of inflation during the year ahead, others would contend that under present economic conditions, with unemployment high and many factories operating well below capacity, the predominant effect of the tax cut will be to stimulate spending, and that additional spending will have only a slight impact on prices. I ( May be well and

Whatever the precise price impact of this \$16 billion of the tax cut during 1975, the most important fact about it into and from the standpoint of inflation is that it is temporary. With the recession still under way, the rate of inflation will be coming down -- it will be too high, but nevertheless moving in the right direction. After the economy gets well into recovery, however, too much stimulus would be sure to reverse the slowing of the inflation rate and, .indeed, start a new acceleration. Thus, the tax stimulus must be temporary rather than permanent.

The President has declared a moratorium on new Federal spending programs for this same reason. Budget expenditures are rising rapidly this year, in part, because of programs to aid the unemployed. That is acceptable and highly desirable in a recession to relieve the burden on workers who are affected. It is also desirable because spending under those programs phases out as the economy recovers and unemployment falls. The increased Federal spending is only temporary.

Over the long-term, however, both Federal spending and lending have been rising much too fast, a fact that accounts for a substantial part of our current economic problems. A new burst of expenditure programs cannot

help the Nation recover from the current recession — the impact would come much too late — but it would surely do much inflationary harm as the economy returns to prosperous conditions in the years ahead. Therefore, at the same time that taxes are being reduced to support a healthy recovery, policies that would revive inflationary pressures must be avoided after the recovery is underway. The size of currently projected Federal budget deficits precludes introduction of new spending programs now that would raise inflationary pressures later. For this reason, the President requested that no new spending programs, except as needed in the energy area, be enacted so that we can regain control of the budget over the long-run and permit a gradual return to reasonable price stability.

# PRESIDENTIAL PROPOSALS OF OCTOBER 8, 1974 RESUBMITTED FOR CONGRESSIONAL ACTION

In addition to the comprehensive set of economic and energy policies discussed in the State of the Union Message, the President asked that the new Congress pass quickly certain legislative proposals originally requested in his October 8, 1974, message. Those proposals would:

- 1. Remove restrictions on the production of rice, peanuts, and extra-long-staple cotton.
- 2. Amend P.L. 480 to waive certain restrictions on shipments of food under that Act to needy countries for national interest or humanitarian reasons.
- 3. Amend the Antitrust Civil Process Act to strengthen the investigation powers of the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice.
- 4. Eliminate the U.S. Withholding tax on foreign portfolio investments to encourage such investment.
- 5. Allow dividends paid on qualified preferred stock to be an authorized deduction for determining corporate income taxes to increase incentives for raising needed capital in the form of equity rather than debt.
- 6. Create a National Commission on Regulatory Reform and take prompt action on other reforms of regulatory and administrative procedures that will be recommended in the future.

Strengthen our financial institutions and provide a new tax incentive for investment in residential mortgages.

- Permit more competition between different modes of surface transportation (The Surface Transportation Act). TO THE PARTY !
- 9. Amend the Employment Act of 1946 to make explicit the goal of price stability. (Substitute "to promote maximum employment, maximum production, and stability of the general price level in place of the present language, "to promote maximum employment, production and purchasing power.")

and the section of the control of the section of the

nia na matematika di parte kantan mengantan kantan kantan kantan di kantan di kantan di kantan di kantan di ka Kantan kantan di kantan di kantan kantan di kantan

military are not made that the 8 is well as a ball

creduled to Military or Tight three rolls wells. escape tol colors and described for or of monds

And of Little , action in the second of the Ship

anno feli e la social del social del como esta la como esta Esta como esta como esta como esta la como es

must be a firm to be a passion as a factor to

For the service of the control of th

కుముకు కొన్నుకుండి. కారి. ప్రకట్టుకుండిలో అనికి ఉన్నాయి. కాముడుకున్నాయి. కారికి కథినకి కాముడి కార్ క్రక్టింగా కొర్ది ఉన్నాయి. and the design of the contract of the contract of the contract of with the to accept the enterthe at the arm the let want

January Committee Williams



THE RESERVE

10 to 1 to

The President's Energy Program (including energy taxes and fees)

nt of lavel once and drade to be noted and trade

1 - 1 - 2 1 U.S

The President's State of the Union Address outlined the Mation's energy outlook, set forth national energy policy objectives, and described actions he is taking immediately and indicated proposals he is asking the Congress to pass.

# BACKGROUND THE SECRET SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICES

Over the past two years, progress has been made in conserving energy, expanding energy PLD and improving Federal government energy organization. Despite such accomplishments, we have not succeeded in solving fundamental problems and our lational energy situation is critical. Our reliance on foreign sources of petroleum is contributing to both inflationary and recessionary pressures in the United States. World economic stability is threatened and several industrialized nations dependent upon imported oil are facing severe economic disruption.

With respect to the U.S. energy situation:

- -- Petroleum is readily available from foreign sources -- but at arbitrarily high mices, causing massive outflow of dollars, and at the risk of increasing our Nation's mulnerability to severe economic disruption should another embargo be imposed.
  - Petroleum imports remain at him levels even at present high prices.
- Domestic oil production continue to decline as older fields are deceted and new fields are years from production; J.3 million barrels per day in 1974 compared to 9.2 million in 1973.
  - Total U.S. petroleum consumption is increasing, although at slower ates due to higher prices.
    - Hatural gas shortages are forcing cutailment of supplies to many industrial firms and denial of service to new residential customers. (14% expected this winter versus 7% last year.) This is resulting in unemployment, reductions in the production of fertilizer needed to increase food supplies, and increased demand for elternative fuels primarily imported oil.

- Coal production is at about the same level as in the 1930's.
- Nuclear energy accounts for only 1 percent of total energy supply and new plants are being delayed, postponed or cancelled.
- Overall energy consumption is beginning to increase
- again. from an embargo increases with higher imports and will continue to do so until we reverse current trends, ready standby plans, and increase petroleum storage. Chrysten of the mast tree to the tree the first seek that the

Economic impacts of the four-fold increase in OPEC of1 prices include:

- Heavy outflow of U.S. dollars (and, in effect, jobs) to pay for growing oil imports -- about \$24 billion in 1974 compared to \$2.7 billion in 1970: The state of the state in 1970:
  - Tremendous balance of payments deficits and possible economic collapse for those nations of Europe and Asia that must depend upon expensive imported oil as a primary energy source.
  - Accumulation of billions of dollars of surplus revenues in oil exporting nations -- approximately \$60 billion in 1974 alone.

## U.S. ENERGY OUTLOOK To the transfer of the second transfer of the se

- Near-Term (1975-1977): In the next 2-3 years, there are I. only a few steps that can be taken to increase domestic energy supply particularly due to the long lead time for new production. Oil imports will thus continue to rise unless demand is curbed. to mortale . . .
- Mid-Term (1975-1985): In the next ten years, there is II. greater flexibility. A number of actions can be taken to increase domestic supply, convert from foreign oil to domestic coal and nuclear energy, and reduce demand -- if the Nation takes tough actions. Vulnerability to an embargo can be eliminated. more

services in a residence of the particular of the particular of medicine the principal of the policy of the policy of

the second of the second of the second

III. Long-Term (Beyond 1985): Emerging energy sources can play a bigger role in supplying U.S. needs -- the results of the Nation's expanded energy research and development program. U.S. independence can be maintained. New technologies are the most significant opportunity for other consuming nations with limited domestic resources.

### NATIONAL ENERGY POLICY GOALS AND PRINCIPLES ANNOUNCED BY THE PRESIDENT

- Near-Term (1975-1977): Reduce oil imports by 1 million I. barrels per day by the end of 1975 and 2 million barrels by the end of 1977, through immediate actions to reduce energy demand and increase domestic supply.
  - (A) With no action, imports would be about 8 million barrels per day by the end of 1977, more than 20 percent above the 1973 pre-embargo levels.
  - (B) Acting to meet the 1977 goal will reduce imports below 1973 levels, assuring reduced vulnerability from an embargo and greater consumer nation cooperation.
  - (C) More drastic short-term reductions would have unacceptable economic impacts.
- II. Mid-Term (1975-1985): Eliminate vulnerability by achieving the capacity for full energy independence by 1985. This means 1985 imports of me more than 3-5 million barrels of oil per day, all of which can be replaced immediately from a strategic storage system and managed with emergency measures.
  - (A) With no action, oil imports by 1955 could be reduced to zero at prices of \$11 per barrel or more -- or they could go substantially higher if world oil prices are reduced (t.g., at \$7 per barrel, U.S. consumption could reach 24 million barrels per day with imports of above 12 million, or above 50% of the total.)
  - (B) The U.S. anticipates a reduction in world oil prices over the next several years. Hence, ·plans and policies must be established to achieve energy independence even at lower prices -- countering the normal tendency to increase imports as the price declines.

(OVER)

- (C) Actions to meet the 1985 goal will hold imports to no more than 3.5 million barrels per day, even at \$7 per barrel prices. Protection against an embargo of the remaining imports can then be handled most economically with storage and standby emergency measures.
- III. Long-Term (Beyond 1985): Within this century, the U.S. should strive to develop technology and energy resources to enable it to supply a significant share of the Free World's energy needs.
  - (A) Other consuming nations have insufficient fossil fuel resources to reach domestic energy self-sufficiency.
  - (B) The U.S. can again become a world energy supplier and foster world energy price stability -- much the same as the nation did prior to the 1960's when it was a major supplier of world oil.
- IV. <u>Principles</u>: Actions to achieve the above national energy goals must be based upon the following principles:
  - -- Provide energy to the American consumer at the lowest possible cost consistent with our need for secure energy supplies.
  - -- Make energy decisions consistent with our overall economic goals.
  - Balance environmental goals with energy requirements.
  - -- Rely upon the private sector and market forces as the most efficient means of achieving the Nation's goals, but act through the government where the private sector is unable to achieve our goals.
  - Seek equity among all our citizens in sharing of benefits and costs of our energy program.
  - --- Coordinate our energy policies with those of other consuming nations to promote interdependence, as well as independence.

1797 1 1

### ACTIONS ANNOUNCED TODAY BY THE PRESIDENT

ACTIONS ALHOUNCED BY THE PRESIDENT TO MEET WEAR-TERM GOALS (1975-1977)

To meet the national goals, the President outlined a comprehensive program of legislative proposals to the Congress which he requested be enacted within 90 days and administrative actions that he will begin implementing irrediately. The legislative package is more effective and equitable than the administrative program, but the President indicated that the seriousness of the situation demanded immediate action. These actions will reduce overall energy denand, increase domestic production, increase conversion to coal, and reduce oil imports. They include:

# (A) Administrative Actions

- 1. Import Fee -- Because of the seriousness of the problem and because time is required for Congressional action on his legislative proposals, the President is acting immediately within existing authorities to increase the import fees on crude oil and petroleun products. These new import fees would be codified upon passage of the President's legislative package.
- (a) Import fees on crude oil and petroleum products under the authority of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, as amended, will be increased by \$1 effective February 1, 1975; an additional \$1 effective march 1; and another \$1 effective April 1, for a total increase of \$3.00 per barrel. Currently existing fees will also remain in effect.
  - (b) FEA's 'Old Oil Entitlements' program will be utilized to spread price increases on crude among all refiners and to lessen disproportionate regional effects, particularly in the Northeast.
- (c) As of February 1975, product imports will cease to be covered by FEA's 'Old Oil Entitlements' program. In order to overcome any severe regional impacts that could be caused by large food in description. caused by large fees in import dependent areas, imported products will receive a rebate corresponding to the benefit which would have been obtained under that program. The rebate should be approximately \$1.00 in February, \$1.40 in March, and \$1.30 per barrel in April.
  - (d) This import fee program would reduce imports by about 500,000 barrels per day. In April it would generate about \$400 million per nonth in revenues.

- 2. Backup Import Control Program -- The energy conservation measures and tax proposals will be supplemented by the use of Presidential power to limit oil imports as necessary to achieve the near-term goals.
- 3. Crude Oil Price Decontrol -- To stimulate production and further cut demand, steps will be taken to remove price controls on domestic crude oil by April 1, 1975, subject to congressional disapproval as provided by \$4(g) of the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973.
  - 4. Increase Public Education on Energy Conservation -- Energy Resources Council will step up its efforts to provide information on energy conservation methods and benefits.

### (B) Legislative Proposals

The President asked the Congress to pass within 90 days a comprehensive legislative package which could lead to reduction of oil imports of 900,000 barrels per day by 1975 and 1.6 million barrels by 1977.

Average oil prices would rise about \$4.00 per barrel of \$.10 per gallon. The package which will raise \$30 billion in revenues on an annual basis includes:

\* n ng - 3 h -

- (a) Windfall Profits Tax -- A tax on all domestic crude oil to capture the windfall profits resulting from price decontrol. The tax would take 88% of the windfall profits on crude oil and would phase out over several years. The tax sould be retroactive to January 1, 1975.
- (b) Petroleum Excise Tax and Import Fee -An excise tax on all domestic crude oil
  of \$2 per barrel and a fee on imported
  crude oil and product imports of \$2 per
  barrel. The new, administratively established
  import fee of \$3 on crude oil would be reduced
  to \$2.00 and \$1.20 fee on products would be
  increased to \$2.00 when the tax is enacted.
  The product import fee would keep the excise
  tax from encouraging foreign refining and
  the related loss of jobs to the U.S.

- (c) New Natural Gas Deregulation -- Remove Federal interstate price regulation on new natural gas to increase domestic production and reduce demand for scarce natural gas supplies.
- (d) Natural Gas Excise Tax -- An excise tax on natural gas of 37¢ per thousand cubic feet (mcf), which is equivalent on a Btu basis to the \$2 per barrel petroleum excise tax and fee. This will discourage attempts to switch to natural gas and acts to reduce natural gas demand curtailments. Since the usual results of gas curtailments is a switch to oil, this will limit the growth of oil imports.
- President is asking the Congress to permit production of the Elk Hills Naval Petroleum Reserve (NPR #1) under Navy control.

  Production could reach 160,000 barrels per day early in 1975 and 300,000 barrels per day by 1977. The oil produced would be used to top off Defense Department storage tanks, with the remainder sold at auction or exchanged for refined petroleum products used by the Department of Defense. Revenues would be used to finance further exploration, development and production of the Naval petroleum reserves and the strategic petroleum storage.
  - Conversion to the Use of Domestic Coal. The President is asking the Congress to amend the Clean Air Act and the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 to permit a vigorous program to make greater use of domestic coal to reduce the need for oil. This program would reduce the need for oil imports by 100,000 barrels per day in 1975 and 300,000 barrels in 1977. These amendments would extend FEA's authority to grant prohibition orders from 1975 to 1977, prohibit powerplants early in the planning process from burning oil and gas. extend FEA enforcement authority from 1978 to 1985, and make clear that coal burning

installations that had originally planned to convert from coal to oil be eligible for compliance date extensions. It would give EPA authority to extend compliance dates and eliminate restrictive regional environmental limitations. A plant could convert as long as its own emissions do not exceed ambient air quality standards.

# II. ACTIONS ANNOUNCED BY THE PRESIDENT TO MEET MID-TEPM GOALS (1975-1985)

These actions are designed to meet the goal of achieving the capability for energy independence by 1935. The actions include measures to increase domestic energy production (including measures to cope with constraints and strike a balance between environmental and energy objectives), reduce energy demand, and prepare for any future emergency resulting from an embargo.

### (A) Supply Actions

- 1. Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 4 (Legislative proposal) -- The President is asking the Congress to authorize the exploration, development and production of NPR-4 in Alaska to provide petroleum for the domestic economy, with 15-20% earmarked for military needs and strategic storage. The reserves in NPR-4 which are now largely unexplored could provide at least 2 million barrels of oil per day by 1985. Under the legislative proposal:
  - (a) The President would be authorized to explore, develop and produce MPR-4.
  - (b) The Government's share of production (approximately 15-20%) would be used to help finance the strategic storage system and to help fulfill military petroleum requirements. Any other receipts go to the United States Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.



- the material in it server a recommend

- 2. OCS Leasing (Administrative) -- The President reaffirmed his intention to continue an aggressive Outer Continental Shelf leasing policy, including lease sales in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Gulf of Alaska. Decisions on individual lease sales will await completion of appropriate environmental studies. Increased OCS leasing could add domestic production of 1.5 million barrels of oil and additional supplies of natural gas by 1985. There will be close cooperation with Coastal states in their planning for possible increased local development. Funding for environmental studies and assistance to States for planning has been increased in FY 1975.
- Reducing Domestic Energy Price Uncertainty
  (Legislative proposal) -- Legislation will
  be requested authorizing and requiring the
  President to use tariffs, import quotas,
  import price floors, or other measures to
  achieve domestic energy price levels
  necessary to reach self-sufficiency goals.
  This legislation would enable the President
  to cope with possible large-scale fluctuations in world oil prices.
- 4. Clean Air Act Amendments (Legislative proposal) -- In addition to the amendments outlined earlier for short-term goals, the President is asking for other Clean Air Act amendments needed for a balance between environmental and energy goals. These include:
- (a) Legislative clarification to resolve problems resulting from court decisions with respect to significant air quality deterioration in areas already meeting health and welfare standards.
- (b) Extension of compliance dates through 1985 to implement a new policy regarding stack gas scrubbers -- to allow use of intermittent control systems in isolated power plants through 1935 and requiring other sources to achieve control as soon as possible.

- (c) A pause for 5 years (1977-1981 model years) for nationwide auto emission standards at the current California levels for hydrocarbons (0.9 grams per mile) and carbon monoxide (7 grams per mile), and at 1975 standards (3.1 grams per mile) for oxides of nitrogen (with the exception of California which has adopted the 2.0 standard). These standards for hydrocarbons (HC) and carbon monoxide (CO) are more stringent than now required nationwide for 1976 model year's cars. The change from the levels now required for 1977-1981 model years in the law will have no significant impact on air quality standards, yet they will facilitate attainment of the goal of 40% increase in auto fuel efficiency by the 1980 model year.
  - (d) EPA will shortly begin comprehensive hearings on emission controls and fuel economy which will provide more detailed data for Congressional consideration.
  - 5. Surface Mining (Legislative proposal) -The President is asking the Congress to pass
    a surface mining bill which strikes a balance
    between our desires for reclamation and
    environmental protection and our need to
    increase domestic coal production substantially over the next ten years. The proposed
    legislation will correct the problems which
    led to the President's veto of a surface
    mining bill last year.
    - 6. Coal Leasing (Administrative) -- To assure rapid production from existing leases and to make new, low sulfur coal supplies available, the President directed the Secretary of the Interior to:
    - (a) Adopt legal diligence requirements to assure timely production from existing leases.
    - (b) Meet with Western Governors to explore regional questions on economic, environmental and social impacts associated with new Federal coal leases.
      - (c) Design a program of new coal leasing consistent with timely development and adequate return on public assets, if proper environmental safeguards can be provided.

- 7. Electric Utilities -- The President is asking the Congress for legislation concerned with utilities. In recent months, 60% of planned nuclear capacity and 30% of non-nuclear capacity additions have been postponed or cancelled by electric utilities. Financing problems are worsening and State utility commission practices have not assured recovery of costs and adequate earnings. The transition from oil and gas-fired plants to coal and nuclear has been slowed greatly -- contributing to pressure for higher oil imports. Actions involve:
- (a) Uniform Investment Tax Credit (Legislative) -an increase in the investment tax credit to
  eliminate the gap between utilities and other
  industries -- currently a 4% rate applies to
  utilities and 7% to others.
- (b) Higher Investment Tax Credit (Legislative) -An increase in investment tax credit for all
  industry including utilities, for 1 year -to 12%. The 12% rate would be retained for
  two additional years for all power plants
  except oil and gas-fired facilities.
- (c) Preferred Stock Dividend Deductions
  (Legislative) -- A change in tax laws applicable to all industries, including utilities,
  which allows deductions of preferred stock
  dividends for tax purposes to reduce the
  cost of capital and stimulate equity rather
  than debt financing.
- (d) Mandated Reform of State Utility Commission
  Processes (Legislative) -- The legislation
  Would selectively reform utility commission
  practices by: (1) setting a maximum limit
  of 5 months for rate or service proceedings;
  (2) requiring fuel adjustment pass-throughs,
  including taxes; (3) requiring that construction work in progress be included in a
  utility's rate base; (4) removing any rules
  prohibiting a utility from charging lower
  rates for electric power during off-peak
  hours; and (5) allowing the cost of pollution control equipment to be included in
  the rate base.
  - (e) Energy Resources Council Study
    (Administrative) -- Review and report to the
    President on the entire regulatory process
    and financial situation relating to electric
    utilities and determine what further reforms
    or actions are needed. ERC will consult
    with State utility commissions, governors,
    public utilities and consumers.

(OVER)

- of as at positions and 6. Muclear Power -- To accelerate the growth of nuclear power which supplies only one percent of our energy needs, the President is probecause good posing, in addition to actions outlined above:
- (a) Expedited Licensing and Siting (Legislative) A Nuclear Facility Licensing Act to assure more rapid siting and licensing of nuclear plants.
- (b) 1976 Budget Increase (Legislative) --An increase of \$41 million in appropriations for nuclear safety, safeguards, and waste management.
  - 9. Energy Facilities Siting (Legislative) --Legislation would reduce energy facility siting commence of the bottlenecks and assure sites for needed facilio retire ties with proper land use considerations:
  - (a) The legislation would require that states have a comprehensive and coordinated process for expeditious review and approval of energy (a) The legislation would require that states facility applications; and state authorities which ensure that final State energy facility decisions cannot be nullified by actions of of local governments.
    - (b) Provision for owners of eligible facilities or citizens to sue States for inaction.
      - (c) Provide no Federal role in making case by case siting decisions for the States.
        - (b) Energy Conservation Actions

salled to the our stimular (5) but the the control equipment to be included in

of the first of pure of the skill form of the first of the

The special of the section seems and the section seems Courts of the column toll to contract the

101 15 DO 107

mod thanker of

FREELING

The President announced a number of energy conservation measures to reduce demand, including:

1. Auto Gasoline Mileage Increases (Administrative)
The Secretary of Transportation has obtained written agreements with each of the major domestic automobile manufacturers
which will yield a 40 percent improvement in fuel efficiency on a weighted Your two ruless next obtaineds to the sa

list the base



representative and near thing particles.

average for all new autos by 1980 model year. These agreements are contingent upon relaxation of Clean Air Act auto emission standards. The agreement provides for interim goals, Federal monitoring and public reporting of progress.

- 2. Building Thermal Standards (Legislative) --The President is asking Congress for legislation to establish national mandatory thermal (heating and cooling) efficiency standards for new homes and commercial buildings which would save the equivalent of over one-half million barrels of oil per day by 1985. Under this legislation:
  - (a) The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall consult with engineering, architectural, consumer, labor, industry, and government representatives to advise on development of efficiency standards.
  - (b) Thermal standards for one and two-family dwellings will be developed and implementation would begin within one year. New minimum performance standards for energy in commercial and residential buildings would be developed and implemented as soon thereafter as practicable.
  - (c) Standards would be implemented by State and local governments through local building codes.
  - (d) The President also directed the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to include energy conservation standards in new mobile home construction and safety standards.
  - 3. Residential Conservation Tax Credit --The President is asking Congress for legislation to provide incentives to homeowners for making thermal efficiency improvements in existing Labelle and al homes. This measure, along with a stepped-up public information program, could save the equivalent of over 500,000 barrels per day by 1985. Under this legislation:
    - warene ovi(a) A 15 percent tax credit retroactive to January 1, 1975 for the cost of certain improvements in thermal efficiency in residences would be provided. Tax credits would apply to the first \$1,000 of expenditures and can be claimed during the next three years.
  - (b) Improvements such as storm windows, and insulation, would qualify for the tax credit.

Thistonialist

- 4. Low-Income Energy Conservation Program
  (Legislative) -- The President is proposing legislation to establish a Low-Income Energy Conservation Program to offer direct subsidies to low-income and elderly homeowners for certain energy conservation improvements such as insulation. The program is modeled upon a successful pilot program in Maine.
- (a) The program would be administered by FEA, under new legislation, and the President is requesting supplemental appropriations in 1975 and \$55 million in fiscal year 1976.
- (b) Acting through the States, Federal funds would be provided to purchase materials.

  Volunteers or community groups could install the materials.
  - 5. Appliance Efficiency Standards (Administrative) --The President directed the Energy Resources Council to develop energy efficiency goals for major appliances and to obtain agreements within six months from the major manufacturers median again of these appliances to comply with the goals. The goal is a 20% average improvement by 1980 for all major appliances, imluding air conditioners, refrigerators and other home appliances. Achievement of these goals would save the equivalent of over one-half million barrels of oil per day by 1985. If agreement cannot be reached, the President will stomit legislation to establish mandatory appliance efficiency standards.
  - 6. Appliance and Auto Efficiency Labelling Act
    (Legislative) -- The President will ask the
    Congress to enact a mandatory labelling bill to
    require that energy efficiency labels be placed
    on new appliances and autos.

### (C) Emergency Preparedness

The President announced that comprehensive energy emergency legislation will be proposed, encompassing two major components.

1. Strategic Petroleum Storage (Legislative) -Development of an energy storage system of one
billion barrels for domestic use and 300 million
barrels for military use. The legislation will

authorize the government to purchase and prepare the storage facilities (salt domes or steel
tanks), while complex institutional questions
are resolved and before oil for storage is
actually purchased. FEA will develop the overall program in cooperation with the Department
of the Interior and the Department of Defense.
All engineering, planning, and environmental
studies would be completed within one year.
The 1.3 billion barrels will not be complete
for some years, since time is required to
purchase, prepare, and fill the facilities.

- 2. Standby and Planning Authorities (Legislative) -The President is requesting a set of emergency standby authorities to be used to deal with any significant future energy shortages. These authorities would also enable the United States to fully implement the agreement on an International Energy Program between the United States and other nations signed on November 18, 1974. This legislation would include the authority to:
  - (a) Implement energy conservation plans to reduce demand for energy;
  - (b) allocate petroleum products and establish price controls for allocated products;
    - (c) ration fuels among end users;
  - (d) allocate materials needed for energy production where such materials may be in short supply;
  - (e) increase production of domestic oil; and
    - (f) regulate petroleum inventories.

# III. ACTIONS ANNOUNCED BY THE PRESIDENT TO MEET LONG-TERM GOALS (BEYOND 1985)

The expanded research and development program on which the nation is embarked will provide the basis for increasing domestic energy supplies and maintaining energy independence. It will also make it possible in the long run for the U.S. to export energy supplies and technology to others in the free world: Important elements are:

- (A) Synthetic Fuels Program (Administrative) -- The President announced a National Synthetic Fuels Commercialization Program to ensure at least one million barrels per day equivalent of synthetic fuels capacity by 1935, using technologies now nearing commercial application.
- 1. Synthetic fuel types to be considered will include synthetic crude from oil shale and a wide range of clean solid, liquid, and gaseous fuels derived from coal.
- 2. The Program would entail Federal incentives (possibly including price guarantees, purchase agreements, capital subsidies, leasing programs, etc.), granted competitively, and would be aimed at the production of selected types of gaseous and liquid fuels from both coal and oil shale.
  - 3. The program will rely on existing legislative authorities, including those contained in the Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974, but new legislative authorities will be requested if necessary.
    - (B) Energy Research and Development Program -- In the current fiscal year, the Federal Government has greatly increased its funding for energy research and development programs. These Federal programs are a part of a much larger national energy R & D effort and are carried out in conceration with industry, colleges and universities and others. The President stated that his 1976 Budget will continue to emphasize these accelerated programs with include research and the development of technology for energy conservation and on all forms of energy including fossil fuels, nuclear fission and fusion, solar and geothermal.
    - (C) Energy Research and Development Aministration -- (ERDA). The President has signed an Executive Order which activates, effective January 19, 1975, the Energy Research and Development Administration. ERDA will bring together in a single agency the major Federal energy R & D programs which will have the responsibility for leading the national effort to develop technology to assure that the U.S. will have an ample and secure supply of energy at reasonable prices. ERDA consolidates major R & D functions previously handled by the AEC, Department of the Interior, National Science Foundation and Environmental Protection Agence ERDA will also continue the basic research, nuclear materials production and weapons programs of the AEC.

#### IMPACTS OF NEAR AND MID-TERM ACTIONS ON PETROLEUM CONSUMPTION AND IMPORTS

#### NEAR TERM PROGRAM (MMB/D)

CONSUMPTION IF NO NEW ACTIONS IMPORTS IF NO NEW ACTIONS	1975 18.0 6.5	1977 18.3 8.0
Less Service Savings by Short-term Actions:		SAVINGS 1977
Production from Elk Hills Coal Conversion Tax Package	0.2 0.1 0.9	0.3 0.3 1.6
TOTAL IMPORT SAVINGS	1.2	2.2
REMAINING IMPORTS	5.3	5.8
MID-TERM PROGR CONSUMPTION IF NO NEW ACTIONS IMPORTS IF NO NEW ACTIONS	23.9 M 12.7 M	5
Less Savings Achieved by	1025 1	MPACT
Following Actions:  OCS Leasing NPR-4 Development Coal Conversion Synthetic Fuel Commercializatio Auto Efficiency Standards Continuation of Taxes Appliance Efficiency Goals Insulation Tax Credit Thermal Standards	ON IMP  1.5 2.0 0.4 0.3 1.0 2.1 0.1	ORTS
OCS Leasing NPR-4 Development Coal Conversion Synthetic Fuel Commercializatio Auto Efficiency Standards Continuation of Taxes Appliance Efficiency Goals Insulation Tax Credit Thermal Standards	ON IMP  1.5 2.0 0.4 0.3 1.0 2.1 0.3 0.3	ORTS
OCS Leasing NPR-4 Development Coal Conversion Synthetic Fuel Commercialization Auto Efficiency Standards Continuation of Taxes Appliance Efficiency Goals Insulation Tax Credit Thermal Standards Total Import Savings by Actions Remaining Imports	ON IMP  1.5 2.0 0.4 0.3 1.0 2.1 0.3	ORTS 8.0
OCS Leasing NPR-4 Development Coal Conversion Synthetic Fuel Commercialization Auto Efficiency Standards Continuation of Taxes Appliance Efficiency Goals Insulation Tax Credit Thermal Standards  Total Import Savings by Actions  Remaining Imports Less:	ON IMP  1.5 2.0 0.4 0.3 1.0 2.1 0.3	ORTS 8.0 4.7
OCS Leasing NPR-4 Development Coal Conversion Synthetic Fuel Commercialization Auto Efficiency Standards Continuation of Taxes Appliance Efficiency Goals Insulation Tax Credit Thermal Standards  Total Import Savings by Actions  Remaining Imports  Less: Emergency Storage	ON IMP  1.5 2.0 0.4 0.3 1.0 2.1 0.3 0.3	ORTS 8.0 4.7

MARKET OF THE BEING

# INTERNATIONAL ENERGY POLICY AND FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

#### BACKGROUND

The cartel created by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) has successfully increas d their governments' price for exports of oil from approximately \$2 per barrel in mid-1973 to \$10 per barrel today. Even after paying for their own increased imports, OPEC nations will report a surplus of over \$60 billion in 1974, which must be invested. Oil price increases have created serious problems for the analysis world economy. Inflation pressures have been intensified. Domestic economies have been disrupted. Consuming nations have been reluctant to borrow to finance their oil purchases because of current balance of payments risks and the burden of future interest costs and the repayment of massive debts. International economic relations have been distorted by the large flows of capital and uncertainties about the future.

Reference to 1,440

to star rougations

Philips Wathing Tib.

#### U.S. POSITION

The United States believes that the increased price of oil is the major international economic problem and has proposed a comprehensive program for reducing the current exorbitant price. Oil importing nations must cooperate to reduce consumption and accelerate the development of new sources of energy in order to create the economic conditions for a lower oil price. However, until the price of oil does decline, international stability must be protected by financing facilities to assure oil importing nations that financing will be available on reasonable terms to pay for their oil imports. The United States is active in developing these financing programs. Once a cooperative program for energy conservation and resource development and the interim financing arrangements are agreed upon, it will be possible to have constructive meetings with the oil producers. signa v solocita estit i

### ACTIONS TAKEN BY OIL CONSUMING NATIONS

The oil consuming nations have already created the International Energy Agency to coordinate conservation and resource development programs and policies for reacting to any future interruption of oil exports by producing nations. The four major elements of this cooperative program are:

An emergency sharing arrangement to immediately reduce member vulnerability to actual or threatened embargoes by producers;

A long-term cooperative program to reduce membernation dependence on imported oil,

A comprehensive information system designed to improve our knowledge about the world oil market and to provide a basis for consultations among members and individual companies; and

A framework for coordinating relations with producing nations and other less developed consuming countries.

The International Energy Agency has been established as an autonomous organization under the OECD. It is open to all OECD nations willing and able to meet the obligations created by the program. This international agreement establishes a number of conservation and energy resources development goals but each member is left free to determine what domestic measures to use in achieving the targets. This flexibility enables the United States to coordinate our national and international energy goals.

#### OTHER U.S. ACTIONS AND PROPOSALS

The United States has also supported programs for protecting international stability against distorting financial flows created by the sudden increase of oil prices. Although the massive surplus of export earnings accumulated by the producing nations will have to be invested in the oil consuming nations, it is unlikely that these investments will be distributed so as to match exactly the financing needs of individual importing nations. Fortunately the existing complex of private and official financial institutions has, in the case of the industrialized countries, been effective in redistributing the massive oil export earnings to date. However, there is concern that some individual industrialized nations may not be able to continue to obtain needed funds at reasonable interest rates and terms during the transition period until supplies are increased, conservation efforts reduce oil imports and the price of oil declines. Therefore, the United States has supported various proposals for "reshuffling" the recycled funds among oil consuming nations, including:



Modification of International Monetary Fund (IMF) rules to permit more extensive use of existing IMF resources without further delay:

Creation of a financial solidarity facility as a "safety net" for participating OECD countries that are prepared to cooperate in an effort to increase conservation and energy resource development actions to create pressure to reduce the present price of oil;

Establishment of a special trust fund managed by the IMF which would extend balance of payments assistance to the most seriously affected developing nations on a concessional basis not now possible under IMF rules. The United States hopes that oil exporting nations might contribute a major share of the trust fund and that additional resources might be provided through the sale of a small portion of the IMF's gold holdings in which the differential between the original cost of the gold and the current market price would be added to the trust fund; and

An increase in IMF quotas which would make more resources available in 1976.

These proposals will be discussed at ministerial level meetings of the Group of Ten, the IMF Interim Committee and the International Monetary Fund/International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Committee in Washington, D.C. January 14 to 17.

In these meetings, the United States will continue to press its views concerning the fundamental importance of international cooperation to achieve necessary conservation and energy resources development goals as a basis for protecting our national security and underlying economic strength.