The original documents are located in Box 12, folder "National Governors' Conference, 1975" of the Robert T. Hartmann Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box 12 of the Robert T. Hartmann Files at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

PLEASE CREDIT ANY QUOTES OR EXCERPTS FROM THIS NBC RADIO AND TELEVISION PROGRAM TO "NBC'S MEET THE PRESS."

MEET THE PRESS

Produced by Lawrence E. Spivak SUNDAY, JUNE 8, 1975

GUESTS:

Gov. Calvin L. Rampton (Utah)

Gov. Wendell R. Anderson (Minn.)

Gov. Christopher S. Bond (Mo.)

Gov. James B. Longley (Me.)

Gov. Reubin O'D. Askew (Fla.)

Gov. Edwin W. Edwards (La.)

MODERATOR:

Lawrence E. Spivak

PANEL:

David S. Broder - The Washington Post R. W. Apple, Jr. - The New York Times Neal R. Peirce - The National Journal Bill Monroe - NBC News

> This is a rush transcript provided for the information and convenience of the press. Accuracy is not guaranteed. In case of doubt, please check with MEET THE PRESS



MR. SPIVAK: The 67th Annual Meeting of the National Governors' Conference begins tomorrow here in New Orleans and with us today for a special full hour edition of MEET THE PRESS are six of the nation's governors.

R 12 A

We will have the first questions now from Bill Monroe of NBC News.

MR. MONROE: Governor Rampton, government experts believe the economy may begin a slow recovery this month but the unemployment figures released just the other day were the worst since 1941.

As you see the economic picture from your state, do you believe the Federal Government should do anything more to help the economy and, if so, what?

GOVERNOR RAMPTON: I would like to have seen the President sign the Public Works Bill that was vetoed and failed to pass over a veto by a very narrow margin just a few days ago. We do not have in my state as high an average unemployment as exist in the nation as a whole. However, we could well use some of the public works that were provided for in that bill. The President vetoed it, I understand, on the grounds that it would take effect and have its maximum application after be hoped the economy would have recovered anyway.

MR. SPIVAK: Gwernor Anderson?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON; I feel it was a tragic mistake for the

A

K

President to have vetoed the bill.

Senator Javits, Republican from New York, has said, with eight and a half million people unemployed, that unemployment is just tearing the social fabric of this country apart and in the big cities of this country we have young blacks, half of whom are unemployed.

I don't think we can tolerate that and I think the Congress and the President have to get together in a Public Works program that will put people back to work.

B

3 3



9.65

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Bond.

upheld that veto because I think we are beginning to realize that the Federal Government cannot insure a healthy economy. Public works projects are merely a short term, temporary solution. I think part of the problem has been unwise Federal policies which have contributed to the inflation and the economic downturn. I think the economy, itself, is going to be the thing that creates new jobs, not Federal projects and public works programs.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Longley, do economic conditions in your state suggest the Federal Government should do more about the economy?

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: I think it is about time the Federal
Government got government back to the people, and I think
the days of Roosevelt are very, very important at this point.
That under the Roosevelt Administration we had work projects
with an honor from the standpoint of welfare recipients.
Under the present program I think it is very easy, particularly
on a partisan basis to be critical of the President, but I
think we need to couple works projects with a hard look
at welfare programs that reward people more for not working
than they get for working.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Askew.

GOVERNOR ASKEW: I believe the Congress and the

President should now get together now that they have upheld the President's veto on the job bill, and obviously he is willing to go with something less, and I think it is a very pressing problem, and I think it is important now for both of them to come together with some program that they can agree on and put into law so hat it can help stimulate the economy.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Edwards, what action if any would you like to see from the Federal Government?

GOVERNOR EDWARDS: They could repeal the tax reform measure and rebate which was just passed, which I think is counterproductive. I think they should recognize you can't create permanent wealth by passing laws, no matter how well written they are or how fine the paper on which they are written. Productivity alone will effectively stimulate the economy over the long range. Production of national resources farm products, forestry products and products of the sea putting people to work, making things, doing things, producing things will create some permanent wealth and really stimulate the economy.

(ANNOUNCEMENTS)

23

200

3

5

B

10

11

12

13

14

9.55

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

MR. BRODER: GovernOr Rampton, the theme of your conference this year is states' responsibility to local government. With the recession in the state it is, are the states really in any position to offer the cities anything more than good wishes?

Money for the local governments. That is on a revenue-sharing basis but keep this in mind: The cities and counties are creations of the state. We have got to be sure that we give them the means to perform the programs for which we assign them the responsibility and so what we are looking at here and what we have been looking at in our research for the last year is what must we do for the cities and the counties in order to allow them to perform these functions and not have to look around the states to the federal government.

Now, it means partly money but it means more than that; it means authority.

MR. BRODER: When you say it means authority, you conceded in a speech, I think that you made last year, that much of the reason for the cities' running to Washington for help was the fault of the state government --

GOVERNOR RAMPTON: That is absolutely right.

MR. BRODER: What reason is there to think that that attitude is changing?

GOVERNOR RAMPTON: Well, I hope we can change it through the Governors' Conference. That is the reason we have been working

1 2

on this problem for the last year. That has been the principal task of our staff. There will be a proposed publication released here tomorrow and, after reaction to that proposal by the Governors and by various officers of local government, we hope to put out a handbook and perhaps even a proposed uniform local government code that state legislatures can consider and with appropriate changes to meet their local needs and act and, as I say, it is partly money, but it is not all a question of money.

MR. APPLE: Governor Anderson, the Democratic Governors played some important role at the Mid-Term Convention in Kansas City. I wonder whether you are going to try to play any kind of role at the National Convention, the Democratic National Convention, next year, and, if so, what?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: I hope we do and I hope we play a role by getting more Democratic Governors involved in the primary system. I would like to see someone like Governor Askew or Cal Rampton or Noel from Rhode Island, or any of the Governors from the bigger states involve themselves by becoming candidates in the presidential primaries.

I think under our so-called reforms, of course, our primaries are far less open. It is much more difficult for a new candidate to get involved in the primary system but in spite of that I hope that these governors would become candidates.

MR. APPLE: You come from a state that has produced a few

presidential candidates in recent years. What about Governor Anderson as a favorite son?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: Senator Mondale was a candidate and withdrew. Senator Humphrey has indicated he will be available in the event of a draft at the convention. Jim McCarthy may very well become a candidate. I think that is enough from our state.

MR. APPLE: Do you expect Mr. Humphrey to control the Minnesota delegate to the convention?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: No one ever controls the delegates from Minnesota. I think there will be a lot of natural respect and admiration I think for the most distinguished legislator in the 20th Century.

MR. PIERCE: Governor Bond, I would like to ask you a question as head of the Republican Governors. The Pepublican party used to be known as the party of fiscal integrity and balanced budget, but this year we are seeing Democratic Governors like Dukakis in Massachusetts and Brown in California, and Lucey in Wisconsin, cut back very heavily on expenditures and sound like old-fashioned Republican conservatives on spending. Is this going to steal some of the thunder of Republican candidates trying to win back the governorships where they are at such a low level now?

GOVERNOR BOND: No, I think we are very delighted to see the others recognizing the principles on which we have stood for

J

of the need for sound fiscal policies in Congress and I think much of the battle will go on in the years ahead as we try to reestablish on a national basis the understanding of the limits on government's power and its unfavorable impact when it extends too far.

I think this could be the real issue for the late 70s and 80s and beyond. I think the realization at the states is a first step toward a national understanding of the limitation.



MR. PEIRCE: Let's take the Republican Party problems nationally

if we could for a moment. The conservatives in the party are calling for an open convention next year. Only 16 of 38 Republican Senators would sign an open letter endorsing Mr. Ford's renomination and reelection right now.

Would you as Governor of Missouri feel comfortable running with President Ford as head of the ticket next year, or would you be willing to be the Chairman of his reelection drive in the state?

GOVERNOR BOND: At this point I think the President is gaining in popularity. I think that his prospects look very good. I believe that his strong stand for an energy policy and international issues are going to continue to strengthen his position, and I would expect that he would be in a very strong shape this time next year.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Bond, earlier this year you said, "I assume Mr. Ford will be running, will be renominated and will be reelected." How sure are you of that today?

GOVERNOR BOND: More sure than I was when I said that.

MR. SPIVAK: Upon what do you base your belief of that; that he would be reelected; that he would be renominated?

GOVERNOR BOND: I think the response of the people around the country is more faorable towards the President. I believe that his setions in the energy program, for example — he has said, "I have an energy program, we must get about the business."

3 .

20.

He set forth a strong program, and I believe there has been little to challenge it. Nobody else has come forward with a program. Nobody else has come forward, I think, with any type of challenge.

MR. SPIVAK: You did hedge your statement though a little bit later when you said, "If President Ford can show the American people some success in dealing with their problems," you thought he might be reelected.

Which of the major problems would you say President Ford has dealt with successfully?

I think he gained a great deal of respect for his response in the Cambodian incident. I believe that his insistence upon a Federal energy policy has done a great deal to show that he does have the qualities of leadership. I would expect that he would continue to deal with the problems of the economy, as I said earlier. I don't believe that public works projects alone are the answer. The free enterprise system, private industry and private employers are going to have to provide the jobs that bring us out of the recession, and I think he realizes that.

MR. MONROE: Governor Longley, I would like to ask you a question as head of what might be described as the Independent Governors' Conference. Do you feel that in your politics you have anything in common with George Wallace, in the sense

(3. FORD)

ß

2.2

that he has shown a lot of independence of party in the past?

He is looked on as voicing complaints of the people and of

being somewhat anti-establishment.

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: If I am head of the independent group here it will be a unanimous vote, obviously, as the only independent governor present.

I think Governor Wallace's emergence very interesting and in part I think an indication that we are suffering lack of leadership to the extent that he is emerging so strongly at this point. I don't in any way mean to discredit this man. I admire his courage. I think he is a very courageous American. But I think also the two parties, particularly the Democratic Party, the last time, failed to hear what he was hearing from the people. There was a similarity to what I heard as a former Democrat, now an independent governor of my state.

I think people want more law and order. I think people want more fiscal responsibility. I think people want welfare or aid to those that really need it, but I think America is sick and tired of supporting the ne'er-do-well, the person who really is looking for the system to use rather than serve. And I think Governor Wallace is very interesting to watch. Although we have many philosophical differences, I admire his courage.

MR. MONROE: Do you think there is much

No.

possibility of a third party in 1976 that might have the support of persons like Governor Wallace and yourself and Ronald Reagan?

the Governor of Maine, it is the first time in public life,

I had never run for public office before I was elected governor,
and I am trying very hard to be the best possible Governor of
Maine. But let me address another question more specifically:
As the only person on this platform that is neither Republican
or Democrat, I think America is indeed fortunate to have
President Ford, and if I can take a liberty and respond to
the previous question, I think he has brought integrity and
faith in government back into America, which we need so badly.
If he did nothing more than to bring this forward in America
at this very difficult time in our history, I think America
is very fortunate today to have President Ford.

MR. MONROE: You used to be a Democrat. Are you now in a transition between the Democratic Party and the Republican Party?

at this time a fiscal conservative but a very liberal humanitarian.

And I think this is what I see in the Democratic Party serving

the people greater than the Republican Party has in the past.

A. FORDE

A

9.1

But I think we need to take a very close look at what the Republican Party has stood for from the standpoint of fiscal responsibility. Some people might say today that Roosevelt could be a Republican.

MR. BRODER: Governor Askew, Senate Majority Leader
Mansfield said this week that he could not support or even
vote for a Democratic ticket in 1976 that included George
Wallace in either first or second place.

As a fellow southern governor you know George Wallace better than most of the Washington politicians. Do you think his views are so far outside the mainstream, as Senator Mansfield said, that the Democratic Party and you personally could not accept him on the ticket?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: Well, I think that there are some views that I think might be out of the mainstream. I think there are many of his views that are in the mainstream. Democrats are constantly asked this question, Mr. Broder, and I believe that the answer would be much simpler when Governor Wallace indicates that he will support the Democratic nominee, regardless of who that nominee is, and will stay in the Democratic Party. I believe this is a reluctance of a lot, of saying they would or wouldn't support him as a nominee of the Democratic Party.

MR. HRODER: Are you asking him in effect then to take a loyalty oath if he becomes a candidate for the Democratic

9.0

9 9

nomination?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: I think that the two party system, Mr.

Broder, has served this country well. I would hope very
much that we do not go into any type of a third party. The
difference in this country, with so many other countries in
the Western World has been the fact that we had a two-party
system. It has granted stability. We haven't had to
have coalitions of minorities to sit as a President, although
we have had some minority presidents, even in the two-party
system. But I believe that we should stick to the two-party
system, and I am happy that Governor Reagan I think is indicating pretty much that he intends to stay within the Republican
Party, and I would hope that Governor Wallace, if he seeks
the nomination, would stay within the Democratic Party.

MR. BRODER: But the former Democrat who is sitting right next to you just said the Democratic Party didn't hear what the people were saying, and that George Wallace was expressing. Do you think that is correct?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: Oh, I think to a large degree, I think the Democratic Party got away from the mainstream of the thought of American life, and I believe that is is moving back toward it.

MR. APPLE: Governor Edwards, your colleague, Mr. Anderson just suggested a few minutes ago that he thought it would be a good idea to have some favorite sons in the Presidential

5.

race. Do you think that in Louisiana, or elsewhere in the South, having favorite sons would be a useful stop-Wallace technique?

GOVERNOR EDWARDS: Certainly it would, because I think
Governor Wallace would go to the Convention with the large
percentage of his support coming from southern areas, but I
don't view that favorite son thing as something I would want
to engage in. That is an exercise in futility, unless a
person can project himself as a favorite son of more than one
state. I mean this business of just going to the convention
to have your name put on the ballot is a futile experimentation. My own view is simply that the delegates from Louisiana will go to the Convention, both of them, with a view to
nominating the person who best represents the hopes and aspirations of America.

MR. APPLE: Well, now, you have indicated that you like Senator Bentsen for President, I believe. Do you think in Louisiana Senator Bentsen has the remotest chance of taking delegates away from George Wallace?

GOVERNOR EDWARDS: No, sir, I do not.

MR. PEIRCE: Governor Rampton, you are now one of the two senior governors in the United States, and of course chairman of the National Governors Conference. During these years that you have been in state government has the flow of power in the United States moved back towards the states at

STORD SERVICE

ß.

约

Cò

9.4

9.55

all or is it still towards the Potomac?

GOVERNOR RAMPTON: NO, I think it is moving back towards the States. I think it is evident in many things that are happening. Where new Federal programs are instituted, at the present time the state is being charged with the responsibility of administering most of them. Not only under the direction of State governments, but often with local citizen councils directing the operation of the program.

I think there is a decided decentralization of power going on, not only a movement from Washington back to the states, but the states are taking a leaf from that book and moving authority from the state government back to the counties and cities. Centralization was going on both on a national level and on a state level.

MR. PEIRCE: f this decentralization is taking place in real power and authority, why do half the positions of the National Governors Conference still really end up in asking for a bigger dole from Washington?

GOVERNOR RAMPTON: I think that is not a fair statement.

Now we have our mid-winter governors conference in

Washington which is devoted very largely to the relationship

of the state governments to the federal government. Our mid
summer conference, which this is, is devoted more to our inter
nal affairs, and what we should do. But really there is

not much excitement in that program for you fellows, so you concentrate on our relationship with the federal government. You

give an undue emphasis to that end of it. But most of our policy

A

positions have regard to what we do, ourselves, within the state government.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Rampton, you have said that the states have failed to meet their responsibilities to the units of local government and that the units should therefore turn to the federal government. What do you consider the tate's responsibility to the units of the governors?

available to units of local government some of the more productive means of taxation. The federal government has preempted the income tax field pretty well, although we do have some small state income taxes. The states have moved into the sales tax field, which is another big yielder of public revenue.

As a result, local government is left with the property
tax, which is the least productive, the most regressive and
the least responsive tax that there is. I think that we have got
to make a study and make some decisions for a restructuring of our
tax structure so that units of local government are not going
to be strapped right to the property tax.

MR. SPIVAK: Well, don't you think the states themselves have set a bad example for the units by turning so often to the federal government whenever they got into trouble?

GOVERNOR RAMPTON: Indeed, I do, and I think we are doing that less and less.

Now, you are going to find on the agenda of this meeting in the next three days some proposals where various governors

are going to urge legislation on the federal government.

I think you are going to find a resistance on the part of
many governors to endorse that though.

Let me take an example. The Governor of Oregon has indicated that he is going to propose a resolution here ?? supporting a national bottle bill similar to what is in effect in the state of Oregon. Although many of the governors favor that concept, I think you are going to see many of those favoring the concept, say the states should do it themselves. That is not a matter for the federal government.

I would hope more and more we would address the responsibility on a state level rather than to listen to Washington and again I say that applies not only to dollars, but it applies to many other programs also.

MR. MONROE: Governor Anderson, Democrats are sometimes accused of starting social welfare programs that don't always work and then not being able to stop them.

You said recently that the recession had been tough on state budgets and you said: Let us be grateful that we are forced now to re-examine under the harshest light every program, every habit, every commitment.

Have you re-examined any of your programs specifically in Minnesota, found them wanting and decided to phase them out?

GOVERN CR ANDERSON: Before responding to that, Mr. Apple

Я

said I said governors should get involved as favorite son candidates for president. I said much more than that.

I felt that additional number of Governors should get involved as full-fledged, announced candidates for President in primaries outside their own state.

With respect to your question, sir, I think there is a good deal of waste at the federal level and the state level.

I think there is no question but that the Congress, governors, state legislatures, should eliminate waste. If we find that a program has not worked, I think it should be eliminated.

In Minnesota, we brought in a group of outstanding businessmen to help us eliminate waste; it was very, very successful.

I wish the federal government would do the same.

MR. MONROE: Have you ended any existing programs?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: Yes, we have. But more importantly,

I think we are doing a better job of delivering services to

Minnesota because of the fact we have attempted to eliminate

waste, and there is still waste and it has to be a continuing

battle.

The point I would make though, I think there is much more waste in American dollars spent overseas than the American dollars that are spent domestically and I think a lot of Congressmen and a lot of public officials use examples of waste here locally to use arguments that we should stop

federal programs. I think the programs ought to be stopped where we have the most waste, dollars we send overseas.

In this quotation from you from a recent speech you talked as if were going to re-examine programs and were going to end some.

Specifically, what have you ended in Minnesota?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: Let me put it to you this way:

There were things we were doing that were creating great

waste, not so much in terms of eliminating a program, but where

we were not using good business practices. We used to take money

in Mirnesota, checks from taxpayers and not get any return /

in terms interest from the banks. We had some \$75 million in

state banks, not drawing any interest.

MR. MONROE: I am not sure from your answer so far that you have ended a single program.

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: Well, we have. Most of the programs we feel in terms of education and welfare have been working and the waste we have been talking about is the unwillingness of the state to do things in a businesslike fashion and that we are beginning to do.

MR. MONROE: What has been ended?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: In terms of a specific program? I can't think of anything in terms of a major program that has been eliminated. Some departments have been eliminated. We had a Department of Liquor Control; it has been eliminated.

a

A

MR. SPIVAK: Anything else?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: I can't think of anything right now, but there have been a half dozen departments, half dozen advisory units that have been eliminated.

MR. BRODER: Governor Bond, you have given a sort of endorsement to President Ford. Despite your personal feelings, do you think it would be a desirable thing for there to be an open Republican Convention in 1976, as a number of conservatives have suggested?

GOVERNOR BOND: I don't think anyone can assure a closed convention. I certainly wouldn't advocate that. I don't think that anything we do as state leaders or anything else is going to change the mood of the delegates.

I don't feel that there should be an absolute ban on opposing views. I would expect that beginning with our convention in '76, and hopefully going through the campaign, there will be a continuing dialogue about what course this nation is going to follow in the late 70s, 80s and 90s. This is the time.

We are coming to the end of the era of the recession, the end of the era of the Vietnamese War, and I hope that we are coming to the end of the era when we thought there was a federal solution for every problem. The more we discuss that beginning at the convention and going through the campaign, I think the better we all are going to be.

(Announcements.

Z.

B

MR. BRODER: Governor Bond, I confess I didn't understand your answer to my question before the break about an open convention. Do you favor an open convention in the sense of competition for the presidential and vice presidential nomination?

GOVERNOR BOND: Who can be against that?

MR. BRODER: In the earlier part of the program you said that jobs would have to come from the economy and you also praised President Ford's courage, I think, for his energy program. Would you explain why forcing up the price of every oil product in this country, that comes into this country, is going to help the economy recover?

GOVERNOR BOND: Two things. First I said that the long term economy recovery is going to have to come through productive employment and productive industry; not through public works jobs. And we see in Missouri already signs that we are turning the corner.

I have been emphasizing jobs in Missouri and we have been attracting new industry. We think this is the long range solution to the problem, and when the President takes steps to reduce the federal deficit, then he enables private industry, private employers, to expand job opportunities. Under our system when something is in scarce supply, as energy is now, we have a self-regulating mechanism where higher prices tend to encourage conservation and also stimulate new sources of energy.

This I think is absolutely essential. Some unwise federal

E

9.9

policies in the past have depressed the prices of energy and we acted like a country that had energy to burn. We don't have energy to burn any more and it is about time that the real value of energy was reflected inthe marketplace.

MR. APPLE: Governor Longley, I would like to ask you a question or two about your own plans.

First, some of your associates in Maine have been saying in the last week or so that you have some thoughts about running against Senator Muskie. Is there any truth to that?

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: There is no truth to that. / incidentally, Senator Muskie was one of our best governors and I think an outstanding Senator.

MR. APPLE: Is that endorsement?

R

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: No, it is not.

MR. APPLE: The Muskie-Ford ticket was about to emerge, I thought. I had great hope.

GOVERN CR LONGLEY: That might be an improvement.

MR. APPLE; Governor, what are you going to do, if anything, to try to extend this independent philosophy on which you were elected? Do you have any thoughts of organizing a third party, or an independent movement outside the State of Maine?

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: I was hoping, Mr. Apple, that we could return to a statement that was made here that the two-party system has served this country well, and then in response to a question of Mr. Monroe, as I recall, are you requiring a loyalty oath of Governor Wallace, I think they go together with

your question, if I may.

I think that the two-party system historically has served this country fairly well, but not recently. I think the choice of McGovern and Nixon in 1972 did not really provide this country much of a choice, and I think the two parties need to ask themselves some hard questions:

Are they serving the country well when they give the country that type of a choice?

I mean that as a challenge because hopefully the two parties will listen. I happen to feel that there is an emerging in that a segment of the population, and as I understand it, could very easily be No. 2 in position from the standpoint of enrollment.

I am talking about the unenrolled or independent voter.

I think this is a positive expression. I don't think it is a negative expression and I do think to some extent if I can take liberty with Mr. Broder's book, the party is over, but hopefully the two parties will emerge stronger and listen and if they don't I predict we will have a third party emerge very strong. It is in the aisles at the moment.

MR. APPLE: Do you plan or intend to try to act as a spokesman for these independents, for this second largest grouping as you describe it, in briging pressure on the two major parties?

GNOVERNOR LONGLEY: No, I do not. I said as a candidate for governor that I hoped to strengthen the two-party system.

ì

A

I genuinely hoped to do that in my first few months as governor. However, I now recognize for the first time in public life that the two-party system to some extent is subjected to pressure of special interests and the parties themselves represent a special interest. Just the question: Would we require a loyalty oath of Governor Wallace suggests this, and I say unless the two parties recognize that on occasion even people within that party had better start putting the country in a state, and every city and town in America above the party and recognize we must support the person, the best person possible.

If it happens to conflict with the party candidate then so be it. Otherwise I don't think the two-party system will survive as we know it today. I think we could see a third party or see a group replace an existing party.

9 1



MR. PEIRCE: Governor Askew, corrupt states can hardly
be a strong factor in the federal system, and your own personal
integrity, of course, has never been questioned a bit. But
much of the Florida state government seems awash in corruption
today. Three cabinet officers have been indicted, and one
convicted and sentenced; there have been impeachment trials
against high state officials; supreme court justices have
resigned under a cloud of impropriety. Now we hear of a new
wave of Florida land scandals. Can you tell us what is happening in Florida, what is the reason for this?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: Well, I would like to have a little caveat to begin with, Mr. Peirce, in that none of the ones you made mention of were appointed by me. They were elected officials. And I think it is to the credit of Florida that if there are any allegations of wrong-doing, that they be pursued, and that is exactly what has taken place in Florida. So I don't really believe that Florida is different from the country in the fact that they may have public officials who may betray their trust. The difference might be that we have pursued to make sure that they just didn't stand. It is one of the reasons, quite frankly, why I have felt so strongly on personal and full financial disclosure. have filed copies of my income tax return and statements of my both net worth/as a candidate, and every year as governor; and we made some improvements this time in the ethics law in Florida, and I am hopeful we can go to full financial disclosure

which is not an answer in and of itself, but I believe it would certainly restore some of the confidence for the people in gnovernment, not only in Florida but in the nation as well.

MR. PEIRCE: There were some allegations that this year's legislature was no longer the great reformed legislature that Florida has been talked of as having two or three years ago, but the pork chop gang, and the rural interests and the special interests had taken over again. You had a terrific battle to get any kind of ethics legislation at all. Is there a change in temper in the state now?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: I think it is more a change probably in the personality of leadership more than anything else, but we have accomplished substantial reform, as you well know, in Florida in the last four years, and we are going to continue that thrust.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Askew, wherever I go your name is mentioned for first place or second place nomination for the Democratic Party. And yet you have made it clear in unmistakable language that you are not interested in either a Presidential or Vice Presidential nomination. Now that you have made that clear, will you tell us why?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: Well, I guess I just don't want to go into national politics, Mr. Spivak. I don't aspire, and I simply don't want to be President or Vice President.

And I really feel it is a little presumptious for me to

Ą

assume that I ever could be, but I just really don't want it.

MR. SPIVAK: And yet you have indicated that you do have a great interest in what is happening to this country, and there is a great demand for national leaders. Why then do you persist in your position?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: Well, I guess it is what everybody really feels like that they should do in life, and I really don't think that is one of the things that I wish to do in life, Mr. Spivak. But I certainly don't plan on -- I do plan on having some part, I think, in the selection of leadership. But I just don't want that leadership to be me.



MR. BRODER: Governor Edwards, I would like to get you into the debate with your neighbors on the loyalty oath.

Do you think Governor Wallace, who you said would beat your own preferred candidate, Senator Bentsen here in Louisiana, do you think Governor Wallace ought to be asked to pledge his loyalty to the Democratic party?

Well, I think that's a paradox in itself. I mean GOVERNOR EDWARDS: / what kind of party would think about nominating somebody to lead the party on condition that he would swear to be loyal to the party? I mean that is to me a ridiculous syndrome. If the party doesn't have that kind of faith in a candidate, they have got no business giving him any serious consideration.

MR. BRODER: Do you have that kind of faith in Governor Wallace?

GCVERNOR EDWARDS: I don't view him at all as a possibility for the Democratic nomination for president or vice president.

MR. BRODER: Why is that, sir?

GOVERN CR EDWARDS: Because the make-up of the leadership in the Democratic party is such that it doesn't accommodate to Governor Wallace's views.

Now, in Louisiana 60 per cent of the people in the state
are locked into him and, as I said before, neither Senator

Bentsen nor Edwin Edwards or anybody else could erode that

support. The other 40 per cent would fragment among the various

candidates or go to one candidate, I don't know, but there is a

scattered around the country though it may be, who support

George Wallace, who lock him in and give him a frontrunner

position in popularity now because that is locked in to him but

that is the same reason that it ends his race, because his

well known posture on so many issues has locked him to a respect
able minority of people in the country. But that is as far as

he goes. He runs out of gas when he passes that group of

people and I think he will go to the convention with a respect
able group of delegates but end there.

MR. MONROE: Governor Rampton, a few weeks ago you were one of eight western Governors who asked President Ford to approve the strip mining bill. The President vetoed the strip mining bill. The Louseapparently will attempt to override the veto in the next couple of days. You have congressmen and senators from Utah who can tell you what is going to happen in Washington sometimes.

Do you think that the congress will override the President's veto?

President's veto. I endorsed the strip mining bill, really, as Chairman of the Governors Conference. As far as my own state is concerned, we have taken care of that matter with a stip mining bill that was passed by the Utah Legislature.

I would like to see all of the states move on the problem.

B

themselves, so I don't really feel as deeply about the federal strip mining bill as I do about some other matters before the Congress, but I did endorse it.

MR. MONROE: Certain fedearl officials say that that strip mining bill would cost 36,000 jobs. What about that argument?

GOVERNOR RAMPTON: I think it would not cost that many jobs.

I think it would cost no jobs at all in the long run, because the energy fuels are there; they have got to be recovered.

It is going to cost more without doubt to cover the cost of the strip mining bill, but I think that is a price that we must pay for recovering this energy fuel and it has got to be covered by the cost of the fuel so the price is going to be passed on to the consumer.

But in those states which have shallow deposits of fossil fuels, the ecological effect of recovering those without restoration is just too much to contemplate, so I think the bill either on a state or national level is an absolute must.

A. FORD SERVED

2 3

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Rampton, may I ask you a question and then run right down the panel on this, if I can get a brief answer from all of you:

The thing that concerns the American people very deeply today is in controversy today between politicians and economists and that is, have we touched bottom? Is the recession over? From what you see, do you think the recession is over, or do you think it is going to get worse?

but I think we may well have touched bottom. Now, this last month both the national unemployment rate and the rate within my home state went down again, but I have a feeling so far as the State of Utah is concerned, that so far this month we have been seeing an upturn, we have been seeing additional people go back to work. I have been able to sense a reduction of the load on our aid to dependent children, so I feel that we have bottomed out, Mr. Spivak. At least I hope so. And that the summer and fall should see some improvement.

MR. SPIVAK: May we have answers all down the line? Governor Anderson.

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: I think it is critical that the Federal Government establish as its goal to put everybody to work who is able and willing to go to work.

MR. SPIVAK: Do you think the recession is over?
GOVERNOR ANDERSON: No. sir.

3

4

53

6

7

R

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SP

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Bond?

GOVERNOR BOND: As I said earlier, I think we are beginning

to see the turning of the corner in the creation of new jobs

in our state.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Longley?

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: I don't think the recession is over.

but I think we are starting to move upwards at least in Maine hopefully the rest of the

and / the nation will be following suit. I do think

the government has the responsibility however to help put

people back to work. We can't wait for the private sector to

solve the problem.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Askew.

GOVERNOR ASKEW: There have been some good signs, Mr.

Spivak. I don't believe anyone can say with any absolute

assurance we have yet bottomed out. We hope we have.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Edwards.

GOVERNOR EDWARDS: It is clearly not over and will continue

to worsen until we address ourselves to the energy problems

in the country. As long as we are spending \$25 to 50 billion

a year which will escalate for the next ten years, importing

foreign oil at ridiculous prices, when we have it sitting in

the Atlantic Ocean, the Pacific and the Gulf of Mexico, we

are not going to resolve the problems of the economy in this

country.

MR. PEIRCE: Governor Anderson, according to the Commence

E.,

Department the average wages in state and local government are now up to 106 percent of the average in the private sector in the country. Yet government workers have much better of course pension benefits in many cases/through civil service much better job security. Don't you think the taxpayers around the country should be asking some rather serious questions about that imbalance?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: First of all, I think they should, but I want to make this observation: When I was first elected to the state legislature back in 1958, were having a turnabout over of our state employees/every three or four years because their pay was not commensurate with the same responsibility in private industry. We have made great strides. I think in some areas police and fire retirement benefits and so forth are low, and teachers deserve our close scrutiny. In other areas of public service I don't think the salary is adequate or commensurate with the same position in private industry.

MR. PEIRCE: Like many Democrats and some Republicans, in your last campaign you accepted contributions from the teachers and other government employee unions. Yet as Governor, either directly or indirectly, you are in a position of bargaining with those same employees on wages and benefits.

Doesn't that represent sort of an inherent conflict of interest, to accept contributions and then to be bargaining with these

people?

GOVERNOR ANDERSON: I think it does. Of course, we do have disclosure which I think is one safeguard, and the other thing we have in Minnesota is public financing. We have dollar checkoffs that goes not only to support the constitutional officers, but the legislature as well. We do not have that on the Congressional level. I think in the future it is going to alleviate the legitimate problem that you raise.

MR. PEIRCE: Would you favor a Minnesota law that would bar labor unions from making direct contributions to his campaigns?

GOERNOR ANDERSON: Not today, but I would if in fact public financing would raise the substantial amount of money it takes to run an effective campaign. We are just experimenting with it right now. We have only had it in existence for one year. But if we get to the point where public financing will raise 70 or 75 percent of the funds it takes, my answer will be yes.

MR. APPLE: Governor Bond, I am going to take one more try at what you would like to see happen in 1976. Let me start with this question: Would you like to see Governor Connally run for President?

GOVERNOR BOND: It doesn't make much difference to me.

If he wishes to run for President, that's fine.

A

7 8

MR. APPLE: Do you think it would be a healthy thing for Governor Connally or Governor Reagan to get into the race or not.

GOVERNOR BOND: I think that is up to them. They can

make that decision themselves. What I had wanted

to see in '76 if it would help in your understanding of my position, is an open discussion and a, well, a dialogue, if you want to use that phrase, on the issues, and the Federal programs for the next several generations, even. And I think it is a good opportunity.

MR. APPLE: You me going to have one candidate having a dialogue with himself.

GOVERNOR BOND: No, I think there will probably be others.

MR. APPLE: What do you think about the conservative move to get rid of Governor Rockefeller as Vice President?

GOVERNOR BOND: I think Governor Rockefeller has done an excellent job as Vice President. I think he can continue to serve. He was my first choice for Vice President, and I am quite happy with him.

MR. BRODER: Governor Longley, I would like to find out how Governor Rampton's proposal would work in the State of Maine. Are you prepared to turn over any of your present tax sources to the localities in Maine?

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: I think that both the federal government and the state government should serve as a conduit from the standpoint of revenue sharing back to the cities and ment back to the people by eliminating the categorical grants, whether we are talking on the federal level or the state level, and try to move to public works projects and local community projects as much as possible, by transmitting as much money as possible back to the cities and towns. Yes, I would support that approach.

MR. BRODER: The leaders of the legislature in Maine when I was up there charged that your budget, rather than doing that, would have the effect of putting a ceiling on state taxes, but forcing up the local property taxes to pay for such things as snow removal, which is fairly essential in Maine.

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: Mr. Broder, I am very proud, that
it looks like our Maine legislature is going to approve
the governor's budget, a balanced budget without a tax increase.
In these days of rising costs I think that is a major accomplishment for the people of Maine, and a tribute to our legislature.

MR. BRODER: What will that do to local property taxes?

GOVERNOR LONGLEY: But let me simply say I am not in agreement with the supposition advanced to you which is really a liberal element within the Democratic Party in the House. It is not supported by the House as a whole. I think as evidenced by the support of our budget. Because I am saying if we can transmit decision making back to the people, that we will have greater fiscal accountability and greater fis-

cal responsibility, and I think that is the key. I am not prepared

A

to support that it is a cutback. I think it is a definite improvement.

SA

MR. MONROE: Governor Askew, in your second inaugural address a few months ago, you talked about combating crime and you asked, among other things, for some real assurances that our system of justice will be just for black and for white, for rich and for poor, for the powerful and for the powerless. How do you get those assurances?

GOVERNOR ASKEW: Well, of course, you do your best to try
to make it work a little better by virtue of having more black
input at every level of government to the extent that it is
within your power to do so and to try to assure that the law is
administered fairly. It is no easy thing but certainly I was
elected the first time and re-elected without any commitments to
anyone except a single constituent so it has given me an
opportunity to be free in doing that. But it is a tremendous
goal but it is one that we are working towards in Florida.

MR. SPIVAK: Gentlemen, we have less than three minutes.

MR. PIERCE: Governor Edwards, a major theme of this conference is State Growth Policy and there are a lot of people who think there needs to be state-wide land use policies if you are going to prevent helter-skelter growth, or harm to the elicate ecology of a state like Louisiana. Yet your state, according to the state planning office, has no state-wide land use plan in effect or even in preparation from what I can gather. Can you tell us why?

GOVERNOR EDWARDS: I wouldn't think that would be a viable

else somewhere high up regulating everybody's lives, properties, dealings, futures and plans. We are going to look at our marshland because we believe that some state level, not federal level, and not local level, some state level planning for the maintenance of the marsh areas, the estuaries of the Gulf of Mexico are important but as far as Baton Rouge dictating to parishes, cities and local governments, what they should do with land areas, I think that is a trend in the wrong direction and I would not support it and I don't think the people of my state would want that sort of thing.

MR. SPIVAK: Governor Edwards, you are deeply concerned about the energy crisis. Do you have any new proposals, proposals that are workable to solve the energy crisis?

MR. EDWARDS: Absolutely. The Gulf of Mexico, the Atlantic Ocean and the Pacific Ocean. The best proposal we have would be to remove government interference with oil and gas companies in this country that are responsible for producing the enormous amounts of energy that have been produced in the past 40 years, allow these companies to go into the Atlantic Ocean and the Pacific Ocean in areas where it is expected there could be production and produce it. It would take a three to five-year lag time but we could produce almost enough energy from those areas to take care of our short-fall as we now experience it.

MR. APPLE: Governor Rampton, you said in an AP interview

(FORO E)

A

8 7

2.4

3 and

型

this week that all the presidential candidates, the Democratic presidential candidates, were going to fall from sheer fatigue. Who is going to replace them?

must have a quote from someone else, but I would agree with the statement because the task of going through these numerous primaries is exhausting. I think there will be additional candidates in the field to those that are now announced. However, I would expect whether he announces it or not that Ed Muskie will pick up a substantial number of supporters along the way.

MR. SPIVAK: I am sorry to interrupt but our time is up.

Thank you, gentlemen, for being with us today on MEET THE

PRESS.

(Next week: The Vice President of the United States, Nelson Rockefeller.)

