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The President has today signed into law H.R. 2, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. H.R. 2 establishes standards governing private pension plans, including reporting, disclosure, participation, vesting, funding, and fiduciary responsibilities, and authorizes a Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

BACKGROUND

The private pension system has played an increasingly important role in providing retirement income to Americans. Benefits paid out by the private pension system increased from $1.7 billion in 1960 to almost $7.4 billion in 1970. During this same period, private pension coverage increased from 21.2 million employees (36 percent of the private work force) to approximately 30 million workers (48 percent of the private work force.) Plan assets increased from $52 billion to $138 billion and are increasing at a rate of $12-$15 billion a year. It will not be long before such assets become the Nation's largest source of capital in the economy, and one which is largely unregulated.

BUDGET IMPACT

Since insurance claims for the first and future years of operation are indeterminate, it is not possible to estimate the direct budget impact of H.R. 2.

MAJOR PROVISIONS

While not requiring the establishment of pension plans, H.R. 2 would set up major new standards to govern the private pension area, with the goal of increasing the assurance that a worker will receive upon retirement the benefits he expects from a private pension plan.

New protections and guarantees for employees covered by private pension and welfare plans for their beneficiaries are provided in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 just enacted. Specifically the bill would:

-- extend the coverage of the existing private pension system to more workers by requiring earlier participation in plans

-- strengthen the pension obligations of employers by requiring earlier vesting and more adequate funding
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require that plan assets be managed prudently and in the interests of plan participants

insure that reasonable pension obligations will be met in the event that an employer sustains unexpected economic hardship or where there is a sharp decline in a plan's assets

assure that plan participants are fully informed of their rights and benefits

increase the fairness of the tax laws relating to qualified retirement plans by providing greater equality of treatment under plans for different taxpayers.

Other basic provisions of the new law are:

Eligibility to Participate -- In general, the new law provides that:

-- a person must be eligible to participate in a pension plan after that person is 25 years old and has worked for the employer for one year; however

-- certain plans may exclude from participation a person who starts a job within 5 years of normal retirement age.

Vesting -- Once an employee has achieved vesting, that individual has a nonforfeitable right to receive benefits at retirement age, wherever he or she may be working at the time. The new law requires that pension plans provide vesting that meets one of three minimum alternative standards.

-- a 5 to 15-year graded standard, under which partial vesting would result immediately after 5 years, rising gradually to full 100 percent vesting after 15 years service

-- a 10-year/100 percent standard which would provide full and immediate vesting after 10 years of covered service

-- a "rule of 45" standard which would provide vesting based upon both an employee's age and covered service.

These new standards would be in addition to the requirements of present law that an employee be 100 percent vested upon reaching normal retirement age.

Funding -- Funding is the process by which employers contribute to pension plans in order to assure that sufficient funds will be available to pay employees their earned benefits upon retirement. While present law requires employers to fund "normal costs" (costs attributable to current service) it does not require employers to fund the total cost of pension credits for prior service. Such unfunded "past service liabilities" may result in a loss of benefits to employees if the plan should fail. The new law requires that employers fund their past service obligations over a specified period of time in accordance with mandatory formulas.

Fiduciary standards -- In general, funds set aside to provide benefits to employees must be held in trust and used only to provide benefits and pay the necessary costs of running the plan. To protect against the mismanagement of plan funds, the new law sets standards for the conduct of the "fiduciaries" who manage plan assets.
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the bill requires fiduciaries to perform their duties solely in the interest of those covered by the plan "with the care, skill, prudence and diligence... that a prudent man... would use."

fiduciaries would be required to diversify plan assets to minimize the risk of large losses, except where it is clearly not prudent to do so.

the bill would prohibit fiduciaries and parties-in-interest from engaging in a number of prohibited transactions, generally involving self-dealing with plan assets.

**Reporting and Disclosure** -- Protection of the interests of plan participants and their beneficiaries requires that participants be informed of plan management and of their rights and benefits. The new law strengthens the reporting and disclosure requirements of existing law by:

- requiring that plans file an annual report with the Secretary of Labor, available for public inspection, containing detailed certified information on the financial transactions and status of the plan.
- requiring plan to furnish each participant with a summary plan description written in an easy to understand fashion.
- requiring plans to provide individuals who have terminated employment with a statement of their vested benefits in the plan.

**Plan Termination Insurance** -- The new law establishes a federally chartered insurance corporation within the Department of Labor, to be known as the Pension Benefit Guaranty Program. Under the basic insurance program provided by the corporation:

- vested benefits in defined benefit plans which terminate would be insured up to a limit of 100 percent of high-5 consecutive year wages or $750 per month, whichever is less.
- costs of the program would be paid, in part, by mandatory premiums levied on plans.
- an employer would be liable for the amount of insured benefits not covered by plan assets up to 30 percent of his net worth.

This basic program will go a long way towards assuring employees that they will receive their earned pension benefits, no matter what hardships may have befallen their employer or their pension plan.

**Individual Retirement Accounts** -- Any individual not covered by a private or public retirement plan can establish an individual retirement account (IRA) and contribute up to $1,500 annually. Moreover, the individual retirement account may be established by the employer or by the employee's union. Contributions are tax deductible and earnings are tax-free. The amount in the individual retirement account may be set aside in a special trusteed or custodial account with a bank, savings and loan, or credit union, and includes the investment in an annuity contract, or qualified retirement bonds.
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Portability -- If an employer distributes benefits immediately to a terminated employee, the employee may transfer his benefits into an individual retirement account to be held for his retirement. Moreover, if the employee later is employed by another employer maintaining a plan he is permitted to contribute the amount in his individual retirement account into the new employer’s retirement plan.

Limits on Contributions and Benefits for Self-Employed and Shareholder-Employees -- The maximum annual deduction to a plan for self-employed individual or a shareholder-employee is increased from the lesser of 10 percent or earned income to $2,500 to the lesser of 15 percent of earned income or $7,500.

Limits on Contributions and Benefits -- To be entitled to tax benefits a defined benefit pension plan (a plan which pays a specific benefit) may not pay an annual pension of more than 100 percent of salary or $75,000 per annum. Defined contribution plans, e.g. profit sharing plans, are limited to annual contributions no greater than 25 percent of salary or $25,000. The $75,000 and $25,000 figures are subject to a cost of living adjustment.

Enforcement -- The Department of Labor has principal enforcement responsibilities in the areas of reporting and disclosure and fiduciary standards. Civil penalties are authorized for violations in either area, and, in addition, criminal penalties are available for violations of the reporting and disclosure requirements. The Internal Revenue Service has principal enforcement responsibilities concerning the vesting, funding, participation standards and other tax related matters. The denial of special tax benefits under the Internal Revenue Code is the principal enforcement task. To assure that the tax treatment of retirement plans is uniform, the Service will establish an Office of Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations, headed by an Assistant Commissioner of Internal Revenue.
Mr. Speaker, my former colleagues in the Congress, Secretary Brennan, Secretary Dent, distinguished leaders in the labor movement, distinguished leaders in business:

It is a great privilege and pleasure for me to have the opportunity of participating in the signing of that massive bill. I think this is really an historic Labor Day -- historic in the sense that this legislation will probably give more benefits and rights and success in the area of labor management than almost anything in the history of this country.

I think it is historic, too, because that tremendous document is indicative of the kind of cooperation between the House and Senate, the House Committee on Ways and Means, and the Senate Committee on Finance, the House Committee on Education and Labor, and the Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare.

I think it is indicative of the kind of cooperation that can be achieved between labor and management. I know how hard and how long many people in the labor movement and management have worked to make sure that we came up with the right kind of legislation.

I think it is a good reflection on the relationship between the Executive Branch on the one hand and the Legislative Branch on the other. So when you add it all up, even though this is an extremely complicated piece of legislation, it has been the long labors of many, many people that have produced the kind of result that is good for America, and primarily for those who will be the ultimate beneficiaries of the legislation.
This legislation will alleviate the fears and the anxiety of people who are on the production lines or in the mines or elsewhere, in that they now know that their investment in private pension funds will be better protected, they have a vested right, they are certain, obviously, of better management of those funds.

It certainly will give to those 30-plus million American workers a greater degree of certainty as they face retirement in the future.

I do want to extend to all of you my congratulations and compliments. I do not think I have had a happier day than the opportunity today to see so many people who have worked so long on legislation of such great significance, and to have it happen on Labor Day is a tribute to the American process, a process which is good for all of us.

Thank you very, very much.
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THE WHITE HOUSE

STATEMENT BY THE PRESIDENT

Dramatic growth in recent years has thrust private pension plans into a central role in determining how older Americans live in their retirement years.

From 1960 to 1970, private pension coverage increased from 21.2 million employees to approximately 30 million workers. During this same period, assets of these private plans increased from $52 billion to $138 billion. And they are now increasing at a rate of $12-15 billion a year. It will not be long before such assets become the largest source of capital in our economy.

Yet, this same growth in pension plans has brought with it a host of new problems. Many workers have ultimately lost their benefits -- even after relatively long service -- because when they left jobs, they thereby gave up rights to hard-earned pension benefits. Others have sustained hardships because their companies folded with insufficient funds in the pension plan to pay promised pensions. In addition, some pension funds have been invested primarily for the benefit of the companies or plan administrators, not for the workers. It is essential to bring some order and humanity into this welter of different and sometimes inequitable retirement plans within private industry.

Today, with great pleasure, I am signing into law a landmark measure that may finally give the American worker solid protection in his pension plan.

Under this law, which is entitled The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, the men and women of our labor force will have much more clearly defined rights to pension funds and greater assurances that retirement dollars will be there when they are needed. Employees will also be given greater tax incentives to provide for their own retirement if a company plan is unavailable.

It is certainly appropriate that this law be signed on Labor Day, since this act marks a brighter future for almost all the men and women of our labor force.

There are seven essential parts to this legislation:

-- First, it establishes major standards for employee participation in private retirement plans, standards which encourage earlier participation by workers and longer periods over which benefits can be earned;

-- Second, and perhaps most important to those already under private pension plans, the new law establishes equitable standards for the "vesting" of retirement benefits. The standards under this law will assure to the greatest possible extent that a worker who participates in a plan actually receives some benefits from that plan and does not lose them because of punishing forfeiture standards or inadequate pension fund resources;
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Third, the act requires that the fiduciaries who control the pension funds act as reasonable and prudent men, discharging their duties solely in the interests of protecting the beneficiaries of the fund;

Fourth, the law will impose a high standard upon the operation of plans by making mandatory full disclosure of all information concerning the operations of the employer's retirement plan;

Fifth, the tax laws will be revised to provide more nearly equal treatment to different kinds of plans. The new law will encourage the self-employed to provide for their retirement by raising the limits on the amount of their income which may be contributed on a deductible basis to a retirement fund. It will also allow the one half of American employees not covered by private pension plans to enjoy equivalent tax advantages if they set up individual retirement accounts;

Sixth, as a final backstop to private pension plans, a federally sponsored, privately financed Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation will be set up to pay an adequate retirement benefit to those whose private pension funds have foundered and are not adequate for the beneficiaries; and,

Seventh, the act will establish a limited form of portability of pension benefits by allowing workers to transfer some of their pension benefits to other plans or to their individual retirement accounts.

Together these seven points add up to a better deal for American workers than they have ever known before in private pension plans.

I believe this act is a model of what can be done by the Government to improve the lives of Americans within the private sector without harming the dynamics of our free enterprise system.

I also believe that its passage is a model of cooperation and hard work between the executive and the legislative branches.

The act has its genesis in a message to the Congress by President Nixon on December 8, 1971. The legislation was and is extraordinarily complicated. It was worked on relentlessly by four congressional committees, House Ways and Means, House Education and Labor, Senate Labor and Public Welfare, and Senate Finance.

Individual members have devoted enormous effort to this bill. I believe we can all be proud that the Government has now taken action to make workers' lives more secure.
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MR. TER HORT: Before I go into a regular briefing, I know you want to have a briefing on the bill signing and the importance of the Pension Reform Act which the President signed in the Rose Garden. You were all there, obviously, so you know how that scene went.

Secretary Brennan planned to be out here but he has an appointment with the President, as you know from the schedule, and that conflicted with the delay and the ceremony in the garden, so he is in seeing the President now.

So handling the briefing this morning on the bill will be Under Secretary of Labor, Richard Schubert; Under Secretary of the Treasury, Stephan Gardner; Paul Fasser, who is Assistant Secretary of Labor for Labor Management Relations; and Donald Alexander, the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service.

You have the Presidential statement, I believe, and a fact sheet.

So, Mr. Schubert.

MR. SCHUBERT: Thank you.

The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 is a significant new building block in the social structure of this nation.

It represents the concern of Congress and the Executive Branch for the future security of workers and their families -- for those rights which are earned by the skill and expertise which make this country run.
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It has been a great concern to government, and to all American citizens, that some workers devote years to their jobs, to their careers and to their employers, only to find the expected rewards of work in their old age denied them when their employment is terminated.

Now that pension reform has become a reality, these workers can reach their later years with much greater assurance that they will not face economic hardship and despair.

About 35 million persons and nearly 2 million private pension and welfare plans will be affected by the provisions of this new law.

It requires of those who administer private pension and welfare plans the following:

First, that the monies contributed to pension plans be in hand to pay benefits when they are due;

Second, that a person who works for a certain minimum amount of time be assured of collecting the pension benefits earned during that period when the person reaches retirement age -- regardless of where he or she is.

Third, that funds of employee benefit plans be handled in a prudent manner and exclusively in the interest of the workers and their families;

Fourth, that workers covered by these plans have quick and easy access to the information they need about their benefits, the operations of their plans, and that their rights to benefits and information be enforceable under Federal law in Federal courts.

And finally, through an insurance corporation established within the Department of Labor, certain covered workers' pension benefits are now guaranteed up to a maximum amount in the event the pension plan is terminated.

The private pension and welfare system in this country is remarkably large and complex. By 1980, it is anticipated that the system will have assets of $250 billion, by far the largest single body of private funds in the world. We look to this law to improve the security and guarantees of this system and we do intend to see to it that the many provisions of the law will set forth, in plain language, the rules of the game for all to understand.
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In this spirit, private industry and workers can strengthen their partnership so that it pays fair dividends to each.

This strengthening is possible because of the efforts of many concerned Americans who worked diligently to produce a law which would be fair to both workers and their employers, and which would leave flexibility for an even better private employee benefit plan system in the future.

I wish to commend the cooperative efforts of the interagency task force and of the staffs of several committees and many individual Members of the Congress which went into the development of this legislation. We want especially to single out for commendation the employees of the U.S. Department of Labor whose tireless and dedicated efforts over several years have to such a large extent been responsible for this achievement.

The Employee Retirement Income Security Act is a symbol of how we must continue working together if we are to gain the prosperity that each of us seeks.

Now, as indicated at the outset, I have a team of people who are assisting me in this briefing today and I think each one notes some special passing reference.

As indicated, Stephan Gardner, who is the Deputy Secretary of Treasury, is with us; and Donald Alexander, the Director of IRS; Paul Fasser of Labor-Management Relations within the Department of Labor; Bill Kilberg, who is your solicitor; and two of the lawyers from the Department of Labor who have lived with this bill from its very inception and who probably have contributed more from a legal standpoint than any other two attorneys working on this bill in the Executive Branch.

Now, gentlemen, it is a pleasure to be with you and try to answer some of your questions.

Q Mr. Schubert, is there anything in this legislation that covers in an ex post facto way people who have lost their pension rights in the past?

MR. SCHUBERT: The only thing in the bill that provides for retroactive application relates to plans that have terminated between July 1, 1974 and the enactment date. And those plans, in a very special way, are covered by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation title of the bill.
Other than that, it is prospective in application and in fact in your briefing materials you will find indication of when the various titles and provisions of those titles take effect.

Q How soon will the Guaranty Corporation be in business?

MR. SCHUBERT: It will be in business tomorrow morning. In fact, technically it was in business as of the time that the President signed the bill.

Let me give a word of background in that regard, and also extend our great appreciation to a number of people who have made this all possible.

We anticipated, frankly, as we followed the progress of the early part of the legislation through Congress, that this corporation whose prime responsibility it is to ensure benefits, would take effect or become effective perhaps as much as a year after enactment date.

It was about three or so months ago that we realized that we would have a corporation effective on enactment date and in fact some indication that it would be effective retroactively. And we put together a group of teams with a nucleus from the Labor Department, but very strong assistance from IRS and Commerce and OMB, and they have been literally working night and day so that we would be prepared tomorrow morning with people detailed from various operations within the Government to begin the process that is the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

We did not have the authority to hire any permanent employees. We will be doing that and putting them in the organizational boxes that we have created.

Q Has a director been chosen?

MR. SCHUBERT: No, a director has not been chosen. The structure of the corporation is this: There is a threeman board of directors. The Secretary of Labor is the statutory designated chairman of the board, the Secretary of Treasury and the Secretary of Commerce are the other two directors of the corporation.

Our organizational sense provides for an executive director to be the operational head, the working head reporting to the chairman and the board of directors. We are in the process of searching for the ideal candidate for that job.
Q How much money in premiums does the corporation expect to collect in the first year of operation?

MR. SCHUBERT: The corporation's premium structure is oriented to a very simple formula — $1 per head for single employer plans, and 50 cents per head, as I recall, for multi-employer plans.

It is anticipated that the corporation will be self-financing.

At the outset, however, obviously there is the need for capital to run the corporation and the corporation has the authority to borrow up to $100 million from the Treasury Department in a line of credit type operation. We will be getting premium notices out.

I am not sure whether any calculation has been done, gentlemen, on how much we expect in the first year in premiums. Any idea on that?

About $23 million, it is suggested.

Q $1 per hour and 50 cents per hour?

MR. SCHUBERT: No; $1 per head for employees per year for employees covered under single employer plans, and 50 cents per employee for all employees covered under multi-employer plans.

Q Does the Department have any idea what their expanded operations are going to cost in terms of administrative expenses? I understand you are going to need supplemental appropriations.

MR. SCHUBERT: We are working on a supplemental now.

Let me make a couple of things clear in this regard. There are five or six large or major components in this legislation. Participation, vesting, and funding are the primary responsibility of the Department of Treasury.

The reporting and disclosure and fiduciary are the Department of Labor, within house, as it were, within the Department of Labor and then the Pension Benefits Guaranty Corporation is to be housed within the Department, and will be serviced in many ways by the Department of Labor.
We are in the process of developing a pension supplemental for the Department of Labor functions with regard to the Pension Benefit Corporation. We have developed an initial projection with regard to staff needs for the first year. Very frankly, we need more experience before we can harden up some of those figures.

Q You spoke of a flexibility for an even better private employee benefit system in the future. What provisions might be added in subsequent years by Congress?

MR. SCHUBERT: I think clearly one of the intents of this legislation is not to restrict or constrict employers and collective bargaining agents from developing better forms of vesting, higher forms of benefit levels, greater degrees of protection.

I know that that was one of the considerations in the mind of the Congressional drafters and in our minds as we provided technical assistance to them.

I should note one other thing. There is also conscious concern expressed in the legislation not to inhibit the creation of new plans in the future.

This bill does not necessitate the creation of pension coverage where it currently does not exist. At the same time, there was a clear concern that we not do anything to inhibit that development in the private sector.

Q Mr. Schubert, have you made any kind of an estimate as to how many plans will be disbanned as a result of this legislation?

MR. SCHUBERT: If by disbanned you mean how many plans will be terminated, the only experience we have to base our operational projections on is a study made by the Department of Treasury and the Department of Labor, I believe based on '72 experience, and it is being updated into '73 experience.

Based on that data, I believe we anticipated something like 720 -- 1,200 terminations perhaps in the first year of operation, 700 under the law with a projection that some portion -- and it is very difficult to project this -- but some portion would not have sufficient assets within the plan to take care of vested liability, and consequently would be looking to the corporation and its premium funds for assistance in meeting the obligation.
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Q How many workers would this represent?

MR. SCHUBERT: I believe the corporation -- it is projected the corporation would cover something like 23 million workers.

Q But of the 1,200 plans that would terminate the first year, how many workers do you think would have been covered by those?

MR. SCHUBERT: Mr. Rose says in '72 that the number of plans that terminated reflected about 20,000 employees.

Q Why did they terminate?

MR. SCHUBERT: They would have terminated for a whole variety of reasons, including some financial difficulties or conclusion that the money, the amount of liquid cash and liquid assets identified for the corporation might be utilized in some other fashion by the employer plan that created the trust fund.

Now the significant thing about this legislation is that it no longer will be possible for plans to be terminated, thereby leaving workers who have great expectations and expectancies in the lurch, because henceforth there will have to be sufficient assets to protect the vested liabilities that have been incurred in this pension legislation.

Q You used two numbers, 1200 plans, and then you say 700 under the law. Which would be the correct number that the insurance corporation would be handling?

MR. SCHUBERT: I have to pass to the experts. The fact is not all pension plans are covered by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation. I believe it is defined benefit plans.

Henry or Steve, do you want to address yourself to that a minute, please?

MR. ROSE: The 1200 is the estimate of the number of terminations during 1972, and that is our anticipation for this year. And the 700 is the approximate number that will have insufficient funds to cover the vested liabilities.

Q Mr. Rose, would there be an additional group of funds, pension groups, that would not choose to continue because of this type of legislation?
MR. ROSE: That is possible but we have no way of knowing that.

Q Mr. Schubert, can you tell us anything about the legislative history of this? Why did it take seven years to get it out? When did the Administration begin to back it?

MR. SCHUBERT: As I recall, the Administration's first proposal with regard to this was back in 1970 and there has been since then a great deal of legislative activity.

I would just suggest in general terms that, as indicated by the President when he picked up this massive piece of legislation, this is a fantastically complex area.

As I recall, there were 300 or 400 pages in both the House version and the Senate version which had to be measured together, and I should note what I thought was an extraordinarily able job done by Congressional staff working under some very severe time strictures and restraints to get this out as timely as possible, and I thought they did a fantastic job in handling extremely complex areas.

That does not mean that we have foreseen, or that they have foreseen, or anyone has, all of the complications that will be discovered and wrestled with in the administration of the legislation.

I think, very frankly, that the Administration's decision to make this a priority matter a couple of years ago had a very significant impact on the success that was finally achieved this morning.

Q Mr. Schubert, you used the figure of 23 million people who would be covered eventually by the benefits of the corporation.

MR. SCHUBERT: By the Termination Insurance Corporation. Yes, there are 35 million who are impacted in one fashion or another by this legislation.

Q But the 23 million are workers and the 35 million are workers plus dependents and beneficiaries?
MR. SCHUBERT: No, they are both workers. 35 million is the scope of coverage or impact area, you might say, of the total legislation, the pension bill that has been signed into law. Approximately 23 million, as we see it, would be covered by those provisions of the bill that set up the corporations, the Termination Insurance Corporation.

Q What do you mean by "impacted"? I am afraid I don't follow you.

MR. SCHUBERT: Well, you see there are a number of areas set forth in the bill -- funding, vesting, participation, reporting and disclosure, fiduciary and termination insurance. There are 35 million who will be covered in one fashion or another by one of those areas in the bill.

In other words, let's assume that someone in a welfare plan, not a pension plan -- and welfare plans are not covered by the Termination Insurance Corporation -- but someone in a welfare plan believes that his fiduciary has acted improperly with regard to the management of the assets that person would have a claim under the law, could come to the Department of Labor for assistance.

If we concluded that indeed there was a judicable claim, we would go into court. We could seek to set aside any improper transactions. We could go after the fiduciary to recover any money that had been lost through his failure to live up to the so-called prudent man standards established under the legislation.

Q Could you give us separate coverage figures for pension plans without welfare included? I know you use the figure 35 million. Sometimes I say 30 million and sometimes I say 40 million, and I just wonder.

Q And I have seen 27-1/2 plus 5.

MR. SCHUBERT: That sounds like some kind of a numbers game or something.

Let's start with the number of people in pension plans as opposed to welfare plans, about 30 million, and that is the distinction between 35 and 30 that is set forth in our fact papers, or fact sheets. 23 covered by the Termination Insurance Corporation and its coverage.
Q You mean there are 7 million people in pension plans that are not covered by the termination insurance?

MR. SCHUBERT: Yes.

Q Why would that be?

MR. SCHUBERT: As I understand it, they are not part of a so-called defined benefits plan.

Henry, do you want to address yourself to that, please?

MR. ROSE: The only pension plans that are covered by the termination insurance plan are those that have defined, fixed benefits at retirement age, you see. Profit sharing plans, stock bonus plans, fixed contribution plans would not be covered.

Q And then there are 5 million in welfare plans that are covered?

MR. ROSE: There are many more than 5 million, but there is a great deal of overlapping between the coverage of welfare plans and those of pension plans.

Q In other words, if I belong to a pension plan that promises me a certain amount which I pay -- because you are talking about fixed contributions I am paying into, right?

MR. ROSE: It could be fixed contributions from your employer also but not promising you any particular amount of money at retirement.

Q When I retire?

MR. ROSE: That is right.

Q If they go out of business, I have had it?

MR. ROSE: You will have whatever is in that fund. If you are lucky, you will not be covered by the Termination Insurance Corporation.

Q But if they go broke?

MR. ROSE: You will have what is in the plan.

Q Will there be a sufficient amount of money in the fund to pay off?
MR. ROSE: You will have what is in the fund.

Q It is not insurance?

MR. ROSE: No, it is not insurance.

MR. KILBERG: The only thing they owe under that type of plan is what is in the fund for you. So you will get what is in the fund for you. If they have invested it wisely and luckily, and the fund contributed on your behalf has built up to a very large extent, and the plan is terminated, you will get that large amount.

If they have been unlucky in their investments, or if they have done a poor managerial job, you will get a lesser amount than you expected.

Now there are some new provisions in this bill, fiduciary standards, that Dick has already mentioned, which are going to help you in safeguarding your rights, but your rights are not insured.

Q May I ask a question for clarification?

MR. SCHUBERT: Yes, please.

Q The reason I brought up the dollar per hour --

MR. SCHUBERT: Not hour; per head, per employee.

Q Let me explain why I brought it up. I spoke with the President of the International Workers' Union, Mr. Fosco.

MR. SCHUBERT: International Labor Union, Mr. Peter Fosco.

Q Yes; that is right, and he explained that the worker contributes a dollar per hour, and of the 40 hours a week, they contribute $40 a week, $160 a month, they get $100 back per month. Now, is that correct?

MR. SCHUBERT: That may well be the arrangements that are established in a particular plan to which he has referred. But the premiums that will be imposed by the corporation on the employers and the plans around the country are based on the number of employees in a certain time frame that they have had. And it is $1 per head except for multi-employer plans and there it is 50 cents per head.

Q Do you expect any legal problems with the legal insurance part of the Act, with the American Bar Association or the American Trial Lawyers Association?

MR. SCHUBERT: Yes. That matter has come up very recently and Bill Kilberg has given some consideration to it.
MR. KILBERG: There was a story in yesterday’s paper about it. Section 415 of the statute clearly preempts State laws with regard to prepaid legal insurance programs. That means that State insurance departments will not be able to regulate those prepaid legal insurance programs. They will be regulated by this statute.

Insofar as State Bar Associations wish to take punitive action against attorneys who participate in so-called closed legal insurance systems, there is some legislative history on that matter.

There was a colloquy in the Senate but we have not had an opportunity to review that legislative history and any similar legislative history which might have come out in the House. And so we cannot comment at this point on the authority of State Bar Associations to take that kind of action.

But State insurance departments clearly would be pre-empted.

Q Mr. Schubert, there has been a great deal said about this being the largest collection of private capital in existence right now. Are there any plans to include any of the managers of this money in the summit, the inflation summit coming up? Does anyone know, perhaps Mr. Gardner?

MR. SCHUBERT: That is a determination that is to be made by the Secretary of Treasury given the fact that banking and finance, that portion of these high-level conferences, is within his jurisdiction.

So, I think you have to pursue that with him.

Steve, I don't know whether you have any comments you want to make about that.

MR. GARDNER: There will be considerable participation by people involved in the investment of pension funds at the various conferences on inflation.

Q Can you give us any individuals in particular?

MR. GARDNER: The list I don't have at the moment, but there will be one. There were many recommendations to include people with that expertise and they inevitably will be at the conference.

Q Mr. Schubert, how many workers who were not vested under previous situations would be automatically vested under this legislation?

MR. SCHUBERT: I really don't know of any way to determine that unless you made a great, in-depth survey. What we do perceive is that the vesting varied a great deal from employer to employer.
In some cases it was well-funded vesting. In other cases the funding was very shaky. And this bill establishes vesting procedures and it establishes pay-as-you-go funding procedures currently, and also establishes formulae for picking up past service credits.

Q But those people are not now automatically, either they abolish the pension plan and replace it with one that fits the criteria, or they are automatically vested?

MR. SCHUBERT: I think that is simplistic.

Don, do you want to address yourself to the vesting question?

MR. ALEXANDER: First, I would like to ask Ira Cohen whether he has any figures at all on the numbers questions that were put to us.

Q No.

MR. ALEXANDER: Secondly, as far as vesting is concerned, there are three alternatives provided under the bill and the alternatives do not call for full and immediate vesting.

Now, the alternatives provided do call in a number of situations for faster vesting than is now provided by some plans. The alternatives, however, are designed to make it possible for particular corporations to adapt their plans to one of the three offered in a way so as to do two things, one is keep the plan going where the corporation won't be required to terminate the plan. And people shouldn't terminate plans by reason of the enactment of this bill. Instead, this bill should encourage the creation of new plans.

And the other side of the coin is to give people assurance after they have worked for a period of years, that they will have built up some vested rights, that their expectations won't be defeated by bank funding or vesting that is overly delayed.

MR. TER HORST: Gentlemen, thank you very much for coming out and being so cooperative.

If you have any more questions from the technical experts on this bill, I am sure you could call their respective departments and they will be more than happy to answer your questions.

THE PRESS: Thank you.
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