

The original documents are located in Box 15, folder “People's Republic of China - State Department Telegrams: To SECSTATE - NODIS (9)” of the Presidential Country Files for East Asia and the Pacific at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. The Council donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOD234

PAGE 01

PEKING 01310 161047Z

10

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

COPY 14 OF 15 COPIES

034672

R 160800Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5982

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ PEKING 1310

NODIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR CH US

SUBJECT: FOREIGN MINISTER CHIAO "VERY PROBABLY" WILL ATTEND UNGA

DURING PEKING VISIT OF SENATOR SCOTT, I PRIVATELY ASKED FOREIGN MINISTER CHIAO IF HE WOULD BE GOING TO THE UNGA THIS FALL. HE SAID, "VERY PROBABLY." I THEN MENTIONED POSSIBILITY THAT I MIGHT JOIN HIM AND SECRETARY WHEN THEY MEET IN NEW YORK.

GATES

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HR 5/14/01





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NG0035

PAGE 01 PERIOD 01430 01 OF 04 292118Z

63

ACTION N008-006

INFO OCT-01 150-00 /001 W Copy 1 of 15 copies
204177

O 20 1900Z JUL 76

FM UELP PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6070

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 1 OF 4 PEKING 1430

NODIS

E.O. 11652: XG08-3

TAGS:

SUBJECT: VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING BETWEEN CONGRESSIONAL
STAFF DELEGATION AND VICE FOREIGN MINISTER WANG
HAI-JUNG

REF: PEKING 1429

TIME: 4:00 PM TO 4:45 PM, WEDNESDAY JULY 28, 1976
PEKING HOTEL

PARTICIPANTS:

CHINESE:

WANG HAI-JUNG, VICE MINISTER OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

XU HO-VIEN, VICE PRESIDENT OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE
OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

KANG TAI-SHA, DEPUTY SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S
INSTITUTE OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

FAN AGU-SHANG, RESPONSIBLE PERSON, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE
OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

CHENG HAN-CHEN, INTERPRETER FOR THE SESSION/ RESPONSIBLE PERSON
OF CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS

KU YI-JEN, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS

KU KE-PING, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS

YIN TSO-CHIN, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS

TANG WEN-SHENG, DEPUTY DIRECTOR, AMERICAS AND OCEANIA DEPARTMENT,
CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12958, SEC. 3.5 *State Review*

STATE DEPT, GUIDELINES SW 9/26/02

BY 442, NARA, DATE 2/7/03

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 91430 01 OF 04 292119Z

TING YUAN-HUNG, DIRECTOR, UNITED STATES DESK, CHINESE FOREIGN
MINISTRY
CHIN KUI-HUA, CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY
WANG LI, CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY
HU FENG-HSIEN, CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY; NOTETAKER FOR SESSION
CHENG HUA-SHE, NCPA REPORTER

AMERICANS:

PAT M. HOLT, CO-LEADER, CHIEF OF STAFF, SENATE FOREIGN
RELATIONS COMMITTEE
MARIAN A. CZARNECKI, CO-LEADER, CHIEF OF STAFF, HOUSE
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE
MICHAEL PERTSCHUK, CHIEF COUNSEL, SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE
JOHN R. STARK, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE
ALISON SPENNER, STAFF CONSULTANT, HOUSE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS
COMMITTEE
HYDE W. MURRAY, COUNSEL, HOUSE AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE
ROBERT A. BEST, CHIEF ECONOMIST, SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE
WILLIAM H. JORDAN, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER, SENATE
APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
LINDA KAND, GENERAL COUNSEL, HOUSE BUDGET COMMITTEE
HAROLD T. LAMAR, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER, HOUSE WAYS
AND MEANS COMMITTEE
W. CLARK MCPADGEN II, COUNSEL, SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
RICHARD M. MOSSE, STAFF ASSOCIATE, SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS
COMMITTEE
THOMAS R. SAYLOR, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER, SENATE AGRICULTURE
AND FORESTRY COMMITTEE
JOHN H. SULLIVAN, SENIOR STAFF CONSULTANT, HOUSE INTERNATIONAL
RELATIONS COMMITTEE
KENNETH LIEBERTRAL, PROFESSOR OF POLITICAL SCIENCE, SWARTHMORE
COLLEGE
JAN CAROL GERRIS, PROGRAM DIRECTOR, NATIONAL COMMITTEE ON
US-CHINA RELATIONS

DONALD KEYSER, USLO (NOTETAKER)

VICE MINISTER WANG HAI-JUNG: WELCOME. TODAY, BECAUSE OF THE
SUDDEN EARTHQUAKE THAT OCCURRED THIS MORNING, THERE WAS UNEASI-
NESS CAUSED AMONG OUR FRIENDS. ARE YOU AFRAID NOW?

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

CZARNECKI: AT 3:45 THIS MORNING WE WERE.

WANG: BUT NOT NOW?

CZARNECKI: NO.

WANG: THE EPICENTER WAS AT A PLACE 150 KMS. TO THE SOUTHEAST OF PEKING. IT REGISTERED 7.4 ON THE RICHTER SCALE. ACCORDING TO THE GENERAL LAW OF EARTHQUAKES, NORMALLY AFTER THE QUAKE THERE AFTER-SHOCKS, TODAY, TOMORROW AND THE FOLLOWING DAY. BUT THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNED RESPONSIBLE FOR RESEARCH AND PRECIFICATIONS WILL LET YOU KNOW AS SOON AS WE HAVE ANY INFORMATION. THE HOST ORGANIZATION WILL LET YOU KNOW WHEN WE TRULY KNOW. THERE IS NO NEED TO BE AFRAID. WHERE DO EARTHQUAKES TAKE PLACE MOST FREQUENTLY IN THE UNITED STATES?

WANG: GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT IS THE DEGREE ON THE RICHTER SCALE?

CZARNECKI: I HAVE TO DEFER TO MY FRIEND FROM CALIFORNIA, MR. LIEBERTHAL.

LIEBERTHAL: I REALLY DON'T KNOW, OVER 6.

HOLT: EVERYTHING IS BIGGER IN CHINA.

WANG: NOT NECESSARILY. THERE HAVE ALSO BEEN MINOR TREMORS, SOME HAVE BEEN 4 PLUS, SOME HAVE BEEN 5 PLUS. THIS TIME THE EPICENTER WAS CLOSER TO PEKING, ONLY 150 KMS. TO THE SOUTHEAST. WE FELT IT, THE TREMOR, MORE THIS TIME. WHAT VIEWS DO THE FRIENDS FROM THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE HAVE?

CZARNECKI: MADAME VICE FOREIGN MINISTER, LET ME FIRST EXPRESS TO YOU OUR APPRECIATION FOR LETTING US MEET WITH YOU, ESPECIALLY IN VIEW OF THIS MORNING'S EVENTS. ON BEHALF OF MY COLLEAGUES, LET ME EXPRESS OUR SYMPATHIES TO THE CHINESE PEOPLE WHO MAY HAVE BEEN HURT AND TO OUR CHINESE HOSTS, WHO HAVE SHOWN GREAT COURAGE AND GREAT CONCERN FOR OUR HEALTH STARTING FROM VERY EARLY THIS MORNING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

WANG: BUT YOU ARE OUR FRIENDS WHO HAVE COME TO VISIT OUR COUNTRY.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 24

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

THIS IS OUR OBLIGATION.

CZARNECKI: YOU ARE VERY KIND. WE HAVE MANY ISSUES WHICH WE WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH YOU. WITH YOUR PERMISSION I WOULD LIKE TO LEAD OFF WITH A GENERAL QUESTION, THEN ALLOW MY COLLEAGUES TO ASK THEIR OWN PERSONAL QUESTIONS.

WANG: ALL RIGHT.

CZARNECKI: I THINK ALL OF US ARE OF THE SAME MIND. WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO AND HOPING FOR A CONTINUATION AND AN INCREASE IN GOOD AND BETTER RELATIONS WITH CHINA. ONE AREA THAT IS VERY VITAL TO BOTH COUNTRIES IS ASIA, THE PERIPHERY ESPECIALLY OF ASIA. WHAT IS YOUR GOVERNMENT'S PERCEPTION OF THE PROPER AND CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE FOR THE UNITED STATES IN TERMS OF POLITICAL, DIPLOMATIC, MILITARY AND ECONOMIC INTERESTS IN ALL REGIONS STRETCHING FROM THE INDIAN OCEAN TO JAPAN AND THE PACIFIC REGION? WHAT IS THE VIEW OF THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT?

WANG: THIS IS VERY DIFFICULT FOR US TO ANSWER. THIS A MATTER WHICH IS THE AFFAIR OF THE UNITED STATES.

CZARNECKI: YET IN MANY PLACES IN THAT PARTICULAR REGION OUR INTERESTS CONVERGE AND SOMETIMES THEY SEEM TO BE OPPOSED. BUT LOOKING AT THE LARGER FRAMEWORK OF CONTINUING INTERESTS, WE WONDER HOW YOU VIEW OUR MILITARY PRESENCE IN SOUTH KOREA.

WANG: OUR POSITION ON KOREA AND OUR STAND IS CONSISTENT AND YOU UNDERSTAND IT QUITE WELL. IN SOUTH KOREA, OUR STAND IS THAT WE FAVOR DISSOLUTION OF THE UNITED NATIONS COMMAND AND THAT YOU WITHDRAW ALL TROOPS FROM SOUTH KOREA AND THAT THE KOREAN QUESTION BE LEFT TO THE KOREAN PEOPLE THEMSELVES TO SOLVE AND WE ALSO SUPPORT THE STAND OF NORTH KOREA FOR INDEPENDENCE AND PEACEFUL REUNIFICATION OF KOREA. THIS STAND HAS ALWAYS BEEN CONSISTENT. IT IS CLEAR TO YOU. WE HAVE EXPLAINED IT TO OTHER AMERICAN FRIENDS WHO HAVE VISITED. TO YOUR PRESIDENT FORD WHEN HE WAS HERE. TO YOUR SECRETARY OF STATE, DR. HENRY KISSINGER. THIS STAND IS KNOWN TO ALL AND LAST YEAR DURING THE 30TH UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY OUR FOREIGN MINISTER ALSO EXPRESSED IT VERY CLEARLY. HE EXPRESSED THE POSITION OF THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT ON KOREA.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 05

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

CZARNIECKI: IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT YOU HAVE SAID, I WOULD JUDGE THAT THAT KIND OF PROPOSAL THAT SECRETARY KISSINGER MADE LAST WEEK FOR DISCUSSIONS THAT WOULD INVOLVE THE UNITED STATES, NORTH KOREA, SOUTH KOREA AND CHINA WOULD NOT BE VIEWED AS ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES THAT YOU HAVE OUTLINED.

(NOTE: AT THIS POINT TING YUAN-HUNG AND TANG WEN-SHENG SIMULTANEOUSLY CORRECTED THE TRANSLATOR)

WANG: NO. WELL, I'M AWARE OF THE PROPOSAL BY SECRETARY OF STATE DR. HENRY KISSINGER IN HIS SEATTLE SPEECH LAST WEEK. HIS PROPOSAL WAS NOT AT ALL A NEW ONE. WHAT KIND OF NEW PROPOSAL IS THIS? OUR STAND ON KOREA IS CLEAR TO YOU ALL.

HOLT: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO REPEAT THE WORDS OF APPRECIATION FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH YOU AND TO EMPHASIZE OUR GRATITUDE TO OUR HOSTS IN THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS WHO THIS MORNING TOOK EVERY STEP TO INSURE OUR COMFORT AND SAFETY. THERE ARE AGREAT MANY THINGS I'D BE INTERESTED IN DISCUSSING WITH THE VICE MINISTER OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, BUT WE HAVE A LARGE GROUP AND I'VE BEEN TAKING A LOT OF TIME ON THIS TRIP, AND I'D LIKE TO YIELD TO OTHERS IN THIS GROUP.

WANG: DO THE OTHER FRIENDS HAVE QUESTIONS?

LIEBERTHAL: IN 1973 AT THE TENGTH PARTY CONGRESS, PREMIER CHOU EN-LAI SAID THAT THE USSR IS POINTING TO THE EAST, BUT ATTACKING TO THE WEST; BUT AT THE SAME TIME PREMIER CHOU WARNED AGAINST A SURPRISE ATTACK. DOES THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT STILL BELIEVE THERE IS ANY POSSIBILITY OF A SURPRISE ATTACK?

WANG: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

STARK: I'D LIKE TO HEAR YOUR VIEWS ON CHINA-JAPAN TRADE RELATIONS AND ON UNITED STATES-CHINA TRADE RELATIONS, PARTICULARLY THE OUT-LOOK FOR THEM.

WANG: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

SAYLOR: WE HAVE SEEN THE UNITED NATIONS AND OTHER MULTI-NATIONAL FOR OVER MANY YEARS TIED UP IN DISCUSSION AND DEBATE THAT SEEMED TO

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 06

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

CONSTRUCT ANY ROLE THAT THESE ORGANIZATIONS MIGHT HAVE HAD. WHAT
IS CHINA'S VIEW OF THE ROLE OF THE UNITED NATIONS?

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NO0040

PAGE 01

PEKING 01430 02 OF 04 292137Z

01

ACTION 0003-00

INFO OCT-01 ISD-00 /001 W

064618

O 290000Z JUL 76

FM USLD PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 0071

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 2 OF 4 PEKING 1430

MOOSIS

WANG: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

MOOSE: I'D LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE VICE FOREIGN MINISTER WHETHER SHE SEES ANY POLICY AREAS, EITHER IN THE FAR EAST OR ELSEWHERE IN THE WORLD, WHERE SHE BELIEVES THAT THE UNITED STATES AND CHINA HAVE COMMON INTERESTS OR COULD WORK TOGETHER.

WANG: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? NOT WELL, IN THAT CASE LET ME DISCUSS THE CONSISTENT STAND OF CHINA ON SOME OF THE ISSUES. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO DEAL WITH OUR VIEWS ON QUESTIONS OF THE GENERAL INTERNATIONAL SITUATION. WE HOLD THAT THE OVERALL INTERNATIONAL SITUATION CAN BE DESCRIBED AS: THERE IS GREAT CHAOS UNDER HEAVEN, BUT THE SITUATION IS EXCELLENT. AND TODAY THERE ARE VARIOUS FUNDAMENTAL CONTRADICTIONS WHICH ARE SHARPENING. THERE IS A GENERAL TREND. NATIONS WANT INDEPENDENCE, COUNTRIES WANT LIBERATION, PEOPLES WANT REVOLUTION. THIS TREND IS IRREVERSIBLE, THIS TREND IS INEXISTIBLE, AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WORLD SITUATION IS HIGH AND URGENT FAVORABLE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD. IT IS BECOMING MORE AND MORE UNFAVORABLE TO IMPERIALISM AND TO REVISIONISM. THE AWAKENING AND THE HEIGHTENED CONSCIOUSNESS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES ARE BEING HEIGHTENED EVERY DAY. ACCORDING TO OUR CALCULATIONS, GENERALLY SPEAKING, FOLLOWING THE END OF WORLD WAR II MORE THAN 80 COUNTRIES HAVE GAINED INDEPENDENCE. OUR VIEW OF THE GENERAL WORLD SITUATION IS OPTIMISTIC. WE HAVE FAITH IN OUR VIEW OF THE GENERAL WORLD SITUATION. WHEN THE LATE PREMIER CHOU AT THE FOURTH NATIONAL PEOPLE'S CONGRESS (HERE TANG WEN-SHENG BREAKS IN AND CORRECTS, SAYING THE TENTH PARTY CONGRESS) GAVE HIS REPORT STATING THAT THE SOVIET UNION WOULD FEINT TO THE EAST BUT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 0143Z 02 OF 04 292137Z

STRIKE TO THE WEST, HE ALSO INDICATED THAT THE FOCAL POINT OF CON-
TENTION IS IN EUROPE, BUT OUR VIEW IS THAT NO MATTER WHAT THE
SOVIET UNION DOES, ITS SPEARHEAD IS DIRECTED AGAINST YOU, THE UNITED
STATES. ALTHOUGH THE SOVIET UNION HAS DEPLOYED (CHENG HANG WEN-SHENG
BREAKS IN), CORRECTING TO SAY "SOMONE HAS SAID THAT, ALTHOUGH THE
SOVIET UNION HAS DEPLOYED) ONE MILLION TROOPS ALONG THE SINO-
SOVIET BORDER, WE STILL BELIEVE THAT THE SPEARHEAD IS DIRECTED
AGAINST YOU. IN OUR VIEW, THE POLAR BEAR WANTS TO FIX YOU (CHENG NI)
AND BITE YOU (YAO NI). OF COURSE, THEY ARE FIRST AND FOREMOST
DIRECTED AGAINST THE UNITED STATES. BUT THIS DOES NOT EXCLUDE THE
POSSIBILITY THAT AT THE SAME TIME THEY ARE ALSO DIRECTED AGAINST
US. OUR VIEW IS THAT THEY ARE DIRECTED FIRST AGAINST THE UNITED
STATES, SECOND AGAINST JAPAN AND THIRD AGAINST CHINA IN THE EAST.
THIS IS OUR GENERAL VIEW OF THE SITUATION. REGARDING TRADE BETWEEN
OUR TWO COUNTRIES, WHEN OUR RELATIONS ARE STILL NOT NORMALIZED, IT
IS IMPOSSIBLE, JUDGING FROM THE GENERAL SITUATION, THAT THERE CAN
BE AN INCREASE IN TRADE. REGARDING THIS GENTLEMAN'S QUESTION
(POINTING AT THE GENTLEMAN) ON CHINA'S ROLE IN THE UNITED NATIONS.
ON THIS, OUR FRIENDS ARE VERY CLEAR. IN GENERAL, WE SUPPORT THE
THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES IN THE UNITED NATIONS. IN THE UNITED NATIONS,
TO PUT IT VERY FRANKLY, WE VERY OFTEN STATE THAT WE SUPPORT THE
THIRD WORLD IN OPPOSING THE TWO SUPERPOWERS. (TANG WEN-SHENG BREAKS
IN TO SAY, "THE TWO HEGEMONISTS") AS TO THE ROLE OF CHINA IN THE
UNITED NATIONS, EVEN SINCE THE 26TH UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY
WHEN CHINA'S LEGITIMATE RIGHTS WERE RESTORED IN THE UNITED NATIONS,
CHINA HAS PLAYED SOME ROLE. BUT IT IS DIFFICULT TO SAY THAT CHINA
PLAYS A BIG ROLE. BUT EVER SINCE WE HAVE ENTERED THE UNITED NATIONS
THE VOICES OF THE THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES HAVE BECOME MORE RESONANT,
INCREDIBLY HEARD. THAT GENTLEMAN (MEANING MOOSE) ASKED ABOUT
OUR MUTUAL INTERESTS AND AREAS OF COOPERATION (TANG WEN-SHENG BREAK
IN TO CORRECT, "AREAS OF WORKING TOGETHER"). FIRST, I'D LIKE TO
SAY A FEW WORDS ON SINO-US RELATIONS. WHEN YOUR FORMER PRESIDENT
NIXON VISITED CHINA IN 1972, OUR TWO SIDES ISSUED THE SHANGHAI
COMMUNIQUE. PROVIDED THAT BOTH SIDES ADHERE TO THE PRINCIPLES OF
THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE, OUR RELATIONS CAN BE CONTINUOUSLY IMPROVED.
BOTH CHINA AND THE UNITED STATES HAVE MANY COMMON POINTS ON INTER-
NATIONAL ISSUES, BUT WE HAVE DIFFERENT SOCIAL SYSTEMS AND DIFFERENT
IDEOLOGIES. THERE ALSO EXIST DIFFERENCES OF PRINCIPLE BETWEEN
US. LET US BOTH, CHINA AND THE UNITED STATES, EXERT OUR EFFORTS
ON THE BASIS OF THE PRINCIPLES OF THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE. AS FOR
COOPERATION OR ALLIANCE, WE ARE NOT FOR SUCH A THING. FIRST OF

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE J3

PEKING 01430 02 OF 04 292137Z

ALL, WE HAVE A COMMON VIEWPOINT, BOTH CHINA AND THE US MUST COUNTER-
OUR "ALLY" IN THE NORTH. BUT WE HAVE A KEY ISSUE IN THE QUESTION
OF NORMALIZATION OF RELATIONS. THE ISSUE OF TAIWAN. REGARDING THE
QUESTION OF TAIWAN, OUR POSITION REGARDING TAIWAN IS VERY CLEAR.
I PRESUME THAT OUR FRIENDS PRESENT ARE VERY CLEAR. FIRST OF ALL,
IT IS THE UNITED STATES THAT OWES US A DEBT. IF NORMALIZATION OF
RELATIONS IS TO TAKE PLACE, WE MUST EXPLICITLY STATE OUR STAND.
THAT IS, WE HAVE THREE CONDITIONS. THEY ARE: ONE, SEVERANCE OF
DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH TAIWAN; TWO, WITHDRAWAL OF TROOPS FROM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NODW32

PAGE 01 PEKING 31430 03 OF 04 292043Z

50

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /041 W

063819

0 207030Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6073

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 3 OF 4 PEKING 1430

NODIS

TAIWAN; THREE, ABROGATION OF THE TREATY WITH TAIWAN. THIS IS TO SAY, THE JAPAN FORMULA. LACKING ANY ONE OF THESE THREE CONDITIONS WOULD NOT DO. WE HAVE SAID THIS PREVIOUSLY VERY OFTEN. THE ISSUE OF TAIWAN IS JUST LIKE A NOOSE AROUND THE NECK OF THE UNITED STATES (THEN TERM JIASUO IS USED FOR NOOSE). IF THE UNITED STATES WERE TO TAKE OFF THE NOOSE FORTHWITH, THIS WOULD BE OF USE TO THE UNITED STATES. IF YOU DO NOT DO THIS YOURSELF FORTHWITH, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT ONE DAY OUR PEOPLE'S LIBERATION ARMY UNITS WILL USE THEIR DAYONETS TO HELP YOU TAKE IT OFF. WHY DO I SAY SO? ON THE QUESTION OF TAIWAN, WE BASE OUR FOOTING ON A FIGHT. ONE DAY THERE WILL HAVE TO BE A FIGHT. WE DON'T BELIEVE IN PEACEFUL TRANSITIONS. THIS IS OUR INTERNAL AFFAIR. AS TO WHEN CHINA WILL LIBERATE TAIWAN AND WHAT METHOD IT WILL USE, THIS IS ENTIRELY OUR INTERNAL AFFAIR. NO OTHER COUNTRY HAS THE RIGHT TO INTERFERE. (NOTE: HERE WANG HAI-JUNG DOES NOT COME ACROSS AS BELLICOSE AS CHANG CHUN-CHIAO DID; RATHER HE SEEMS TO BE RECITING FROM HIS BRIEFING PAPERS.) WHAT IS YOUR VIEW OF THE GENERAL WORLD SITUATION? I'D LIKE TO HEAR YOUR VIEWS.

HIRSHAY: MAY I THROW OUT FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION AND THOUGHT A COMMENT. THERE ARE MORE AND MORE PEOPLE IN THE WORLD. THE GROWTH OF POPULATION THROUGHOUT THE WORLD PRESENTS AN ENORMOUS PROBLEM FOR ALL MANKIND. I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN KNOWING HOW THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT PLANS TO COPE WITH THE PROBLEM THAT THE WORLD POPULATION WILL INCREASE BY THREE TO FOUR BILLION IN THE LIFETIME OF MOST PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM.

WANG: POPULATION INCREASE IS A GOOD THING.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



Department of State



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 21434 23 OF 24 292448Z

MURRAY: THIS CAN BE A GOOD THING, IF THERE ARE RESOURCES AVAILABLE FOR THE PEOPLE. I WORRY NOT ABOUT CHINA, BUT ABOUT INDIA AND BANGLADESH. HOW CAN OTHER COUNTRIES HELP TO ADJUST TO A MINIMUM STANDARD OF LIVING?

WANG: THESE COUNTRIES MUST RELY ON THE PEOPLE OF THESE COUNTRIES TO MAINTAIN THEIR STANDARD OF LIVING. THIS IS NOT A MATTER IN WHICH A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF AID PROVIDED BY OTHER COUNTRIES WILL SOLVE. AND IN CHINA THE POPULATION OF THE COUNTRY HAS INCREASED CONTINUOUSLY SINCE LIBERATION. SOME SAY THAT CHINA NOW HAS A POPULATION OF ALMOST 800 MILLION. THIS FIGURE IS DOUBLE THAT OF PRE-LIBERATION DAYS. BUT THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF OUR 800 MILLION CHINESE PEOPLE TODAY IS GREATLY IMPROVED WHEN COMPARED WITH THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF THE 400 MILLION PEOPLE DURING THE PRE-LIBERATION

PERIOD. HOW COULD THIS COME ABOUT? WHY IS THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF 800 MILLION CHINESE PEOPLE TODAY GREATER THAN THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF 400 MILLION CHINESE PEOPLE IN PRE-LIBERATION DAYS? THE KEY FACTOR IS THAT EVER SINCE THE LIBERATION OF OUR COUNTRY, WE HAVE IMPLEMENTED A CORRECT POLICY AND A CORRECT LINE. CHAIRMAN MAO, AND WE HAVE BUILT UP OUR COUNTRY CONSISTENTLY BY FOLLOWING AND IMPLEMENTING THE PRINCIPLE OF SELF-RELIANCE. AS TO BANGLADESH AND INDIA, THE DECISIVE FACTOR IS WHAT POLICY THESE COUNTRIES PURSUE. AND AFTER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH BANGLADESH, WE HAVE DONE OUR BEST ACCORDING TO OUR OBLIGATION TO RENDER THEM THE NECESSARY AID AND ASSISTANCE. AND THIS HAS ALWAYS BEEN OUR POLICY TOWARD OUR FRIENDLY NEIGHBORS, SUCH AS PAKISTAN AND BANGLADESH. THAT IS, TO PROVIDE AID WITHIN OUR CAPABILITIES. THIS IS ALWAYS UNILATERALLY WITHOUT OBLIGATIONS AND IT IS SMALL, IT IS ON A SMALL SCALE. THE DECISIVE FACTOR IS TO PURSUE A POLICY OF SELF-RELIANCE AND INDEPENDENCE.

MOORE: I'D LIKE TO COME BACK TO THE QUESTION POSED, THAT IS THE QUESTION OF THE AMERICAN VIEW OF OUR WORLD SITUATION. THIS IS A VAST QUESTION AND NO ONE COULD UNDERTAKE TO SPEAK FOR THE ENTIRE GROUP. WE REPRESENT A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS AND, OF COURSE, WE SPEAK ONLY PERSONALLY, IN THAT SENSE, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT MOST AMERICANS WOULD SHARE THE VIEW THAT THE WORLD IS BECOMING MORE CHAOTIC. I BELIEVE THAT AMERICANS RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE CERTAINLY DANGERS OF COLLISION BETWEEN THE GREAT POWERS AND THAT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01430 03 OF 04 292446Z

IN GENERAL AMERICANS MIGHT BE INCLINED AT THIS POINT IN HISTORY TO SEE THE SOVIET UNION AS THE FOCAL POINT OF THE GREATEST DANGERS, BUT NOT ONE THAT POSES AN IMMEDIATE THREAT TO THE UNITED STATES. BECAUSE WE DO RECOGNIZE THE EXISTENCE OF DANGER, WE DO MAINTAIN A VERY LARGE AND A VERY STRONG DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT. BUT THOUGH WE ARGUE ABOUT THE SIZE OF THIS DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT, THE POINTS OF THE ARGUMENT ARE VERY SMALL. IN FINANCIAL TERMS THEY ARE VERY SMALL. BUT OUR NATIONAL DEFENSE EXPENDITURE IS RATHER GREAT. WE SEE IT, OF COURSE, AS PRIMARILY DEFENSIVE AND PRIMARILY DIRECTED AGAINST THE SOVIET UNION. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT AMERICANS SEE ANY DANGER FROM CHINA OR BELIEVE THAT WE WILL COME INTO CONFLICT WITH CHINA. I THINK THAT AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE UNDERSTANDING AMONG THE GREAT POWERS TO REDUCE THE DANGER OF CONFLICT AND ALSO MORE COMMON EFFORT DIRECTED BY THE MAJOR POWERS IN THE WORLD TOWARDS THE PROBLEMS OF FOOD AND POVERTY. IN THOSE AREAS IN WHICH THE UNITED STATES AND CHINA MIGHT CONCEIVABLY HAVE CONFLICT, SUCH AS KOREA AND ELSEWHERE IN ASIA, I THINK THAT AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO SEE DISCUSSION WITH CHINA. WITH REGARD TO KOREA, AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOME GUARANTEE OF THE LONG-TERM STABILITY IN THE AREA. IN TAIWAN, AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE SITUATION RESOLVED IN SUCH A WAY THAT A CONFLICT AT SOME FUTURE TIME WOULD NOT RESULT BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES. I WOULD LIKE TO APOLOGIZE TO YOU AND TO MY COLLEAGUES FOR USING A LOT OF TIME IN SAYING SOME THINGS THAT MAY DISAGREE WITH WHAT WE HAVE SAID. AND ESPECIALLY SINCE YOU DID US THE GREAT HONOR OF COMING HERE TO MEET US (THIS PHRASE WAS INADVERTENTLY OMITTED IN TRANSLATION). I HOPE THAT WE CAN CARRY ON THE DISCUSSION FROM HERE.

*ANG: ALL RIGHT. YOU MENTIONED THAT THE MAJOR THREATS COME FROM THE SOVIET UNION. THIS IS OUR VIEW AS WELL. THE SOVIET UNION IS THE MAIN DANGER TODAY. THE SOVIET UNION SEEKS EXPANSION. THE UNITED STATES HAS INTERESTS TO PRESERVE IN VARIOUS AREAS OF THE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NO0036

PAGE 01

PEKING 01430 04 OF 04 292117Z

61

ACTION NO05-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

064353

O 200930Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 0072

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 4 OF 4 PEKING 1430

NO018

WUHO: THERE IS NO WAY TO CHANGE THIS. AS FOR CHINA, CHINA CONSTITUTES NO THREAT TO ANYBODY. IT DOES NOT ASPIRE TO EXPANSION. THE INSTRUCTIONS BY CHAIRMAN MAO ARE VERY CLEAR. DIG TUNNELS DEEP, STORE GRAIN EVERYWHERE AND NEVER SEEK HEGEMONY. WE HAVE NO TROOPS STATIONED ON THE SOIL OF ANY OTHER COUNTRY IN THE WORLD. OUR CHINESE PEOPLE'S VOLUNTEERS WERE COMPLETELY WITHDRAWN IN 1958 FROM KOREA. NOW IT IS THE UNITED STATES THAT HAS NOT WITHDRAWN ITS TROOPS FROM SOUTH KOREA. THE SOVIET UNION IS EXPANDING AND SEEKING HEGEMONY. THIS IS DETERMINED BY THE NATURE OF ITS SOCIAL IMPERIALISM. IT IS VERY AMBITIOUS, BUT ITS STRENGTH IS LIMITED. IN A SENSE THE SOCIAL IMPERIALISTS ARE VERY WEAK.

MCFADED: YOU HAVE SAID THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE US WITHDRAW TROOPS FROM KOREA AND BREAK OUR TREATY WITH SOUTH KOREA. YOU HAVE SAID THAT YOU WOULD LIKE US TO WITHDRAW TROOPS FROM TAIWAN AND BREAK OUR TREATY WITH JAPAN. WOULD YOU FAVOR US WITHDRAWING TROOPS FROM JAPAN AND ABROGATING OUR TREATY WITH JAPAN?

WANG: IN PRINCIPLE, WE ARE AGAINST ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY BASES OR STATIONING OF ANY TROOPS ON FOREIGN SOIL. THIS IS OUR CONSISTENT STAND. REGARDING TAIWAN, I'VE ALREADY SAID THAT THIS IS AN INTERNAL AFFAIR OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE. IT IS THE UNITED STATES THAT OWES US A DEBT. IT WAS MENTIONED VERY EXPLICITLY IN THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE THAT TAIWAN IS ONE OF CHINA'S PROVINCES. WITH REGARD TO JAPAN, OUR RELATIONS WITH IT TODAY ARE NOT SO GOOD AND ARE NOT SO BAD. BUT RECENTLY EVERYONE KNOWS THAT JAPAN'S MIKI (TING YUAN-HUNG CUB IS TO CORRECT: FOREIGN MINISTER MIYAZAWA).. MIYAZAWA HAS MADE A STATEMENT THAT WE REGARD

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY



TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01430 W4 OF W4 292117Z

AS REGRETTABLE; THAT IS A SERIOUS VIOLATION OF THE PRINCIPLES OF THE SINO-JAPANESE JOINT STATEMENT; AND IT CONSTITUTES CRUDE INTERFERENCE IN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS OF CHINA. THEREFORE, WE COULD NOT BUT EXPRESS REGRET AT THE STATEMENT.

CZARNECKI: MADAME VICE FOREIGN MINISTER, SINCE WE BOTH SEEM TO AGREE ON IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROBLEMS EVEN THOUGH OUR PERSPECTIVES DIFFER VIEW POINTS ARE CLOSE HERE. THE SOVIET UNION IS EXPANDING IN MANY AREAS. IN VIEW OF THIS, HOW DO YOU REGARD THE PRESENCE OF THE AMERICAN NAVY IN THE INDIAN OCEAN WHEN THE SOVIET NAVAL MOVEMENTS HAVE BEEN INCREASING IN RECENT YEARS. IS THE PRESENCE OF THE AMERICAN NAVY A STABILIZING FACTOR OR A DESTABILIZING FACTOR?

WANG: THE SOVIET UNION'S NAVAL EXPANSION IN THE INDIAN IS FIRST OF ALL AIMED AND DIRECTED AT THE UNITED STATES. AS I HAVE STATED, OUR CONSISTENT STAND IS, WE OPPOSE ANY ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY BASES OR STATIONING OF TROOPS IN ANY FOREIGN COUNTRY BY ANOTHER COUNTRY.

CZARNECKI: WOULD THIS APPLY TO THE SOVIET UNION?

WANG: OF COURSE.

(TANG WEN-SHENG CUTS IN TO SAY, "THE INDIAN OCEAN IS NOT A COUNTRY.")

WANG: I WILL STATE AGAIN OUR CONSISTENT STAND. WE ARE AGAINST ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY BASES OR STATIONING OF FOREIGN TROOPS ABROAD. THIS IS ALSO APPLICABLE TO THE SOVIET UNION. AS I HAVE MENTIONED, THE NAVAL EXPANSION OF THE SOVIET UNION IN THE INDIAN OCEAN IS FIRST AND FOREMOST DIRECTED AT THE UNITED STATES, BUT THE FOCAL POINT OF THE SOVIET UNION IS STILL EUROPE.

CZARNECKI: I THINK WE'LL AGREE ON THAT.

WANG: WE'VE TOLD OUR FRIENDS FROM EUROPE THAT WE HOPE THEY AND THE UNITED STATES WOULD MAINTAIN A RELATIONSHIP OF EQUALITY AND PARTNERSHIP..

BRENNER: MANY AMERICANS ARE CONCERNED ABOUT NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION. IT IS BOTH DANGEROUS AND COSTLY. IS CHINA THINKING ABOUT WAYS TO



Department of State





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01430 04 OF 04 292117Z

PREVENT THE SPREAD OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS?

WANG: WE HAVE ALSO DEVELOPED OUR OWN NUCLEAR WEAPONS, BUT THOSE WE HAVE DEVELOPED ARE VERY LIMITED. THEY ARE MAINLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF SHAMING THE NUCLEAR MONOPOLY. IT IS AIMED AT DESTROYING NUCLEAR WEAPONS. THIS HAS BEEN OUR CONSISTENT STAND.

BEST: MY VIEW OF THE WORLD IS THAT THE UNITED STATES WANTS PEACE, THAT IT IS TIRED OF PREJUDICES AND SO FORTH. THE UNITED STATES AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ARE AT A CROSSROADS. SHOULD WE TAKE STEPS TO PROTECT OUR INTERESTS? WE HOPE THAT WE CAN HAVE MUTUAL ASSISTANCE AND CAN HAVE CERTAIN UNDERSTANDINGS IN MILITARY AFFAIRS. BUT HOW DO WE GET THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND THERE IS A NEED FOR A STRONG DEFENSE? HOW CAN WE GET THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT TO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A NEED FOR A STRONG DEFENSE? IF MY QUESTION AND MY STATEMENT IS A LITTLE UNCLEAR, I APOLOGIZE BECAUSE I'M VERY TIRED.

WANG: I CAN UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE TIRED. YOU DIDN'T SLEEP AT ALL LAST NIGHT. I HOPE THAT TONIGHT YOU ALL SLEEP WELL. WITH REGARD TO YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF MILITARY COOPERATION AND THE DILEMMA OF HOW YOU GET THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND THE NEED FOR A STRONG DEFENSE, THIS IS YOUR AMERICAN AFFAIR. MAYBE YOU CAN ASK PRESIDENT FORD HOW TO SOLVE IT.

MCFAULDEN: RECENTLY THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES HAS TAKEN A COVENANT TO MAKE THE MARIANAS A PROVINCE OF THE UNITED STATES, ALSO THE MARSHALL ISLANDS. THESE ARE TRUSTS AND WE WILL BE DISCUSSING THEIR STATUS IN THE FUTURE. THESE ARE STRATEGIC TRUSTS UNDER THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER. IF ACTION BY THE UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL WERE REQUIRED TO DISPOSE OF THE TRUSTS, WHAT POSITION WOULD THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT TAKE?
(TANG HEN-SHENG REINTERPRETS THE QUESTION AND EXPLAINS IT TO WANG HAI-JUNG)

WANG: WE SHALL WAIT AND WE SHALL STUDY THE QUESTION AT THE UNITED NATIONS BEFORE WE TAKE UP THE ISSUE.

CZARNECKI: I KNOW THIS HAS BEEN A VERY INTERESTING SESSION, BUT I FEAR THAT WE HAVE BEEN TAKING UP TOO MUCH OF YOUR TIME. WE APPRECIATE YOUR VERY CLEAR EXPOSITION OF THE VIEWS OF THE CHINESE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 24

PEKING 01430 04 OF 24 292117Z

GOVERNMENT ON A GREAT VARIETY OF ISSUES. YOU HAVE ALSO SAT PATIENTLY AND LISTENED TO OUR VIEWS. WE ARE VERY GRATEFUL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THIS KIND OF EXCHANGE.

WANG: WE HAVE EXCHANGED VIEWS IN A FRANK MANNER. ON CERTAIN ISSUES WHAT I'VE SAID IS PERHAPS NOT VERY PLEASANT FOR YOU (NAN TING)

BUT THESE ARE OUR HONEST WORDS. WE THANK YOU FOR COMING TO VISIT OUR COUNTRY. THESE FRANK EXCHANGES OF OPINIONS AND VIEWS ARE USEFUL IN PROMOTING AND DEVELOPING OUR MUTUAL INTERESTS. WE HOPE THAT IN THE COURSE OF YOUR TRAVEL TO OTHER PROVINCES OF THE COUNTRY YOU WILL WITNESS THE SPIRITUAL AND MENTAL OUTLOOK OF THE PEOPLE WHO AFTER THE GREAT PROLETARIAN CULTURAL REVOLUTION, THE MOVEMENT TO CRITICIZE LIN BIAO AND CONFUCIUS, THE ANTI-TRADITIONAL MOVEMENT AND THE CURRENT BLOW AGAINST THE RIGHT DEVIATIONIST MIND TO REVERSE PREVIOUS VERDICTS HAVE ACHIEVED GREAT VICTORIES. LAST NIGHT ALL OF YOU DIDN'T SLEEP WELL. YOU MUST BE VERY TIRED. I HOPE THAT THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS HAS ARRANGED FOR A BETTER REST TONIGHT. WE ALL SLEPT FOR A WHILE, BUT WE ARE ALL STILL ON THIS EARTH. SHALL WE REACH AN AGREEMENT NOW THAT OUR DISCUSSION WAS OFF THE RECORD AND NOT FOR PUBLICATION?

CZARNECKI: YES, THAT'S FINE.

WANG: MR. KE PO-NIEN IS MY ELDER GENERATION. I CALL HIM REVERED KE (KE LAO).

CZARNECKI: HE WAS SO FULL OF VIM AND VIGOR LAST NIGHT AT THE BANQUET.

WANG: YES. THANK YOU FOR COMING. GOODBYE.
GATES

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

NOD000

13

PAGE 01

PEKING 01429 291513Z

44

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

059673

O 290918Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6069

~~SECRET~~ PEKING 1429

NODIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3

TAGS: PFOR CH US

SUBJECT: WANG HAI-JUNG ON SINO-U.S. RELATIONS

REF: PEKING 1283, PEKING 1288

1. MEETING JULY 28 WITH CONGRESSIONAL STAFF DELEGATION (TRANSCRIPT BY SEPTTEL), VICE FOREIGN MINISTER WANG HAI-JUNG LARGELY PARROTTED THE STRONG REMARKS ABOUT TAIWAN THAT VICE PREMIER CHANG CHUN-CHIAO HAD MADE TO CODEL SCOTT. WANG IN ADDITION TWICE USED THE "U.S. OWES US A DEBT" LINE.

2. IN CONTRAST TO CHANG, WANG DID NOT SAY ANYTHING ABOUT PLA ACTIVITIES IN FUKIEN. AND IN MANNER SHE DID NOT PROJECT AS MUCH BELLICOSITY AS CHANG HAD. BUT HER ESSENTIAL MESSAGE WAS THE SAME: PEKING FORESEES A MILITARY SOLUTION TO THE TAIWAN PROBLEM AND THE U.S. HAD BETTER NOT BE IN THE MIDDLE WHEN THAT TIMES COMES. SOME QUALIFICATIONS IN HER REMARKS ON TWAN, NOT CONTAINED IN CHANG'S, MAY BE SIGNIFICANT (THESE ARE SHOWN IN QUOTES). A. THE U.S. SHOULD TAKE OFF THE NOOSE AROUND ITS NECK (THE TAIWAN ISSUE) "FORTHWITH." B. IF WE DO NOT, THE "IT IS POSSIBLE" (OR "VERY POSSIBLE"--"HEN KE NENG") THE PLA "ONE DAY" WILL HELP US TAKE IT OFF.

3. THIS TIME IT CLEARLY WAS THE CHINESE WHO INITIATED THE TOPIC. EVEN THE STAFFDEL'S OFFICIAL HOST KO PO-NIEN, A RELATIVELY UNPOLITICAL VICE PRESIDENT OF THE CPIFA, INTRODUCED TAIWAN DURING WELCOMING BANQUET CONVERSATION JULY 29 WITH STAFFDEL

~~SECRET~~

W.H.
Courin
Scowcroft

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, SEC. 3.5 State Dept Review
STATE DEPT, GUIDELINES SW 9/26/02
BY HR, NARA, DATE 2/7/03

GERALD R. FORD LIBRARY

NODIS
NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01429 291513Z

CO-LEADER PAT HOLD, ASKING HOW IT WAS THAT THE U.S. STILL HAD PEOPLE ON TAIWAN FOUR AND A HALF YEARS AFTER THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE.

4. AFTER THE STAFFDEL'S MEETING WITH WANG, NANCY TANG (TANG WEN-SHENG) WAS ASKED BY STAFFDEL MEMBER RICHARD MOOSE WHY WANG HAD TAKEN SUCH A TOUGH STANCE. TANG INDICATED PRC WAS REACTING TO STATEMENTS BY RESPONSIBLE FIGURES IN THE U.S. PRESSED ON WHOM SHE MEANT, TANG CITED ONLY TWO EXAMPLES: SENATOR GOLDWATER AND THE NEW YORK TIMES.

5. LIKE SCOTT'S GROUP, THE STAFFDEL WAS SURPRISED BY THE DISPLAY OF IMPATIENCE OVER TAIWAN AND THE STRESS ON A MILITARY SOLUTION. STAFFDEL MEMBERS HAVE BEEN CAUTIONED BY CO-LEADERS HOLT AND CZARNECKI TO TREAT WANG'S REMARKS AS CONFIDENTIAL PENDING REPORTS TO THE VARIOUS CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES. BUT THIS PERFORMANCE WITH STAFFDEL, FOLLOWING THE ONE WITH SCOTT, DEFINITELY SUGGESTS THE CHINESE HAVE DECIDED TO "GO PUBLIC" WITH A LINE THAT (WHETHER CHINESE APPRECIATE IT OR NOT) WILL DISTURB MANY IN THE U.S. WHO FAVOR NORMALIZATION AND CAUSE REJOICING AMONG MANY WHO OPPOSE IT. THE STAFFDEL MEMBERS PERCIEVE THIS, ARE PUZZLED, AND HAVE PROBED US HARD FOR AN EXPLANATION. WHILE TRYING TO PUT THE MATTER IN CONTEXT (E.G. WE NOTED WANG'S POINTING OUT COMMON INTERESTS ASIDE FROM THE NORMALIZATION ISSUE), WE'VE BEEN FRANK TO SAY THAT WE'RE SORT OF PUZZLED, TOO. OUR SPECULATION ON CHINESE MOTIVATIONS FOLLOWS BY SEPTTEL.
GATES

~~SECRET~~



NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOD035

PAGE 01 PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

60
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W Copy 14 of 15 copies
064177

O 200930Z JUL 76
FM USLO PEKING
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6070

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 1 OF 4 PEKING 1430

NODIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3

TAGS:

SUBJECT: VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING BETWEEN CONGRESSIONAL
STAFF DELEGATION AND VICE FOREIGN MINISTER WANG
HAI-JUNG

REF: PEKING 1429

TIME: 4:00 PM TO 4:45 PM, WEDNESDAY JULY 28, 1976
PEKING HOTEL

PARTICIPANTS:

CHINESE:

WANG HAI-JUNG, VICE MINISTER OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
KE PO-NIEN, VICE PRESIDENT OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE
OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
KANG TAI-SHA, DEPUTY SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S
INSTITUTE OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
FAN KUO-HSIANG, RESPONSIBLE PERSON, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE
OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
CHENG WAN-CHEN, INTERPRETER FOR THE SESSION; RESPONSIBLE PERSON
OF CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS
KU YI-JEN, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS
KU KE-PING, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS
YIN TSO-CHIN, CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS
TANG WEN-SHENG, DEPUTY DIRECTOR, AMERICAS AND OCEANIA DEPARTMENT,
CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12958, SEC. 3.5 *State Dept Review*
STATE DEPT, GUIDELINES *SW 9/26/02*

BY HR, NARA, DATE 2/7/03

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

TING YUAN-HUNG, DIRECTOR, UNITED STATES DESK, CHINESE FOREIGN
MINISTRY
CHIN KUI-HUA, CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY
WANG LI, CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY
HU FENG-HSIEN, CHINESE FOREIGN MINISTRY; NOTETAKER FOR SESSION
CHENG HUA-SHE, NCNA REPORTER

AMERICAN:

PAT M. HOLT, CO-LEADER, CHIEF OF STAFF, SENATE FOREIGN
RELATIONS COMMITTEE
MARIAN A. CZARNECKI, CO-LEADER, CHIEF OF STAFF, HOUSE
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE
MICHAEL PERTSCHUK, CHIEF COUNSEL, SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE
JOHN R. STARK, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE
ALISON BRENNER, STAFF CONSULTANT, HOUSE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS
COMMITTEE
HYDE H. MURRAY, COUNSEL, HOUSE AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE
ROBERT A. BEST, CHIEF ECONOMIST, SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE
WILLIAM H. JORDAN, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER, SENATE
APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
LINDA KAMM, GENERAL COUNSEL, HOUSE BUDGET COMMITTEE
HAROLD T. LAMAR, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER, HOUSE WAYS
AND MEANS COMMITTEE
W. CLARK MCFADDEN II, COUNSEL, SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
RICHARD M. MOSSE, STAFF ASSOCIATE, SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS
COMMITTEE
THOMAS R. SAYLOR, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER, SENATE AGRICULTURE
AND FORESTRY COMMITTEE
JOHN H. SULLIVAN, SENIOR STAFF CONSULTANT, HOUSE INTERNATIONAL
RELATIONS COMMITTEE
KENNETH LIEBERTHAL, PROFESSOR OF POLITICAL SCIENCE, SWARTHMORE
COLLEGE
JAN CAROL BERRIS, PROGRAM DIRECTOR, NATIONAL COMMITTEE ON
US-CHINA RELATIONS

DONALD KEYSER, USLO (NOTETAKER)

VICE MINISTER WANG HAI-JUNG: WELCOME. TODAY, BECAUSE OF THE
SUDDEN EARTHQUAKE THAT OCCURRED THIS MORNING, THERE WAS UNEASI-
NESS CAUSED AMONG OUR FRIENDS. ARE YOU AFRAID NOW?

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

CZARNECKI: AT 3:45 THIS MORNING WE WERE.

WANG: BUT NOT NOW?

CZARNECKI: NO.

WANG: THE EPICENTER WAS AT A PLACE 150 KMS. TO THE SOUTHEAST OF PEKING. IT REGISTERED 7.4 ON THE RICHTER SCALE. ACCORDING TO THE GENERAL LAW OF EARTHQUAKES, NORMALLY AFTER THE QUAKE THERE AFTER-SHOCKS, TODAY, TOMORROW AND THE FOLLOWING DAY. BUT THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNED RESPONSIBLE FOR RESEARCH AND PREDICTIONS WILL LET YOU KNOW AS SOON AS WE HAVE ANY INFORMATION. THE HOST ORGANIZATION WILL LET YOU KNOW WHEN WE TRULY KNOW. THERE IS NO NEED TO BE AFRAID. WHERE DO EARTHQUAKES TAKE PLACE MOST FREQUENTLY IN THE UNITED STATES?

WANG: GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT IS THE DEGREE ON THE RICHTER SCALE?

CZARNECKI: I HAVE TO DEFER TO MY FRIEND FROM CALIFORNIA, MR. LIEBERTHAL.

LIEBERTHAL: I REALLY DON'T KNOW, OVER 6.

HOLT: EVERYTHING IS BIGGER IN CHINA.

WANG: NOT NECESSARILY. THERE HAVE ALSO BEEN MINOR TREMORS, SOME HAVE BEEN 4 PLUS, SOME HAVE BEEN 5 PLUS. THIS TIME THE EPICENTER WAS CLOSER TO PEKING, ONLY 150 KMS. TO THE SOUTHEAST. WE FELT IT, THE TRMOR, MORE THIS TIME. WHAT VIEWS DO THE FRIENDS FROM THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE HAVE?

CZARNECKI: MADAME VICE FOREIGN MINISTER, LET ME FIRST EXPRESS TO YOU OUR APPRECIATION FOR LETTING US MEET WITH YOU, ESPECIALLY IN VIEW OF THIS MORNING'S EVENTS. ON BEHALF OF MY COLLEAGUES, LET ME EXPRESS OUR SYMPATHIES TO THE CHINESE PEOPLE WHO MAY HAVE BEEN HURT AND TO OUR CHINESE HOSTS, WHO HAVE SHOWN GREAT COURAGE AND GREAT CONCERN FOR OUR HEALTH STARTING FROM VERY EARLY THIS MORNING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

WANG: BUT YOU ARE OUR FRIENDS WHO HAVE COME TO VISIT OUR COUNTRY.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 04

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

THIS IS OUR OBLIGATION.

CZARNECKI: YOU ARE VERY KIND. WE HAVE MANY ISSUES WHICH WE WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH YOU. WITH YOUR PERMISSION I WOULD LIKE TO LEAD OFF WITH A GENERAL QUESTION, THEN ALLOW MY COLLEAGUES TO ASK THEIR OWN PERSONAL QUESTIONS.

WANG: ALL RIGHT.

CZARNECKI: I THINK ALL OF US ARE OF THE SAME MIND. WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO AND HOPING FOR A CONTINUATION AND AN INCREASE IN GOOD AND BETTER RELATIONS WITH CHINA. ONE AREA THAT IS VERY VITAL TO BOTH COUNTRIES IS ASIA, THE PERIPHERY ESPECIALLY OF ASIA. WHAT IS YOUR GOVERNMENT'S PERCEPTION OF THE PROPER AND CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE FOR THE UNITED STATES IN TERMS OF POLITICAL, DIPLOMATIC, MILITARY AND ECONOMIC INTERESTS IN ALL REGIONS STRETCHING FROM THE INDIAN OCEAN TO JAPAN AND THE PACIFIC REGION? WHAT IS THE VIEW OF THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT?

WANG: THIS IS VERY DIFFICULT FOR US TO ANSWER. THIS A MATTER WHICH IS THE AFFAIR OF THE UNITED STATES.

CZARNECKI: YET IN MANY PLACES IN THAT PARTICULAR REGION OUR INTERESTS CONVERGE AND SOMETIMES THEY SEEM TO BE OPPOSED. BUT LOOKING AT THE LARGER FRAMEWORK OF CONTINUING INTERESTS, WE WONDER HOW YOU VIEW OUR MILITARY PRESENCE IN SOUTH KOREA.

WANG: OUR POSITION ON KOREA AND OUR STAND IS CONSISTENT AND YOU UNDERSTAND IT QUITE WELL. IN SOUTH KOREA, OUR STAND IS THAT WE FAVOR DISSOLUTION OF THE UNITED NATIONS COMMAND AND THAT YOU WITHDRAW ALL TROOPS FROM SOUTH KOREA AND THAT THE KOREAN QUESTION BE LEFT TO THE KOREAN PEOPLE THEMSELVES TO SOLVE AND WE ALSO SUPPORT THE STAND OF NORTH KOREA FOR INDEPENDENCE AND PEACEFUL REUNIFICATION OF KOREA. THIS STAND HAS ALWAYS BEEN CONSISTENT. IT IS CLEAR TO YOU. WE HAVE EXPLAINED IT TO OTHER AMERICAN FRIENDS WHO HAVE VISITED. TO YOUR PRESIDENT FORD WHEN HE WAS HERE. TO YOUR SECRETARY OF STATE, DR. HENRY KISSINGER. THIS STAND IS KNOWN TO ALL AND LAST YEAR DURING THE 30TH UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY OUR FOREIGN MINISTER ALSO EXPRESSED IT VERY CLEARLY. HE EXPRESSED THE POSITION OF THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT ON KOREA.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 05

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

CZARNECKI: IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT YOU HAVE SAID, I WOULD JUDGE THAT THAT KIND OF PROPOSAL THAT SECRETARY KISSINGER MADE LAST WEEK FOR DISCUSSIONS THAT WOULD INVOLVE THE UNITED STATES, NORTH KOREA, SOUTH KOREA AND CHINA WOULD NOT BE VIEWED AS ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES THAT YOU HAVE OUTLINED.

(NOTE: AT THIS POINT TING YUAN-HUNG AND TANG WEN-SHENG SIMULTANEOUSLY CORRECTED THE TRANSLATOR)

WANG: NO. WELL, I'M AWARE OF THE PROPOSAL BY SECRETARY OF STATE DR. HENRY KISSINGER IN HIS SEATTLE SPEECH LAST WEEK. HIS PROPOSAL WAS NOT AT ALL A NEW ONE. WHAT KIND OF NEW PROPOSAL IS THIS? OUR STAND ON KOREA IS CLEAR TO YOU ALL.

HOLT: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO REPEAT THE WORDS OF APPRECIATION FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH YOU AND TO EMPHASIZE OUR GRATITUDE TO OUR HOSTS IN THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS WHO THIS MORNING TOOK EVERY STEP TO INSURE OUR COMFORT AND SAFETY. THERE ARE AGREAT MANY THINGS I'D BE INTERESTED IN DISCUSSING WITH THE VICE MINISTER OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, BUT WE HAVE A LARGE GROUP AND I'VE BEEN TAKING A LOT OF TIME ON THIS TRIP, AND I'D LIKE TO YIELD TO OTHERS IN THIS GROUP.

WANG: DO THE OTHER FRIENDS HAVE QUESTIONS?

LIEBERTHAL: IN 1973 AT THE TENTH PARTY CONGRESS, PREMIER CHOU EN-LAI SAID THAT THE USSR IS FEINTING TO THE EAST, BUT ATTACKING TO THE WEST; BUT AT THE SAME TIME PREMIER CHOU WARNED AGAINST A SURPRISE ATTACK. DOES THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT STILL BELIEVE THERE IS ANY POSSIBILITY OF A SURPRISE ATTACK?


WANG: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

STARK: I'D LIKE TO HEAR YOUR VIEWS ON CHINA-JAPAN TRADE RELATIONS AND ON UNITED STATES-CHINA TRADE RELATIONS, PARTICULARLY THE OUT-LOOK FOR THEM.

WANG: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

SAYLOR: WE HAVE SEEN THE UNITED NATIONS AND OTHER MULTI-NATIONAL FOR OVER MANY YEARS TIED UP IN DISCUSSION AND DEBATE THAT SEEMED TO

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 06

PEKING 01430 01 OF 04 292110Z

OBSTRUCT ANY ROLE THAT THESE ORGANIZATIONS MIGHT HAVE HAD. WHAT
IS CHINA'S VIEW OF THE ROLE OF THE UNITED NATIONS?

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



NODIS
NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOD040

PAGE 01

PEKING 01430 02 OF 04 292137Z

61

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

064618

O 290930Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6071

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 2 OF 4 PEKING 1430

NODIS

WANG: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

MOOSE: I'D LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE VICE FOREIGN MINISTER WHETHER SHE SEES ANY POLICY AREAS, EITHER IN THE FAR EAST OR ELSEWHERE IN THE WORLD, WHERE SHE BELIEVES THAT THE UNITED STATES AND CHINA HAVE COMMON INTERESTS OR COULD WORK TOGETHER.

WANG: ARE THERE ANYOTHER QUESTIONS? NO? WELL, IN THAT CASE LET ME DISCUSS THE CONSISTENT STAND O CHINA ON SOME OF THE ISSUES. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO DEAL WITH OUR VIEWS ON QUESTIONS OF THE GENERAL INTERNATIONAL SITUATION. WE HOLD THAT THE OVERALL INTERNATIONAL SITUATION CAN BE DESCRIBED AS: THERE IS GREAT CHAOS UNDER HEAVEN, BUT THE SITUATION IS EXCELLENT. AND TODAY THERE ARE VARIOUS FUNDAMENTAL CONTRADICTIONS WHICH ARE SHARPENING. THERE IS A GENERAL TREND. NATIONS WANT INDEPENDENCE, COUNTRIES WANT LIBERATION, PEOPLES WANT REVOLUTION. THIS TREND IS IRREVERSIBLE, THIS TREND IS IRRESISTIBLE. AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WORLD SITUATION IS MIRE AND MORE FAVORABLE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD. IT IS BECOMING MORE AND MORE UNFAVORABLE TO IMPERIALISM AND TO REVISIONISM. THE AWAKENING AND THE HEIGHTENED CONSCIOUSNESS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES ARE BEING HEIGHTENED EVERY DAY. ACCORDING TO OUR CALCULATIONS, GENERALLY SPEAKING, FOLLOWING THE END OF WORLD WAR II MORE THAN 80 COUNTRIES HAVE GAINED INDEPENDENCE. OUR VIEW OF THE GENERAL WORLD SITUATION IS OPTIMISTIC. WE HAVE FAITH IN OUR VIEW OF THE GENERAL WORLD SITUATION. WHEN THE LATE PREMIER CHOU AT THE FOURTH NATIONAL PEOPLE'S CONGRESS (HERE TANG WEN-SHENG BREAKS IN AND CORRECTS, SAYING THE TENTH PARTY CONGRESS) GAVE HIS REPORT STATING THAT THE SOVIET UNION WOULD FEINT TO THE EAST BUT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01430 02 OF 04 292137Z

STRIKE TO THE WEST, HE ALSO INDICATED THAT THE FOCAL POINT OF CON-
TENTION IS IN EUROPE. BUT OUR VIEW IS THAT NO MATTER WHAT THE
SOVIET UNION DOES, ITS SPEARHEAD IS DIRECTED AGAINST YOU, THE UNITED
STATES. ALTHOUGH THE SOVIET UNION HAS DEPLOYED (HEREHRANG WEN-SHENG
BREAKS IN, CORRECTING TO SAY "SOMEONE HAS SAID THAT, ALTHOUGH THE
SOVIET UNION HAS DEPLOYED) ONE MILLION TROOPS ALONG THE SINO-
SOVIET BORDER, WE STILL BELIEVE THAT THE SPEARHEAD IS DIRECTED
AGAINST YOU. IN OUR VIEW, THE POLAR BEAR WANTS TO FIX YOU (CHENG NI)
AND BITE YOU (YAO NI). OF COURSE, THEY ARE FIRST AND FOREMOST
DIRECTED AGAINST THE UNITED STATES. BUT THIS DOES NOT EXCLUDE THE
POSSIBILITY THAT AT THE SAME TIME THEY ARE ALSO DIRECTED AGAINST
US. OUR VIEW IS THAT THEY ARE DIRECTED FIRST AGAINST THE UNITED
STATES, SECOND AGAINST JAPAN AND THIRD AGAINST CHINA IN THE EAST.
THIS IS OUR GENERAL VIEW OF THE SITUATION. REGARDING TRADE BETWEEN
OUR TWO COUNTRIES, WHEN OUR RELATIONS ARE STILL NOT NORMALIZED, IT
IS IMPOSSIBLE, JUDGING FROM THE GENERAL SITUATION, THAT THERE CAN
BE AN INCREASE IN TRADE. REGARDING THIS GENTLEMAN'S QUESTION
(POINTING AT THE GENTLEMAN) ON CHINA'S ROLE IN THE UNITED NATIONS.
ON THIS, OUR FRIENDS ARE VERY CLEAR. IN GENERAL, WE SUPPORT THE
THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES IN THE UNITED NATIONS. IN THE UNITED NATIONS,
TO PUT IT VERY FRANKLY, WE VERY OFTEN STATE THAT WE SUPPORT THE
THIRD WORLD IN OPPOSING THE TWO SUPERPOWERS. (TANG WEN-SHENG BREAKS
IN TO SAY, "THE TWO HEGEMONISTS) AS TO THE ROLE OF CHINA IN THE
UNITED NATIONS, EVER SINCE THE 26TH UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY
WHEN CHINA'S LEGITIMATE RIGHTS WERE RESTORED IN THE UNITED NATIONS,
CHINA HAS PLAYED SOME ROLE. BUT IT IS DIFFICULT TO SAY THAT CHINA
PLAYS A BIG ROLE. BUT EVER SINCE WE HAVE ENTERED THE UNITED NATIONS
THE VOICES OF THE THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES HAVE BECOME MORE RESONANT,
INCREASINGLY HEARD. THAT GENTLEMAN (MEANING MOOSE) ASKED ABOUT
OUR MUTUAL INTERESTS AND AREAS OF COOPERATION (TANG WEN-SHENG BREAKS
IN TO CORRECT, "AREAS OF WORKING TOGETHER"). FIRST, I'D LIKE TO
SAY A FEW WORDS ON SINO-US RELATIONS. WHEN YOUR FORMER PRESIDENT
NIXON VISITED CHINA IN 1972, OUR TWO SIDES ISSUED THE SHANGHAI
COMMUNIQUE. PROVIDED THAT BOTH SIDES ADHERE TO THE PRINCIPLES OF
THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE, OUR RELATIONS CAN BE CONTINUOUSLY IMPROVED.
BOTH CHINA AND THE UNITED STATES HAVE MANY COMMON POINTS ON INTER-
NATIONAL ISSUES, BUT WE HAVE DIFFERENT SOCIAL SYSTEMS AND DIFFERENT
IDEOLOGIES. THERE ALSO EXIST DIFFERENCES OF PRINCIPLE BETWEEN
US. LET US BOTH, CHINA AND THE UNITED STATES, EXERT OUR EFFORTS
ON THE BASIS OF THE PRINCIPLES OF THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE. AS FOR
COOPERATION OR ALLIANCE, WE ARE NOT FOR SUCH A THING. FIRST OF

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



NODIS
NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01430 02 OF 04 292137Z

ALL, WE HAVE A COMMON VIEWPOINT. BOTH CHINA AND THE US MUST COUNTER-
OUR "ALLY" IN THE NORTH. BUT WE HAVE A KEY ISSUE IN THE QUESTION
OF NORMALIZATION OF RELATIONS. THE ISSUE OF TAIWAN. REGARDING THE
QUESTION OF TAIWAN, OUR POSITION REGARDING TAIWAN IS VERY CLEAR.
I PRESUME THAT OUR FRIENDS PRESENT ARE VERY CLEAR. FIRST OF ALL,
IT IS THE UNITED STATES THAT OWES US A DEBT. IF NORMALIZATION OF
RELATIONS IS TO TAKE PLACE, WE MUST EXPLICITLY STATE OUR STAND.
THAT IS, WE HAVE THREE CONDITIONS. THEY ARE: ONE, SEVERANCE OF
DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH TAIWAN; TWO, WITHDRAWAL OF TROOPS FROM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



NODIS
NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOD032

PAGE 01

PEKING 01430 03 OF 04 292048Z

60

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

063819

O 290930Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6073

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 3 OF 4 PEKING 1430

NODIS

TAIWAN; THREE, ABROGATION OF THE TREATY WITH TAIWAN. THIS IS TO SAY, THE JAPAN FORMULA. LACKING ANY ONE OF THESE THREE CONDITIONS WOULD NOT DO. WE HAVE SAID THIS PREVIOUSLY VERY OFTEN. THE ISSUE OF TAIWAN IS JUST LIKE A NOOSE AROUND THE NECK OF THE UNITED STATES (THEIR TERM JIASUD IS USED FOR NOOSE). IF THE UNITED STATES WERE TO TAKE OFF THE NOOSE FORTHWITH, THIS WOULD BE OF USE TO THE UNITED STATES. IF YOU DO NOT DO THIS YOURSELF FORTHWITH, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT ONE DAY OUR PEOPLE'S LIBERATION ARMY UNITS WILL USE THEIR BAYONETS TO HELP YOU TAKE IT OFF. WHY DO I SAY SO? ON THE QUESTION OF TAIWAN, WE BASE OUR FOOTING ON A FIGHT. ONE DAY THERE WILL HAVE TO BE A FIGHT. WE DON'T BELIEVE IN PEACEFUL TRANSITIONS. THIS IS OUR INTERNAL AFFAIR. AS TO WHEN CHINA WILL LIBERATE TAIWAN AND WHAT METHOD IT WILL USE, THIS IS ENTIRELY OUR INTERNAL AFFAIR. NO OTHER COUNTRY HAS THE RIGHT TO INTERFERE. (NOTE: HERE WANG HAI-JUNG DOES NOT COME ACROSS AS BELLICOSE AS CHANG CHUN-CHIAO DID; RATHER SHE SEEMS TO BE RECITING FROM HER BRIEFING PAPERS.) WHAT IS YOUR VIEW OF THE GENERAL WORLD SITUATION? I'D LIKE TO HEAR YOUR VIEWS.

MURRAY: MAY I THROW OUT FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION AND THOUGHT A COMMENT. THERE ARE MORE AND MORE PEOPLE IN THE WORLD. THE GROWTH OF POPULATION THROUGHOUT THE WORLD PRESENTS AN ENORMOUS PROBLEM FOR ALL MANKIND. I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN KNOWING HOW THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT PLANS TO COPE WITH THE PROBLEM THAT THE WORLD POPULATION WILL INCREASE BY THREE TO FOUR BILLION IN THE LIFETIME OF MOST PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM.

WANG: POPULATION INCREASE IS A GOOD THING.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01430 03 OF 04 292048Z

MURRAY: THIS CAN BE A GOOD THING, IF THERE ARE RESOURCES AVAILABLE FOR THE PEOPLE. I WORRY NOT ABOUT CHINA, BUT ABOUT INDIA AND BANGLADESH. HOW CAN OTHER COUNTRIES HALP TO ADJUST TO A MINIMUM STANDARD OF LIVING?

WANG: THESE COUNTRIES MUST RELY ON HE PEOPLE OF THESE COUNTRIES TO MAINTAIN THEIR LZANDARD OF LIVING. THIS IS NOT A MATTER IN WHICH A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF AID PROVIDED BY OTHER COUNTRIES WILL SOLVE. AND IN CHINA THE POPULATION OF THE COUNTRY HAS INCREASED CONTINUOUSLY SINCE LIBERATION. SOME SAY THAT CHINA NOW HAS A POPULATION OF ALMOST 800 MILLION. THIS FIGURE IS DOUBLE THAT OF PRE-LIBERATION DAYS. BUT THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF OUR 800 MILLION CHINESE PEOPLE TODAY IS GREATLY IMPROVED WHEN COMPARED WITH THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF THE 400 MILLION PEOPLE DURING THE PRE-LIBERATIO
N PERIOD. HOW COULD THIS COME ABOUT? WHY IS THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF 800 MILLION CHINESE PEOPLE TODAY GREATHER THAN THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF 400 MILLION CHINESE PEOPLE IN PRE-LIBERATION DAYS? THE KEY FACTOR IS THAT EVER SINCE THE LIBERATION OF OUR COUNTRY, WE HAVE IMPLEMENTED A CORRECT POLICY AND A CORRECT LINE CHAIRMAN MAO, AND WE HAVE BUILT UP OUR COUNTRY CONSISTENTLY BY FOLLOWING AND IMPLEMENTING THE PRINCIPLE OF SELF-RELIANCE. AS TO BANGLADESH AND INDIA, THE DECISIVE FACTOR IS WHAT POLICY THESE COUNTRIES PURSUE. AND AFTER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH BANGLADESH, WE HAVE DONE OUR EST ACCORDING TO OUR OBLIGATION TO RENDER THEM THE NECESSARY AID AND ASSISTANCE. AND THIS HAS ALWAYS BEEN OUR POLICY TOWARD OUR FRIENDLY NEIGHBORS, SUCH AS PAKISTAN AND BANGLADESH. THAT IS, TO PROVIDE AID WITHIN OUR CAPABILITIES. THIS IS ALWAYS UNILATERALLY WITHOUT OBLIGATIONS AND IT IS SMALL, IT IS ON A SMALL SCALE. THE DECISIVE FACTOR IS TO PURSUE A POLICY OF SELF-RELIANCE AND INDEPENDENCE

MOOSE: I'D LIKE TO COME BACK TO THE QUESTION POSED, THAT IS THE QUESTION OF THE AMERICAN VIEW OF OUR WORLD SITUATION. THIS IS A VAST QUESTION AND NO ONE COULD UNDERTAKE TO SPEAK FOR THE ENTIRE GROUP. WE REPRESENT A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS AND, OF COURSE, WE SPEAK ONLY PERSONALLY, IN THAT SENSE, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT MOST AMERICANS WOULD SHARE THE VIEW THAT THE WORLD IS BECOMING MORE CHAOTIC. I BELIEVE THAT AMERICANS RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE CERTAINLY DANGERS OF COLLISION BETWEEN THE GREAT POWERS AND THAT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S*Department of State***TELEGRAM**~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01430 03 OF 04 292048Z

IN GENERAL AMERICANS MIGHT BE INCLINED AT THIS POINT IN HISTORY TO SEE THE SOVIET UNION AS THE FOCAL POINT OF THE GREATEST DANGERS, BUT NOT ONE THAT POSES AN IMMEDIATE THREAT TO THE UNITED STATES. BECAUSE WE DO RECOGNIZE THE EXISTENCE OF DANGER, WE DO MAINTAIN A VERY LARGE AND A VERY STRONG DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT. BUT THOUGH WE ARGUE ABOUT THE SIZE OF THIS DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT, THE POINTS OF THE ARGUMENT ARE VERY SMALL. IN FINANCIAL TERMS THEY ARE VERY SMALL. BUT OUR NATIONAL DEFENSE EXPENDITURE IS RATHER GREAT. WE SEE IT, OF COURSE, AS PRIMARILY DEFENSIVE AND PRIMARILY DIRECTED AGAINST THE SOVIET UNION. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT AMERICANS SEE ANY DANGER FROM CHINA OR BELIEVE THAT WE WILL COME INTO CONFLICT WITH CHINA. I THINK THAT AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE UNDERSTANDING AMONG THE GREAT POWERS TO REDUCE THE DANGER OF CONFLICT AND ALSO MORE COMMON EFFORT DIRECTED BY THE MAJOR POWERS IN THE WORLD TOWARDS THE PROBLEMS OF FOOD AND POVERTY. IN THOSE AREAS IN WHICH THE UNITED STATES AND CHINA MIGHT CONCEIVABLY HAVE CONFLICT, SUCH AS KOREA AND ELSEWHERE IN ASIA, I THINK THAT AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO SEE DISCUSSION WITH CHINA. WITH REGARD TO KOREA, AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOME GUARANTEE OF THE LONG-TERM STABILITY IN THE AREA. IN TAIWAN, AMERICANS WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE SITUATION RESOLVED IN SUCH A WAY THAT A CONFLICT AT SOME FUTURE TIME WOULD NOT RESULT BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES. I WOULD LIKE TO APOLOGIZE TO YOU AND TO MY COLLEAGUES FOR USING A LOT OF TIME IN SAYING SOME THINGS THAT MAY DISAGREE WITH WHAT WE HAVE SAID. AND ESPECIALLY SINCE YOU DID US THE GREAT HONOR OF COMING HERE TO MEET US (THIS PHRASE WAS INADVERTENTLY OMITTED IN TRANSLATION). I HOPE THAT WE CAN CARRY ON THE DISCUSSION FROM HERE.

WANG: ALL RIGHT. YOU MENTIONED THAT THE MAJOR THREATS COME FROM THE SOVIET UNION. THIS IS OUR VIEW AS WELL. THE SOVIET UNION IS THE MAIN DANGER TODAY. THE SOVIET UNION SEEKS EXPANSION. THE UNITED STATES HAS INTERESTS TO PRESERVE IN VARIOUS AREAS OF THE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOD036

PAGE 01

PEKING 01430 04 OF 04 292117Z

51

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

064363

O 290930Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6072



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 4 OF 4 PEKING 1430

NODIS

WORLD. THERE IS NO WAY TO CHANGE THIS. AS FOR CHINA, CHINA CONSTITUTES NO THREAT TO ANYBODY. IT DOES NOT ASPIRE TO EXPANSIONISM. THE INSTRUCTIONS BY CHAIRMAN MAO ARE VERY CLEAR. DIG TUNNELS DEEP, STORE GRAIN EVERYWHERE AND NEVER SEEK HEGEMONY. WE HAVE NO TROOPS STATIONED ON THE SOIL OF ANY OTHER COUNTRY IN THE WORLD. OUR CHINESE PEOPLE'S VOLUNTEERS WERE COMPLETELY WITHDRAWN IN 1958 FROM KOREA. NOW IT IS THE UNITED STATES THAT HAS NOT WITHDRAWN ITS TROOPS FROM SOUTH KOREA. THE SOVIET UNION IS EXPANDING AND SEEKING HEGEMONY. THIS IS DETERMINED BY THE NATURE OF ITS SOCIAL IMPERIALISM. IT IS VERY AMBITIOUS, BUT ITS STRENGTH IS LIMITED. IN A SENSE THE SOCIAL IMPERIALISTS ARE VERY WEAK.

MCFADDED: YOU HAVE SAID THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE US WITHDRAW TROOPS FROM KOREA AND BREAK OUR TREATY WITH SOUTH KOREA. YOU HAVE SAID THAT YOU WOULD LIKE US TO WITHDRAW TROOPS FROM TAIWAN AND BREAK OUR TREATY WITH TAIWAN. WOULD YOU FAVOR US WITHDRAWING TROOPS FROM JAPAN AND ABROGATING OUR TREATY WITH JAPAN?

WANG: IN PRINCIPLE, WE ARE AGAINST ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY BASES OR STATIONING OF ANY TROOPS ON FOREIGN SOIL. THIS IS OUR CONSISTENT STAND. REGARDING TAIWAN, I'VE ALREADY SAID THAT THIS IS AN INTERNAL AFFAIR OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE. IT IS THE UNITED STATES THAT OWES US A DEBT. IT WAS MENTIONED VERY EXPLICITLY IN THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE THAT TAIWAN IS ONE OF CHINA'S PROVINCES. WITH REGARD TO JAPAN, OUR RELATIONS WITH IT TODAY ARE NOT SO GOOD AND ARE NOT SO BAD. BUT RECENTLY EVERYONE KNOWS THAT JAPAN'S MIKI (TING YUAN-HUNG CUTS IN TO CORRECT: FOREIGN MINISTER MIYAZAWA).. MIYAZAWA HAS MADE A STATEMENT THAT WE REGARD

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01430 04 OF 04 292117Z

AS REGRETTABLE; THAT IS A SERIOUS VIOLATION OF THE PRINCIPLES OF THE SINO-JAPANESE JOINT STATEMENT; AND IT CONSTITUTES CRUDE INTERFERENCE IN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS OF CHINA. THEREFORE, WE COULD NOT BUT EXPRESS REGRET AT THE STATEMENT.

CZARNECKI: MADAME VICE FOREIGN MINISTER, SINCE WE BOTH SEEM TO AGREE ON IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROBLEMS EVEN THOUGH OUR PERSPECTIVES DIFFER VIEW POINTS ARE CLOSE HERE. THE SOVIET UNION IS EXPANDING IN MANY AREAS. IN VIEW OF THIS, HOW DO YOU REGARD THE PRESENCE OF THE AMERICAN NAVY IN THE INDIAN OCEAN WHEN THE SOVIET NAVAL MOVEMENTS HAVE BEEN INCREASING IN RECENT YEARS. IS THE PRESENCE OF THE AMERICAN NAVY A STABILIZING FACTOR OR A DESTABILIZING FACTOR?

WANG: THE SOVIET UNION'S NAVAL EXPANSION IN THE INDIAN IS FIRST OF ALL AIMED AND DIRECTED AT THE UNITED STATES. AS I HAVE STATED, OUR CONSISTENT STAND IS, WE OPPOSE ANY ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY BASES OR STATIONING OF TROOPS IN ANY FOREIGN COUNTRY BY ANOTHER COUNTRY.

CZARNECKI: WOULD THIS APPLY TO THE SOVIET UNION?

WANG: OF COURSE.

(TANG WEN-SHENG CUTS IN TO SAY, "THE INDIAN OCEAN IS NOT A COUNTRY.")

WANG: I WILL STATE AGAIN OUR CONSISTENT STAND. WE ARE AGAINST ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY BASES OR STATIONING OF FOREIGN TROOPS ABROAD. THIS IS ALSO APPLICABLE TO THE SOVIET UNION. AS I HAVE MENTIONED, THE NAVAL EXPANSION OF THE SOVIET UNION IN THE INDIAN OCEAN IS FIRST AND FOREMOST DIRECTED AT THE UNITED STATES. BUT THE FOCAL POINT OF THE SOVIET UNION IS STILL EUROPE.

CZARNECKI: I THINK WE'LL AGREE ON THAT.

WANG: WE'VE TOLD OUR FRIENDS FROM EUROPE THAT WE HOPE THEY AND THE UNITED STATES WOULD MAINTAIN A RELATIONSHIP OF EQUALITY AND PARTNERSHIP.

BRENNER: MANY AMERICANS ARE CONCERNED ABOUT NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION. IT IS BOTH DANGEROUS AND COSTLY. IS CHINA THINKING ABOUT WAYS TO

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01430 04 OF 04 292117Z

PREVENT THE SPREAD OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS?

WANG: WE HAVE ALSO DEVELOPED OUR OWN NUCLEAR WEAPONS, BUT THOSE WE HAVE DEVELOPED ARE VERY LIMITED. THEY ARE MAINLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF SMASHING THE NUCLEAR MONOPOLY. IT IS AIMED AT DESTROYING NUCLEAR WEAPONS. THIS HAS BEEN OUR CONSISTENT STAND.

BEST: MY VIEW OF THE WORLD IS THAT THE UNITED STATES WANTS PEACE, THAT IT IS TIRED OF PREJUDICES AND SO FORTH. THE UNITED STATES AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ARE AT A CROSSROADS. SHOULD WE TAKE STEPS TO PROTECT OUR INTERESTS? WE HOPE THAT WE CAN HAVE MUTUAL ASSISTANCE AND CAN HAVE CERTAIN UNDERSTANDINGS IN MILITARY AFFAIRS. BUT HOW DO WE GET THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND THERE IS A NEED FOR A STRONG DEFENSE? HOW CAN WE GET THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT TO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A NEED FOR A STRONG EUROPE? IF MY QUESTION AND MY STATEMENT IS A LITTLE UNCLEAR, I APOLOGIZE BECAUSE I'M VERY TIRED.

WANG: I CAN UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE TIRED. YOU DIDN'T SLEEP AT ALL LAST NIGHT. I HOPE THAT TONIGHT YOU ALL SLEEP WELL. WITH REGARD TO YOUR UNDERSTAND ON MILITARY COOPERATION AND THE DILEMMA OF HOW YOU GET THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND THE NEED FOR A STRONG DEFENSE, THIS IS YOUR AMERICAN AFFAIR. MAYBE YOU CAN ASK PRESIDENT FORD HOW TO SOLVE IT.

MCFAADDEN: RECENTLY THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES HAS TAKEN A COVENANT TO MAKE THE MARIANAS A PROVINCE OF THE UNITED STATES, ALSO THE MARSHALL ISLANDS. THESE ARE TRUSTS AND WE WILL BE DISCUSSING THEIR STATUS IN THE FUTURE. THESE ARE STRATEGIC TRUSTS UNDER THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER. IF ACTION BY THE UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL WERE REQUIRED TO DISPOSE OF THE TRUSTS, WHAT POSITION WOULD THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT TAKE?
(TANG WEN-SHENG REINTERPRETS THE QUESTION AND EXPLAINS IT TO WANG HAI-JUNG)

WANG: WE SHALL WAIT AND WE SHALL STUDY THE QUESTION AT THE UNITED NATIONS BEFORE WE TAKE UP THE ISSUE.

CZARNECKI: I KNOW THIS HAS BEEN A VERY INTERESTING SESSION, BUT I FEAR THAT WE HAVE BEEN TAKING UP TOO MUCH OF YOUR TIME. WE APPRECIATE YOUR VERY CLEAR EXPOSITION OF THE VIEWS OF THE CHINESE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 04

PEKING 01430 04 OF 04 292117Z

GOVERNMENT ON A GREAT VARIETY OF ISSUES. YOU HAVE ALSO SAT PATIENTLY AND LISTENED TO OUR VIEWS. WE ARE VERY GRATEFUL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THIS KIND OF EXCHANGE.

WANG: WE HAVE EXCHANGED VIEWS IN A FRANK MANNER. ON CERTAIN ISSUES WHAT I'VE SAID IS PERHAPS NOT VERY PLEASANT FOR YOU (NAN TING)

BUT THESE ARE OUR HONEST WORDS. WE THANK YOU FOR COMING TO VISIT OUR COUNTRY. THESE FRANK EXCHANGES OF OPINIONS AND VIEWS ARE USEFUL IN PROMOTING AND DEVELOPING OUR MUTUAL INTERESTS. WE HOPE THAT IN THE COURSE OF YOUR TRAVEL TO OTHER PROVINCES OF THE COUNTRY YOU WILL WITNESS THE SPIRITUAL AND MENTAL OUTLOOK OF THE PEOPLE WHO AFTER THE GREAT PROLETARIAN CULTURAL REVOLUTION, THE MOVEMENT TO CRITICIZE LIN BIAO AND CONFUCIUS, THE ANTI-TENG HSIAO-PING MOVEMENT AND THE COUNTER BLOW AGAINST THE RIGHT DEVIATIONIST WIND TO REVERSE PREVIOUS VERDICTS HAVE ACHIEVED GREAT VICTORIES. LAST NIGHT ALL OF YOU DIDN'T SLEEP WELL. YOU MUST BE VERY TIRED. I HOPE THAT THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS HAS ARRANGED FOR A BETTER REST TONIGHT. WE ALL SHOOK FOR A WHILE, BUT WE ARE ALL STILL ON THIS EARTH. SHALL WE REACH AN AGREEMENT NOW THAT OUR DISCUSSION WAS OFF THE RECORD AND NOT FOR PUBLICATION?

CZARNECKI: YES, THAT'S FINE.

WANG: MR. KE PO-NIEN IS MY ELDER GENERATION. I CALL HIM REVERED KE (KE LAD).

CZARNECKI: HE WAS SO FULL OF VIM AND VIGOR LAST NIGHT AT THE BANQUET.

WANG: YES, THANK YOU FOR COMING. GOODBYE.
GATES

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOD113

TELEGRAM

PAGE 01

PEKING 01445 300923Z

COPY 13 OF 15 COPIES

15
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

071363

R 300825Z JUL 76
FM USLO PEKING
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6086

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ PEKING 1445

NODIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3
TAGS: PFOR, US, CH
SUBJECT: VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING BETWEEN
CONGRESSIONAL STAFF DELEGATION AND VICE FOREIGN
MINISTER WANG HAI-JUNG

REF: PEKING 1430

THE TIME OF THE SUBJECT MEETING SHOULD BE CORRECTED TO READ:
"4:00 P.M. TO 5:45 P.M."
GATES

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, SEC. 3.5
STATE DEPT. GUIDELINES
BY HR DATE 5/14/01



NODIS
NODIS
NODIS
NODIS



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NOD114

PAGE 01

PEKING 01446 300926Z

COPY 11 OF 15 COPIES

15

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

071411

O 300730Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6087

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ PEKING 1446

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958 Sec. 3.8

NODIS

MR 01-162 #36, pt ltr 11/5/02

REF: PEKING 1429

del NARA Date 12/6/02

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3

TAGS: PFOR, CH, TW, US

SUBJECT: NANCY TANG ON POST-NORMALIZATION SUPPLY OF DEFENSE MATERIAL TO TAIWAN

REF: PEKING 1429

1. JUST BEFORE LEAVING PEKING EVENING JULY 29 CONGRESSIONAL STAFFDEL "RESOURCE PERSON" PROF. KENNETH LIEBERTHAL (RAND CORPORATION AND POLITICAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, SWARTHMORE) RELATED TO US SOME DETAILS OF A BRIEF DISCUSSION HE HAD ON JULY 28 WITH TANG WEN-SHENG (NANCY TANG) ABOUT THE ISSUE OF POST-NORMALIZATION ARMS SUPPLIES TO TAIWAN--AN ISSUE ON WHICH HE HAD WORKED AT THE RAND CORPORATION. LIEBERTHAL INITIATED THE DISCUSSION. TANG'S RESPONSE WAS PREDICTABLY NEGATIVE.

2. LIEBERTHAL, LIKE OTHER STAFFDEL MEMBERS VERY TIRED FROM HIS EARTHQUAKE EXPERIENCE, HAD SOME DIFFICULTY RECALLING FULL DETAILS AND SEQUENCE OF THIS DISCUSSION. BUT HE SAID HE BEGAN BY REFERRING TO HIS UNDERSTANDING THAT U.S.-TAIWAN TRADE COULD CONTINUE AFTER U.S. PRC NORMALIZATION, AND THEN WENT RIGHT ON TO ASK WHAT PRC'S POSITION WOULD BE, IN THE PERIOD OF NORMALIZATION BUT BEFORE THE LIBERATION OF TAIWAN, TOWARD TAIWAN'S RECEIVING DEFENSE MATERIALS SUCH AS AMMUNITION AND SPARE PARTS. TANG ASKED AGAINST WHOM SUCH MATERIAL WOULD BE USED. LIEBERTHAL REPLIED TO THE EFFECT THAT IT WOULD BE FOR THE DEFENSE OF TAIWAN. TANG

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01446 300926Z

ASKED IF LIEBERTHAL THOUGHT SOVEREIGN NATIONS PERMITTED THAT SORT OF THING. LIEBERTHAL SAID NOT TO HIS KNOWLEDGE. TANG TOLD HIM HE'S ANSWERED HIS OWN QUESTION.

3. AT ONE POINT IN THE EXCHANGE LIEBERTHAL ALSO ASKED IF PRC OBJECTION WOULD BE "IN PRINCIPLE" (HE MEANT ACROSS-THE-BOARD), OR IF PRC WOULD DECIDE ITS POSITION ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS. HER RESPONSE (APPARENTLY AGAIN A QUESTION ABOUT PRACTICE OF SOVEREIGN NATIONS AND THEN "YOU'VE ANSWERED YOUR OWN QUESTION") MADE CLEAR THAT PRC WOULD OPPOSE SUCH TRANSACTIONS ACROSS-THE-BOARD.

4. COMMENT: LIEBERTHAL APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN THE ONLY STAFFDEL MEMBER TO GET INTO THIS SUBJECT WITH THE CHINESE, BUT SOME REFLECTION OF THE DISCUSSION PRESUMABLY WILL APPEAR IN REPORT OF THE GROUP'S FINDINGS.

5. LIEBERTHAL REMARKED TO US HE FOUND IT INTERESTING THAT TANG HAD AVOIDED (BY USE OF RHETORICAL QUESTIONS) PUTTING HERSELF IN POSITION WHERE SHE COULD BE QUOTED AS CATEGORICALLY REJECTING POST-NORMALIZATION SUPPLY OF DEFENSE-RELATED MATERIAL TO TAIWAN BY THE U.S. OR OTHERS. ON BASIS OF HIS ACCOUNT, HOWEVER, TANG WAS IN NO DOUBT CONCERNING HER BASIC GUIDANCE FOR DEALING WITH THIS QUESTION: A PRINCIPLED TURN-DOWN AS AN INFRINGEMENT OF PRC SOVEREIGNTY.
GATES

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

NOD268

PAGE 01

PEKING 01455 01 OF 02 311353Z

56

ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

089769

O 311130Z JUL 76

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6096

~~SECRET~~ SECTION 1 OF 2 PEKING 1455

NODIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3

TAGS: PFOR CH US

SUBJECT: SOME THOUGHTS ON THE "CHANG CHUN-CHIAO LINE"

REF: PEKING 1429

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, SEC. 3.5 *State Review*
STATE DEPT, GUIDELINES *SW 9/26/02*
BY *HR*, NARA, DATE *2/7/03*

1. WE OFFER SOME THOUGHTS ON (A) THE MESSAGE PEKING CURRENTLY IS TRYING TO SEND TO AMERICAN POLITICAL LEADERS (AND PRESUMABLY A WIDER AUDIENCE) AND ITS VERY TROUBLESOME IMPLICATIONS; (B) PEKING'S MOTIVES; (C) A POSSIBLE US RESPONSE.

2. AMERICANS, AND ANY OTHERS (THE SOVIETS AND THE FOLKS ON TAIWAN INCLUDED) WHO RECEIVE A REASONABLE ACCURATE ACCOUNT OF CHANG CHUN-CHIAO'S REMARKS TO SENATOR SCOTT AND WANG HAI-JUNG'S TO THE CONGRESSIONAL STAFFDEL CAN SUM UP/INTERPRET THE CURRENT LINE AS FOLLOWS:

-- THE PRC AND THE US CONTINUE TO HAVE MANY POINTS IN COMMON (ESSENTIALLY, SHARED CONCERNS VIS-A-VIS THE SOVIET UNION) IN THE INTERNATIONAL ARENA. OUR RELATIONSHIP ON THAT BASIS HAS A LOT GOING FOR IT.

-- NORMALIZATION IS ANOTHER MATTER, HOWEVER. FOR THAT, THE US WILL HAVE TO REMOVE ITSELF FROM INVOLVEMENT IN CHINA'S INTERNAL AFFAIR, THE TAIWAN PROBLEM, BY COMPLYING WITH THE THREE CONDITIONS. MEANWHILE EXCEPT, AT BEST, ABOUT THE PRESENT LEVEL OF BILATERAL DEALINGS.

~~SECRET~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY



N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S



Department of State **TELEGRAM**

~~SECRET~~

PAGE 02 PEKING 01455 01 OF 02 311353Z

(SO FAR, POINTS THAT ARE FAIRLY FAMILIAR TO A WIDE AUDIENCE. BUT WHAT COMES NEXT IS NOT SO FAMILIAR.)

-- WHILE CHINA HAS BEEN PATIENT, AND CERTAINLY STILL WANTS NORMALIZATION (ON ITS TERMS), IT IS NOW BECOMING IMPATIENT TO COLLECT THE "DEBT" THE US OWES IT.

-- AT ANY RATE, THE MESSAGE TO US GOES ON, CHINA IS GETTING READY TO SOLVE THE TAIWAN PROBLEM BY FORCE, PROBABLY THE ONLY WAY THE JOB CAN BE DONE. CHINA NATURALLY DOES NOT SAY HOW LONG THESE PREPARATIONS WILL TAKE, BUT SOONER OR LATER, IF CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRE, THE PLA WILL LIBERATE TAIWAN -- NOTWITHSTANDING THE US SECURITY COMMITMENT (IF BY THEN THE US SHOULD BE SO UNWISE AS TO STILL HAVE ONE). THUS THE COMMON INTERESTS WE HAVE INTERNATIONALLY ARE NOT DETERRING PEKING FROM FINISHING THE CHINESE CIVIL WAR. (FOR THOSE IN THE AUDIENCE WHO ARE AWARE OF THE GRADUAL INCREMENT IN PRC MILITARY ACTIVITY IN THE TAIWAN STRAIT AREA, AND/OR AWARE OF CHANG'S REMARKS ABOUT THE CURRENT EXERCISES, THIS POINT TAKES ON JUST A BIT MORE PLAUSIBILITY. WHICH IS, WE SUPPOSE, ONE FUNCTION OF THESE ACTIVITIES.)

3. WE FEAR THAT WANG'S SO CLEARLY TAKING THE INITIATIVE TO GIVE THE CONGRESSIONAL STAFFDEL A CANNED VERSION OF CHANG CHUN-CHIAO'S LINE WITH SCOTT SIGNALS AND END TO THE PATTERN OF THE CHINESE TALKING WITH SUCH GROUPS ABOUT TAIWAN (AND THEN RATHER AMBIGUOUSLY) ONLY WHEN THEY ARE PUSHED INTO IT. EVEN SO WE WOULD LIKE TO THINK THE CHINESE INTEND THE TOUGH LANGUAGE FOR JUST A FEW INFLUENTIAL EARS -- A LITTLE EDUCATING WHERE IT COUNTS JUST NOW ON PEKING'S NOT NEW BUT NOT WELL-KNOWN EXPECTATIONS THAT THE LIBERATION OF TAIWAN WILL BE BY FORCE. THIS IS CERTAINLY ONE PURPOSE, BUT MORE DISCREET MEANS ARE AVAILABLE. THE CHINESE ARE WELL AWARE OF HOW OUR SEMI-OFFICIAL DELEGATIONS HANDLE "CONFIDENTIAL" REMARKS (CHANG'S EXPERIENCE WITH CODEL PRICE IS A RECENT EXAMPLE). THEY MUST REALIZE THE NOOSE-AND-BAYONETS IMAGE IS STRIKING ENOUGH THAT IT WILL NOT BE LONG IN FINDING ITS WAY INTO THE US MEDIA, TOGETHER WITH THE MORE GENERAL PROPOSITION THAT (IN WANG'S WORDS) "ONE DAY THERE WILL HAVE TO BE A FIGHT" TO REGAIN TAIWAN.

~~SECRET~~



N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

PAGE 03

PEKING 01455 01 OF 02 311353Z

4. IN SUM, THEREFORE, THE CHINESE PRESUMABLY ARE PREPARED TO HAVE THEIR WORDS REACH JUST AS BIG A PUBLIC AS -- TO USE NANCY TANG'S EXAMPLES OF THE KIND OF AMERICAN ATTITUDES TO WHICH CHINA IS REACTING -- RECENT STATEMENTS ON SINO-US RELATIONS BY SENATOR GOLDWATER AND THE NEW YORK TIMES. NANCY REFRAINED FROM SAYING SO, BUT SHE MAY HAVE HAD IN MIND ALSO MR. CARTER'S STATEMENT TO TIME (CARRIED IN NCNA'S JULY 26 "NEWS FROM FOREIGN AGENCIES AND PRESS") CALLING FOR ASSURANCES THAT TAIWAN WILL BE FREE OF MILITARY PERSUASION OR DOMINATION FROM MAINLAND CHINA.

5. WE THINK IT IS NO FEAT OF IMAGINATION TO SAY THAT THE EFFECT OF THE TOUGH CHINESE PUBLIC LINE COULD -- REPEAT COULD -- WRECK OUR CHINA POLICY. MOREOVER, IT COULD LEAD TO THE VERY OPPOSITE OF WHAT THE PRC WANTS BY SERVING TO PROMOTE A "TWO CHINAS" OR "ONE CHINA ONE TAIWAN" SITUATION. THE AMERICANS WHO CARRIED INTO THE MEETINGS WITH CHANG AND WANG THE ASSUMPTIONS THAT CHINA IS PATIENT AND CHINA ENVISAGES A PEACEFUL SOLUTION LEFT THE MEETINGS IN VARYING DEGREES OF SHOCK. REMARKS SEVERAL OF THE VISITORS MADE TO US LATER INDICATED THEY SAW ONLY BAD EFFECTS ON PRC-US RELATIONS.

6. THERE MUST BE THOSE IN CHINA'S FOREIGN POLICY ESTABLISHMENT WHO REALIZE THIS POTENTIAL EFFECT AND MIGHT HAVE ARGUED AGAINST GOING PUBLIC WITH THE TOUGH LINE. HOWEVER, IT NOW SEEMS LIKELY THE DECISION HAD

~~SECRET~~





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

NOD264

PAGE 01 PEKING 01455 02 OF 02 311303Z

47
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

089561

O 311130Z JUL 76
FM USLO PEKING
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6097

~~SECRET~~ SECTION 2 OF 2 PEKING 1455

NODIS

BEEN TAKEN BY THE TIME CHANG MET WITH SCOTT.

7. DOES THIS DECISION MEAN THAT PEKING NO LONGER SEES BILATERAL ISSUES -- AND SPECIFICALLY TAIWANHMAA IN THE TERMS HUA KUO-FENG EXPRESSED THEM TO ME, AS "MINOR ASPECTS" OF OUR RELATIONSHIP? IT COULD, THOUGH I DOUBT IT. NOR DO I THINK THE CHINESE HAVE CAST AWAY THE APPRECIATION OF THEIR BASIC SELF-INTEREST THAT BROUGHT THEM INTO THE NEW RELATIONSHIP WITH US IN THE FIRST PLACE. AS I SHALL NOTE AGAIN LATER, THESE ARE POINTS WE SHOULD BE AUTHORITATIVELY CLARIFIED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. BUT ASSUMING ESSENTIALLY THE SAME OVER-ALL CHINESE FOREIGN POLICY PERSPECTIVES, IT SEEMS EVIDENT THAT THE LEADERSHIP IS GENUINELY CONCERNED THAT THE US MAY BACKTRACK ON TAIWAN, AND PERHAPS IRRITATED AT THE PROSPECT THAT COLLECTING THE "DEBT" WILL TAKE MUCH LONGER THAN ORIGINALLY ANTICIPATED. THEY MAY ESTIMATE THAT THEY CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS DURING THE PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN, OR A TRANSITIONAL PERIOD, AT LITTLE RISK TO THE INTERNATIONAL ASPECTS OF THE US/PRC RELATIONSHIP, IN PART BY STIMULATING SUPPORT IN THE US FOR THE VIEWPOINT EXPRESSED IN THE JULY 26 BALTIMORE SUN "THE CHINA BULLET" EDITORIAL. (THIS WAS CARRIED IN THE JULY 27 "NEWS FROM FOREIGN AGENCIES AND POSTS".) AND THEY MAY HAVE CONCLUDED THAT, IN ANY EVENT, IT IS TIME TO INTRODUCE GREATER "REALISM" IN THE WAY US PUBLIC OPINION WEIGHS RELATIONS WITH TAIWAN AS AGAINST THOSE WITH THE PRC.

~~SECRET~~

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY





Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

PAGE 02

PEKING 01455 02 OF 02 311303Z

8. WE SUSPECT THERE ARE ALSO INTERNAL FACTORS. THE DOMESTIC CONSENSUS IN THE PRC THAT PERMITTED THE NEW US-CHINA RELATIONSHIP TO BEGIN MAY HAVE RESTED IN PART ON AN EXPECTATION THE US WOULD IN A RELATIVELY SHORT TIME END ITS MILITARY INVOLVEMENT WITH TAIWAN. THERE COULD BE PRESSURES ON HUA AND CHANG FROM WITHIN THE MILITARY, FOR EXAMPLE, TO ENSURE AT LEAST THAT US FAILURE TO DO SO IS NOT A PRODUCT OF INADEQUATE COMMUNICATION. OR CHANG, FOR ONE, COULD BE USING A MILITANT LINE ON TAIWAN'S RECOVERY AS A MEANS OF STIMULATING XENOPHOBIC SUPPORT IN THE PLA. WE DOUBT, HOWEVER, THAT CHANG COULD HAVE SPOKEN AS HE DID TO SCOTT (AND THEN WANG TO THE STAFFDEL) WITHOUT THE AGREEMENT OF THE REST OF THE TOP LEADERSHIP.

9. THE US CONGRESS AND THE PUBLIC UNDERSTANDABLY EXPECT SOME FORM OF REASONABLE ASSURANCE THAT TAIWAN WILL NOT FALL VICTIM TO PEKING UPON OUR BREAKING RELATIONS. CHANG'S AND WANG'S COMMENTS TO SCOTT AND THE STAFFDEL CLEARLY WILL MAKE SUCH ASSURANCE MUCH MORE DIFFICULT. WE SHOULD QUIETLY TAKE THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THE CHINESE REAFFIRM THE PRIORITIES WE HAVE AGREED TO IN OUR RELATIONSHIP. THEN WE SHOULD NOTE, IF ONLY FOR THE RECORD, THAT REGARDLESS OF THEIR PURPOSE AND MOTIVATION COMMENTS SUCH AS CHANG'S TO SCOTT WILL HAVE A SERIOUS EFFECT ON THE PROGRESS OF NORMALIZATION -- IN FACT COULD WIRE IT FOR SELF-DESTRUCT. YOUR FORTHCOMING MEETING WITH CHIAO KUAN-HUA AT THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN NEW YORK MAY BE THE FIRST SUITABLE OPPORTUNITY ALTHOUGH I AM CONCERNED THAT BY THEN MOST OF THE DAMAGE WILL ALREADY HAVE BEEN DONE BY PUBLICITY FOR CHANG'S AND OR WANG'S REMARKS ABOUT MILITARY LIBERATION OF TAIWAN.
GATES

~~SECRET~~

