

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RESCISSIONS AND DEFERRALS

(In thousands of dollars)

<u>Rescission #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Budget Authority</u>
	<b>Agriculture:</b>	
R76-15	Agricultural Research Service Agricultural research service (construction).....	225
R76-16	Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Water Bank Act Program.....	12,500
R76-17	Forestry Incentives Program.....	18,750
R76-18	Farmers Home Administration Rural water and waste disposal grants.	150,000
R76-19	Rural development grants.....	12,344
R76-20	Rural housing for domestic farm labor.....	9,375
R76-21	Mutual and self-help housing.....	12,287
R76-22	Self-help housing and development fund.....	1,493
R76-23	Rural housing insurance fund.....	10,000
R76-24	Rural community fire protection grants.....	4,375
R76-25	Agricultural Marketing Service Payments to States and possessions, Agricultural Marketing Service.....	2,000
	<b>Housing and Urban Development:</b>	
R76-26	Housing Production and Mortgage Credit State housing finance and development.....	600,000*
	<b>Other Independent Agencies:</b>	
R76-27	Consumer Product Safety Commission Salaries and expenses.....	5,225
	Subtotal, rescissions.....	838,579
<u>Deferral #</u>		
	<b>Agriculture:</b>	
D76-68	Agricultural Research Service.....	7,570
D76-69	Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service Animal and plant health inspection service (construction-Fleming Key Animal Import Center).....	6,314
D76-70	Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Agricultural Conservation program.....	90,000

Deferral #	Item	Budget Authority
D76-71	Commodity Credit Corporation.....	2,787
	Farmers Home Administration	
D76-72	Rural water and waste disposal grants.....	50,000
	Soil Conservation Service	
D76-73	Watershed and flood prevention operations.....	22,500
D76-74	Resource conservation and development.	4,960
	Commerce:	
	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	
D76-75	Fishermen's guaranty fund.....	152
	Office of the Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology	
D76-76	Scientific and technical research and services.....	1,187
	Health, Education, and Welfare:	
	Assistant Secretary for Human Development	
D76-11B	Research and training activities overseas (special foreign currency program).....	4,252
	Labor:	
	Departmental Management	
D76-77	Working capital fund.....	977
D76-78	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.**.....	(1,431)
	Environmental Protection Agency:	
	Research and Development	
D76-79	Research and development (air research and development).....	2,000
D76-80	Research and development (water quality research).....	4,600
	Abatement and Control	
D76-81	Abatement and control (air control agency grants).....	3,750
D76-82	Abatement and control (water quality control agency grants)...	10,000
D76-83	Abatement and control (clean lakes grants).....	15,000

<u>Deferral #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Budget Authority</u>
	National Aeronautics and Space Administration:	
D76-84	Research and program management....	2,900
	State:	
	Refugee and Migration Affairs	
D76-85	Special assistance to refugees from Cambodia and Vietnam.....	<u>28,493</u>
	Subtotal, deferrals.....	257,442
	Total, rescissions and deferrals...	1,096,021

\* Total new budget authority. For 1976, \$15 million in contract authority and \$15 million to liquidate that authority is proposed for rescission.

\*\* The amount of this deferral is not counted in the total because the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation is excluded from the budget totals by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-406).

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL MESSAGES  
FOR FY 1976

(Amounts in thousands of dollars)

	<u>Rescissions</u>	<u>Deferrals</u>
Eighth special message:		
New items.....	838,579	253,190
Changes to amounts pre- viously submitted.....	<u>---</u>	<u>-4,055</u>
Effect of the eighth special message.....	838,579	249,135
Previous special messages....	<u>1,502,991</u>	<u>3,578,426</u>
Total amount proposed in special messages to date....	2,341,570 (in 27 res- cission proposals)	3,827,561 (in 85 deferrals)

NOTE: All amounts listed represent budget authority except for \$87,689,681 consisting of two general revenue sharing deferrals (of outlays only) reported in the seventh 1976 special message.

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>U.S. Department of Agriculture</u>	New budget authority (P.L. <u>94-122</u> )	\$ <u>10,395,000</u>
Bureau <u>Agriculture Research Service</u>	Other budgetary resources	<u>8,667,500</u>
Appropriation title & symbol <u>Agriculture Research Service (Construction) 12X1400</u>	Total budgetary resources	<u>19,062,500</u>
OMB identification code: <u>05-18-1400-0-1-352</u>	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>225,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority*(in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

JUSTIFICATION

Rescission is proposed of \$225,000 provided in the FY 1976 Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act for planning an addition to the Human Nutrition Laboratory, Grand Forks, North Dakota, to house animals.

Initial construction at Grand Forks was limited to laboratory facilities, but a developing need for animal studies has necessitated the use of present laboratory space to house limited numbers of animals. Several steps have been taken to relieve crowding, including initiation of construction of a second floor addition to house experimental animals and completion of additional clinical and laboratory space for research scientists.

In light of these measures to relieve the current problems and of Department policy to fully utilize existing research facilities prior to authorization of new construction projects, additional construction at Grand Forks is not necessary.

ESTIMATED EFFECTS

In addition to producing current outlay savings of \$225,000, adoption of this rescission would forestall future construction costs in excess of \$1,000,000 and, later, annual operating costs. Research will continue to be conducted in facilities either existing or undergoing expansion. Should the need for animal studies continue to increase, additional space may be required at some future date.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 budget:

1. Budget outlay estimated for 1976.....	\$ 5.6
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimated.....	0

## Current Outlay Estimate for 1976:

3. Without deferral.....	15.0
4. With deferral.....	14.8
5. Current Outlay Savings.....	0.2
Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.....	0
Outlay Savings for 1977.....	0

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Agricultural Research Service

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$225,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976.

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture	New budget authority (See (P.L. _____) Other budgetary resources <u>Coverage</u> Total budgetary resources
Bureau Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service	
Appropriation title & symbol Water Bank Act Program - 1976 12X3320	Amount proposed for rescission <span style="float:right">section below.)</span>
OMB identification code: 05-60-3320-0-1-320	Legal authority*(in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	

Coverage

Fiscal Year 1976		Transition Quarter	
Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission	Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission
\$10,000,000 (P.L. 94-122)	\$10,000,000	\$2,500,000 (P.L. 94-122)	\$2,500,000

Justification

The program was authorized by the Water Bank Act (P.L. 91-559). The Act authorized the Secretary of Agriculture to enter into long-term agreements with farmers and landowners to preserve and improve migratory waterfowl habitat.

The overall objective of this program is the same as a similar program currently being conducted by the Department of the Interior. Since the program complement each other and since adequate preservation of wetlands can be maintained under existing agreements, the Water Bank Program could be discontinued without significant adverse impact on the Nation.

- 2 -

Estimated Effects

Since the program provides only limited conservation benefits, its termination is of minimal consequence. Payments under prior year agreements will continue to be made until these agreements are fully satisfied. These agreements will have annual outlays of about \$3.0 million for the next 9 years.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

- |   |     |
|---|-----|
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....                                   | 2.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the<br>budget outlay estimate..... | --- |

## Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

- |  |            |
|--|------------|
| 3. Without rescission.....                       | 4.1        |
| 4. With rescission.....                          | <u>3.8</u> |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4)..... | .3         |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.....   | ---        |
| Outlay Savings for 1977.....                     | 1.6        |

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

Water Bank Program

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$10,000,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$2,500,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>U.S. Department of Agriculture</u>	New budget authority (P.L. _____) Other budgetary resources (See Total budgetary resources <u>coverage</u>
Bureau <u>Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service</u>	
Appropriation title & symbol  <u>Forestry Incentives Program-1976</u>  <u>12X3336</u>	Amount proposed for rescission <u>section</u>  <u>below)</u>
OMB identification code: <u>05-60-336-0-1-302</u>	Legal authority*(in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual  <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation  <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Coverage

	<u>Fiscal Year 1976</u>		<u>Transition Quarter</u>	
	<u>Budgetary Resources</u>	<u>Proposed Rescission</u>	<u>Budgetary Resources</u>	<u>Proposed Rescission</u>
New budget authority (P.L. 94-122).....	15,000,000		3,750,000	
Other budgetary resources.....	<u>9,280,771</u>	_____	---	_____
Total budgetary resources.....	24,280,771	15,000,000	3,750,000	3,750,000

Justification

Rescission of the \$15,000,000 FY 1976 appropriations and the \$3,750,000 transition quarter appropriation would discontinue this program which was first authorized by Section 1009 of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-86). The program provides cost-sharing to landowners for the purpose of stimulating long-term sawtimber production on private nonindustrial lands.

There is serious doubt as to whether the national benefits derived from investments in this kind of program justify the expenditure of scarce national resources for its funding. Moreover, it is not clear that landowners would not invest their own capital if Federal cost-sharing

were not available. The program would be far more justifiable if there were a mechanism through which the Federal Government could regain its investment at the time the timber products were marketed just as the landowner regains his. In this case, however, the landowner not only realizes a profit on his investment; but he also realizes a profit on the government's portion of the investment.

### Estimated Effects

The Forestry Incentives Program can be terminated with little, if any, adverse impact on the Nation's capability to produce forestry products. Even without cost-sharing, technical assistance and advice would still be available to owners of such lands who wished to carry out tree planting, stand improvement, etc., at their own expense based on their assessment that such an undertaking is a sound economic investment.

### Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

#### Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	0.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	0.0

#### Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	20.3
4. With rescission.....	<u>10.9</u>
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	9.4
Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.....	1.3
Outlay Savings for 1977.....	3.0

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

Forestry Incentives Program

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$15,000,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$3,750,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</u>	New budget authority (P.L. _____)
Bureau <u>Farmers Home Administration</u>	Other budgetary resources (See
Appropriation title & symbol  <u>Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants 12X2066</u>	Total budgetary resources <u>coverage</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission <u>section</u> <u>below)</u>
OMB identification code: <u>05-75-2066-0-1-451</u>	Legal authority* (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Coverage

<u>Fiscal Year 1976</u>		<u>Transition Quarter</u>	
<u>Budgetary</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Budgetary</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
<u>Resources</u>	<u>Rescission</u>	<u>Resources</u>	<u>Rescission</u>
\$250,000,000 (P.L. 94-122 and 41)	\$125,000,000	\$37,500,000 (P.L. 94-122)	\$25,000,000

Justification

A program level of \$75 million for fiscal year 1976 and \$12.5 million for the transition quarter is proposed. Of the \$287.5 million appropriated by P.L. 94-122 and 94-41 for rural water and waste disposal grants over 15 months, \$150 million is proposed for rescission and \$50 million is to be deferred until fiscal year 1977. This program provides water and waste disposal development grants that are made to public or quasi-public agencies for the development, storage, treatment, purification, and distribution of domestic water or the collection, treatment, or disposal of waste in rural areas. Grants may not exceed 50 percent of the development cost of the projects.

The \$75 million proposed funding level is considered sufficient to meet the needs of this program, at this time, for the following reasons:

1. The program level proposed is sufficient to fund the most urgent grant applications on hand which are ready for funding this fiscal year.
2. The grant program level proposed, including transfers from other agencies, is the appropriate amount to complement the loan authority of \$470 million provided for water and sewer facility loans (Rural Development Insurance Fund) in the FY 1976 Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, based on revised estimates of the proper balance between loans and grants.
3. Grant funds for this type program are also available from the Economic Development Administration, the Department of Commerce; the Department of the Army; and the Appalachian Regional Commission to assist in funding water and waste disposal projects in rural areas.

#### Estimated Effects

The estimated effects of this rescission are minimal. The funding level recommended by the President together with fund transfers from other agencies is considered sufficient to fund this program this fiscal year.

#### Outlay Effect (Estimated in millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 budget:	
1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	66.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	---
Current outlay estimates for 1976:	
3. Without rescission.....	71.8
4. With rescission.....	<u>62.0</u>
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - 4).....	9.8
Outlay savings for the transition quarter.....	2.3
Outlay savings for 1977.....	31.4

The total outlay effect of the proposed rescissions of \$125 million in FY 1976 and \$25 million in the transition quarter, as well as the deferral of \$50 million would be as follows:

FY 1976	-14.8 million
TQ	-4.3 million
FY 1977	-41.4 million

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## Farmers Home Administration

Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$100,000,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$25,000,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.

Appropriations provided in the twelfth unnumbered paragraph of section 101 (e) of Public Law 94-41 for activities under the heading Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants pursuant to sections 306 (a) (2) and 306 (a) (6) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1926) are rescinded in the amount of \$25,000,000.

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</u>	New budget authority (P.L. _____) Other budgetary resources Total budgetary resources (See coverage section below)
Bureau <u>Farmers Home Administration</u>	
Appropriation title & symbol  <u>Rural Development Grants 1262065</u>	Amount proposed for rescission
OMB identification code: <u>05-75-2065-0-1-452</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Coverage

<u>Fiscal Year 1976</u>		<u>Transition Quarter</u>	
<u>Budgetary Resources</u>	<u>Proposed Rescission</u>	<u>Budgetary Resources</u>	<u>Proposed Rescission</u>
\$11,875,000	\$9,375,000	\$2,969,000	\$2,969,000
(P.L. 94-122)		(P.L. 94-122)	

JUSTIFICATION

This program supplements business and industrial loans made in rural areas for the purpose of improving, developing, or financing business, industry, and employment and improving the economic and environmental climate in rural communities.

Loan funds are available for these same purposes at reasonable interest rates and terms through the community facilities loan program of the Department of Agriculture. To avoid duplication of programs and the costs associated with such duplication, the rescission of \$12,344,000 in 1976 and the transition quarter is proposed.

Estimated Effects

The elimination of this program will have a minimum effect on the rural economy since funds are available from the community facilities loan program and from other sources to fund necessary projects.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	10.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	--

## Current outlay estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	10.0
4. With rescission.....	9.3
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	.7
Outlay savings for the transition quarter.....	.4
Outlay savings for 1977.....	6.0

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Farmers Home Administration  
Rural Development Grants

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$9,375,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$2,969,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	New budget authority (See (P.L. _____) Other budgetary resources <u>Coverage</u> Total budgetary resources
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	
Appropriation title & symbol  Rural Housing for Domestic Farm Labor 12X2004	Amount proposed for rescission section below.)
OMB identification code: 05-75-2004-0-1-401	Legal authority*(in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	

Coverage:

Fiscal Year 1976		Transition Quarter	
Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission	Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission
\$7,500,000 (P.L. 94-122)	\$7,500,000	\$1,875,000 (P.L. 94-122)	\$1,875,000

Justification:

Termination of this program is proposed because other programs can more effectively provide housing for farm workers. Many farm workers are settling out of the migratory stream and becoming homeowners under the home ownership loan program (Rural Housing Insurance Fund). This program can provide a loan covering the full cost of the house, repayable over 33 years, at interest rates as low as 1 percent. This kind of housing assistance has much greater social, community, and economic benefits than can be provided by the farm labor housing program which generally provides housing for families on a temporary basis. In addition, the Sec. 515 (Housing Act of 1949) rental housing program is providing an increased supply of moderate-cost rental housing in rural areas.

This program, which provides a 1 percent, 33-year loan and up to 90 percent grant, results in a very high Federal outlay relative to the number of persons benefited. In view of this fact and the other programs available to meet housing needs of domestic farm laborers, the program is proposed for termination.

Estimated Effects:

The Labor Housing Loans and Grants represent a very small percentage of total funding of FmHA housing programs. The discontinuance of these grants would have a minimal effect on the supply of housing in rural areas.

Outlay Effect (Estimated in Millions of Dollars):

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	6.3
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	--

## Current outlay estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	6.0
4. With rescission.....	5.5
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	.5
Outlay savings for the transition quarter.....	.1
Outlay savings for 1977.....	2.2

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## Farmers Home Administration

Rural Housing for Domestic Farm Labor Grants

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$7,500,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$1,875,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	New budget authority (P.L. _____) (See Coverage section below.)
Bureau FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION	
Appropriation title & symbol  Mutual and Self-Help Housing 12X2006	Other budgetary resources  Total budgetary resources  Amount proposed for rescission
OMB identification code: 05-75-2006-0-1-401	Legal authority*(in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Coverage

	Fiscal Year 1976		Transition Quarter	
	Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission	Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission
New budget authority (P.L.94-122).....	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$2,250,000	\$2,250,000
Other budgetary resources.....	1,036,529	1,036,529	--	--
Total budgetary resources.....	\$10,036,529	\$10,036,529	\$2,250,000	\$2,250,000

Justification

Grants are used to provide technical and supervisory assistance to groups of families who build their homes by mutually exchanging labor. Owing to the relatively low number of families that have taken advantage of this program and the greater effectiveness of other programs in meeting overall housing needs, the rescission of these budgetary resources is proposed.

Estimated Effects

Although a significant effort was made by the field staffs, the Farmers Home Administration had difficulty granting the funds available in 1975. There was a scarcity both of eligible applicants and of applicants who showed evidence of being able to perform satisfactorily enough to be awarded grants. The same scarcities are expected in 1976. Therefore, terminating this program will deny benefits to very few families.

Outlay Effects (Estimated in millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's in 1976 budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for FY 1976.....	\$ .7
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the outlay estimate.....	--

Current outlay estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	6.0
4. With rescission.....	5.0
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	1.0

Outlay savings for transition quarter..... .2

Outlay savings for 1977..... 4.0

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Farmers Home Administration  
Mutual and Self-Help Housing

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$9,000,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$2,250,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.

Unobligated balances of appropriations made available under this head in Appropriation Acts for prior years are rescinded.

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____)	1,498,032
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	Other budgetary resources	1,498,032
Appropriation title & symbol Self-Help Housing Land Development Fund 12X4222	Total budgetary resources	1,498,032
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>1,498,032</u>
OMB identification code: 05-75-4222-0-3-401	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification

The fund was authorized by section 1005 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, to make loans at three percent interest for two years to acquire and develop sites. These sites are then subdivided and sold to eligible families, nonprofit organizations, and cooperatives. This program operates in conjunction with the Mutual and self-help housing program (see R76-21) that provides grants to groups of families who build their homes--sometimes, on sites developed through use of the fund--by mutually exchanging labor. Both programs are proposed for termination because relatively few groups have made use of the programs and because other programs are more effective in meeting overall housing needs.

Estimated Effects

Of the \$900,000 in loan funds available for this program in FY 1975, \$234,000 was obligated. Since the demand for funds under this program has been very limited, insignificant effects would result from the proposed rescission.

Outlay Effects (Estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	.2
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	-

## Current outlay estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	.8
4. With rescission.....	.2
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	.6
Outlay savings for the transition quarter.....	.2
Outlay savings for 1977.....	.6

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## Self-Help Housing Land Development Fund

Unobligated balances of appropriations provided for direct loans pursuant to section 523(b)(1)(B) of the Housing Act of 1949 (42 USC 1471-1490C) and related advances in the Department of Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Acts of 1970 and 1971, and the Supplemental Appropriation Act, 1969, are rescinded.

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</u>	New budget authority (P.L. <u>94-122</u> )	\$ <u>2,716,000,000</u>
Bureau <u>Farmers Home Administration</u>	Other budgetary resources	_____
Appropriation title & symbol  <u>Rural Housing Insurance Fund</u> <u>12X4141</u> <u>(Farm Labor Housing Loans)</u>	Total budgetary resources	<u>2,716,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>05-75-4141-0-3-401</u>	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>10,000,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Loan Authority</u>	

Justification

Loans for farm labor housing -- one of several loan programs encompassed by the Rural Housing Insurance Fund -- are made to farm owners, to public or private nonprofit organizations, or to nonprofit, State-incorporated organizations of farmworkers. In recent years, most of the loans have been made to public organizations and nonprofit associations at a 1% interest rate. The loans may be used to provide living quarters, household furnishings, and related facilities for domestic farm labor.

In comparison to other housing programs of the Farmers Home Administration, these loans have made insignificant contributions to meeting housing needs in rural areas. From the inception of the program in fiscal year 1962 through June 30, 1975, a total of 460 initial and subsequent loans have been made, obligating a total of \$49 million. Further, loans to qualified farmworkers for similar housing purposes are available through two other programs operated under the Rural Housing Insurance Fund -- rural rental housing loans and homeownership loans.

Estimated Effects

The estimated effects of rescinding this program are considered negligible, since it represents a very small percentage of the loan authority available for housing programs and since housing assistance for farmworkers can be provided through the homeownership and rural rental housing loan programs.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	162.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate...	---

## Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	267.5
4. With rescission.....	267.5
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	---
Outlay savings for transition quarter.....	---
Outlay savings for 1977.....	0.4

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Farmers Home Administration  
Rural Housing Insurance Fund

The amounts made available for insured loans and for  
subsidized interest loans to low income borrowers under this  
head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act,  
1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$10,000,000.

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	New budget authority (P.L. _____) Other budgetary resources Total budgetary resources	(See Coverage section below.)
Bureau Farmers Home Administration		
Appropriation title & symbol  Rural Community Fire Protection Grants 1262067	Amount proposed for rescission	
OMB identification code: 05-75-2067-0-1-452	Legal authority*(in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Coverage

Fiscal Year 1976		Transition Quarter	
Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission	Budgetary Resources	Proposed Rescission
\$3,500,000 (P.L. 94-122)	\$3,500,000	\$875,000 (P.L. 94-122)	\$875,000

Justification

This program, authorized under the Rural Development Act of 1972, would provide funds for fire fighting equipment and for organizing and training personnel in rural communities to assist in fire control. This program, which has a high cost per person served, is proposed for termination. Adequate credit assistance to provide this equipment is available at reasonable interest rates and terms through the community facilities loan program.

Estimated Effects: The estimated effects of the proposed rescission are negligible for the following reasons: first, community facilities loans are available at reasonable interest rates and terms; and, second, the Forest Service makes surplus fire fighting equipment available to rural communities.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars):

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for FY 1976.....	0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	0

## Current Outlay Estimate for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	2.8
4. With rescission.....	<u>0</u>
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	2.8
Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.....	.7
Outlay Savings for 1977.....	.9

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farmers Home Administration

Rural Community Fire Protection Grants

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$3,500,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$875,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.



Over the years, as ongoing State marketing services and other responsibilities increased, the importance of this program to the States has diminished. State activities funded by this program have received less interest and attention by State leaders, resulting in fewer innovative marketing service proposals being submitted by the States to the Agricultural Marketing Service for funding consideration and generally leaving AMS with the choice of supporting activities well past the pilot stage, approving mediocre work, or turning a good share of the funds back to the Federal Treasury.

The diminishing State interest in the program is substantiated by the fact that one-third of the 1974 participants did not request program funds for fiscal year 1975. Of the 30 States submitting proposals for 1975, 16 were not able to develop projects that met the major considerations for approving work. A major stumbling block was that many States were unable or not inclined to come up with State matching funds for developing new State marketing services beyond those services already provided or those that had previously been pilot-tested.

#### Estimated Effects

Rescinding the 1976 and transition quarter appropriations will have little impact on the marketing projects carried out by the States. As illustrated above, States have assumed funding of marketing service activities and have recently shown little interest in the pilot programs financing available through this program.

#### Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

##### Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	--
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	--

##### Current outlay estimate for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	\$1.6
4. With rescission.....	--
5. Current outlay savings.....	\$1.6
Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter.....	.4
Outlay savings for 1977.....	--

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

Payments to States and Possessions

Appropriations provided under this head in the Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$1,600,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976, and in the amount of \$400,000 for the period July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976.



Also, State governments could lower the borrowing costs of their State housing finance and development agencies more than Section 802 assistance would by pledging their "full faith and credit" to the borrowing of the agencies. It can be demonstrated that the issuance of such a pledge is equal to, and in some cases superior to, the interest subsidy provisions of Section 802. Thus, the interest subsidies are not needed.

Estimated Effects

Since State backing for securities issued by housing and development agencies could reduce borrowing costs by more than the interest subsidies, this rescission need not affect the activity level of these agencies.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	0

Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission.....	0
4. With rescission.....	0
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	0
	0

Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter.....	0
Outlay savings for 1977.....	0
Outlay savings for 1978.....	15.0
Outlay savings for 1979.....	15.0

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN  
DEVELOPMENT

HOUSING PROGRAMS

STATE HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT AGENCIES

Appropriations and contract authority provided  
for in the Housing and Urban Development-Independent  
Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, for interest  
grant payments pursuant to Section 802(c)(2) of the  
Housing and Community Development Act of 1974  
(88 Stat. 722) are rescinded.

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Consumer Product Safety Commission	New budget authority \$ <u>41,820,000</u> (P.L. 94-116 )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources <u>10,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>41,830,000</u>
Salaries and Expenses - 6150100	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>5,225,000</u>
OMB identification code: 30-40-0100-0-1-553	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

The proposed rescission would maintain the President's 1976 requested level of \$36,595,000 for the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC). The 1976 appropriation exceeds the President's request by the \$5,225,000 proposed for rescission.

The resulting level would allow maintenance of all CPSC programs at the 1975 level, which is more than 20% above the 1974 level. A \$37 million funding level should provide ample resources for CPSC to demonstrate its effectiveness in reducing consumer product injuries, to address program priorities, and to accomplish essential objectives.

Estimated Effects:

The rescission would not result in personnel reductions, and all CPSC programs could be maintained at the 1975 level. No continuing grants or contracts would have to be cancelled for lack of funding. The appropriation level of \$41,820,000 would result in an average 14% expansion over 1975 in all program areas--including regulatory development, information and education, compliance, and administration.

Outlay Effect: (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 .....	43.4
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate ....	-0-

## Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

3. Without rescission .....	47.4
4. With rescission .....	43.4
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) .....	4.0

Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter .....	.2
Outlay savings for 1977 .....	1.0

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

## Salaries and Expenses

Appropriations provided under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development - Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1976, are rescinded in the amount of \$5,225,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976.



Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	5.6
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	0

## Current Outlay Estimate for 1976:

3. Without deferral.....	15.0
4. With deferral.....	14.0
5. Current Outlay Savings.....	1.0

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter..... 0.5

Outlay Savings for 1977..... 3.0



Outlay Effects (Estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	\$4.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	--

## Current outlay estimates for 1976:

3. Without deferral.....	1.3
4. With deferral.....	.5
5. Current outlay savings (line 3-line 4).....	.8
Outlay savings for the transition quarter.....	1.0
Outlay savings for 1977.....	3.3



Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

- |   |       |
|---|-------|
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976:.....                                  | 170.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the<br>budget outlay estimate..... | 0     |

## Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

- |  |       |
|--|-------|
| 3. Without deferral.....                         | 170.0 |
| 4. With deferral.....                            | 170.0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4)..... | 0     |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.....   | 5.5   |
| Outlay Savings for 1977.....                     | 76.0  |

Deferral No: D76-71

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>U. S. Department of Agriculture</u>	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____)
Bureau <u>Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service</u>	Other budgetary resources \$ <u>39,400,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  <u>Commodity Credit Corporation Administrative Expenses, 1976- 1264336</u>	Total budgetary resources \$ <u>39,400,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>05-66-4336-0-3-999</u>	Amount to be deferred: Part of year \$ <u>2,787,000</u>  Entire year _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>P.L. 94-122</u>
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual  <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)  <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation  <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

The Agriculture Appropriation Act (Commodity Credit Corporation, Limitation on Administrative Expenses) provides that no less than 7 percent of the total authorization for administrative expenses of the CCC shall be placed in reserve and used only in such manner and at such time as may be necessary to carry out program operations.

Estimated Effects

This deferral will have no programmatic impact and its economic and budgetary impact will be negligible.

- 2 -

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	\$39.4
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate .....	0
Current outlay estimates for 1976	
3. Without deferral .....	39.4
4. With deferral .....	39.4
5. Current outlay savings .....	0
Outlay savings for the transition quarter.....	0
Outlay savings for 1977 .....	0



2. The grant program level proposed, including transfers from other agencies, is the appropriate amount to complement the loan authority of \$470 million provided for water and facility loans (Rural Development Insurance Fund) in the FY 1976 Agriculture and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, based on revised estimates of the proper balance between loans and grants.
3. Grant funds for this program have been or are in the process of transfer from the Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce; Department of the Army; and the Appalachian Regional Commission to assist in funding water and waste disposal projects in rural areas.

### Estimated Effects

The estimated effects of this deferral are minimal. The funding level recommended by the President, together with fund transfers from other agencies, is considered sufficient to fund this program this fiscal year.

### Outlay Effect (Estimated in millions of dollars)

#### Comparison with President's 1976 budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	66.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	---

#### Current outlay estimates for 1976:

3. Without deferral.....	71.8
4. With deferral.....	66.8
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	5.0

Outlay savings for the transition quarter.....	2.0
Outlay savings for 1977.....	10.0

The total outlay effect of this deferral of \$50 million and the proposed rescission of \$125 million in FY 1976, and \$25 million in the transition quarter, would be as follows:

FY 1976	-14.8 million
TQ	-4.3 million
FY 1977	-41.4 million

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture	New budget authority <span style="float:right">\$ <u>172,986,000</u></span> (P.L. <u>94-122</u> )
Bureau Soil Conservation Service	Other budgetary resources <span style="float:right"><u>25,052,000</u></span>
Appropriation title & symbol  Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations 12X1072	Total budgetary resources <span style="float:right"><u>198,038,000</u></span>
OMB identification code: <u>05-78-1072-0-1-301</u>	Amount to be deferred: Part of year <span style="float:right">\$ _____</span>  Entire year <span style="float:right"><u>22,500,000</u></span>
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (Both)	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual  <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ <span style="margin-left: 100px;">(expiration date)</span>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation  <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

Of the total amount being deferred, \$12,500,000 is for emergency work (e.g., runoff retardation and soil erosion prevention). In 1976, only the spring construction season remains. All funds available for emergency work will not be used then due to several factors including unavailability of equipment, the time necessary to obtain permits, and the unpredictability of spring weather. The funds being deferred are expected to be made available for later construction seasons, including the next summer and fall construction seasons.

The other \$10,000,000 being deferred is for new construction starts. If additional new starts are made, future funding will either have to be spread over more projects in progress, resulting in a slow-down of completion of projects currently under way or future funding levels will have to be increased, since the funds provided this year are sufficient only to start the new projects.

Estimated Effects

Emergency work necessary to relieve the hazardous conditions most critical to the protection of life and property will be undertaken in FY 1976. The FY 1976 construction level will be nearly that for 1975. Less urgently needed work--correction of damage that does not pose a near-term threat to life or property--will be completed after FY 1976. Twenty new construction starts will be delayed.

Outlay Effect (estimates in millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	137.9
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	0
Current Outlay Estimates for 1976	
3. Without deferral.....	185.8
4. With deferral.....	<u>170.0</u>
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	15.8
Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter .....	-10.0
Outlay Savings for 1977 .....	-8.8



Deferring the 15 new starts will help assure orderly completion, in future years, of projects now underway.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	25.7
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	0
Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:	
3. Without deferral.....	28.5
4. With deferral.....	<u>25.3</u>
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).....	3.2
Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.....	.5
Outlay Savings for 1977.....	1.3



Estimated Effects:

Deferral of these funds will have no effect on the Fishermen's Guaranty Fund as currently planned for FY 1976.

Outlay Effect: (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	.1
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	-0-

## Current outlay estimates for 1976:

3. Without deferral.....	1.8
4. With deferral.....	1.8
5. Current outlay savings.....	-0-

Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter..... -0-

Outlay savings for 1977..... -0-

Deferral No: \_\_\_\_\_

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE</u>	New budget authority (P.L. <u>94-121</u> )	\$ <u>60,919,000</u>
Bureau Office of the Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology	Other budgetary resources	<u>10,067,419</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Scientific and Technical Research and Services 13X0500	Total budgetary resources	<u>70,986,419</u>
	Amount to be deferred:	
	Part of year	\$ _____
	Entire year	<u>1,187,000</u>
OMB identification code: 06-52-0500-0-1-403	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification

This appropriation funds the activities of the National Bureau of Standards, the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) and the Office of Telecommunications (OT).

This deferral is for funds available for the Experimental Technology Incentives Program (ETIP) of the National Bureau of Standards. The objective of the ETIP program is to find ways to stimulate the application of science and technology to attain national goals, such as increased productivity. Contracts are let with industry and universities and interagency cooperative efforts are organized to work toward the objective.

Appropriate projects that will contribute toward accomplishing the program objective have developed at a slower rate than available funding. Projects eligible for funding are of a very specialized nature and require substantial time to develop and review.

In FY 1975, the program had actual obligations of \$1,654,000 less than planned. Of this amount, \$467,000 will be used in FY 1976 to fund projects. Additional projects that would warrant use of the \$1,187,000 being deferred are not expected before FY 1977. These funds are proposed for deferral through June 30, 1976, or, if appropriate legislation is enacted, through September 30, 1976.

Estimated Effects:

The deferral will have no impact on the conduct of the Experimental Technology Incentives Program.

Outlay Effect: (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	61.6
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	0

## Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

3. Without deferral.....	60.1
4. With deferral.....	<u>60.1</u>
5. Current outlay savings.....	0

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.....	0
--	---

Outlay Savings for 1977.....	.3
------------------------------	----

## SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

Report Pursuant to Sec. 1014 (c) of P.L. 93-344

This supplementary report modifies Deferral No. D76-11A transmitted in the special message of July 25, 1975, and printed as House Document No. 94-225.

The amount deferred for research and training activities overseas of the HEW Assistant Secretary for Human Development has been reduced from \$8,306,986 to \$4,251,885 as a result of final FY 1976 financial plans for approved projects and the issuance of some valid reservation certificates by Treasury. This action also reflects the planned use of \$3,806,986 in FY 1977, rather than in FY 1976, as was reported in Deferral No. D76-11A.

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health, Education and Welfare <hr/> Bureau Assistant Secretary for Human Development <hr/> Appropriation title & symbol Research and Training Activities Overseas (Special Foreign Currency Program) 75X0138	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____) 8,306,986 Other budgetary resources _____ Total budgetary resources <u>8,306,986</u> <hr/> Amount to be deferred: Part of year \$ <u>444,899*</u> Entire year <u>3,806,986*</u>
OMB identification code: 09-80-0505-0-1-506 <hr/> Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

\* The amount proposed for deferral has been placed in reserve pursuant to the Antideficiency Act (31 USC 665 et seq.). Excess foreign currencies are used in this appropriation to fund foreign research and training activities. The amount of \$444,899 is being deferred pending the receipt of Treasury valid reservation certificates later in FY 1976. It is anticipated that financial plans for the utilization of the remaining \$3,806,986 will not be final until FY 1977.

Estimated Effects

\* This action has no programmatic or financial impact. Also, because grants are restricted in this program to countries where the United States owns excess local currencies, expenditures in this account do not take monies out of the U.S.

\*Revised from initial message.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

- |   |     |
|---|-----|
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....                                   | 3.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the<br>budget outlay estimate..... | 0   |

## Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

- |                                |     |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| 3. Without deferral.....       | 3.0 |
| 4. With deferral.....          | 3.0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings..... | 0   |
| (line 3 - line 4)              |     |

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter..... 0

Outlay Savings for 1977..... 0

Deferral No: D76- 77

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Labor	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____)
Bureau Departmental Management	Other budgetary resources <u>38,379,851</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>38,379,851</u>
Working Capital Fund 16X4601	Amount to be deferred: Part of year \$ <u>977,000</u>  Entire year _____
OMB identification code: 12-25-4601-0-4-505	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>29 USC 563</u>

Justification

The Antideficiency Act requires (31 U.S.C. 665(c)(1)) that "...all appropriations or funds not limited to a definite period of time...shall be so apportioned as to achieve the most effective and economical use thereof." Accordingly, funds for expansion and modernization of automatic data processing support for this fund will be apportioned when the Department of Labor's plans for this support have been reviewed and approved as proposing "the most effective and economical" use of funds.

Estimated Effects

Deferral may delay the ordering of new computer equipment, but will permit review of the Department's plan in order to achieve the most effective and economical use of available funds.

Outlay Effect

No effect on FY 1976 or TQ outlays is anticipated.

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Labor	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____)
Bureau Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Other budgetary resources \$ <u>164,690,722</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Pension Guaranty Fund 16X2404	Total budgetary resources <u>164,690,722</u>
OMB identification code: 55-35-4204-0-3-601	Amount to be deferred: Part of year \$ <u>-0-</u> Entire year <u>1,431,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Borrowing Authority</u>

Justification

The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-406) authorized the establishment of this revolving fund in the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC). The authorization included authority to spend public debt receipts in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$100,000,000. The authorization also provided that this revolving fund would be credited with premium payments, receipts, and other budgetary resources for operation of the Corporation. The budgetary resources of the Corporation are available without regard to fiscal year limitation.

The Antideficiency Act requires (31 U.S.C. 665 (c) (1)) that "...all appropriations or funds not limited to a definite period of time...shall be so apportioned as to achieve the most effective and economical use thereof." Accordingly, funds for automated data systems will be apportioned when it has been shown that the systems proposed will meet actual needs for such processing. The full amount requested for additional personnel has not been approved, however, PBGC will be able to carry out its primary workload during FY 1976 within approved personnel funding.

Funds are proposed for deferral through June 30, 1976, or, if appropriate legislation is enacted, through September 30, 1976.

Estimated Effects

The deferral will result in keeping down the Corporation's administrative expenses. This will have the effect of conserving premium receipts for benefit payments to workers in failing pension plans. Also, the need to increase premium payments or to use Treasury borrowings will be reduced. Leaving staffing at present levels will avoid the need for reductions in 1977 when a downturn in workload is expected. This deferral will delay development of the Corporation's data information program. However, it will have no effect on the payments of benefits.

Outlay Effect

This deferral will not affect budgetary outlays because PBGC is an off-budget agency. However, it will result in reducing Treasury financing need by \$1,431,000 for FY 1976.

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Environmental Protection Agency</u> Bureau	New budget authority <span style="float:right">\$166,456,000</span> (P.L. <u>94-116</u> ) Other budgetary resources <span style="float:right">24,290,000</span> Total budgetary resources <span style="float:right">190,746,000</span>
Appropriation title & symbol  Research and Development 68X0107 (Air Research and Development)	Amount to be deferred: Part of year <span style="float:right">\$ _____</span>  Entire year <span style="float:right">2,000,000</span>
OMB identification code: <u>20-00-0107-0-1-304</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual  <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ <span style="margin-left: 150px;">(expiration date)</span> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	

**Justification:** Budget authority of \$3M was added to the President's Budget for the purposes of (1) health effects research on emissions from catalyst-equipped vehicles and (2) research on pollutant-carcinogen relationships. The deferral of \$2M for research on pollutant-carcinogen relationships will give EPA the time needed to coordinate its cancer-related research program with similar efforts of the National Cancer Institute and other Government agencies and to determine which types of research would be most appropriate for EPA to undertake in the area of carcinogen effects. This problem must be fully resolved before additional funds are committed.

The budget authority is proposed for deferral through June 30, 1976, or, if appropriate legislation is enacted, through September 30, 1976, at which time it shall be made available for obligation.

**Estimated Effect:** This deferral will give the agency time to develop an effective plan for undertaking carcinogen-pollutant effects research. However, it will not terminate or reduce any of EPA's ongoing research programs, including those for FY 1976 in this area.

Outlay Effects (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 .....	167.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate .....	0
Current Outlay Estimate for FY 1976	
3. Without deferral .....	176.2
4. With deferral .....	174.8
5. Current outlay savings .....	1.4
Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter .....	.6
Outlay Savings for 1977 .....	-2.0

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Environmental Protection Agency</u>	New budget authority \$166,465,000 (P.L. <u>94-116</u> )
Bureau <u>Office of Research &amp; Development</u>	Other budgetary resources <u>24,290,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  Research and Development 68X0107 (Water Quality Research)	Total budgetary resources <u>190,755,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>20-00-0107-0-1-304</u>	Amount to be deferred: Part of year \$ _____  Entire year <u>4,600,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual  <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation  <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: \$4.6M of funds added by the Congress to the President's 1976 Budget request has been made available for obligation. However, \$4.6M of 1976 budget authority originally included in the President's budget is now proposed for deferral through June 30, 1976, or if appropriate legislation is enacted, through September 30, 1976, in order to maintain the total program level at the President's request. The additional funds appropriated by the Congress were directed for the following purposes: ocean disposal research, wastewater disinfection, sludge disposal processes, and interdisciplinary research.

Because of the high priority nature of the items added by the Congress, they will be included in the EPA FY 1976 program plan. However, in order to maintain the total Water Quality R&D program at the level of the President's Budget, a comparable amount of specific research tasks in areas which represent the Agency's lowest program priorities will be deferred or stretched out into FY 1977, at which time the deferred funds shall be made available for obligation.

Estimated Effects: The impact of this deferral will be to delay the start of some less important projects or stretch out the completion of some on-going research projects for up to 11 months.

Outlay Effects (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 .....	167.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate .....	0
Current outlay estimate for 1976	
3. Without deferral .....	176.2
4. With deferral .....	174.2
5. Current outlay savings .....	2.0
Outlay Savings for Transition Quarter .....	.3
Outlay Savings for 1977 .....	.3

Deferral No: D76-81

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Environmental Protection Agency	New budget authority \$374,788,000 (P.L. 94-116)
Bureau	Other budgetary resources 58,933,000
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources 433,721,000
Abatement and Control 68X010X (Air Control Agency Grants)	Amount to be deferred: Part of year \$ _____ Entire year 3,750,000
OMB identification code: 20-00-0108-0-1-304	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** Budget authority of \$3.75 million for grants to State and local air pollution control agencies under Section 105 of the Clean Air Act is proposed for deferral. The purpose of the funds is to support State and local agency programs which implement Clean Air Act requirements.

With the deferral, the program level will be \$51.5 million in FY 1976, the same as the program level in FY 1975. In FY 1975, State and local control agencies finalized the development and implementation of basic State Implementation Plans, including the establishment of air quality monitoring networks. Maintenance of the \$51.5 million level will allow the funded State and local control agencies to proceed with high priority State Implementation Plan revisions and to continue adopting new source enforcement activities from EPA. Thus, with the maintenance of a \$51.5 million in FY 1976 funded agencies will proceed with their highest priority objectives.

The \$3.75 million in budget authority is proposed for deferral through June 30, 1976, or, if appropriate legislation is enacted, through September 30, 1976, at which time it shall be made available for obligation.

**Estimated Effects** Continuation of the FY 1975 obligational level in FY 1976 will not cause the reduction or the termination of any control agency grants, and the deferral of \$3.75 million will have limited impact on the FY 1976 program level relative to that in FY 1975. Without this deferral, grantees would receive about a 7 percent increase over the FY 1975 program levels, or about a \$14,000 increase on an average grant of \$200,000.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

2

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 .....	352.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate .....	0.0
Current Outlay Estimate for FY 1976	
3. Without deferral .....	395.6
4. With deferral .....	394.5
5. Current outlay savings .....	1.1
Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter .....	.5
Outlay Savings for 1977 .....	.6

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Environmental Protection Agency</u>	New budget authority (P.L. <u>94-116</u> )	\$ <u>374,788,000</u>
Bureau <u>Office of Water Planning &amp; Standards</u>	Other budgetary resources	<u>58,933,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol <u>Abatement and Control</u> <u>C8X0108 (Water Quality Control Agency</u> <u>Grants)</u>	Total budgetary resources	<u>433,721,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>20-00-0108-0-1-304</u>	Amount to be deferred:	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Part of year	\$ _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Entire year	<u>10,000,000</u>
	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**Justification:** Budget Authority of \$10 M for grants to State and interstate water pollution control agencies under Section 106 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (P.L. 92-500) is proposed for deferral through June 30, 1976, or, if appropriate legislation is enacted, through September 30, 1976, at which time it shall be made available for obligation.

The deferral will maintain a program level of \$40 M in FY 1976, the same as FY 1975. EPA estimates that the FY 75 program level of \$40 M has resulted in the following accomplishments: State issuance of 11,000 NPDES<sup>1/</sup> permits, completion of initial statewide water quality management planning for point sources<sup>2/</sup> of pollution, and increased capacity of State agencies to manage the construction of municipal facilities. Maintaining a \$40 million program level in FY 1976 will allow State agencies to place increased emphasis on compliance monitoring and enforcement while permit issuance activities decline, initiate statewide water quality management planning for non-point<sup>3/</sup> sources of pollution as point source planning is completed, and maintain effective management of the Construction Grants program.

**Estimated Effects:** The deferral of \$10 M will maintain the FY 1976 program at the same level as FY 1975. Because obligational levels in FY 1976 would remain constant, no agency grants would be terminated or reduced.

<sup>1/</sup> National Pollution Discharge Elimination System

<sup>2/</sup> pollution discharged from a pipe, ditch, conduit, etc.

<sup>3/</sup> pollution that is not discharged from a pipe, ditch, conduit, etc.

This deferral will provide for a level program in FY 1976 in lieu of a 25 percent increase over 1975. Based on past experience, it is estimated that 62 grants will be affected, resulting in maintaining an average grant of \$645 thousand instead of \$806 thousand.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 .....	352.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate .....	0.0
Current Outlay Estimate for 1976	
3. Without deferral .....	395.6
4. With deferral .....	393.6
5. Current outlay savings .....	2.0
Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter .....	1.0
Outlay Savings for 1977 .....	5.0



best be utilized in supporting comprehensive non-point source pollution abatement to restore and maintain the quality of freshwater lakes.

Estimated Effect: It is estimated that the deferral will have no programmatic effects, because:

- (1) \$4 M has been available for this program for almost a year with grant applications thus far for only \$2 M and no funds obligated, and
- (2) there are no estimated FY 1976 outlays from the \$15M if it were made available for obligation at this time.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 .....	352.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate .....	0.0

Current Outlay Estimate for 1976

3. Without deferral .....	395.6
4. With deferral .....	395.6
5. Current outlay savings .....	0

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter ..... 0.5

Outlay Savings for 1977 ..... 7.5

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</u>	New budget authority <u>\$775,512,000</u> (P.L. <u>94-116</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources <u>---</u>
Appropriation title & symbol <u>Research and Program Management 8060103</u>	Total budgetary resources <u>775,512,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>27-00-0103-0-1-999</u>	Amount to be deferred: Part of year <u>\$2,900,000</u> Entire year _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

JUSTIFICATION

Purchase of an administrative aircraft provided for in NASA's fiscal year 1976 appropriation will be deferred pending review of the need for this aircraft, its economic justification, and any relevant alternatives available to the Government (e.g., lease vs. buy and the possibility of otherwise obtaining the needed transportation from commercial sources).

ESTIMATED EFFECTS

This deferral is not expected to affect NASA's ability to effectively manage its programs.

OUTLAY EFFECT

None anticipated because this is a part-year deferral.

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Department of State</u> <hr/> Bureau <u>Refugee and Migration Affairs</u> <hr/> Appropriation title & symbol <u>Special Assistance to Refugees from Cambodia and Vietnam</u>	New budget authority <span style="float:right">\$ <u>305,000,000</u></span> (P.L. <u>94-24</u> ) Other budgetary resources <span style="float:right"><u>-0-</u></span> <hr/> Total budgetary resources <span style="float:right"><u>305,000,000</u></span> <hr/> Amount to be deferred: Part of year <span style="float:right">\$ <u>28,492,695</u></span> <hr/> Entire year <span style="float:right"><u>-0-</u></span>
OMB identification code: <u>14-25-1144-0-1-604</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>June 30, 1976</u> <small>(expiration date)</small> <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

Public Law 94-23, approved May 23, 1975, authorized appropriations to relocate and resettle refugees from Cambodia and Vietnam in the United States and other countries. Public Law 94-24, approved the same date, appropriated \$305,000,000 to the Department of State for these purposes. This appropriation, together with certain foreign assistance funds, financed the evacuation of refugees from Cambodia and Vietnam; the establishment, outfitting and staffing refugee staging centers in the Pacific and reception centers in the United States; and contracts with voluntary agencies to recruit sponsors and to provide initial financial aid in settling refugees. Current plans anticipate that most, if not all, refugees will have left reception centers by December 30, 1975. Certain resettlement activities will, however, continue through June, 1976, by which time the State Department program must be completed as required by P.L. 94-23.

The State Department has prepared a financial plan which, at this time, identifies \$276,507,305 of requirements against this appropriation. The Department proposes to maintain \$28,492,695 in reserve for possible contingencies. This amount has been apportioned as a reserve for contingencies under authority of the Antideficiency Act.

Estimated Effects:

- No savings result from this deferral.

Outlay Effect: (estimated in millions of dollars)

## Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....	-0-
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....	-0-
Current outlay estimates for 1976:	
3. Without deferral.....	271.4
4. With deferral.....	271.4
5. Current outlay savings.....	-0-
Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter.....	-0-
Outlay savings for 1977.....	-0-