

The original documents are located in Box 45, folder “2/18/76 - Florida Newspapers” of the Ron Nessen Papers at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Ron Nessen donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON


THE PRESIDENT'S BRIEFING BOOK
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS



FLORIDA NEWSPAPERS

THE OVAL OFFICE

FEBRUARY 18, 1976



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

INTERVIEW WITH SELECTED FLORIDA NEWSPAPERS

Wednesday, February 18, 1976

11:00 a.m. (60 minutes)

The Oval Office

From: Ron Nessen

I. PURPOSE

To be interviewed by four of the largest and most important Florida news organizations, which have requested personal or written interviews with the President.

BACKGROUND, PARTICIPANTS AND PRESS PLAN

A. Background: The Miami Herald and the Tampa Tribune have requested personal interviews with the President in Florida during the primary campaign. The St. Petersburg Times and the New York Times Newspapers of Florida have submitted written questions for Presidential answers.

This interview is in lieu of individual responses to these four requests. It would be printed widely in Florida before the primary.

B. Participants:

The President

Robert T. Pittman, Editor of Editorial Page
St. Petersburg Times (circ. 187,000)



Charles L. Stafford, Washington Correspondent
St. Petersburg Times

Ricardo Faro, Photographer
St. Petersburg Times

J. A. Clendinen, Editor and Editor of Editorial Page
Tampa Tribune (circ. 119,000)

Jack Nease, Washington Correspondent
Tampa Tribune

John Bard, Photographer
Tampa Tribune

Philip Gailey, Washington Correspondent
Miami Herald (circ. 402,000)

Roland J. Page, Washington Correspondent
New York Times Newspapers of Florida (represents six
Florida dailies with total circulation of about 100,000;
also shares copy with the Miami News and Palm Beach
Post)

Ron Nessen

Margita White

- C. Press Plan: The interview will be for immediate release for the participants. The transcript will be made available later to the White House Press Corps. The Tampa Tribune and St. Petersburg Times photographers will take photographs at the beginning of the interview. In addition, White House photographs will be taken and sent to the newsmen, autographed by the President, as a memento of the interview.

III. TALKING POINTS

A question and answer briefing book has been prepared for you. It includes questions submitted in writing by two of the news organizations, as well as information about the editorial positions of the participating newspapers.

ISSUES IN BRIEF



FLORIDA ISSUES IN BRIEF

Because of the demographics of Florida Republicans, your campaign faces a population that is mostly elderly and that includes a large number of retired people.

This fact remains central to the strategy of your campaign, for the issues are those of concern to people in their later years.

INFLATION:

A big issue, in all its forms, including the difficulty of living on a fixed income when the price of medicine, food, hospital care, taxes, and so forth are increasing.

ENERGY:

The energy crisis has been a major concern, a part of the general concern with rising prices. Yet 60 percent of Florida Republicans said they would be willing to pay ten cents a gallon more for gasoline and oil if it helped the United States achieve energy independence (30 percent said they would not be willing).

SUNSHINE LAW: (OPENNESS)

Very popular in Florida. Governor would add amendment requiring strict disclosure requirements for high public officials. We should emphasize your willingness to release financial statements and RR's refusal. Also RR's disinclination to hold news conferences. He was blasted on this in Pensacola.

GURNEY:

We're in the middle, Being picketed in Orlando by pro-Gurney people. Bo is being chastised for allegedly trying to get second trial delayed or cancelled.

REAGAN ISSUES:

Food stamps. Welfare reform in California. He's been asked about abortion and ERA. Both switches in his position but dangerous to us. Also "vote with your feet" position is a bad one for him in Florida which would, under \$90 billion plan, gain immigrants from poorer states. A real zinger would be for you to say "I think Floridians should vote with their heads and not their feet."

LOCAL ISSUES:

Addition to Bay Pines VA Hospital--pushed by Young. Interstate 95 in Palm Beach County--environmentalists want it to avoid bird sanctuaries; Locals want it moved west. Some want it finished before 1978 date. Cape Canaveral solar energy center pushed by Frey.

NOTE: Suggested answers on these issues follow.

EDITORIAL PROFILE



EDITORIAL POSITIONS
SELECTED FLORIDA NEWSPAPERS

MIAMI HERALD

- Two hundred mile limit - Against. Florida fears realiation against its fishermen in the Gulf of Mexico. 200 miles is too much.
- Moynihan - The Herald supported and liked Moynihan, saying he served the nationwell. Also noted that he enjoyed full confidence and support of you and HAK.
- Abortion (Ford) - Your middle-of-the road stance was no "profile in Courage." Your move was political, prompted by Reagan.
- Concorde - Concorde will not be around long but the United States should allow it to land as a courtesy to France and Britain.
- Angola - USSR should have its Vietnam with Angola.
- Concorde - Miami has misgivings on Concorde as it may land in Miami. Miami could be used as a stepping stone between countries. Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, however, has endorsed it.

- o -

TAMPA TRIBUNE

- Jobs Bill - Agreed with your veto. Jobs bill, it said, included many politically popular programs, unfortunately for the President.
- Pike Committee - Favors end of Pike Committee. Opposed publishing the committee report.

- o -

ST. PETERSBURG TIMES

(Traditionally a very conservative, Republican paper) Hadn't yet declared support or you or Reagan but is inclined toward you. Gives you a lot of coverage. Front

page of Times Tuesday (2/17) carried banner headline and lead story that you returning to St. Petersburg. Another story dealt with you and educational aid and another on New Hampshire race.

- o -

NOTE: Questions submitted by the Miami Herald and New York Times Florida newspapers are included in Q & A section.

FLORIDA ISSUES

CROSS-FLORIDA BARGE CANAL

Q. Do you favor completion of the Cross-Florida Barge Canal?

A. This has been a long-standing controversy that does need to be resolved. However, since the project is now in litigation, it would be inappropriate for me to comment one way or the other on the merits of the project.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE


- Q. Do you believe that full financial disclosure, such as that which Governor Askew is proposing in Florida, should be required by law of the President, Congress, and other federal officials?
- A. I'm not familiar with all of the details of Governor Askew's proposal. I do feel that all candidates running for the Presidency should make complete financial disclosures. I revealed complete facts about my financial situation during my confirmation hearings for the office of Vice President and I have updated that data and made it public just this week.

SUNSHINE LAW

Q. Would you, as President, follow Florida's example of "Government in the Sunshine" by holding open meetings of the Cabinet and other decision-making groups?

A. I have been impressed by what Governor Askew has done in this area. I firmly believe in the concept of open government and I have tried to make my Administration as open and accessible to the public as possible.

However, you must realize that there are situations in government, such as meetings of the National Security Council or the Cabinet, which should remain private so that participants can engage openly in frank discussion of the pros and cons of an issue and give me their candid opinions.



2-12-76

BUSING

Q. If you had the power, would you stop the busing for racial integration now underway in Florida?

A. As I have stated on a number of occasions in the past, I do not believe that court-ordered busing is the proper answer to quality education. It is my constitutional obligation as President to see that the law and court decisions are enforced. But I am opposed to court-ordered forced busing for the sake of racial balance in schools. There are many better ways to assure our children a quality, integrated education. I'm pleased to see that the courts now seem to be recognizing that.

200-MILE FISHERIES BILL

Q: Mr. President, the Senate on January 28 passed a bill unilaterally extending U. S. fisheries limits to 200 miles. Will you sign or veto this legislation?

A: As you know, the Senate bill, together with an earlier piece of legislation passed by the House, will now go into conference, and we will have to await the results of this process.

As you may recall, I was asked to comment on this legislation during my interview with New Hampshire editors on January 22. I noted then that the United States is seeking in the UN law of the sea conference -- which resumes this March -- to settle all of the problems of the sea, including fishing rights, and that I suggested that the Congress delay final consideration of this legislation until we had had time to continue our efforts to negotiate a comprehensive law of the sea agreement.

Q: Weren't you asked specifically if you would veto the bill?

A: I was asked if I was threatening a veto. I replied that if there was an implementation date in the legislation which delayed its coming into effect until the summer of 1977 -- by which time we hope to have international agreement on a Law of the Sea Convention -- and if all other provisions were satisfactory, I could accept the bill. I continue to believe, however, that an international

BIG CYPRESS NATIONAL PRESERVE

Q. By what date are you willing to promise adequate funding for the Federal acquisition of lands comprising the Big Cypress National Preserve?

A. The land acquisition budget request which I have just recommended to the Congress for FY 1977 includes \$15 million of Federal funds for Big Cypress as well as \$3 million for Canaveral National Seashore and \$1 million to complete Everglades National Park. Thus, our total Federal funding for the National Park Service land acquisition program in the State of Florida for FY 1977 is \$19 million.

I would expect the Big Cypress acquisition to be substantially complete within the six-year time-frame set by Congress.

GWH/2-12/76



FINANCING INTERSTATE HIGHWAYS

0. By what date are you willing to promise all federal financing required for completion of the interstate highway system in Florida?
- A. It is too early to say when the interstate highway system will be completed -- in any one state or in the entire nation. Funds are now apportioned among the States for the Interstate Highway program based on each State's share of the cost of the complete national system.

I have, however, proposed a new allocation system that will substantially accelerate the completion of inter-city links in the system -- thus focusing Federal attention on connecting the national system and deemphasizing completion of controversial urban freeways.

SITING NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

- Q. Should the states be granted veto power over the siting of nuclear power plants within their boundaries?
- A. The task of regulating nuclear power is complex, It is not one that can be handled satisfactorily by states. Also, there are many considerations involved -- such as the question of need for additional electrical generating capacity -- that extend beyond concerns of any one state.

Nuclear power regulation is one function that can best be done at the Federal level. But this does not mean that state and local interests are ignored. The licensing process, developed by the independent Nuclear Regulatory Commission, which was set up in January 1975, provides ample opportunity for all interests -- state, local and private -- to make their views known to the NRC and to have them fully considered

2/12/76

- Q. Should the state have veto power over the drilling for oil on Federal land off their shores?
- A. No. There are enough safeguards in federal law to deal directly with potential problems without the need for a state veto.

BACKGROUND:

The Interior Department issued regulations last fall which take the important steps to deal with the state and local concerns.

First, before Interior Department approves an oil company's plans for producing oil from an offshore lease, these plans are provided to states for a 60-day period of review and comment.

Second, oil companies must provide to the states concerned information on any onshore activity that would result from their proposed offshore oil production activities.

2/12/76

CLOSING MILITARY BASES

Q. Which, if any, military installations would you close, phase out, or reduce in Florida?

A. As you know, I applied fiscal constraint to all parts of the Federal budget, including defense.

As a result of some reductions in civilian personnel, Defense will need to realign some bases.

The whole problem of our base structure is under study by Don Rumsfeld and the Pentagon and I will depend heavily on their recommendations as to what actions we should take with regard to bases throughout the country.

NOTE: OMB says this is a sensitive issue which should be ducked.

COMMISSARIES

Q. Why is the Department of Defense trying to eliminate the commissary store system?

A. The Department of Defense intends to maintain the commissary store system, but eliminate most of the appropriated fund support for these stores over a three-year period. The stores will still provide substantial savings to the military community but at little or no direct cost to the taxpayer. Management actions are being taken to improve the efficiency of the commissary store system.

REDUCTION OF IMPACT AID FOR LOCAL SCHOOLS

Q. The Administration's proposed Budget for 1977 would reduce the amount of money provided by the Federal Government for Impact Aid for local school costs by about \$285 million. Can you explain where the reductions would be made?

A. The Impact Aid program is intended to make sure the Federal Government pays its share of local school costs when families living and working on Federally-owned property send their children to the local schools. These families do not pay property taxes, and I believe the Federal Government has a responsibility to pay the cost of educating these children. However, the program should not extend beyond this legitimate claim as the current law does.

As an example of how the current law works, Fairfax County, Virginia and Montgomery County, Maryland, where the average per capita income in 1973 was 29 percent and 56 percent higher than the national average and where virtually all Federal employees pay real estate taxes, are school districts that are eligible to receive substantial amounts of Impact Aid. Clearly, this is not a case where Federal activities adversely affect the local schools and, therefore, should not receive Federal educational assistance.

In the proposed 1977 Budget, we are attempting to reform the Impact Aid program by making payments only to school districts that are adversely affected by Federal activities in the area. I am recommending that the Federal Government provide educational assistance only for those children whose parents both live and work on Federal property (and they do not pay property taxes). Assistance would not be provided for children whose parents either live or work on private property on which property taxes are paid. In the case of children whose parents either live or work in low cost, locally-owned public housing, Impact Aid payments, as such, would not be made but Federal assistance would continue to be provided through construction assistance and operating subsidies. Federal operating subsidies for locally-owned public housing in FY 1977 are estimated to be \$464 million.

If these reforms are approved by Congress, the program costs would be \$395 million in 1977, down \$285 million from the \$680 million that would otherwise be spent.

agreement is the best way to proceed in this area.

I don't think I should comment further since the legislation now has to go to conference.

LAND USE PLANNING BILL

- Q. Would you support passage of a national land use planning bill?
- A. This is one more area that is better decided by the people closest to the problems. I believe in proper planning for the use of all our resources, but I am convinced that decisions affecting private lands should be made at the local, regional or State level. I oppose the imposition of general land use controls from Washington.

The State of Florida has shown that the problem can be handled extremely well by local and State action. You don't need us to tell you how to plan the best use of your land for your own people.

GWH/2-K-76

STRIP-MINING OF PHOSPHATE
OSCEOLA NATIONAL FOREST

Q. Do you oppose strip-mining of phosphate in the Osceola National Forest?

A. I know that the proposal for strip-mining in the Osceola National Forest has raised serious questions over the impact on the ground water, the possibility of adequate reclamation, the treatment of the slurry, and so forth.

Secretary of the Interior Kleppe has directed that a study be conducted to determine the consequences of mining in the National Forest. This study should be completed sometime late next year. After the results of the study are completed, my Administration, working closely with the State of Florida, will decide about the pending leases.

GWH/2-11-76

SOLAR RESEARCH CENTER

- Q. Is Florida your first choice as the site of the proposed national solar energy research and development center? Why or why not?
- A. I sometimes wish that we could put a Solar Energy Research Institute (SERI) in every state -- but we all know that wouldn't make sense.

It really is too early to tell where the proposed SERI would best be located. The Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) is working now to determine the kind of research center that is needed. When that study is completed, ERDA will ask for proposals.

Within a few weeks, ERDA will publish criteria that will guide decisions about the institute, including its location. ERDA will also ask for proposals from all those interested in competing. Only after these proposals are received and evaluated can a decision be made.

BACKGROUND:

The competition is getting more and more intense, with some interest in nearly every state.

We expect ERDA to hold off on a decision on location until after the election.

"HOLE IN THE DONUT" AREA
EVERGLADES NATIONAL PARK

- Q. Do you favor reopening the so-called "Hole-in-the-Donut" area of the Everglades National Park to farming?
- A. It was the specific intent of Congress, when it provided some \$22 million to acquire this remaining land area, to phase out all agricultural activities in the Everglades National Park. The termination of farming in the "Hole-in-the-Donut" occurred on June 30, 1975. It would take legislative action to resume the farming.

The loss of this area does have an adverse impact on farmers, migrant workers and their families, and on the economy of this area. However, I do believe that the termination of the agricultural activities by Congress was necessary to preserve the true natural character of this National Park which should be maintained for all Americans.

I am told that, fortunately, there are many other areas in Dade County that can be developed for agricultural purposes, and am sure that this will take up the short-term slack caused by the closing of the Everglades area.

BACKGROUND

The Florida delegation to Congress has refused to sponsor legislation opening the "Hole" to agriculture.

MANDARIN ORANGES

- Q. Do you favor the relaxation of import restrictions on the Japanese Mandarin orange?
- A. No. I am advised by the Secretary of Agriculture that the importation of Japanese Mandarin oranges presents substantial plant disease problems for our own crops. As a consequence, in order to protect our domestic crops, I would not favor relaxation of import restrictions.

PANAMA

Q. Governor Reagan has expressed his opposition to continuing treaty negotiations with Panama. Do you expect this to become a campaign issue and what are the prospects of concluding this year a new treaty for submission to the Congress?

A. Discussions with Panama relating to continued protection and operation of the Canal have been conducted during the last three Administrations and have had the support of five Presidents. The goal of these negotiations is to reach an agreement which would protect our basic interest in defense and operation of the Canal. At this stage it simply is not possible to predict when agreement might be reached.

I have no intention of proposing to the Congress any agreement with Panama, or with anyone else, that would not assure our vital interests. Naturally, if we conclude a treaty, it will be submitted to the full constitutional process, including Senate approval, and we will be consulting closely with Congress as the discussions continue.

BACKGROUND

Ambassador Bunker is presently in Panama conducting talks with the Panamanian negotiators.

UNEMPLOYMENT

- Q. What does the Administration plan to do about areas with high unemployment, such as Pinellas County, which had an unemployment rate of 10.3 percent as of December 1975?
- A. One of my deepest concerns as President has been to place our economy on the path of sustained real economic growth. That goal envisions a job for every American who wants to work.

In my State of the Union Address, I outlined six ways in which we can lower unemployment, including a specific proposal designed to direct our efforts specifically to those areas suffering high rates of unemployment:

First, to sustain the pace of recovery, I proposed an additional \$10 billion tax cut effective July 1, 1976. This will permit Americans to decide how to spend their own money for homes, cars, TV sets and so forth. In turn, this will stimulate additional economic activity in these industries, and mean additional jobs in these industries.

Second, basic to job creation in the private sector is reducing the ever-increasing demands of the Federal Government for funds. Federal Government borrowing to support deficit spending reduces the amount of money available to business for expansion. Less investment will mean fewer new jobs. High government deficits also drive interest rates up, stifling private enterprise and reducing job opportunities.

Third, I proposed new tax incentives for businesses that construct new plants and expand existing facilities in high unemployment areas. Accelerated depreciation rates will be given for such facilities and their equipment, if construction begins within one year. Obviously, new and expanded plants mean new jobs.

Fourth, my proposed budget provides an increase of \$6.5 billion for public works and other government physical assets -- 17 percent higher than last year -- as well as increased funding, totaling \$7.2 billion, for rehabilitation, institutional training, on-the-job training, work experience programs, public service employment, and various aids to help people find work.

Fifth, I have proposed incentives to encourage millions more Americans to save and invest. This will help increase the money available for job-creating investment.

Sixth, I also proposed changes in the estate tax laws to encourage expansion in family businesses and family farms. This reform will help ensure the survival of small farms and businesses for future generations and also allow them to expand their current operations.

Taken together, these measures are designed to create millions of permanent, well-paying jobs in private industries and to restore the prosperity we all desire. Putting millions of people on the government payroll is not the way to create long-term prosperity, and I believe most Americans do not want that approach.

CREDIT FOR ELECTRICAL FUEL SURCHARGES

- Q. Florida and Pinellas County are heavily dependent on energy generated from imported fuel oil. Would you support legislation that would give individuals a credit against their Federal income tax for electrical fuel surcharges? (This is a matter of concern for almost all people along the Eastern Seaboard.)
- A. I don't believe that a Federal income tax credit for fuel surcharges in electric bills is the right answer. In effect, that would be a direct Federal subsidy and a drain on the U.S. Treasury that would not help solve our energy problem and would add to inflation.

Our best bet for dealing with the serious problem confronting electricity users all along the East Coast is to reduce our dependence on imported oil by conserving energy wherever possible and making more use of coal and nuclear energy (which are lower in cost) to generate electricity.

NURSING HOMES

- Q. Are you doing anything to improve the quality and bring down the cost of nursing home care for the elderly?
- A. The cost and quality of medical care is a concern for the entire population, but especially for older Americans who are affected by chronic and degenerative illness which often results in long periods of institutionalization.

Although the licensing of nursing homes is a State responsibility, the Federal Government has set certain standards that homes must meet to receive Medicare and Medicaid funds. And if these standards are not met, we will see that unsafe homes have their Federal support ended. At the same time HEW continues to work closely with State Governments, the nursing home industry and consumers on a Long-Term Improvement campaign to upgrade the quality of care.

The cost of medical care is of special concern particularly to those on fixed incomes and with limited resources. Immediate measures are needed to slow down the inflation of health cost which now exceeds 14 percent annually. As proposed in the State of the Union I am asking Congress to limit increases in Medicare payment rates in 1977 and 1978 to 7% a day for hospitals and 4% for physician services. At the same time I have proposed catastrophic health insurance for everyone covered by Medicare to protect the aged and disabled and their families from the extraordinary hospital and medical costs of prolonged illness. Under my proposal, individuals would pay a maximum of \$500 a year for hospital care and \$250 a year for physician care. This is an important advance in our society, which would prevent elderly patients and their children from having their life savings wiped out by a catastrophic illness. I hope you will join me in urging Congress to approve this new insurance program.

INTERSTATE 275

- Q. What is your Administration's position on the timely completion of Interstate 275?
- A. Federal funds of the interstate system are allocated to Florida in accordance with a formula. Funds are apportioned among the States for the Interstate Highway program based on each State's share of the cost to complete the national system.

Under this allocation it is up to the State of Florida to set priorities within its own borders, including, therefore, Interstate 275 in the St. Petersburg-Tampa area.

DADE COUNTY MASS TRANSIT

Q. Dade County has appealed to the Department of Transportation for \$63 million in federal money to pay for the final engineering of the county's proposed 48-mile rapid transit system. Will you recommend that DOT approve the request?

A. As you know, Robert Patricelli, head of the Urban Mass Transportation Administration, was in Miami earlier this week, and announced that his agency was ready to move to a decision within 30 days.

It would be inappropriate for me to comment while that decision is still pending.

SOCIAL SECURITY

Question: Governor Reagan has suggested the possibility of investing money from the Social Security trust fund in industrial stock as a way to increase revenues for the fund. Do you believe this is a viable alternative?

Answer: I would be very much opposed to any such proposal. Secretary of Commerce Richardson commented the other day that in the United Kingdom this very approach was dismissed as being too socialistic. There is no question that such a program would seriously disrupt the stock market and place the United States government in the position of controlling the major industrial firms in the United States. This proposal by Governor Reagan is totally inconsistent with the fundamental support which this Administration has for the free market system.

VETOES HURT...

- Q. Many of your vetoes are of programs that are calculated to help the unemployed, handicapped, the sick, and the elderly. How can this be justified?
- A. The problem is that the bills I vetoed that were "calculated" to help needy groups were miscalculated. In the first place, it is usually these very groups that are hurt most when the economy goes awry. I don't veto a bill for the benefit of some abstraction called "the economy."

I veto bad bills -

- . Because excessive government spending creates an inflation which raises prices out of the reach of the retired elderly on fixed incomes.
- . Because such an inflation raises the cost of medical care so the sick may be forced on welfare.
- . Because an unstable economy leads to further recessions and even higher unemployment. In such situations the disadvantaged and handicapped have greater difficulties than others in finding jobs.

In the second place, many of these vetoed bills have serious flaws - aside from the amount of money - which would keep them from concentrating help on those most in need.

2-12-76

NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

Q. Should a moratorium on the construction of nuclear power plants be declared? If not, how do you propose to alleviate public and scientific concern regarding the safety of these plants?

A. No, there should not be a moratorium on the construction of nuclear power plants. Progress toward our goal of an adequate and dependable supply of energy requires expanded use of both coal and nuclear energy, at least until newer and better sources of energy can be developed.

We already have more than 50 commercial nuclear power plants in operation providing dependable, safe, clean and economical sources of energy.

We have created an independent Nuclear Regulatory Commission to regulate and assure the continued safety, reliability and environmental acceptability of nuclear facilities. An extensive study recently completed by a group of non-government safety experts concluded that nuclear power plants are very safe. (In fact, they concluded that the chance of any member of the public being killed in a nuclear plant accident is one in 5 billion -- which is slightly less likely than the chance of being struck by a meteor and over 2,000 times less likely than being struck by lightning.)

Expanding the production of electricity from nuclear power is so important to our energy independence and economic strength that I believe we must take all reasonable steps to assure further the safety of nuclear power and to answer questions that might be of concern to the public.

New European Air Service for Florida

Q - Tourism is a key contributor to the Florida economy. Miami, Tampa, and National Airlines have applied to the CAB for approval of new nonstop services to London, Amsterdam, Frankfurt, Paris, and Rome. (National and British Airways currently operate Miami-London daily, Aeromexico serves Miami-Paris three times a week.) Will you approve or direct that the CAB approve route awards for U.S. carriers to continental Europe?

A - Florida represents an outstanding vacation value for tourists. With the recent inflation in tourist costs in Europe, Florida is an increasingly attractive destination in the sun. The quality of air service between Europe and Florida is an important factor in attracting more European tourists.

I am aware that the Civil Aeronautics Board has before it a transatlantic route proceeding, in which the applications of Miami, Tampa, and National Airlines will be assessed. Until the Board makes its recommendations to me, it would be inappropriate for me to comment on specific route awards.

S. Piper - CIEP
2/12/76

ADDITIONAL JUDGESHIPS

Q. I understand that additional Federal judgeships have been recommended for Florida, but they haven't been created yet. What has happened?

A. For several years, the Congress has had pending the recommendation of the Judicial Conference, which I have supported, for additional district court judges throughout the Federal system. This is a critically important bill if the courts are to cope with our ever-increasing caseloads. Unfortunately, the Democratic-controlled Congress has been reluctant to take action. This is a matter of the utmost importance to Floridians. The Administration backed bill (S. 287) was reported out by the Senate Judiciary Committee last fall. This bill would give Florida two additional Federal judges -- one for the Southern District and one for the Middle District. Although this bill has been ready for consideration by the full Senate since last fall the leadership has never brought it to a vote.

Buchen 2/12/76

JUDICIAL VACANCY

Q. We have had a vacancy in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida since September, 1975. When will the vacancy be filled?

A. I am hopeful that this vacancy will be filled in the not too distant future. Until the selection is made, it would be totally inappropriate for me to comment further on any individuals who have been mentioned as potential candidates for appointment.

Note: Senators Stone and Childs are attempting to pressure you into appointing a Democrat, Sydney Aronovitz, to this vacancy. Republican personnel within the state have been supporting a Stephen Booher who recently failed to pass ABA muster. White House Personnel is now in the process of clearing a Mr. John H. Moore, a Republican

CUBA

- Q. What are the prospects for improvement in our relations with Cuba in view of its intervention in the Angola conflict?
- A. Cuba's unjustified involvement in the domestic affairs of other nations, such as their encouragement of the Independence movement in Puerto Rico and, particularly, their massive military troupe involvement in the Angola conflict, thousands of miles from Havana, is simply incompatible with lessening tensions and improving relations. The Cubans have sent over 10,000 troops to Angola, involving themselves in what should be purely an internal Angolan matter.

I flatly rule out the possibility of any improvement in relations between ourselves and Cuba under these circumstances.

DOMESTIC


HOUSE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE LEAK

Q. What do you plan to do about the publication of the House Intelligence Committee report in the Village Voice? Do you plan to prosecute Daniel Schorr?

A. The Executive Branch of the Government is reviewing this entire situation and since it may involve legal action, I don't believe it is proper for me to say any more about it. This is, to some degree, a matter that should be dealt with by the House of Representatives since the publication of this report was in complete violation of an overwhelming vote by the House members. For that reason, I have offered the full resources of the Executive Branch of the Government to Speaker Carl Albert to pursue the matter in whatever manner he sees fit.

Let me give you a little background to clear up what may be a misunderstanding on what I have said on this issue. I have never tried to prevent the House Intelligence Committee from publishing its findings, opinions, or recommendations. The Committee did approve by a 10 to 3 vote, an agreement with the Executive Branch to allow a review by the White House of any material it planned to publish, to determine whether the material contained classified information which would damage the National interest. In return for the Committee's agreement, I authorized the transmittal of literally tens of thousands of pages of classified information to the Committee to help the members in their investigation.

(More)



Now, the leaking of classified information--which I believe was done by some one at the Capitol--is in complete violation of the agreement with the Committee, and I am sure that the responsible members of Congress share my view that this leak was contrary to the intentions of a very large majority of House members.

LOCKHEED AND CORPORATE BRIBES

Q. What do you intend to do about Lockheed, and these other big corporations which have admitted to giving millions of dollars of bribes to foreign officials?

A. I want to say categorically that I condemn any and all illegal payments by American corporations both within the United States and overseas.

I will see to it that all American laws in this area will be enforced. In addition, I will ask for a complete review of the practices and laws in this area, perhaps at the highest level of my Cabinet. And, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the IRS, and the Justice Department are already investigating thoroughly the question of corporate bribery overseas.

Finally, the United States is negotiating with a number of foreign countries to agree upon a code of ethics which would apply to multinational corporations.

Q. But isn't Lockheed using taxpayers' money from its government loan to pay these bribes?

A. The Federal government has never given one penny of taxpayers' money to Lockheed. The government has guaranteed private loans to Lockheed and the company has repaid these loans under a very carefully supervised arrangement.

Let me just repeat that I will not condone any illegal corporate bribery.

HENRY KISSINGER

Q: How can you keep Henry Kissinger as your Secretary of State, much less say you would like him to stay for another term, when he seems to be worn out, under great emotional strain, and apparently discredited with many Members of Congress? Wouldn't it help you politically and be better for American foreign policy to fire Kissinger?

A: It certainly would not. I believe history will judge Henry Kissinger to be one of our great Secretaries of State. He has done a masterful job in advising me on foreign policy and in carrying out my foreign policy. He has helped to bring about this period of peace in which we live and he is working every day to help me promote harmony in the world. Let me just remind you of some of Secretary Kissinger's accomplishments:

The fact that he is greatly respected and trusted by both the Arabs and Israel was a key factor in reaching the peace accords now in force, and it enables him to continue working toward a permanent peace in the Middle East.

He brought about new accords involving Berlin and Germany which have greatly reduced the threat of war in Europe.

It was through his quiet diplomacy that the United States resumed relations with the Peoples' Republic of China, the world's most populous nation after 25 years of estrangement.

These last few years have been difficult and dangerous times in the world and I believe that Henry Kissinger has been a great statesman under trying circumstances.

NIXON'S TRIP TO CHINA

Q. Why couldn't you stop former President Nixon from going to China?

A. Former President Nixon is going to China on a private visit, as a private citizen. He is not carrying any message from me, and I have no plans to receive a report from him when he returns.

He never asked me if I approved of the visit. The visit will have no impact on our day-to-day normal diplomatic contacts with the People's Republic of China, here in Washington, and in Peking.

Q. If he had asked you in advance, would you have approved of his visit.

A. I would not have encouraged him to go at this time.

Q. What effect will his visit have on your Primary campaign in New Hampshire?

A. None.

Q. If U. S. Marshalls try to seize the Chinese plane as payment for debts owed to Americans by the Chinese government, what will you do?

A. That is a matter that has not come to me yet for a decision.

KISSINGER TRIP TO LATIN AMERICA

- Q. Secretary Kissinger is traveling to Latin America at a time when Cuban troops are heavily involved in Angola. Is one of the purposes of the visit to consolidate OAS support against the Cuban Government and to urge a freeze on any progress toward normalization of relations with Cuba?
- A. The primary purpose of Secretary Kissinger's trip is to reaffirm the importance we attach to our relationship with Latin America and to continue to strengthen that relationship. In addition, the Secretary will be stopping in Guatemala to offer our continued assistance to that disaster-stricken area. This trip is not designed to generate a crusade against Cuba. At the same time, it is clear that any evolution towards our normalization of Cuba has been severely set back in view of that government's actions in Puerto Rico and its involvement in Angola.

DEFENSE BUDGET

Q. How can you justify increasing the Defense Department budget in fiscal year 1977 when you have called for restraint in the growth of federal spending?

A. Let me put the Defense increase in perspective.

First, I do not believe there is any informed American who believes we do not need the security of a strong military establishment to enable us to preserve and build on the type of society our forefathers envisioned 200 years ago.

Second, we are working on all fronts to relax world tensions. One tool we must have is a strong and effective military. This is a policy of "peace through strength."

Finally, while our military is second to none, in recent years, our military spending -- in constant dollars -- has dropped. (Using 1977 dollars, it has gone from \$150 billion in 1968 to \$105 billion in 1976.) We need now to reorganize and strengthen our forces, especially in view of increasing Soviet military capacities. We will do that by buying new weapon systems, improving the readiness of existing forces, and increasing selected combat forces.

But at the same time I have insisted that there be no waste at the Defense Department, that the Pentagon share in the general restraint on spending. We also are reducing programs which do not affect combat capability, as part of the general restraint on spending which is affecting the entire executive branch.

BACKGROUND

The FY '77 Defense budget request of \$112.7 billion in total obligation authority is an increase of \$14.4 billion over the \$98.3 billion approved by Congress for FY '76. But only \$7.4 billion of that increase represents real growth; the rest covers inflation.

GUN CONTROL

- Q. A recently published statistic claimed that only five percent of the American people are opposed to some form of regulation of guns. Why do you continue to oppose gun controls?
- A. The way to cut down on the criminal use of guns is not to take guns away from the law-abiding citizen, but to impose mandatory sentences for crimes in which a gun is used, make it harder to obtain cheap guns for criminal purposes, and concentrate gun enforcement in high crime areas. Accordingly, I have proposed the following program:
- legislation requiring the imposition of a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment for any person convicted of using or carrying a handgun in the commission of Federal offenses;
 - legislation banning the importation, domestic manufacture and sale of cheap, highly concealable handguns -- known as "Saturday Night Specials" -- which have no apparent use other than against human beings;
 - legislation strengthening current laws to strike at the illegal commerce in handguns and to emphasize the responsibility of gun dealers to adhere to the law; and
 - expansion, by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, of its firearms investigative efforts in the nation's ten largest metropolitan areas through the immediate employment and training of an additional 500 firearms investigators.

BACKGROUND

You have submitted to the Congress legislation implementing all of your recommendations for enhanced Federal handgun control. The Administration has requested an additional 500 investigators from the Congress and has begun to step up its investigation of illegal firearms transactions in the following cities: Boston, Chicago, Detroit, Dallas--Fort Worth, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, St. Louis, San Francisco and Washington, D.C.

BUREAUCRACY

- O. Many presidential candidates this year seem to be running against the Federal Government. You yourself have criticized the federal bureaucracy for being too unresponsive to the needs of the people it serves, particularly in the regulatory field. What specifically have you done as President to improve the operation of the Federal Government. not only in federal regulation but in all areas?
- A. If you look at my record in Congress and since I came to the White House you will see that I have argued for a long time that the Federal Government is becoming too big and unresponsive to the needs of our citizens. My Administration has initiated a program of regulatory reform to review all Federal regulatory activities in order to eliminate obsolete and inefficient regulation. For example, I proposed repeal of the fair trade laws and revitalized railroad regulation. Recently, the Congress passed and I signed fair trade and railroad legislation. Also, we are actively working to reduce unnecessary Government paperwork requirements and to assess the economic impacts of all new regulation. My recent budget proposals are designed to reduce the growth of Government and to simplify my grant programs through "block grants."

I have made clear to the people in my Administration that they are servants of the people, working for the best interests of the people.

And, finally, I believe the government must not undermine the peoples' trust by promising more than it can deliver. My policy is to deliver on what I promise and not to promise more than I can deliver.

CONCORDE DECISION

- Q. Many people are unhappy with Secretary Coleman's decision on the Concorde. Could you explain to us why you stand behind that decision?
- A. Strong views have been expressed on both sides of the Concorde issue. I believe Secretary Coleman's decision to permit a 16-month trial test of Concorde at two United States airports under careful controls was a reasonable one. It will enable us to assess the benefits as well as the environmental consequences of the SST through actual experience.

A CONCORDE VETO

- Q. You said you would stand behind Secretary Coleman's decision on Concorde landings. There is now a bill which has passed the House and has been introduced in the Senate which would in effect bar Concorde landings. Would you veto that bill?
- A. The Senate Commerce Sub-Committee on Aviation defeated by one vote the Anti-Concorde amendment to the Airport Development Assistance Program bill. It is inappropriate for this bill to contain any provision concerning Concorde. The issues in the bill, such as the use of the Airport Trust Fund, should not be confused with SST issues. Moreover, the ADAP bill does not even apply to Dulles Airport, one of the two airports where the Concorde will be allowed to land on a trial basis.

I strongly oppose any amendment of the ADAP bill to ban the Concorde.

ABORTION

Q. What is your position on abortion?

A. I am opposed to abortion on demand, and I think the 1973 Supreme Court decision went too far in that direction. I also oppose a constitutional amendment which would totally prohibit abortion.

The only action I would support would be a constitutional amendment giving to the states the authority to make regulations for abortion within that state.

This is a position I have held consistently over the years--when I was in Congress, as Vice President, and as President. It is based on my strong belief in the Federal system, which holds that such moral and deeply personal issues would not be settled as a matter of national policy, but rather should be decided by the people closer to home, in their own states.

POLITICAL OUTLOOK

Q: The polls show that you are in a tough race with Ronald Reagan in New Hampshire and other primary states. If you lose New Hampshire and other early primaries won't that make it impossible for you to win the nomination?

A: I believe the momentum of the campaign has changed. My campaign is going well and is getting better every day. I was encouraged by my trips to New Hampshire and Florida and by the reaction of the crowds I met.

I think I will do well in New Hampshire, in Florida, and in other early primaries.

But regardless of how those primaries turn out, I want you to know that I am firmly committed to be at the Republican convention in Kansas City in August. The roll call in Kansas City is the vote that really counts and I expect to win that one. And I also expect to go on to win the election in November.



REAGAN/POLITICAL

REAGAN

- Q. Since you are an incumbent President with all the power and publicity that goes with that office, isn't it embarrassing and probably fatal to your chances that you are running no better than you are against Ronald Reagan?
- A. Where did you get the impression that I am not running well?

DIFFERENCES WITH REAGAN

- Q. You told us several weeks ago that there is no basic philosophical difference between you and Ronald Reagan. But in Florida you said Reagan was too extreme to be elected in November. Why have you changed your position?
- A. The former Governor does seem to share many of the broad philosophical positions I have taken over the past 27 years in Congress, as Vice President, and now that I am President. Our differences come in the ways that these broad philosophical positions are translated into day-to-day policies and decisions.

I am more moderate. And, having had 18 months of experience here in the White House making the hard decisions that a President must make day in and day out, on top of my quarter century of experience in Congress, I know how the Federal Government operates.

Let me give you a few examples of how I believe the former Governor and I differ on important matters of policy:

- He would solve some structural problems of the Social Security System by making social security voluntary and investing the social security trust fund in the stock market. Anyone who knows, recognizes that that solution is totally unrealistic. I want to strengthen social security. I would make the social security system financially sound so that by raising contributions to their proper levels all Americans are assured of the social security payments they have counted on their working lives. And I want to give all retired Americans the full cost of living increase on their social security this year.
- The former Governor would solve the problem of the Federal Government being remote from the people by abolishing \$90 billion in federal programs. These are the programs which directly help individual Americans and our cities and states. Former Gov. Reagan wants to turn the problems back to the states and require the states to raise their own money to pay for these programs. As any already overburdened State taxpayer can tell you that's ridiculous. My approach meets the problem in a realistic way. I would continue to use the broad-based taxing power of the Federal Government to raise money,

but I would then use revenue sharing and bloc grants to get the money back to local communities with virtually no "handling fee" so that citizens all over the country can solve their problems in the way best suited to their own local conditions.

- One of former Governor Reagan's major supporters in New Hampshire has said that the 14th amendment to our Constitution, or part of it, which guarantees equal justice under the law, should be repealed. Now former Governor Reagan won't flatly say whether or not he agrees with that major supporter. But I do not believe my positions have been so immoderate as to attract that kind of unrealistic extremist.

- And finally, I have had day in and day out experience for 18 months dealing with the extremely complex foreign policy issues which can make the difference between peace and nuclear holocaust. I know the realities of international relations. I know that these life and death foreign policy issues are too important and too complex to be treated like a barroom shoot-out in a Western movie.

REAGAN ATTACK ON FOREIGN POLICY

Q. Ronald Reagan has launched a broadscale attack on your foreign policy, suggesting in effect that your Administration is too soft on communism. How do you respond to these charges?

A. Rather than comment on the details of every charge hurled at us by political candidates of either party, let me make a general comment about critics of our foreign policy.

I find the strongest criticism comes from people at the extremes: on one extreme are those who want us to turn tail and run from every challenge. They are the remnants of what used to be called the "bug-out brigade", and we have seen them rise up in force on the Angola issue.

On the other extreme are those who want us to present a much more belligerent face to the world. My 18 months of dealing with life and death foreign policy issues in the Oval Office has made me realize that those who sound "trigger happy" when they start talking about nuclear weapons have a dangerous and simplistic view of the world.

The dangers of nuclear holocaust and the spread of nuclear weapons are so strong today that we must continue negotiating with our adversaries for a mutual reduction in the arms race. That challenge may not seem so urgent today because our nation is at peace, but the last thing I want to wish upon our children is a return to the worst days of the Cold War. That is why I am pursuing a strong, balanced foreign policy--and to be candid about it, I think that is the main reason we are at peace today.

FOREIGN

ANGOLA

Q: Why have you persisted in blaming Congress for not letting the United States get involved in another Vietnam in Angola? Why do you want to drag the United States into that civil war where we have no interest at all?

A: Angola is important for two reasons: First, I felt that it was important to stand by our historic commitment to helping people who want to choose their own form of government without having a government imposed on them by armed forces from other countries far outside their borders. That is a position of morality and it is something America has always stood for and should continue to stand for.

Secondly and perhaps more important, I felt it was vital to let the Russians and the Cubans know that we would not tolerate military expansion in an area where they have no legitimate interest.

Unfortunately a majority of the Members of Congress lost their guts and tied my hands so that we could do little more than watch helplessly as Russian and Cuban military forces denied the people of Angola the right to choose the kind of government they wanted. The real damage Congress has done is to send Russia and Cuba a signal that may encourage them to try military expansion in another country of Africa or indeed, in another country somewhere else in the world. Weakness does not bring peace. Weakness only encourages Russia and Cuba to believe that they can expand their influence with military force somewhere else. Eventually we will have to face up to the question of where do we take a stand and say, "no more".

However, I am hopeful that Congress has learned a lesson from this experience and the next time Russia and Cuba try military expansion I believe Congress will do the proper thing and join me in efforts to stop that expansion.

Q: What did you mean when you said that you would come to the aid of Angola if Russia and Cuba tried to "colonize" it?

CUBA

Q. What are the prospects for improvement in our relations with Cuba in view of its intervention in the Angola conflict?

A. Cuba's unjustified involvement in the domestic affairs of other nations, such as their encouragement of the Independence movement in Puerto Rico and, particularly, their massive military troupe involvement in the Angola conflict thousands of miles from Havana, is simply incompatible with lessening tensions and improving relations. The Cubans have sent over 10,000 troops to Angola, involving themselves in what should be purely an internal Angolan matter.

I flatly rule out the possibility of any improvement in relations between ourselves and Cuba under these circumstances.

KISSINGER TRIP TO LATIN AMERICA

Q. Secretary Kissinger is traveling to Latin America at a time when Cuban troops are heavily involved in Angola. Is one of the purposes of the visit to consolidate OAS support against the Cuban Government and to urge a freeze on any progress toward normalization of relations with Cuba?

A. The primary purpose of the Secretary's trip is to reaffirm the importance we attach to our relationship with Latin America and to continue to strengthen that relationship. In addition, the Secretary will be stopping in Guatemala to offer our continued assistance to that disaster-stricken area. This trip is not designed to generate a crusade against Cuba. At the same time, it is clear that there is no chance to improve relations with Cuba in view of that government's actions in Puerto Rico and its involvement in Angola.

RELATIONS WITH THE SOVIET UNION

Q: Isn't it inconsistent for you to denounce the Soviet Union for their involvement with Angola but at the same time negotiate a SALT II agreement with the Russians?

A: No, there is no inconsistency.

The only way we can have peace is through strength. That means standing up to the Russians whenever they try to expand their influence by military means or whenever they take any action which raises tension in the world and threatens world peace. We must be strong in order to stand up to the Russians and that is one reason I am so disappointed that Congress tied my hands and prevented the United States from helping its friends in Africa to oppose Russian and Cuban military activities in Angola.

On the other hand, I believe however we can reach a SALT II agreement with the Russians that would be in our own interest and would reduce the threat of a nuclear holocaust. It is my obligation to pursue those negotiations. The preliminary agreement I reached with General Secretary Brezhnev in Vladivostok allowed the United States to keep all or most of its missiles, submarines, and bombers. On the other hand, it required the Russians to cut back considerably on their plans for a nuclear arsenal. There was tough negotiating, and I believe we got a good bargain.

Incidentally, if we don't reach a SALT II agreement with the Russians, I will have to ask the Congress for at least \$20 billion for new weapons because the nuclear arms race surely would be renewed and we would have to keep up with the Soviet Union.

Let me say a word about those who suggest that we should not pursue a policy of reducing tensions and the threat of war when it is not in our own interest, who say we should not pursue this policy which has been given the name "detente":

In my 18 months of day in and day out experience dealing with life and death issues of foreign policy, I have found that these issues are extremely complex. They cannot be dealt with in a simplistic way or with a gun slingers' mentality. I know it is tempting for some to look on complex foreign policy issues as a kind of "shoot-out at OK corral!"

But we live in an extremely complex and dangerous world and if we try to go back to the dark and dangerous days of confrontation of the cold war, if some people get an itchy trigger finger, the chance of war increases and this period of peace in which we are now living will be endangered.

REVENUE SHARING



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 11, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR

FROM

SUBJECT:

JIM SHUMAN

PAUL W. WEAVER

General Revenue Sharing
Payments -- Florida

Attached for your use and information are the briefing materials on General Revenue Sharing payments to Florida. The charts for the President's use, as well as copies for distribution, will be aboard the car plane.

Attachments

cc: Jim Cavanaugh

GENERAL REVENUE SHARING PAYMENTS

FLORIDA

- TAB A -- Selected Data on Florida
- TAB B -- Memorandum on Miami Civil Rights Situation
- TAB C -- Payments through January 5, 1976 for FLORIDA (including all local jurisdictions)
- TAB D -- Payments by FLORIDA Congressional Districts with projection of estimated payments under President's proposed renewal legislation.
- TAB E -- Payments through January 5, 1976 for each State

FLORIDA

- I. To date, the State of Florida has received more than \$697.6 million in General Revenue Sharing payments. These funds have gone directly to the State government, 66 counties, 389 municipalities and 2 Indian tribes. By December, 1976, these units of government will have received over \$902 million under this program.

Table I -- Payments Under Existing Program (in millions)

| | Total State-wide | State Gov't. | Counties | Municipalities | Indian Tribes |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| To date | \$ 697.6 | \$ 232.3 | \$ 209.6 | \$ 255.6 | \$.085 |
| By 12/31/76 (estimate) | \$ 902.1 | \$ 300.5 | \$ 275.7 | \$ 325.6 | \$.108 |

- II. Under the President's proposed legislation to continue the General Revenue Sharing program from January, 1977 until September, 1982, the State of Florida would receive approximately \$1.25 billion.

Table II -- Projected Payments Under President's Legislation
(in millions)

| Total State-wide | State Gov't. | Counties | Municipalities | Indian Tribes |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| \$1,246.4 | \$ 415.5 | \$ 406.3 | \$ 424.5 | \$.151 |

III. The following information concerns selected areas in the State of Florida.

Table III -- General Revenue Sharing Payments to Selected Counties and Cities
(in millions)

| Jurisdiction | Payment to Date | Total (Existing Program thru 12/31/76) | Projected Under President's Legislation |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|---|
| Orange County | \$ 10.4 | \$ 13.8 | \$ 21.8 |
| Orlando | 7.6 | 9.9 | 14.4 |
| -- | | | |
| Broward County | 8.1 | 10.6 | 15.2 |
| Ft. Lauderdale | 6.6 | 8.6 | 12.0 |
| -- | | | |
| Pinellas County | 11.0 | 14.1 | 19.5 |
| St. Petersburg | 12.4 | 15.3 | 17.4 |
| -- | | | |
| Lee County | 4.3 | 6.0 | 10.0 |
| Ft. Myers | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| -- | | | |
| Dade County | 51.9 | 68.7 | 101.7 |
| Miami | 31.7 | 40.5 | 53.8 |
| Miami Beach | 5.0 | 6.5 | 9.1 |

Table III -- General Revenue Sharing Payments to Selected Counties and Cities
(in millions)

| Jurisdiction | Payment to Date | Total (Existing Program thru 12/31/76) | Projected Under President's Legislation |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| Palm Beach County | \$ 8.8 | \$ 11.8 | \$ 18.3 |

IV. The Following Statement on the Impact of General Revenue Sharing on the State of Florida was Submitted by the State to the National Governor's Conference.

"For the period, 1972-73 through 1974-75, Florida has received \$182,940,956 in Federal Revenue Sharing funds. Through the management of these funds we were able to earn \$14,112,089 in interest.

"There has been a deficit in the classroom needs in the K-12 Program in Florida for several years. Governor Askew as a result of this need made the decision at the time Federal Revenue Sharing was first enacted to dedicate all revenue from this source to the elimination of this classroom deficit. All of these funds, with the exception of approximately \$28,000,000, has been appropriated by the Legislature for that purpose. The Governor in his recommendations to the Legislature for 1975-76 provided that the \$75,000,000 to be available in this year would continue to be dedicated to the classroom construction program. However, the economic situation was such that the Legislature did not feel it could agree with this recommendation. Therefore, it appropriated some \$62,000,000 from this source to operation of the K-12 Program in lieu of providing funds for fixed capital outlay purposes. The remaining \$13,000,000 was appropriated to the recurring costs at the state level.

"The fact that Federal Revenue Sharing funds for 1975-76 have been appropriated for recurring costs programs means that the entire character of these funds has changed and any reduction in these funds will have a significant impact on the school situation in this state. The \$75,000,000 represents about 3.4% of our general revenue budget. More important, however, is the fact that the \$62,000,000 for the operation of the K-12 Program represents about 6% of that budget. This simply means that the State of Florida will be faced with several options in the event the Federal Revenue Sharing Program is discontinued and none of these are desirable. These options are (1) increase taxes to offset the loss of revenue from this source; (2) reduce expenditures primarily in the human services area; (3) increase local property taxes to offset the loss to the school program; (4) decrease level of funding for the K-12 Program."

According to the most recent reports furnished with the Treasury Department (covering the period July 1974-June 1975), the selected jurisdictions noted below indicates that their revenue sharing funds were used to provide a wide range of public services, including public safety, social services, health, transportation, recreation, housing and libraries.

Table IV -- Sample of Actual Uses of General Revenue Sharing Payments
(July 1974-June 1975)

| <u>Jurisdiction</u> | <u>Actual Use</u> |
|---------------------|--|
| Orange County | \$ 134,080 for social services to aged and poor 135,403 for public safety 350,000 for environmental protection |
| Orlando | 750,000 for transportation 660,887 for environmental protection 701,106 for public safety |
| Broward County | \$ 969,560 for public safety 469,702 for transportation 2,080,844 for libraries |
| Ft. Lauderdale | 521,383 for libraries 546,384 for transportation 158,719 for public safety 917,480 for recreation |
| Pinellas County | \$ 460,629 for health 117,062 for social services to aged and poor |
| St. Petersburg | 950,000 for housing and community development 400,000 for social services to aged and poor 346,478 for recreation 2,875,895 for public safety |
| Lee County | \$ 932,518 for transportation 286,846 for health |
| Ft. Myers | 470,043 for recreation 52,395 for social services to aged and poor |

Continued:

Table IV -- Sample of Actual Uses of General Revenue Sharing Payments
(July 1974-June 1975)

| <u>Jurisdiction</u> | <u>Actual Use</u> |
|---------------------|---|
| Dade County | \$1,592,756 for public safety 1,000,000 for environmental protection 2,642,235 for transportation 7,225,797 for health 3,663,656 for social services to aged and poor |
| Miami | 1,096,544 for social services to aged and poor 4,557,142 for public safety 1,821,003 for environmental protection |
| Miami Beach | 845,275 for public safety 89,153 for transportation 58,015 for social services to aged and poor 456,985 for recreation 92,368 for libraries |

Miami, Florida, Civil Rights Case

In June 1973, the Treasury Department suspected that the City of Miami might have engaged in employment discrimination in programs funded with General Revenue Sharing funds. In October, 1974, an audit was conducted of the City and statistics revealed that Spanish-speaking Americans were under-represented in relation to their numbers in the City. Simultaneously, Treasury was informed by the Department of Justice that it was conducting an in-depth investigation.

On February 14, 1975, Treasury wrote the City, alleging possible discrimination in employment since 45% of the population is Spanish-speaking but only 5.5% of the City employees are Spanish-speaking.

In June 1975, Justice notified the City of its intent to file suit. Since that time, Justice and Treasury have engaged in joint efforts to negotiate a Consent Decree with the City of Miami.

Negotiations of the Decree were successfully completed and the signed Decree was presented to the Judge on January 5, 1976. The Judge has made some minor changes in language which have to be agreed to by all parties. Justice expects the Decree to be entered by the Judge very shortly.

* * * *

REVENUE SHARING DISBURSEMENTS
10 FLORIDA

| COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE | COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 10 | STATE OF FLORIDA | 15,722,434 | 222,341,475 | 009 | CITRUS COUNTY | 108,451 | 903,677 |
| 001 | ALACHUA COUNTY | 242,080 | 3,384,732 | | CRYSTAL RIVER CITY | 7,034 | 154,931 |
| | ALACHUA CITY | 3,550 | 123,123 | | INVERNESS CITY | 10,594 | 204,415 |
| | ARCHER CITY | 3,342 | 64,701 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 126,283 | 1,243,023 |
| | GAINESVILLE CITY | 278,010 | 4,242,574 | 010 | CLAY COUNTY | 71,945 | 770,445 |
| | HAWTHORNE CITY | 3,583 | 61,944 | | GREEN COVE SPRINGS CITY | 15,567 | 243,043 |
| | HIGH SPRINGS CITY | 9,440 | 190,776 | | KEYSTONE HEIGHTS CITY | 1,469 | 28,209 |
| | MICANOPY TOWN | 2,079 | 40,687 | | ORANGE PARK TOWN | 11,761 | 182,203 |
| | NEWBERRY CITY | 3,214 | 59,313 | | PENNEY FARMS TOWN | 561 | 7,694 |
| | WALDO CITY | 2,278 | 43,135 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 101,303 | 1,231,674 |
| | LA CROSSE TOWN | 349 | 4,798 | 011 | COLLIER COUNTY | 90,032 | 776,014 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 547,965 | 8,223,603 | | EVERGLADES CITY | 3,554 | 46,342 |
| 002 | BAKER COUNTY | 15,056 | 233,248 | | NAPLES CITY | 47,721 | 581,450 |
| | MACLENNY CITY | 8,227 | 207,160 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 141,307 | 1,403,804 |
| | OLEN ST MARY TOWN | 661 | 25,953 | 012 | COLUMBIA COUNTY | 55,831 | 757,688 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 23,944 | 466,361 | | FORT WHITE TOWN | 526 | 12,868 |
| 003 | BAY COUNTY | 180,087 | 2,745,451 | | LAKE CITY | 36,860 | 785,419 |
| | CEDAR GROVE TOWN | 1,153 | 19,883 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 93,217 | 1,555,967 |
| | LYNN HAVEN CITY | 13,391 | 234,575 | 013 | DARE COUNTY | 4,131,157 | 51,982,972 |
| | PANAMA CITY | 209,090 | 3,072,295 | | BAL HARBOUR VILLAGE | 3,062 | 46,792 |
| | PANAMA CITY BEACH CITY | 9,894 | 134,143 | | DAY HARBOR ISLANDS TOWN | 4,071 | 62,244 |
| | SPRINGFIELD CITY | 13,500 | 261,158 | | BISCAYNE PARK VILLAGE | 3,170 | 52,432 |
| | CALLAWAY TOWN | 4,294 | 48,064 | | CORAL GABLES CITY | 119,330 | 1,533,415 |
| | MEXICO BEACH TOWN | 1,544 | 19,738 | | EL PORTAL VILLAGE | 1,831 | 27,884 |
| | PARKER CITY | 3,914 | 57,167 | | FLORIDA CITY CITY | 29,647 | 490,224 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 436,871 | 6,594,494 | | GOLDEN BEACH TOWN | 820 | 12,494 |
| 004 | BRADFORD COUNTY | 47,558 | 625,589 | | HIACLEAM CITY | 371,661 | 4,816,746 |
| | BROOKER TOWN | 327 | 9,857 | | HIACLEAM GARDENS TOWN | 1,068 | 23,474 |
| | HAMPTON CITY | 777 | 18,937 | | HOMESTEAD CITY | 52,948 | 884,314 |
| | LAUREY CITY | 1,157 | 32,367 | | INDIAN CREEK VILLAGE | 545 | 8,052 |
| | STARKE CITY | 19,758 | 409,545 | | MEDLEY TOWN | 2,970 | 35,754 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 69,577 | 1,087,275 | | MIAMI CITY | 2,193,824 | 31,759,727 |
| 005 | BREVARD COUNTY | 576,125 | 6,756,804 | | MIAMI BEACH CITY | 371,415 | 5,055,142 |
| | COCOA CITY | 73,094 | 1,015,598 | | MIAMI SHORES VILLAGE | 4,430 | 136,461 |
| | COCOA BEACH CITY | 32,274 | 404,860 | | MIAMI SPRINGS CITY | 24,224 | 364,036 |
| | MELBOURNE CITY | 150,334 | 2,152,205 | | NORTH BAY VILLAGE | 6,602 | 109,543 |
| | MELBOURNE BEACH TOWN | 2,794 | 40,431 | | NORTH MIAMI CITY | 97,438 | 1,354,479 |
| | ROCKLEDGE CITY | 30,483 | 434,474 | | NORTH MIAMI BEACH CITY | 103,575 | 1,496,517 |
| | TITUSVILLE CITY | 112,295 | 1,422,050 | | OPALOCKA CITY | 65,204 | 1,050,540 |
| | MELBOURNE VILLAGE TOWN | 773 | 4,653 | | SOUTH MIAMI CITY | 15,039 | 246,475 |
| | PALM BAY CITY | 18,740 | 184,427 | | SURFSTOE TOWN | 7,560 | 144,560 |
| | SATELLITE BEACH TOWN | 7,601 | 121,721 | | SWEETWATER CITY | 6,156 | 94,007 |
| | INDIAN ATLANTIC TOWN | 3,605 | 49,293 | | VIRGINIA GARDENS VILLAGE | 3,671 | 38,344 |
| | V MELBOURNE TOWN | 4,577 | 63,813 | | WEST MIAMI TOWN | 6,673 | 172,954 |
| | INDIAN HARBOUR | 7,578 | 80,270 | | PENNSUCO TOWN | | 0 |
| | CAPE CANAVERAL CITY | 12,326 | 102,866 | | ISLANDIA CITY | NO PAY DUE | 220 |
| | MALABAR TOWN | 578 | 4,582 | | MICCOSUKEE BUSINESS COUNCIL | 1,578 | 22,154 |
| | PALM SHORES TOWN | 173 | 2,712 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 7,633,877 | 102,022,035 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,033,350 | 12,855,159 | 014 | DE SOTO COUNTY | 58,036 | 755,665 |
| 006 | BROWARD COUNTY | 640,701 | 8,191,961 | | ARCADIA CITY | 34,703 | 500,514 |
| | DANIA CITY | 41,346 | 623,579 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 92,739 | 1,256,180 |
| | DEERFIELD BEACH CITY | 49,834 | 656,517 | 015 | DIXIE COUNTY | 26,374 | 341,970 |
| | FORT LAUDERDALE CITY | 488,497 | 6,442,824 | | CROSS CITY TOWN | 4,525 | 113,973 |
| | HALLANDALE CITY | 77,789 | 1,227,947 | | MORSEHOE BEACH TOWN | 1,092 | 8,035 |
| | HILLSBORO BEACH TOWN | 2,521 | 24,515 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 31,991 | 463,974 |
| | HOLLYWOOD CITY | 330,678 | 5,773,743 | 016 | ATLANTIC BEACH CITY | 17,064 | 254,444 |
| | LAUDERDALE BY THE SEA | 2,804 | 46,833 | | BALDWIN TOWN | 5,102 | 95,994 |
| | MIRAMAR CITY | 37,458 | 601,011 | | JACKSONVILLE CITY | 2,428,484 | 36,512,457 |
| | OAKLAND PARK CITY | 77,582 | 812,750 | | JACKSONVILLE BEACH CITY | 32,044 | 348,702 |
| | POMPANO BEACH CITY | 134,528 | 1,736,158 | | NEPTUNE BFACH CITY | 7,439 | 121,936 |
| | WILTON MANORS CITY | 18,539 | 292,840 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,490,517 | 37,533,973 |
| | PEMBROKE PARK TOWN | 5,637 | 92,395 | 017 | ESCAMBIA COUNTY | 432,183 | 6,628,807 |
| | COOPER CITY | 5,989 | 74,554 | | PENSACOLA CITY | 256,636 | 3,378,215 |
| | MACIENDA VILLAGE | 426 | 3,449 | | SO FLOMATON TOWN | 619 | 29,174 |
| | LAUDERMILL CITY | 36,887 | 415,804 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 689,438 | 10,036,200 |
| | LAZY LAKE TOWN | NAIVED | 1,198 | 018 | FLAGLER COUNTY | 4,838 | 69,294 |
| | LIGHTHOUSE POINT CITY | 12,426 | 155,795 | | SEVERLY BEACH TOWN | NO PAY DUE | 1,784 |
| | MARGATE CITY | 59,227 | 694,155 | | RUNNELL CITY | 4,794 | 133,652 |
| | PEMBROKE PINES CITY | 30,280 | 334,183 | | FLAGLER BEACH CITY | 9,021 | 106,533 |
| | PLANTATION CITY | 33,788 | 484,926 | | HARPLELAND TOWN | NO PAY DUE | 1,107 |
| | SEA RANCH LAKES VILLAGE | 633 | 8,997 | | PAINTERS HILL TOWN | NO PAY DUE | 461 |
| | DAVIE TOWN | 23,019 | 284,619 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 27,657 | 312,434 |
| | CORAL SPRINGS CITY | 37,595 | 180,655 | 019 | FRANKLIN COUNTY | 31,095 | 305,994 |
| | LAUDERDALE LAKES CITY | 28,911 | 344,093 | | APALACHICOLA CITY | 10,923 | 219,954 |
| | NORTH LAUDERDALE CITY | 29,808 | 110,122 | | CARRABELLE CITY | 4,026 | 88,171 |
| | PARKLAND CITY | 2,028 | 2,028 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 46,044 | 615,123 |
| | SUNRISE CITY | 87,713 | 671,474 | 020 | GADSDEN COUNTY | 125,901 | 1,803,964 |
| | TAMARAC CITY | 28,424 | 283,801 | | CHATTANOOCHEE CITY | 7,777 | 166,803 |
| | COCOA BEACH CITY | 2,248 | 34,721 | | GREENSBORO TOWN | 834 | 21,140 |
| | SEMINOLE TRIBAL COUNCIL | 4,437 | 63,473 | | GRETNA TOWN | 1,156 | 20,752 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,320,725 | 30,499,174 | | HAVANA TOWN | 2,394 | 73,062 |
| 007 | CALHOUN COUNTY | 21,519 | 302,453 | | QUINCY CITY | 36,852 | 593,554 |
| | ALTA TOWN | 1,153 | 26,212 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 174,914 | 2,779,315 |
| | BLOUNTS TOWN CITY | 5,931 | 159,947 | 021 | GILCHRIST COUNTY | 18,329 | 234,429 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 28,603 | 484,612 | | BELL TOWN | 364 | 3,714 |
| 008 | CHARLOTTE COUNTY | 121,874 | 1,654,345 | | | | |
| | PUNTA GORDA CITY | 26,564 | 381,614 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 148,392 | 2,039,959 | | | | |

REVENUE SHARING DISBURSEMENTS

| COUNTY | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE | COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|--------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | TRENTON CITY | 3,389 | 64,060 | | TAVAPES CITY | 11,180 | 213,447 |
| | SUWANNEE RIVER TOWN | 106 | 9,717 | | UMATILLA CITY | 5,050 | 93,952 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 22,188 | 314,320 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 251,467 | 4,044,502 |
| 2 | GLADES COUNTY | 20,648 | 224,219 | 036 | LEF COUNTY | 445,311 | 4,153,333 |
| | MOORE HAVEN CITY | 4,723 | 92,163 | | FORT MYERS CITY | 101,124 | 2,152,337 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 25,371 | 314,382 | | CAPE CORAL CITY | 36,547 | 232,667 |
| | | | | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 582,961 | 6,644,867 |
| 023 | GULF COUNTY | 34,128 | 564,093 | 037 | LEON COUNTY | 221,617 | 2,566,404 |
| | PORT ST JOE TOWN | 23,093 | 350,530 | | TALLAHASSEE CITY | 151,009 | 2,422,290 |
| | NEWAHITCHKA CITY | 4,346 | 64,914 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 372,622 | 4,989,094 |
| | WARD RIDGE CITY | NO PAY DUE | 0 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 61,567 | 980,537 | | | | |
| 024 | HAMILTON COUNTY | 36,487 | 520,022 | 038 | LEVY COUNTY | 70,879 | 917,611 |
| | JASPER CITY | 8,457 | 150,658 | | BRONSON TOWN | 1,699 | 36,869 |
| | JENNINGS TOWN | 1,388 | 33,243 | | CEDAR KEY CITY | 2,703 | 54,289 |
| | WHITE SPRINGS TOWN | REPORT | 50,049 | | CHIEFLAND TOWN | 8,989 | 146,687 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 46,332 | 753,972 | | INGLIS TOWN | 486 | 20,956 |
| | | | | | WILLISTON CITY | 3,679 | 74,974 |
| 025 | MARDEE COUNTY | 76,177 | 928,844 | | YANKEETOWN TOWN | 1,050 | 7,234 |
| | BOWLING GREEN CITY | 9,264 | 133,771 | | OTTER CREEK TOWN | 238 | 5,145 |
| | MAUCHULA CITY | 14,449 | 253,965 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 89,723 | 1,263,765 |
| | ZOLFO SPRINGS TOWN | 4,510 | 101,405 | 039 | LIBERTY COUNTY | 15,892 | 99,803 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 104,400 | 1,417,985 | | BRISTOL CITY | 568 | 25,634 |
| | | | | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 16,460 | 125,441 |
| 026 | MENDRY COUNTY | 46,230 | 419,645 | 040 | MADISON COUNTY | 28,286 | 441,625 |
| | CLEWISTON CITY | 9,630 | 219,121 | | GREENVILLE TOWN | 5,401 | 59,206 |
| | LARELLE CITY | 11,053 | 170,518 | | LEE TOWN | 637 | 10,285 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 66,913 | 799,304 | | MADISON CITY | 24,668 | 345,041 |
| | | | | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 59,072 | 856,197 |
| 027 | HERNANDO COUNTY | 120,161 | 1,346,090 | 041 | MANATEE COUNTY | 264,181 | 3,775,433 |
| | BROOKSVILLE CITY | 15,261 | 311,861 | | ANNA MARIA CITY | 2,163 | 27,824 |
| | WEEKI WACHEE CITY | 245 | 4,913 | | BRADENTON CITY | 114,600 | 1,521,445 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 135,667 | 1,664,864 | | BRADENTON BEACH CITY | 1,846 | 25,424 |
| | | | | | HOLMES BEACH CITY | 4,929 | 45,777 |
| 028 | HIGHLANDS COUNTY | 111,927 | 1,454,315 | | LONGROAT KEY TOWN | 6,754 | 46,064 |
| | AVON PARK CITY | 34,712 | 578,048 | | PALMETTO CITY | 23,268 | 453,833 |
| | LAKE PLACID TOWN | 711 | 49,630 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 415,741 | 5,495,804 |
| | SEERING CITY | 21,921 | 427,054 | 042 | MARION COUNTY | 144,252 | 1,649,499 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 169,271 | 2,504,047 | | RELIEVIEW CITY | 7,356 | 43,752 |
| | | | | | DUNNELLON CITY | 7,441 | 112,204 |
| 029 | HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY | 1,380,882 | 21,124,811 | | MCINTOSH TOWN | 2,184 | 28,741 |
| | PLANT CITY CITY | 63,405 | 1,154,558 | | OCALA CITY | 73,683 | 1,491,457 |
| | TAMPA CITY | 1,392,135 | 23,134,269 | | REDDICK TOWN | 1,083 | 12,313 |
| | TEMPLE TERRACE CITY | 20,117 | 277,937 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 239,999 | 3,598,364 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,856,539 | 45,695,575 | | | | |
| | | | | 043 | MARTIN COUNTY | 148,698 | 1,645,040 |
| | HOLMES COUNTY | 27,171 | 414,190 | | JUPITER ISLAND TOWN | 2,520 | 30,092 |
| | BONIFAY CITY | 7,886 | 130,699 | | STUART CITY | 31,630 | 472,600 |
| | ESTO TOWN | 213 | 4,076 | | SEWALLS POINT TOWN | 2,131 | 19,694 |
| | PONCE DE LEON CITY | 332 | 9,411 | | OCEAN BREEZE PARK TOWN | 927 | 7,466 |
| | WESTVILLE TOWN | 239 | 3,519 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 185,906 | 2,174,894 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 35,841 | 562,895 | | | | |
| 031 | INDIAN RIVER COUNTY | 127,180 | 1,324,431 | 044 | HONROE COUNTY | 152,148 | 1,842,494 |
| | FELLSMERE CITY | 3,974 | 49,309 | | KEY WEST CITY | 70,044 | 1,191,924 |
| | SERASTIAN CITY | 6,550 | 83,163 | | KEY COLONY BEACH CITY | 1,165 | 29,402 |
| | VERO BEACH CITY | 77,978 | 1,167,246 | | LAYTON CITY | 129 | 3,400 |
| | INDIAN RIVER SHORES TOWN | 1,898 | 10,250 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 223,479 | 3,067,920 |
| | ORCHID TOWN | REPORT | 0 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 217,582 | 2,463,699 | | | | |
| 032 | JACKSON COUNTY | 85,636 | 1,258,602 | 045 | NASSAU COUNTY | 66,538 | 796,567 |
| | ALFORD TOWN | 457 | 13,943 | | CALLAHAN TOWN | 2,454 | 54,851 |
| | CAMPBELLTON TOWN | 258 | 8,920 | | FERNANDINA BEACH CITY | 45,777 | 682,209 |
| | COTTONDALE TOWN | 3,643 | 48,215 | | HILLIARD TOWN | 3,231 | 65,834 |
| | GRACEVILLE CITY | 16,271 | 240,665 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 118,004 | 1,603,461 |
| | GRAND RIDGE TOWN | 734 | 19,523 | 046 | OKALOOSA COUNTY | 115,974 | 1,571,650 |
| | MALONE TOWN | 1,553 | 34,029 | | CINCO BAYOU TOWN | 1,742 | 27,484 |
| | MARIANNA CITY | 37,559 | 609,546 | | CRESTVIEW CITY | 32,450 | 516,944 |
| | SNEADS TOWN | 2,315 | 56,818 | | FORT WALTON BEACH CITY | 87,138 | 1,339,911 |
| | GREENWOOD TOWN | 478 | 14,197 | | LAUREL HILL CITY | 411 | 7,739 |
| | BASCOM TOWN | 85 | 4,647 | | MARY ESTHER TOWN | 9,516 | 125,934 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 148,989 | 2,315,105 | | NICEVILLE CITY | 15,804 | 284,504 |
| | | | | | SHALIMAR TOWN | 721 | 13,774 |
| 033 | JEFFERSON COUNTY | 25,059 | 399,029 | | VALPARAISO CITY | 8,762 | 129,318 |
| | MONTECELLO CITY | 12,627 | 220,059 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 272,514 | 4,016,304 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 37,686 | 619,088 | | | | |
| 034 | LAFAYETTE COUNTY | 7,516 | 131,080 | 047 | ORKEECHOBEE COUNTY | 54,502 | 631,054 |
| | MAYO TOWN | 1,348 | 44,893 | | ORKEECHOBEE CITY | 26,644 | 305,755 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 8,864 | 177,973 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 81,170 | 936,809 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 035 | LAKE COUNTY | 134,454 | 1,964,446 | 048 | ORANGE COUNTY | 484,244 | 10,645,780 |
| | ASTATULA TOWN | 436 | 8,118 | | APPOKA CITY | 26,144 | 395,494 |
| | CLEHMONT CITY | 14,173 | 223,541 | | BELLE ISLE CITY | 7,254 | 36,154 |
| | EUSTIS CITY | 24,050 | 451,102 | | FATONVILLE TOWN | 13,169 | 177,371 |
| | FRUITLAND PARK TOWN | 3,975 | 49,472 | | HAITLAND CITY | 14,971 | 207,191 |
| | GROVELAND CITY | 6,122 | 130,394 | | OAKLAND TOWN | 2,463 | 32,245 |
| | MONEY IN THE HILLS TOWN | 2,245 | 40,373 | | OCOCHEE CITY | 19,149 | 309,252 |
| | LADY LAKE TOWN | 603 | 13,491 | | ORLANDO CITY | 555,000 | 7,452,417 |
| | LEESBURG CITY | 27,457 | 504,819 | | WINDERMERE TOWN | 1,555 | 15,441 |
| | MASCOTTE CITY | 3,706 | 74,441 | | WINTER GARDEN CITY | 21,947 | 350,243 |
| | MINNEOLA TOWN | 4,302 | 72,031 | | WINTER PARK CITY | 53,023 | 434,904 |
| | MONTVERDE TOWN | 506 | 7,496 | | RAY LAKE CITY | NO PAY DUE | 1,474 |
| | MOUNT DORA CITY | 13,208 | 194,569 | | LAKE RUENA VISTA CITY | NO PAY DUE | 692 |

| | PAYMENT | TO DATE | CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| EDGEWOOD TOWN | 2,869 | 37,392 | | LAKELAND CITY | 149,575 | 2,431,274 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,626,981 | 20,501,123 | | LAKE WALES CITY | 42,069 | 721,044 |
| 049 OSCEOLA COUNTY | 132,874 | 1,484,490 | | MULBERRY CITY | 10,783 | 160,974 |
| KISSIMMEE CITY | 50,913 | 644,649 | | POLK CITY TOWN | 307 | 2,940 |
| ST CLOUD CITY | 23,809 | 332,836 | | WINTER HAVEN CITY | 88,225 | 1,335,704 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 207,596 | 2,467,975 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,186,242 | 17,071,823 |
| 050 PALM BEACH COUNTY | 740,517 | 8,823,104 | | 054 PUTNAM COUNTY | 159,980 | 1,974,130 |
| BELLE GLADE CITY | 80,066 | 1,392,171 | | CRESCENT CITY CITY | 6,431 | 160,121 |
| BOCA RATON CITY | 71,084 | 844,295 | | INTERLACHEN TOWN | 2,617 | 23,194 |
| BOYNTON BEACH CITY | 68,839 | 942,984 | | PALATKA CITY | 49,075 | 852,191 |
| CLOUD LAKE TOWN | 127 | 4,211 | | POMONA PARK TOWN | 1,890 | 32,500 |
| DELRAY BEACH CITY | 85,420 | 1,054,057 | | WELAKA TOWN | 769 | 30,127 |
| GLEN RIDGE TOWN | 207 | 2,943 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 220,762 | 3,074,263 |
| GREENACRES CITY TOWN | 8,656 | 85,642 | | 055 ST JOHN COUNTY | 121,067 | 1,502,944 |
| GULF STREAM TOWN | 2,711 | 37,117 | | HASTINGS TOWN | 4,636 | 37,545 |
| HAYERMILL TOWN | 895 | 13,902 | | ST AUGUSTINE CITY | 76,833 | 1,202,664 |
| HIGHLAND BEACH TOWN | 3,816 | 42,128 | | ST AUGUSTINE BEACH TOWN | 2,052 | 16,799 |
| JUPITER TOWN | 6,239 | 74,185 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 204,588 | 2,760,052 |
| LAKE PARK TOWN | 15,882 | 243,519 | | 056 ST LUCIE COUNTY | 279,187 | 3,141,395 |
| LAKE WORTH CITY | 85,905 | 1,276,055 | | FORT PIERCE CITY | 85,529 | 1,780,531 |
| LANTANA TOWN | 16,890 | 315,119 | | ST LUCIE VILLAGE | 1,470 | 5,954 |
| MANALAPAN TOWN | 1,483 | 20,377 | | PORT ST LUCIE CITY | 6,384 | 40,793 |
| MANGONIA PARK TOWN | 4,020 | 49,170 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 371,570 | 4,968,673 |
| OCEAN RIDGE TOWN | 1,660 | 16,287 | | 057 SANTA ROSA COUNTY | 84,158 | 1,111,387 |
| PANOSKEE CITY | 13,479 | 304,392 | | JAY TOWN | 1,888 | 33,285 |
| PALM BEACH TOWN | 12,128 | 130,648 | | MILTON TOWN | 17,293 | 372,873 |
| PALM BCH SHORES TOWN | 1,632 | 26,700 | | GULF BREEZE CITY | 4,784 | 68,854 |
| RIVIERA BEACH CITY | 147,040 | 1,852,540 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 108,123 | 1,586,404 |
| SOUTH BAY TOWN | 10,675 | 195,497 | | 058 SARASOTA COUNTY | 296,579 | 3,602,079 |
| WEST PALM BEACH CITY | 277,532 | 3,934,116 | | SARASOTA CITY | 204,463 | 2,708,234 |
| GOLF VILLAGE | 357 | 4,959 | | VENICE CITY | 40,481 | 578,826 |
| LAKE CLARKE SHORES TOWN | 2,326 | 31,922 | | NORTH PORT CITY | 12,618 | 102,977 |
| NORTH PALM BEACH VILLAGE | 17,351 | 210,822 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 554,141 | 6,992,120 |
| PALM SPRINGS VILLAGE | 10,545 | 133,616 | | 059 SEMINOLE COUNTY | 250,291 | 2,336,911 |
| TEQUESTA VILLAGE | 3,319 | 54,899 | | ALTAMONTE SPRINGS CITY | 17,235 | 146,395 |
| ATLANTIS CITY | 3,628 | 42,428 | | CASSELBERRY CITY | 17,048 | 181,717 |
| GOLFVIEW TOWN | 190 | 2,734 | | LONGWOOD CITY | 10,265 | 141,866 |
| HYPOLEXO TOWN | 320 | 4,576 | | OYIEDO CITY | 5,680 | 112,694 |
| JUNO BEACH TOWN | 904 | 10,562 | | SANFORD CITY | 86,005 | 1,390,924 |
| JUPITER INLET COLONY TOWN | 931 | 13,882 | | WINTER SPRINGS CITY | 6,678 | 49,717 |
| PALM BEACH GARDENS | 22,255 | 264,549 | | LAKE MARY CITY | 3,605 | 20,687 |
| ROYAL PALM BEACH VILLAGE | 4,379 | 41,923 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 396,807 | 4,380,910 |
| SO PALM BEACH TOWN | 1,534 | 14,424 | | 060 SUMTER COUNTY | 54,130 | 575,317 |
| BRINY BREEZES TOWN | 568 | 6,771 | | BUSHNELL CITY | 3,532 | 64,493 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,724,912 | 22,515,706 | | CENTER HILL CITY | 2,586 | 38,680 |
| 051 PASCO COUNTY | 306,857 | 2,653,987 | | COLEMAN CITY | 1,680 | 31,727 |
| DADE CITY | 26,535 | 411,237 | | WESTER TOWN | 5,036 | 72,432 |
| NEW PORT RICHEY CITY | 31,670 | 564,449 | | WILDWOOD CITY | 11,751 | 189,947 |
| PORT RICHEY CITY | 14,992 | 118,405 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 78,715 | 970,981 |
| ST LEO TOWN | 818 | 14,625 | | 061 SUWANNEE COUNTY | 47,307 | 681,122 |
| SAN ANTONIO CITY | 1,112 | 28,920 | | BRANFORD TOWN | 3,111 | 59,079 |
| ZEPHYRHILLS CITY | 13,012 | 239,391 | | LIVE OAK CITY | 24,545 | 462,082 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 394,996 | 4,034,414 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 74,963 | 1,202,243 |
| 052 PINELLAS COUNTY | 788,040 | 11,910,535 | | 062 TAYLOR COUNTY | 33,788 | 525,734 |
| BELLEAIR TOWN | 3,090 | 45,944 | | PERRY CITY | 24,248 | 539,282 |
| BELLEAIR BEACH TOWN | 1,076 | 13,304 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 58,036 | 1,065,014 |
| BELLEAIR SHOPE TOWN | 192 | 2,747 | | 063 UNION COUNTY | 19,815 | 265,705 |
| CLEARWATER CITY | 206,820 | 3,007,480 | | LAKE BUTLER CITY | 9,031 | 131,910 |
| DUNEDIN CITY | 43,442 | 594,165 | | WORTHINGTON SPRINGS TOWN | 322 | 10,000 |
| GULFPORT CITY | 24,285 | 392,472 | | RAIFORD TOWN | 154 | 7,697 |
| INDIAN ROCKS BEACH CITY | 4,702 | 52,679 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 29,324 | 415,317 |
| INDIAN SHORES TOWN | 2,099 | 17,652 | | 064 VOLUSIA COUNTY | 411,934 | 6,541,787 |
| LARGO CITY | 69,411 | 852,317 | | DAYTONA BEACH CITY | 244,935 | 4,082,954 |
| MADEIRA BEACH CITY | 12,697 | 250,355 | | DE LAND CITY | 60,586 | 981,743 |
| N REDDINGTON BEACH TOWN | 1,220 | 13,322 | | EDGEWATER CITY | 12,560 | 172,243 |
| OLDSMAR CITY | 4,817 | 70,596 | | HOLLY HILL CITY | 29,747 | 463,423 |
| PINELLAS PARK CITY | 98,602 | 1,265,039 | | LAKE HELEN CITY | 1,364 | 24,016 |
| REDDINGTON BEACH TOWN | 1,549 | 21,640 | | NEW SHYRNA BEACH CITY | 45,454 | 730,604 |
| REDDINGTON SHORES TOWN | 3,081 | 40,775 | | ORANGE CITY TOWN | 3,265 | 48,154 |
| SAFETY HARBOR CITY | 14,411 | 217,587 | | ORMOND BEACH CITY | 32,407 | 492,747 |
| ST PETERSBURG CITY | 707,144 | 12,482,081 | | PIERSON TOWN | 644 | 19,043 |
| ST PETERSBURG BEACH CITY | 26,650 | 370,322 | | PORT ORANGE CITY | 20,162 | 315,652 |
| SOUTH PASADENA CITY | 3,238 | 37,496 | | SOUTH DAYTONA CITY | 14,317 | 198,999 |
| TARPON SPRINGS CITY | 35,177 | 593,742 | | OAK HILL CITY | 1,041 | 24,592 |
| TREASURE ISLAND CITY | 11,960 | 157,325 | | DAYTONA BEACH | 5,180 | 75,583 |
| KENNETH CITY TOWN | 3,979 | 112,087 | | PONCE INLET TOWN | 2,869 | 20,434 |
| BELLEAIR BLUFFS CITY | 2,044 | 26,447 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 886,483 | 14,190,374 |
| SEMINOLE CITY | 4,184 | 112,445 | | 065 WAKULLA COUNTY | 27,097 | 293,357 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,073,940 | 31,755,678 | | SOPCHOPPY CITY | 557 | 21,040 |
| 053 POLK COUNTY | 720,918 | 9,563,192 | | ST MARKS TOWN | 1,990 | 23,731 |
| AUBURNDALE CITY | 25,466 | 384,595 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 29,644 | 334,124 |
| BARTON CITY | 36,919 | 625,391 | | 066 WALTON COUNTY | 51,427 | 732,841 |
| DAVENPORT TOWN | 5,329 | 84,594 | | DE FUNIAK SPRINGS TOWN | 14,036 | 314,664 |
| DUNDEE TOWN | 5,773 | 117,900 | | PAXTON TOWN | 223 | 13,193 |
| EAGLE LAKE TOWN | 5,246 | 84,360 | | | | |
| FORT MEADE CITY | 14,577 | 291,350 | | | | |
| FROSTPROOF CITY | 8,126 | 150,085 | | | | |
| HAINES CITY CITY | 58,339 | 877,268 | | | | |
| HIGHLAND PARK VILLAGE | 613 | 8,700 | | | | |
| HILLCREST HEIGHTS | 325 | 3,417 | | | | |
| LAKE ALFRED CITY | 11,861 | 199,792 | | | | |
| LAKE HAMILTON TOWN | 1,794 | 26,176 | | | | |

| COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE | COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | FREEMONT CITY | 606 | 12,120 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 70,294 | 1,074,918 | | | | |
| 7 | WASHINGTON COUNTY | 37,804 | 444,979 | | | | |
| | CHIPLEY TOWN | 15,500 | 247,095 | | | | |
| | VERNON CITY | 651 | 17,062 | | | | |
| | CARYVILLE TOWN | 670 | 15,879 | | | | |
| | WASAU TOWN | 255 | 4,058 | | | | |
| | EBRO TOWN | 737 | 10,899 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 55,625 | 775,642 | | | | |
| ** STATE TOTAL ** | | 60,174,424 | 697,614,130 | | | | |
| NUMRER PAID | | 440 | | | | | |

----- GOVERNMENTS NOT PAID -----

| REASON | NUMBER | AMOUNT |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| REPORT | 3 | 5,336 |
| DUE TRUST FUND | 1 | |
| ORS HOLD | 0 | |
| WAIVED | 1 | |
| NO PAY DUE | 7 | |
| *TOTAL* | 12 | 5,336 |

D

GENERAL REVENUE SHARING PAYMENTS

FLORIDA CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

5th District -- Richard Kelly (R-Holiday)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 35,013,013.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 52,763,484.00

6th District -- C. W. (Bill) Young (R-St. Petersburg)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 34,856,822.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 44,183,757.00

9th District -- Louis Frey, Jr. (R-Winter Park)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 35,000,284.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 52,364,047.00

10th District -- L. A. (Skip) Bafalis (R-Ft. Myers Beach)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 41,914,511.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 63,760,457.00

11th District -- Paul G. Rogers (D-West Palm Beach)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 35,458,241.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 52,247,173.00

12th District -- J. Herbert Burke (R-Hollywood)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 30,461,983.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 42,184,629.00

13th District -- William Lehman (D-N. Miami Beach)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 37,960,952.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 54,438,477.00

14th District -- Claude Pepper (D-Miami)


Total Under Existing Program \$ 65,174,484.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 91,055,746.00

15th District -- Dante B. Fascell (D-Miami)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 36,329,511.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 51,819,768.00



| | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| ALABAMA | 132,138,051 | 99,051,891 | 165,441,293 | | 396,631,235 |
| ALASKA | 10,373,892 | 8,433,387 | 12,041,202 | 585,458 | 31,453,939 |
| ARIZONA | 78,869,586 | 62,546,527 | 87,443,328 | 8,545,312 | 237,804,753 |
| ARKANSAS | 86,009,940 | 88,423,575 | 70,252,562 | | 244,686,077 |
| CALIFORNIA | 834,690,872 | 999,837,278 | 669,032,611 | 543,748 | 2,504,104,509 |
| COLORADO | 82,906,807 | 58,205,186 | 107,526,029 | 154,582 | 248,792,604 |
| CONNECTICUT | 100,554,144 | | 106,424,457 | 94,742,598 | 301,821,203 |
| DELAWARE | 26,498,596 | 26,536,575 | 17,781,280 | | 70,816,451 |
| DIST OF COLUMBIA | 104,339,491 | | | | 104,339,491 |
| FLORIDA | 232,361,475 | 209,572,961 | 255,556,063 | 45,631 | 697,614,130 |
| GEORGIA | 164,406,509 | 189,119,910 | 139,470,601 | | 492,997,020 |
| HAWAII | 34,633,054 | 17,393,139 | 51,872,966 | | 103,899,159 |
| IDAHO | 31,599,338 | 36,338,274 | 26,520,718 | 347,711 | 94,806,041 |
| ILLINOIS | 401,064,855 | 178,799,515 | 422,767,676 | 105,468,267 | 1,108,100,313 |
| INDIANA | 165,533,211 | 112,730,220 | 174,219,950 | 40,089,550 | 496,572,931 |
| IOWA | 109,845,529 | 127,851,506 | 91,940,223 | 48,064 | 329,725,322 |
| KANSAS | 74,959,850 | 75,993,947 | 65,849,239 | 8,139,569 | 224,811,860 |
| KENTUCKY | 146,336,143 | 110,421,540 | 127,534,318 | 29,215 | 384,292,041 |
| LOUISIANA | 181,134,744 | 144,598,346 | 210,506,282 | 24,251 | 536,263,623 |
| MAINE | 48,243,329 | 6,446,124 | 39,913,283 | 49,927,639 | 144,792,280 |
| MARYLAND | 155,680,603 | 182,825,408 | 128,919,990 | | 467,426,001 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 249,133,570 | 28,631,693 | 279,277,763 | 191,409,241 | 748,402,307 |
| MICHIGAN | 333,220,517 | 195,484,180 | 410,321,240 | 60,720,339 | 999,854,471 |
| MINNESOTA | 156,833,237 | 167,713,131 | 126,516,363 | 19,189,992 | 471,198,255 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 132,133,055 | 160,673,601 | 89,801,891 | | 381,978,442 |
| MISSOURI | 147,971,782 | 98,057,724 | 190,964,584 | 6,594,159 | 443,608,249 |
| MONTANA | 30,853,181 | 41,817,265 | 18,444,493 | | 92,558,949 |
| NEBRASKA | 55,728,272 | 55,464,943 | 52,233,120 | 3,503,437 | 167,169,918 |
| NEVADA | 17,379,909 | 21,775,726 | 12,708,492 | | 52,135,475 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 25,082,287 | 4,580,754 | 23,702,591 | 19,947,356 | 75,312,912 |
| NEW JERSEY | 244,520,491 | 172,060,069 | 214,544,522 | 102,447,058 | 739,772,140 |
| NEW MEXICO | 50,928,035 | 40,916,754 | 49,896,046 | | 148,896,974 |
| NEW YORK | 878,407,733 | 378,364,737 | 1,190,666,830 | 186,062,003 | 2,634,001,273 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 200,097,018 | 215,681,172 | 144,741,806 | | 660,979,575 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 30,043,666 | 31,005,071 | 20,055,932 | 7,774,598 | 90,089,945 |
| OHIO | 314,578,290 | 199,410,473 | 364,587,422 | 61,094,608 | 943,670,793 |
| OKLAHOMA | 47,905,384 | 63,605,499 | 110,498,371 | | 263,695,697 |
| OREGON | 78,635,780 | 59,765,549 | 97,249,949 | | 235,907,708 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 413,412,424 | 233,131,891 | 460,476,617 | 133,384,052 | 1,240,755,772 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 35,163,292 | | 49,438,510 | 29,488,050 | 105,489,852 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 110,206,593 | 113,850,207 | 100,281,526 | | 324,338,326 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 34,287,872 | 39,552,052 | 21,453,625 | 4,819,695 | 103,121,142 |
| TENNESSEE | 148,149,552 | 127,942,216 | 170,900,433 | | 447,012,201 |
| TEXAS | 374,231,527 | 279,915,790 | 467,053,487 | 72,453 | 1,121,273,257 |
| UTAH | 48,339,292 | 45,860,929 | 45,999,950 | | 139,015,720 |
| VERMONT | 22,389,070 | 539,607 | 15,419,228 | 28,909,904 | 67,257,809 |
| VIRGINIA | 156,105,423 | 116,377,401 | 196,126,158 | | 468,611,072 |
| WASHINGTON | 113,540,584 | 101,568,945 | 124,551,532 | 3,401 | 340,627,940 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 98,732,695 | 60,625,376 | 70,146,536 | | 229,504,637 |
| WISCONSIN | 197,729,088 | 195,506,934 | 164,499,084 | 31,146,791 | 589,581,497 |
| MISSOURI | 14,229,947 | 20,627,071 | 7,470,416 | | 42,640,544 |
| NATIONAL TOTALS | 4,022,209,704 | 4,004,824,153 | 4,117,612,472 | 1,174,144,257 | 13,321,633 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------|----|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| ALABAMA | 1 | 67 | 410 | | 478 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 9 | 134 | 87 | 231 |
| ARIZONA | 3 | 14 | 67 | 18 | 100 |
| ARKANSAS | 4 | 75 | 464 | | 540 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5 | 57 | 611 | 57 | 576 |
| COLORADO | 6 | 62 | 260 | 2 | 325 |
| CONNECTICUT | 7 | | 33 | 149 | 193 |
| DELAWARE | 8 | 3 | 54 | | 58 |
| DIST OF COLUMBIA | 9 | | | | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 10 | 66 | 389 | 2 | 454 |
| GEORGIA | 11 | 158 | 529 | | 688 |
| HAWAII | 12 | 3 | 1 | | 5 |
| IDAHO | 13 | 44 | 199 | 5 | 249 |
| ILLINOIS | 14 | 102 | 1,270 | 1,436 | 2,809 |
| INDIANA | 15 | 91 | 563 | 1,008 | 1,663 |
| IOWA | 16 | 99 | 454 | 1 | 1,055 |
| KANSAS | 17 | 105 | 627 | 1,455 | 2,192 |
| KENTUCKY | 18 | 120 | 403 | | 524 |
| LOUISIANA | 19 | 62 | 296 | 1 | 360 |
| MAINE | 20 | 16 | 22 | 474 | 516 |
| MARYLAND | 21 | 23 | 151 | | 175 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 22 | 12 | 39 | 312 | 364 |
| MICHIGAN | 23 | 83 | 531 | 1,245 | 1,865 |
| MINNESOTA | 24 | 87 | 455 | 1,794 | 2,749 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 25 | 82 | 281 | 1 | 365 |
| MISSOURI | 26 | 114 | 905 | 326 | 1,346 |
| MONTANA | 27 | 56 | 126 | | 191 |
| NEBRASKA | 28 | 93 | 434 | 477 | 1,104 |
| NEVADA | 29 | 16 | 17 | | 51 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 30 | 10 | 13 | 221 | 245 |
| NEW JERSEY | 31 | 21 | 135 | 232 | 589 |
| NEW MEXICO | 32 | 32 | 43 | | 148 |
| NEW YORK | 33 | 57 | 618 | 929 | 1,613 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 34 | 100 | 465 | | 567 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 35 | 53 | 359 | 1,361 | 1,779 |
| OHIO | 36 | 88 | 435 | 1,320 | 2,344 |
| OKLAHOMA | 37 | 77 | 561 | | 664 |
| OREGON | 38 | 36 | 238 | | 279 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 39 | 66 | 1,013 | 1,549 | 2,630 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 40 | | 8 | 31 | 40 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 41 | 46 | 262 | | 309 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 42 | 67 | 310 | 1,022 | 1,409 |
| TENNESSEE | 43 | 94 | 323 | | 418 |
| TEXAS | 44 | 254 | 1,040 | | 1,297 |
| UTAH | 45 | 29 | 215 | | 250 |
| VERMONT | 46 | 14 | 57 | 237 | 309 |
| VIRGINIA | 47 | 95 | 229 | | 327 |
| WASHINGTON | 48 | 39 | 265 | | 327 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 49 | 55 | 227 | | 283 |
| WISCONSIN | 50 | 72 | 475 | 1,270 | 1,928 |
| WYOMING | 51 | 23 | 89 | | 115 |
| NATIONAL TOTALS | 51 | 3,647 | 18,755 | 16,848 | 39,244 |

POLITICAL OUTLOOK

Q: The polls show that you are in a tough race with Ronald Reagan in New Hampshire and other primary states. If you lose New Hampshire and other early primaries won't that make it impossible for you to win the nomination?

A: I believe the momentum of the campaign has changed. My campaign is going well and is getting better every day. I was encouraged by my trips to New Hampshire and Florida and by the reaction of the crowds I met.

I think I will do well in New Hampshire, in Florida, and in other early primaries.

But regardless of how those primaries turn out, I want you to know that I am firmly committed to be at the Republican convention in Kansas City in August. The roll call in Kansas City is the vote that really counts and I expect to win that one. And I also expect to go on to win the election in November.

REAGAN/POLITICAL

REAGAN

- Q. Since you are an incumbent President with all the power and publicity that goes with that office, isn't it embarrassing and probably fatal to your chances that you are running no better than you are against Ronald Reagan?
- A. Where did you get the impression that I am not running well?

DIFFERENCES WITH REAGAN

- Q. You told us several weeks ago that there is no basic philosophical difference between you and Ronald Reagan. But in Florida you said Reagan was too extreme to be elected in November. Why have you changed your position?
- A. The former Governor does seem to share many of the broad philosophical positions I have taken over the past 27 years in Congress, as Vice President, and now that I am President. Our differences come in the ways that these broad philosophical positions are translated into day-to-day policies and decisions.

I am more moderate. And, having had 18 months of experience here in the White House making the hard decisions that a President must make day in and day out, on top of my quarter century of experience in Congress, I know how the Federal Government operates.

Let me give you a few examples of how I believe the former Governor and I differ on important matters of policy:

- He would solve some structural problems of the Social Security System by making social security voluntary and investing the social security trust fund in the stock market. Anyone who knows, recognizes that that solution is totally unrealistic. I want to strengthen social security. I would make the social security system financially sound so that by raising contributions to their proper levels all Americans are assured of the social security payments they have counted on their working lives. And I want to give all retired Americans the full cost of living increase on their social security this year.
- The former Governor would solve the problem of the Federal Government being remote from the people by abolishing \$90 billion in federal programs. These are the programs which directly help individual Americans and our cities and states. Former Gov. Reagan wants to turn the problems back to the states and require the states to raise their own money to pay for these programs. As any already overburdened State taxpayer can tell you that's ridiculous. My approach meets the problem in a realistic way. I would continue to use the broad-based taxing power of the Federal Government to raise money,

but I would then use revenue sharing and bloc grants to get the money back to local communities with virtually no "handling fee" so that citizens all over the country can solve their problems in the way best suited to their own local conditions.

- One of former Governor Reagan's major supporters in New Hampshire has said that the 14th amendment to our Constitution, or part of it, which guarantees equal justice under the law, should be repealed. Now former Governor Reagan won't flatly say whether or not he agrees with that major supporter. But I do not believe my positions have been so immoderate as to attract that kind of unrealistic extremist.

- And finally, I have had day in and day out experience for 18 months dealing with the extremely complex foreign policy issues which can make the difference between peace and nuclear holocaust. I know the realities of international relations. I know that these life and death foreign policy issues are too important and too complex to be treated like a barroom shoot-out in a Western movie.

REAGAN ATTACK ON FOREIGN POLICY

Q. Ronald Reagan has launched a broadscale attack on your foreign policy, suggesting in effect that your Administration is too soft on communism. How do you respond to these charges?

A. Rather than comment on the details of every charge hurled at us by political candidates of either party, let me make a general comment about critics of our foreign policy.

I find the strongest criticism comes from people at the extremes: on one extreme are those who want us to turn tail and run from every challenge. They are the remnants of what used to be called the "bug-out brigade", and we have seen them rise up in force on the Angola issue.

On the other extreme are those who want us to present a much more belligerent face to the world. My 18 months of dealing with life and death foreign policy issues in the Oval Office has made me realize that those who sound "trigger happy" when they start talking about nuclear weapons have a dangerous and simplistic view of the world.

The dangers of nuclear holocaust and the spread of nuclear weapons are so strong today that we must continue negotiating with our adversaries for a mutual reduction in the arms race. That challenge may not seem so urgent today because our nation is at peace, but the last thing I want to wish upon our children is a return to the worst days of the Cold War. That is why I am pursuing a strong, balanced foreign policy--and to be candid about it, I think that is the main reason we are at peace today.

FOREIGN

ANGOLA

Q: Why have you persisted in blaming Congress for not letting the United States get involved in another Vietnam in Angola? Why do you want to drag the United States into that civil war where we have no interest at all?

A: Angola is important for two reasons: First, I felt that it was important to stand by our historic commitment to helping people who want to choose their own form of government without having a government imposed on them by armed forces from other countries far outside their borders. That is a position of morality and it is something America has always stood for and should continue to stand for.

Secondly and perhaps more important, I felt it was vital to let the Russians and the Cubans know that we would not tolerate military expansion in an area where they have no legitimate interest.

Unfortunately a majority of the Members of Congress lost their guts and tied my hands so that we could do little more than watch helplessly as Russian and Cuban military forces denied the people of Angola the right to choose the kind of government they wanted. The real damage Congress has done is to send Russia and Cuba a signal that may encourage them to try military expansion in another country of Africa or indeed, in another country somewhere else in the world. Weakness does not bring peace. Weakness only encourages Russia and Cuba to believe that they can expand their influence with military force somewhere else. Eventually we will have to face up to the question of where do we take a stand and say, "no more".

However, I am hopeful that Congress has learned a lesson from this experience and the next time Russia and Cuba try military expansion I believe Congress will do the proper thing and join me in efforts to stop that expansion.

Q: What did you mean when you said that you would come to the aid of Angola if Russia and Cuba tried to "colonize" it?

CUBA

- Q. What are the prospects for improvement in our relations with Cuba in view of its intervention in the Angola conflict?
- A. Cuba's unjustified involvement in the domestic affairs of other nations, such as their encouragement of the Independence movement in Puerto Rico and, particularly, their massive military troupe involvement in the Angola conflict thousands of miles from Havana, is simply incompatible with lessening tensions and improving relations. The Cubans have sent over 10,000 troops to Angola, involving themselves in what should be purely an internal Angolan matter.

I flatly rule out the possibility of any improvement in relations between ourselves and Cuba under these circumstances.

KISSINGER TRIP TO LATIN AMERICA

Q. Secretary Kissinger is traveling to Latin America at a time when Cuban troops are heavily involved in Angola. Is one of the purposes of the visit to consolidate OAS support against the Cuban Government and to urge a freeze on any progress toward normalization of relations with Cuba?

A. The primary purpose of the Secretary's trip is to reaffirm the importance we attach to our relationship with Latin America and to continue to strengthen that relationship. In addition, the Secretary will be stopping in Guatemala to offer our continued assistance to that disaster-stricken area. This trip is not designed to generate a crusade against Cuba. At the same time, it is clear that there is no chance to improve relations with Cuba in view of that government's actions in Puerto Rico and its involvement in Angola.

RELATIONS WITH THE SOVIET UNION

Q: Isn't it inconsistent for you to denounce the Soviet Union for their involvement with Angola but at the same time negotiate a SALT II agreement with the Russians?

A: No, there is no inconsistency.

The only way we can have peace is through strength. That means standing up to the Russians whenever they try to expand their influence by military means or whenever they take any action which raises tension in the world and threatens world peace. We must be strong in order to stand up to the Russians and that is one reason I am so disappointed that Congress tied my hands and prevented the United States from helping its friends in Africa to oppose Russian and Cuban military activities in Angola.

On the other hand, I believe however we can reach a SALT II agreement with the Russians that would be in our own interest and would reduce the threat of a nuclear holocaust. It is my obligation to pursue those negotiations. The preliminary agreement I reached with General Secretary Brezhnev in Vladivostok allowed the United States to keep all or most of its missiles, submarines, and bombers. On the other hand, it required the Russians to cut back considerably on their plans for a nuclear arsenal. There was tough negotiating, and I believe we got a good bargain.

Incidentally, if we don't reach a SALT II agreement with the Russians, I will have to ask the Congress for at least \$20 billion for new weapons because the nuclear arms race surely would be renewed and we would have to keep up with the Soviet Union.

Let me say a word about those who suggest that we should not pursue a policy of reducing tensions and the threat of war when it is not in our own interest, who say we should not pursue this policy which has been given the name "detente":

In my 18 months of day in and day out experience dealing with life and death issues of foreign policy, I have found that these issues are extremely complex. They cannot be dealt with in a simplistic way or with a gun slingers' mentality. I know it is tempting for some to look on complex foreign policy issues as a kind of "shoot-out at OK corral!"

But we live in an extremely complex and dangerous world and if we try to go back to the dark and dangerous days of confrontation of the cold war, if some people get an itchy trigger finger, the chance of war increases and this period of peace in which we are now living will be endangered.

REVENUE SHARING



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 11, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR

FROM

SUBJECT:

Paul Baker
JIM SHUMAN
PAUL BAKER

General Revenue Sharing
Payments -- Florida

Attached for your use and information are the briefing materials on General Revenue Sharing payments to Florida. The charts for the President's use, as well as copies for distribution, will be aboard the car plane.

Attachments

cc: Jim Cavanaugh

GENERAL REVENUE SHARING PAYMENTS

FLORIDA

- TAB A -- Selected Data on Florida
- TAB B -- Memorandum on Miami Civil Rights Situation
- TAB C -- Payments through January 5, 1976 for FLORIDA (including all local jurisdictions)
- TAB D -- Payments by FLORIDA Congressional Districts with projection of estimated payments under President's proposed renewal legislation.
- TAB E -- Payments through January 5, 1976 for each State

FLORIDA

- I. To date, the State of Florida has received more than \$697.6 million in General Revenue Sharing payments. These funds have gone directly to the State government, 66 counties, 389 municipalities and 2 Indian tribes. By December, 1976, these units of government will have received over \$902 million under this program.

Table I -- Payments Under Existing Program (in millions)

| | Total State-wide | State Gov't. | Counties | Municipalities | Indian Tribes |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| To date | \$ 697.6 | \$ 232.3 | \$ 209.6 | \$ 255.6 | \$.085 |
| By 12/31/76 (estimate) | \$ 902.1 | \$ 300.5 | \$ 275.7 | \$ 325.6 | \$.108 |

- II. Under the President's proposed legislation to continue the General Revenue Sharing program from January, 1977 until September, 1982, the State of Florida would receive approximately \$1.25 billion.

Table II -- Projected Payments Under President's Legislation
(in millions)

| Total State-wide | State Gov't. | Counties | Municipalities | Indian Tribes |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| \$1,246.4 | \$ 415.5 | \$ 406.3 | \$ 424.5 | \$.151 |

III. The following information concerns selected areas in the State of Florida.

Table III -- General Revenue Sharing Payments to Selected Counties and Cities
(in millions)

| Jurisdiction | Payment to Date | Total (Existing Program thru 12/31/76) | Projected Under President's Legislation |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|---|
| Orange County | \$ 10.4 | \$ 13.8 | \$ 21.8 |
| Orlando | 7.6 | 9.9 | 14.4 |
| -- | | | |
| Broward County | 8.1 | 10.6 | 15.2 |
| Ft. Lauderdale | 6.6 | 8.6 | 12.0 |
| -- | | | |
| Pinellas County | 11.0 | 14.1 | 19.5 |
| St. Petersburg | 12.4 | 15.3 | 17.4 |
| -- | | | |
| Lee County | 4.3 | 6.0 | 10.0 |
| Ft. Myers | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| -- | | | |
| Dade County | 51.9 | 68.7 | 101.7 |
| Miami | 31.7 | 40.5 | 53.8 |
| Miami Beach | 5.0 | 6.5 | 9.1 |

Table III -- General Revenue Sharing Payments to Selected Counties and Cities
(in millions)

| Jurisdiction | Payment to Date | Total (Existing Program thru 12/31/76) | Projected Under President's Legislation |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| Palm Beach County | \$ 8.8 | \$ 11.8 | \$ 18.3 |

IV. The Following Statement on the Impact of General Revenue Sharing on the State of Florida was Submitted by the State to the National Governor's Conference.

"For the period, 1972-73 through 1974-75, Florida has received \$182,940,956 in Federal Revenue Sharing funds. Through the management of these funds we were able to earn \$14,112,089 in interest.

"There has been a deficit in the classroom needs in the K-12 Program in Florida for several years. Governor Askew as a result of this need made the decision at the time Federal Revenue Sharing was first enacted to dedicate all revenue from this source to the elimination of this classroom deficit. All of these funds, with the exception of approximately \$28,000,000, has been appropriated by the Legislature for that purpose. The Governor in his recommendations to the Legislature for 1975-76 provided that the \$75,000,000 to be available in this year would continue to be dedicated to the classroom construction program. However, the economic situation was such that the Legislature did not feel it could agree with this recommendation. Therefore, it appropriated some \$62,000,000 from this source to operation of the K-12 Program in lieu of providing funds for fixed capital outlay purposes. The remaining \$13,000,000 was appropriated to the recurring costs at the state level.

"The fact that Federal Revenue Sharing funds for 1975-76 have been appropriated for recurring costs programs means that the entire character of these funds has changed and any reduction in these funds will have a significant impact on the school situation in this state. The \$75,000,000 represents about 3.4% of our general revenue budget. More important, however, is the fact that the \$62,000,000 for the operation of the K-12 Program represents about 6% of that budget. This simply means that the State of Florida will be faced with several options in the event the Federal Revenue Sharing Program is discontinued and none of these are desirable. These options are (1) increase taxes to offset the loss of revenue from this source; (2) reduce expenditures primarily in the human services area; (3) increase local property taxes to offset the loss to the school program; (4) decrease level of funding for the K-12 Program."

ording to the most recent reports fi with the Treasury Department (covering period July 1974-June 1975), the selected jurisdictions noted below indicates that their revenue sharing funds were used to provide a wide range of public services, including public safety, social services, health, transportation, recreation, housing and libraries.

Table IV -- Sample of Actual Uses of General Revenue Sharing Payments
(July 1974-June 1975)

| <u>Jurisdiction</u> | <u>Actual Use</u> |
|---------------------|--|
| Orange County | \$ 134,080 for social services to aged and poor 135,403 for public safety 350,000 for environmental protection |
| Orlando | 750,000 for transportation 660,887 for environmental protection 701,106 for public safety |
| Broward County | \$ 969,560 for public safety 469,702 for transportation 2,080,844 for libraries |
| Ft. Lauderdale | 521,383 for libraries 546,384 for transportation 158,719 for public safety 917,480 for recreation |
| Pinellas County | \$ 460,629 for health 117,062 for social services to aged and poor |
| St. Petersburg | 950,000 for housing and community development 400,000 for social services to aged and poor 346,478 for recreation 2,875,895 for public safety |
| Lee County | \$ 932,518 for transportation 286,846 for health 470,043 for recreation |
| Ft. Myers | 52.395 for social services to aged and poor |

Continued:

Table IV -- Sample of Actual Uses of General Revenue Sharing Payments
(July 1974-June 1975)

| <u>Jurisdiction</u> | <u>Actual Use</u> |
|---------------------|---|
| Dade County | \$1,592,756 for public safety 1,000,000 for environmental protection 2,642,235 for transportation 7,225,797 for health 3,663,656 for social services to aged and poor |
| Miami | 1,096,544 for social services to aged and poor 4,557,142 for public safety 1,821,003 for environmental protection |
| Miami Beach | 845,275 for public safety 89,153 for transportation 58,015 for social services to aged and poor 456,985 for recreation 92,368 for libraries |

B

Miami, Florida, Civil Rights Case

In June 1973, the Treasury Department suspected that the City of Miami might have engaged in employment discrimination in programs funded with General Revenue Sharing funds. In October, 1974, an audit was conducted of the City and statistics revealed that Spanish-speaking Americans were under-represented in relation to their numbers in the City. Simultaneously, Treasury was informed by the Department of Justice that it was conducting an in-depth investigation.

On February 14, 1975, Treasury wrote the City, alleging possible discrimination in employment since 45% of the population is Spanish-speaking but only 5.5% of the City employees are Spanish-speaking.

In June 1975, Justice notified the City of its intent to file suit. Since that time, Justice and Treasury have engaged in joint efforts to negotiate a Consent Decree with the City of Miami.

Negotiations of the Decree were successfully completed and the signed Decree was presented to the Judge on January 5, 1976. The Judge has made some minor changes in language which have to be agreed to by all parties. Justice expects the Decree to be entered by the Judge very shortly.

* * * *

| COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE | COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 10 | STATE OF FLORIDA | 16,722,535 | 232,341,475 | 009 | CITRUS COUNTY | 108,451 | 903,677 |
| 001 | ALACHUA COUNTY | 242,080 | 3,388,732 | | CRYSTAL RIVER CITY | 7,034 | 154,931 |
| | ALACHUA CITY | 3,550 | 123,123 | | INVERNESS CITY | 10,599 | 204,415 |
| | ARCHER CITY | 3,382 | 68,701 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 126,283 | 1,263,023 |
| | BAINESVILLE CITY | 278,010 | 4,242,574 | 010 | CLAY COUNTY | 71,945 | 770,445 |
| | HAWTHORNE CITY | 3,583 | 61,944 | | GREEN COVE SPRINGS CITY | 15,567 | 243,043 |
| | HIGH SPRINGS CITY | 9,440 | 190,776 | | KEYSTONE HEIGHTS CITY | 1,469 | 28,209 |
| | MICANOPY TOWN | 2,079 | 40,687 | | ORANGE PARK TOWN | 11,761 | 182,203 |
| | NEWBERRY CITY | 3,214 | 59,313 | | PENNEY FARMS TOWN | 561 | 7,694 |
| | WALDO CITY | 2,278 | 43,135 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 101,303 | 1,731,674 |
| | LA CROSSE TOWN | 349 | 4,798 | 011 | COLLIER COUNTY | 90,032 | 776,016 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 547,965 | 8,223,803 | | EVERGLADES CITY | 3,554 | 46,342 |
| 002 | BAKER COUNTY | 15,056 | 233,248 | | NAPLES CITY | 47,721 | 581,450 |
| | MACCLENNY CITY | 8,227 | 207,160 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 141,307 | 1,403,804 |
| | BLEW ST MARY TOWN | 661 | 25,953 | 012 | COLUMBIA COUNTY | 55,831 | 757,684 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 23,944 | 466,361 | | FORT WHITE TOWN | 526 | 12,868 |
| 003 | BAY COUNTY | 180,087 | 2,745,451 | | LAKE CITY | 36,860 | 785,419 |
| | CEDAR GROVE TOWN | 1,153 | 19,883 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 93,217 | 1,555,967 |
| | LYNN HAVEN CITY | 13,391 | 234,575 | 013 | DARE COUNTY | 4,131,157 | 51,982,972 |
| | PANAMA CITY | 209,090 | 3,072,295 | | BAL HARBOUR VILLAGE | 3,062 | 46,792 |
| | PANAMA CITY BEACH CITY | 9,890 | 136,143 | | BAY HARBOUR ISLANDS TOWN | 4,071 | 62,244 |
| | SPRINGFIELD CITY | 13,500 | 261,158 | | BISCAYNE PARK VILLAGE | 3,170 | 52,432 |
| | CALLAWAY CITY | 4,294 | 48,084 | | CORAL GABLES CITY | 119,330 | 1,533,415 |
| | MEXICO BEACH TOWN | 1,544 | 19,738 | | EL PORTAL VILLAGE | 1,831 | 27,884 |
| | PARKER CITY | 3,914 | 57,167 | | FLORIDA CITY CITY | 29,647 | 490,228 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 436,871 | 6,594,494 | | GOLDEN BEACH TOWN | 820 | 12,498 |
| 004 | BRADFORD COUNTY | 47,558 | 625,589 | | MIALEAH CITY | 371,661 | 4,816,784 |
| | BROOKER TOWN | 327 | 9,857 | | MIALEAH GARDENS TOWN | 1,068 | 23,474 |
| | HAMPTON CITY | 777 | 18,937 | | HOMESTEAD CITY | 52,948 | 884,314 |
| | LANTAY CITY | 1,157 | 32,367 | | INDIAN CREEK VILLAGE | 545 | 8,052 |
| | STARKE CITY | 19,758 | 408,545 | | MEDLEY TOWN | 2,970 | 35,750 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 69,577 | 1,087,275 | | MIAMI CITY | 2,193,829 | 31,759,721 |
| 005 | BREVARD COUNTY | 576,125 | 6,756,804 | | MIAMI BEACH CITY | 371,415 | 5,055,142 |
| | COCOA CITY | 73,094 | 1,015,598 | | MIAMI SHOES VILLAGE | 4,430 | 136,461 |
| | COCOA BEACH CITY | 32,274 | 409,860 | | MIAMI SPRINGS CITY | 24,224 | 364,036 |
| | MELBOURNE CITY | 150,334 | 2,152,205 | | NORTH BAY VILLAGE | 6,602 | 109,543 |
| | MELBOURNE BEACH TOWN | 2,794 | 40,431 | | NORTH MIAMI CITY | 97,438 | 1,354,470 |
| | ROCKLEDGE CITY | 30,483 | 434,874 | | NORTH MIAMI BEACH CITY | 103,575 | 1,496,517 |
| | TITUSVILLE CITY | 112,295 | 1,422,050 | | OPALOCKA CITY | 65,208 | 1,050,540 |
| | MELBOURNE VILLAGE TOWN | 773 | 8,653 | | SOUTH MIAMI CITY | 15,039 | 246,475 |
| | PALM BAY CITY | 18,740 | 184,427 | | SURFSIDE TOWN | 7,560 | 144,569 |
| | SATELLITE BEACH TOWN | 7,601 | 121,721 | | SWEETWATER CITY | 6,156 | 94,007 |
| | INDIALANTIC TOWN | 3,605 | 49,293 | | VIRGINIA GARDENS VILLAGE | 3,671 | 38,344 |
| | W MELBOURNE TOWN | 4,577 | 63,813 | | WEST MIAMI TOWN | 6,673 | 172,954 |
| | INDIAN HARBOUR | 7,578 | 80,270 | | PENNSUCIA TOWN | REPORT | 0 |
| | CAPE CANAVERAL CITY | 12,326 | 102,866 | | ISLANDIA CITY | NO PAY DUE | 220 |
| | MALABAR TOWN | 578 | 4,542 | | WICCOSUKEE BUSINESS COUNCIL | 1,578 | 22,154 |
| | PALM SHORES TOWN | 173 | 2,712 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 7,633,877 | 102,022,035 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,033,350 | 12,855,159 | 014 | DE SOTO COUNTY | 58,036 | 755,665 |
| 006 | BROWARD COUNTY | 640,701 | 8,191,061 | | ARCADIA CITY | 34,703 | 500,514 |
| | DANIA CITY | 41,346 | 623,579 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 92,739 | 1,256,180 |
| | DEERFIELD BEACH CITY | 49,834 | 656,517 | 015 | DIXIE COUNTY | 26,374 | 341,970 |
| | FOOT LAUDERDALE CITY | 488,497 | 5,442,838 | | CROSS CITY TOWN | 4,525 | 113,973 |
| | HALLANDALE CITY | 77,789 | 1,227,947 | | MORSESHOE BEACH TOWN | 1,092 | 8,035 |
| | HILLSBORO BEACH TOWN | 2,521 | 28,515 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 31,991 | 463,974 |
| | HOLLYWOOD CITY | 330,678 | 5,773,743 | 016 | ATLANTIC BEACH CITY | 17,068 | 254,444 |
| | LAUDERDALE BY THE SEA | 2,806 | 46,833 | | BALDWIN TOWN | 5,102 | 95,994 |
| | MIRAMAR CITY | 37,458 | 601,011 | | JACKSONVILLE CITY | 2,428,864 | 36,512,457 |
| | OAKLAND PARK CITY | 37,582 | 812,750 | | JACKSONVILLE BEACH CITY | 32,044 | 548,702 |
| | POMPANO BEACH CITY | 134,528 | 1,736,158 | | NEPTUNE BEACH CITY | 7,439 | 121,936 |
| | WILTON MANORS CITY | 18,539 | 292,840 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,490,517 | 37,533,973 |
| | PEMBROKE PARK TOWN | 5,637 | 92,395 | 017 | ESCAMBIA COUNTY | 432,183 | 6,628,807 |
| | COOPER CITY | 5,989 | 74,554 | | PENSACOLA CITY | 256,636 | 3,378,215 |
| | MACIENDA VILLAGE | 426 | 3,449 | | SO FLOMATON TOWN | 619 | 29,174 |
| | LAUDERMILL CITY | 36,887 | 415,804 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 689,438 | 10,036,290 |
| | LAZY LAKE TOWN | WAIVED | 1,198 | 018 | FLAGLER COUNTY | 4,838 | 69,294 |
| | LIGHTHOUSE POINT CITY | 12,426 | 155,795 | | BEVERLY BEACH TOWN | NO PAY DUE | 1,784 |
| | MARGATE CITY | 59,227 | 694,155 | | RUNNELL CITY | 4,794 | 133,652 |
| | PEMBROKE PINES CITY | 30,280 | 334,183 | | FLAGLER BEACH CITY | 9,021 | 106,533 |
| | PLANTATION CITY | 33,788 | 484,926 | | MARINELAND TOWN | NO PAY DUE | 1,107 |
| | SEA RANCH LAKES VILLAGE | 633 | 8,997 | | PAINTERS HILL TOWN | NO PAY DUE | 461 |
| | DAVIE TOWN | 23,019 | 284,619 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 27,657 | 312,435 |
| | CORAL SPRINGS CITY | 37,595 | 180,655 | 019 | FRANKLIN COUNTY | 31,095 | 306,994 |
| | LAUDERDALE LAKES CITY | 28,911 | 344,093 | | APALACHICOLA CITY | 10,923 | 219,954 |
| | NORTH LAUDERDALE CITY | 20,800 | 110,122 | | CARRABELLE CITY | 4,026 | 88,171 |
| | PARKLAND CITY | DU E TRUST FUNO | 2,028 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 46,044 | 615,123 |
| | SUNRISE CITY | 87,713 | 671,474 | 020 | GADSDEN COUNTY | 125,901 | 1,803,964 |
| | TAMARAC CITY | 28,424 | 283,801 | | CHATTAMONCHEE CITY | 7,777 | 166,803 |
| | COCONUT CREEK CITY | 2,248 | 34,721 | | GREENSBORO TOWN | 834 | 21,140 |
| | SEMINOLE TRIBAL COUNCIL | 4,437 | 63,473 | | GRETNIA TOWN | 1,156 | 20,752 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,320,725 | 30,499,174 | | HAYANA TOWN | 2,394 | 73,042 |
| 007 | CALHOUN COUNTY | 21,519 | 302,453 | | QUINCY CITY | 36,852 | 593,554 |
| | ALTHA TOWN | 1,153 | 26,212 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 174,914 | 2,779,315 |
| | BLOUNTS TOWN CITY | 5,931 | 159,947 | 021 | GILCHRIST COUNTY | 18,329 | 234,429 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 28,603 | 444,612 | | BELL TOWN | 364 | 3,714 |
| 008 | CHARLOTTE COUNTY | 121,824 | 1,654,345 | | | | |
| | PUNTA GORDA CITY | 26,564 | 381,614 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 148,392 | 2,039,959 | | | | |

REVENUE SHARING DISBURSEMENTS

| COUNTY | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE | COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|--------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | TRENTON CITY | 3,389 | 64,060 | | TAVARES CITY | 11,180 | 213,447 |
| | SUWANNEE RIVER TOWN | 106 | 9,717 | | UMATILLA CITY | 5,050 | 93,952 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 22,188 | 314,320 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 251,467 | 4,044,592 |
| 2 | GLADES COUNTY | 20,648 | 224,219 | 036 | LEF COUNTY | 445,711 | 1,757,322 |
| | MOORE HAVEN CITY | 4,723 | 92,163 | | FORT MYERS CITY | 211,113 | 2,125,231 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 25,371 | 314,382 | | CAPE CORAL CITY | 36,542 | 232,287 |
| 023 | GULF COUNTY | 34,128 | 566,093 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 582,961 | 6,644,867 |
| | PORT ST JOE TOWN | 23,093 | 350,530 | 037 | LEON COUNTY | 221,817 | 2,566,404 |
| | NEWAHITCHKA CITY | 4,346 | 64,914 | | TALLAHASSEE CITY | 151,009 | 2,422,290 |
| | WARD RIDGE CITY | NO PAY DUE | 0 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 372,822 | 4,989,094 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 61,567 | 980,537 | 038 | LEVY COUNTY | 70,879 | 917,611 |
| 024 | HAMILTON COUNTY | 36,487 | 526,022 | | BRONSON TOWN | 1,699 | 36,869 |
| | JASPER CITY | 8,457 | 150,658 | | CEDAR KEY CITY | 2,703 | 54,289 |
| | JENNINGS TOWN | 1,388 | 33,243 | | CHIEFLAND TOWN | 8,989 | 146,687 |
| | WHITE SPRINGS TOWN | REPORT | 50,049 | | INGLIS TOWN | 486 | 20,956 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 46,332 | 753,972 | | WILLISTON CITY | 3,679 | 74,974 |
| 025 | MARDEE COUNTY | 76,177 | 928,844 | | YANKEETOWN TOWN | 1,050 | 7,234 |
| | BOWLING GREEN CITY | 9,264 | 137,771 | | OTTER CREEK TOWN | 238 | 5,149 |
| | MAUCHULA CITY | 14,449 | 253,965 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 89,723 | 1,263,765 |
| | ZOLFO SPRINGS TOWN | 4,510 | 101,405 | 039 | LIBERTY COUNTY | 15,892 | 99,803 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 104,406 | 1,417,985 | | BRISTOL CITY | 568 | 25,634 |
| 026 | HENDRY COUNTY | 46,230 | 410,645 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 16,460 | 125,441 |
| | CLEMISTON CITY | 9,630 | 214,121 | 040 | MADISON COUNTY | 28,286 | 441,625 |
| | LARELLE CITY | 11,053 | 170,518 | | GREENVILLE TOWN | 5,481 | 59,206 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 66,913 | 799,304 | | LEE TOWN | 837 | 10,285 |
| 027 | HERNANDO COUNTY | 120,161 | 1,346,090 | | MADISON CITY | 74,664 | 345,041 |
| | BROOKSVILLE CITY | 15,261 | 311,861 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 59,072 | 856,197 |
| | WEEKI WACHEE CITY | 245 | 4,913 | 041 | MANATEE COUNTY | 264,141 | 3,775,433 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 135,667 | 1,664,864 | | ANNA MARIA CITY | 2,163 | 27,424 |
| 028 | HIGHLANDS COUNTY | 111,927 | 1,454,315 | | BRADENTON CITY | 114,600 | 1,521,445 |
| | AVON PARK CITY | 34,712 | 574,048 | | BRADENTON BEACH CITY | 1,846 | 25,424 |
| | LAKE PLACID TOWN | 711 | 49,630 | | HOLMES REACH CITY | 4,929 | 45,777 |
| | SEARRING CITY | 21,921 | 422,054 | | LONGROAT KEY TOWN | 6,754 | 46,064 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 169,271 | 2,506,047 | | PALMETTO CITY | 23,268 | 453,833 |
| 029 | HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY | 1,380,882 | 21,124,811 | 042 | MARION COUNTY | 148,252 | 1,869,499 |
| | PLANT CITY CITY | 63,405 | 1,154,558 | | RELIEVIEW CITY | 7,356 | 83,752 |
| | TAMPA CITY | 1,392,135 | 23,134,269 | | OUNNELLOH CITY | 7,441 | 112,204 |
| | TEMPLE TERRACE CITY | 20,117 | 277,937 | | MCINTOSH TOWN | 2,184 | 28,741 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,056,539 | 45,695,575 | | OCALA CITY | 73,643 | 1,491,457 |
| | HOLMES COUNTY | 27,171 | 414,190 | | REDOCK TOWN | 1,083 | 12,313 |
| | BONIFAY CITY | 7,886 | 130,699 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 239,999 | 3,598,364 |
| | ESTO TOWN | 213 | 4,076 | 043 | MARTIN COUNTY | 148,698 | 1,645,040 |
| | PONCE DE LEON CITY | 332 | 9,411 | | JUPITER ISLAND TOWN | 2,520 | 30,092 |
| | WESTVILLE TOWN | 239 | 3,519 | | STUART CITY | 31,630 | 472,600 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 35,841 | 562,895 | | SEWALLS POINT TOWN | 2,131 | 19,694 |
| 031 | INDIAN RIVER COUNTY | 127,180 | 1,324,431 | | OCEAN BREEZE PARK TOWN | 927 | 7,464 |
| | FELLSHIRE CITY | 3,974 | 49,309 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 145,906 | 2,174,894 |
| | SERASTIAN CITY | 6,550 | 83,163 | 044 | MONROE COUNTY | 152,148 | 1,842,994 |
| | VERO BEACH CITY | 77,978 | 1,167,246 | | KEY WEST CITY | 70,046 | 1,191,924 |
| | INDIAN RIVER SHORES TOWN | 1,894 | 10,250 | | KEY COLONY BEACH CITY | 1,165 | 29,402 |
| | ORCHID TOWN | REPORT | 0 | | LAYTON CITY | 120 | 3,600 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 217,582 | 2,634,399 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 223,479 | 3,067,920 |
| 032 | JACKSON COUNTY | 85,636 | 1,258,602 | 045 | NASSAU COUNTY | 66,538 | 796,567 |
| | ALFORD TOWN | 457 | 13,943 | | CALLAHAN TOWN | 2,454 | 54,851 |
| | CAMPBELL TOWN | 258 | 4,920 | | FERNANDINA BEACH CITY | 45,777 | 682,209 |
| | COTTONDALE TOWN | 3,643 | 48,215 | | HILLIARD TOWN | 3,231 | 65,834 |
| | BRACEVILLE CITY | 16,271 | 240,665 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 118,004 | 1,603,461 |
| | GRAND RIDGE TOWN | 734 | 19,523 | 046 | OKALOOSA COUNTY | 115,974 | 1,571,650 |
| | MALONE TOWN | 1,553 | 34,029 | | CINCO BAYOU TOWN | 1,742 | 27,484 |
| | MARIANNA CITY | 37,559 | 609,546 | | CRESTVIEW CITY | 32,450 | 516,944 |
| | SNEADS TOWN | 2,315 | 54,818 | | FORT WALTON BEACH CITY | 87,138 | 1,339,911 |
| | GREENWOOD TOWN | 478 | 14,197 | | LAUREL HILL CITY | 411 | 7,739 |
| | BASCOM TOWN | 85 | 4,647 | | MARY ESTHER TOWN | 9,516 | 125,934 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 148,989 | 2,315,105 | | NICEVILLE CITY | 15,804 | 284,504 |
| 033 | JEFFERSON COUNTY | 25,059 | 399,029 | | SMALIMAR TOWN | 721 | 13,774 |
| | MONTICELLO CITY | 12,627 | 220,059 | | VALPARAISO CITY | 8,762 | 128,314 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 37,686 | 619,088 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 272,514 | 4,016,304 |
| 034 | LAFAYETTE COUNTY | 7,516 | 131,040 | 047 | ORFEOBEE COUNTY | 54,502 | 631,054 |
| | MAYO TOWN | 1,348 | 44,893 | | ORFEOBEE CITY | 26,644 | 305,755 |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 8,864 | 177,973 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 81,170 | 936,809 |
| 035 | LAKE COUNTY | 134,454 | 1,964,446 | 048 | ORANGE COUNTY | 884,344 | 10,445,769 |
| | ASTATULA TOWN | 436 | 4,118 | | APOPKA CITY | 26,144 | 395,494 |
| | CLERMONT CITY | 14,173 | 223,581 | | BELLE ISLE CITY | 7,254 | 36,154 |
| | EUSTIS CITY | 24,050 | 451,102 | | FAYONVILLE TOWN | 13,169 | 177,371 |
| | FRUITLAND PARK TOWN | 3,975 | 49,422 | | MAITLAND CITY | 14,971 | 207,191 |
| | GROVELAND CITY | 6,122 | 130,394 | | OAKLAND TOWN | 7,463 | 32,244 |
| | MONEY IN THE HILLS TOWN | 2,245 | 40,373 | | OCOE CITY | 19,149 | 309,252 |
| | LADY LAKE TOWN | 603 | 13,491 | | ORLANDO CITY | 885,111 | 7,652,117 |
| | LEESBURG CITY | 27,457 | 504,819 | | WINTERMEER TOWN | 1,055 | 15,441 |
| | MASCOUETTE CITY | 3,706 | 74,441 | | WINTER GARDEN CITY | 21,947 | 350,267 |
| | MINNEOLA TOWN | 4,302 | 72,031 | | WINTER PARK CITY | 53,023 | 434,914 |
| | MONTVERDE TOWN | 506 | 7,496 | | WYLAKE CITY | NO PAY DUE | 1,474 |
| | MOUNT DORA CITY | 13,208 | 194,569 | | LAKE RUENA VISTA CITY | NO PAY DUE | 692 |

| | PAYMENT | TO DATE | CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| EDGEWOOD TOWN | 2,869 | 37,392 | | LAKELAND CITY | 149,575 | 2,431,274 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,626,981 | 20,501,123 | | LAKE WALES CITY | 42,069 | 721,044 |
| 049 OSCEOLA COUNTY | 132,874 | 1,484,490 | | MULBERRY CITY | 10,783 | 160,974 |
| KISSIMMEE CITY | 50,913 | 644,649 | | POLK CITY TOWN | 307 | 2,940 |
| ST CLOUD CITY | 23,809 | 332,836 | | WINTER HAVEN CITY | 88,225 | 1,335,704 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 207,596 | 2,467,975 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,186,242 | 17,071,423 |
| 050 PALM BEACH COUNTY | 740,517 | 8,823,104 | 054 | PUTNAM COUNTY | 159,980 | 1,974,130 |
| BELLE GLADE CITY | 80,066 | 1,392,171 | | CRESCENT CITY CITY | 6,431 | 160,121 |
| BOCA RATON CITY | 71,084 | 844,295 | | INTERLACHEN TOWN | 2,617 | 23,194 |
| BOYNTON BEACH CITY | 68,839 | 942,984 | | PALATKA CITY | 49,875 | 852,191 |
| CLOUD LAKE TOWN | 127 | 4,211 | | POMONA PARK TOWN | 1,890 | 32,500 |
| DELRAY BEACH CITY | 85,420 | 1,054,057 | | WELAKA TOWN | 769 | 30,127 |
| GLEN RIDGE TOWN | 207 | 2,943 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 220,762 | 3,074,263 |
| GREENACRES CITY TOWN | 8,656 | 85,642 | 055 | ST JOHN COUNTY | 121,067 | 1,502,944 |
| GULF STREAM TOWN | 2,711 | 37,117 | | HASTINGS TOWN | 4,636 | 37,645 |
| HAVERHILL TOWN | 895 | 13,902 | | ST AUGUSTINE CITY | 76,833 | 1,202,664 |
| HIGHLAND BEACH TOWN | 3,816 | 42,128 | | ST AUGUSTINE BEACH TOWN | 2,052 | 16,799 |
| JUPITER TOWN | 6,239 | 74,185 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 284,588 | 2,760,052 |
| LAKE PARK TOWN | 15,882 | 243,519 | 056 | ST LUCIE COUNTY | 279,187 | 3,141,395 |
| LAKE WORTH CITY | 85,905 | 1,276,055 | | FORT PIERCE CITY | 85,529 | 1,780,531 |
| LANTANA TOWN | 16,890 | 315,119 | | ST LUCIE VILLAGE | 470 | 5,954 |
| MANALAPAN TOWN | 1,483 | 20,377 | | PORT ST LUCIE CITY | 6,384 | 40,793 |
| MANGONIA PARK TOWN | 4,020 | 49,170 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 371,570 | 4,968,673 |
| OCEAN RIDGE TOWN | 1,060 | 16,297 | 057 | SANTA ROSA COUNTY | 84,158 | 1,111,387 |
| PANORAMA CITY | 13,479 | 304,392 | | JAY TOWN | 1,888 | 33,285 |
| PALM BEACH TOWN | 12,128 | 130,688 | | MILTON TOWN | 17,293 | 372,873 |
| PALM BCH SHORES TOWN | 1,632 | 26,700 | | GULF BREEZE CITY | 4,784 | 68,859 |
| RIVIERA BEACH CITY | 147,040 | 1,852,540 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 108,123 | 1,586,404 |
| SOUTH BAY TOWN | 10,675 | 195,497 | 058 | SARASOTA COUNTY | 296,579 | 3,602,079 |
| WEST PALM BEACH CITY | 277,532 | 3,934,118 | | SARASOTA CITY | 204,463 | 2,708,238 |
| GOLF VILLAGE | 357 | 4,959 | | VENICE CITY | 40,481 | 578,826 |
| LAKE CLARKE SHORES TOWN | 2,326 | 31,922 | | NORTH PORT CITY | 12,618 | 102,977 |
| NORTH PALM BEACH VILLAGE | 17,351 | 218,822 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 554,141 | 6,992,120 |
| PALM SPRINGS VILLAGE | 10,545 | 133,616 | 059 | SEMINOLE COUNTY | 250,291 | 2,336,911 |
| TEQUESTA VILLAGE | 3,319 | 54,899 | | ALTAMONTE SPRINGS CITY | 17,235 | 146,395 |
| ATLANTIS CITY | 3,628 | 42,428 | | CASSELBERRY CITY | 17,048 | 181,717 |
| GOLFVIEW TOWN | 190 | 2,734 | | LONGWOOD CITY | 10,265 | 141,864 |
| HYPOLUXO TOWN | 320 | 4,576 | | OVIEGO CITY | 5,680 | 112,694 |
| JUNO BEACH TOWN | 906 | 10,562 | | SANFORD CITY | 86,085 | 1,390,928 |
| JUPITER INLET COLONY TOWN | 931 | 13,882 | | WINTER SPRINGS CITY | 6,678 | 49,717 |
| PALM BEACH GARDENS | 22,255 | 264,549 | | LAKE MARY CITY | 3,605 | 20,682 |
| ROYAL PALM BEACH VILLAGE | 4,379 | 41,923 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 396,907 | 4,380,910 |
| SO PALM BEACH TOWN | 1,534 | 14,424 | 060 | SUMTER COUNTY | 54,130 | 575,317 |
| BRINY BREEZES TOWN | 568 | 6,771 | | BUSHNELL CITY | 3,532 | 64,483 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 1,724,912 | 22,515,706 | | CENTER HILL CITY | 2,586 | 38,680 |
| 051 PASCO COUNTY | 306,857 | 2,653,987 | | COLEMAN CITY | 1,680 | 31,727 |
| DADE CITY | 26,535 | 413,237 | | WESTER TOWN | 5,036 | 72,832 |
| NEW PORT RICHEY CITY | 31,670 | 564,449 | | WILWOOD CITY | 11,751 | 189,947 |
| PORT RICHEY CITY | 14,992 | 118,405 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 78,715 | 970,981 |
| ST LEO TOWN | 818 | 14,625 | 061 | SUWANNEE COUNTY | 47,307 | 681,122 |
| SAN ANTONIO CITY | 1,112 | 28,920 | | BRANFORD TOWN | 3,111 | 59,079 |
| ZEPHYRHILLS CITY | 13,012 | 239,391 | | LIVE OAK CITY | 24,545 | 462,082 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 394,906 | 4,034,414 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 74,963 | 1,202,243 |
| 052 PINELLAS COUNTY | 758,940 | 11,219,575 | 062 | TAYLOR COUNTY | 33,788 | 525,734 |
| BELLEAIR TOWN | 3,090 | 45,948 | | PERRY CITY | 24,248 | 539,282 |
| BELLEAIR BEACH TOWN | 1,076 | 13,304 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 58,036 | 1,065,014 |
| BELLEAIR SHORE TOWN | 192 | 2,747 | 063 | UNION COUNTY | 19,815 | 265,705 |
| CLEARWATER CITY | 206,820 | 3,002,480 | | LAKE BUTLER CITY | 9,031 | 131,910 |
| DUNEDIN CITY | 43,442 | 594,165 | | WORTHINGTON SPRINGS TOWN | 322 | 10,000 |
| GULFPORT CITY | 24,285 | 392,472 | | RAIFORD TOWN | 154 | 7,697 |
| INDIAN ROCKS BEACH CITY | 4,702 | 52,679 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 29,324 | 415,317 |
| INDIAN SHORES TOWN | 2,099 | 17,652 | 064 | VOLUSTIA COUNTY | 411,934 | 6,541,787 |
| LARGO CITY | 69,411 | 852,317 | | DAYTONA BEACH CITY | 244,935 | 4,082,954 |
| MADERIA BEACH CITY | 12,697 | 250,355 | | DE LAND CITY | 60,586 | 981,743 |
| N REDDINGTON BEACH TOWN | 1,220 | 13,322 | | EDGEWATER CITY | 12,560 | 172,243 |
| OLDSMAR CITY | 4,817 | 79,596 | | HOLLY HILL CITY | 79,747 | 463,423 |
| PINELLAS PARK CITY | 98,602 | 1,265,039 | | LAKE HELEN CITY | 1,364 | 24,016 |
| REDDINGTON BEACH TOWN | 1,549 | 21,640 | | NEW SHYRNA BEACH CITY | 45,464 | 730,604 |
| REDDINGTON SHORES TOWN | 3,081 | 40,775 | | ORANGE CITY TOWN | 3,265 | 48,354 |
| SAFETY HARBOR CITY | 14,411 | 217,597 | | ORMOND BEACH CITY | 32,407 | 492,747 |
| ST PETERSBURG CITY | 707,144 | 12,482,181 | | PIERSON TOWN | 644 | 19,043 |
| ST PETERSBURG BEACH CITY | 26,636 | 370,322 | | PORT ORANGE CITY | 20,162 | 315,652 |
| SOUTH PASADENA CITY | 3,238 | 37,496 | | SOUTH DAYTONA CITY | 14,317 | 196,999 |
| TARPOON SPRINGS CITY | 35,177 | 593,742 | | OAK HILL CITY | 1,041 | 24,592 |
| TREASURE ISLAND CITY | 11,960 | 157,325 | | DAYTONA BEACH | 5,180 | 75,583 |
| KENNETH CITY TOWN | 3,979 | 112,087 | | PONCE INLET TOWN | 2,869 | 20,435 |
| BELLEAIR BLUFFS CITY | 2,046 | 24,467 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 886,483 | 14,190,374 |
| SEMINOLE CITY | 4,184 | 112,445 | 065 | WAKULLA COUNTY | 27,097 | 293,357 |
| * COUNTY TOTAL * | 2,073,940 | 31,755,678 | | SOPCHOPPY CITY | 557 | 21,040 |
| 053 POLK COUNTY | 720,918 | 9,543,192 | | ST MARKS TOWN | 1,990 | 23,731 |
| AUBURNOLE CITY | 25,486 | 384,595 | | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 29,644 | 338,124 |
| BARTON CITY | 36,919 | 625,391 | 066 | WALTON COUNTY | 51,427 | 732,891 |
| DAVENPORT TOWN | 5,329 | 84,594 | | DE FUNIAK SPRINGS TOWN | 14,038 | 314,664 |
| DUNDEE TOWN | 5,773 | 117,900 | | PAXTON TOWN | 223 | 13,193 |
| EAGLE LAKE TOWN | 5,246 | 84,360 | | | | |
| FORT MEADE CITY | 14,577 | 291,350 | | | | |
| FROSTPOOF CITY | 8,126 | 150,085 | | | | |
| HAINES CITY CITY | 58,339 | 877,268 | | | | |
| HIGHLAND PARK VILLAGE | 613 | 8,700 | | | | |
| HILLCREST HEIGHTS | 325 | 3,417 | | | | |
| LAKE ALFRED CITY | 11,861 | 199,792 | | | | |
| LAKE HAMILTON TOWN | 1,794 | 26,176 | | | | |

| COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE | COUNTY CODE | NAME | QUARTERLY PAYMENT | ALL PAYMENTS TO DATE |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | FREEPORT CITY | 606 | 12,120 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 70,294 | 1,074,918 | | | | |
| 7 | WASHINGTON COUNTY | 37,804 | 444,979 | | | | |
| | CHIPLEY TOWN | 15,500 | 247,095 | | | | |
| | VERNON CITY | 651 | 11,062 | | | | |
| | CARYVILLE TOWN | 670 | 15,879 | | | | |
| | WASAU TOWN | 255 | 4,056 | | | | |
| | EBRO TOWN | 737 | 14,609 | | | | |
| | * COUNTY TOTAL * | 55,625 | 775,682 | | | | |
| ** STATE TOTAL ** | | 125,919 | 1,850,600 | | | | |
| NUMBER PAID | | 446 | | | | | |

----- GOVERNMENTS NOT PAID -----

| REASON | NUMBER | AMOUNT |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| REPORT | 3 | 5,336 |
| DUE TRUST FUND | 1 | |
| ORS HOLD | 0 | |
| WAIVED | 1 | |
| NO PAY DUE | 7 | |
| *TOTAL* | 12 | 5,336 |

D

GENERAL REVENUE SHARING PAYMENTS

FLORIDA CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

5th District -- Richard Kelly (R-Holiday)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 35,013,013.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 52,763,484.00

6th District -- C. W. (Bill) Young (R-St. Petersburg)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 34,856,822.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 44,183,757.00

9th District -- Louis Frey, Jr. (R-Winter Park)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 35,000,284.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 52,364,047.00

10th District -- L. A. (Skip) Bafalis (R-Ft. Myers Beach)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 41,914,511.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 63,760,457.00

11th District -- Paul G. Rogers (D-West Palm Beach)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 35,458,241.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 52,247,173.00

12th District -- J. Herbert Burke (R-Hollywood)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 30,461,983.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 42,184,629.00

13th District -- William Lehman (D-N. Miami Beach)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 37,960,952.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 54,438,477.00

14th District -- Claude Pepper (D-Miami)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 65,174,484.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 91,055,746.00

15th District -- Dante B. Fascell (D-Miami)

Total Under Existing Program \$ 36,329,511.00

Projected Under President's Proposal \$ 51,819,768.00

E

| | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| ALABAMA | 132,138,051 | 99,051,891 | 165,441,293 | | 396,631,235 |
| ALASKA | 10,373,892 | 8,433,387 | 12,041,202 | 585,458 | 31,453,939 |
| ARIZONA | 78,869,586 | 62,544,527 | 87,943,328 | 8,545,312 | 237,804,753 |
| ARKANSAS | 86,009,940 | 88,423,575 | 70,252,562 | | 244,686,077 |
| CALIFORNIA | 834,690,872 | 999,837,278 | 669,032,611 | 543,748 | 2,504,104,509 |
| COLORADO | 82,906,807 | 58,205,186 | 107,526,029 | 154,582 | 248,792,604 |
| CONNECTICUT | 100,554,148 | | 106,924,457 | 94,742,598 | 301,821,203 |
| DELAWARE | 26,498,596 | 26,536,575 | 17,781,280 | | 70,816,451 |
| DIST OF COLUMBIA | 104,339,491 | | | | 104,339,491 |
| FLORIDA | 232,361,475 | 209,572,961 | 255,996,063 | 45,631 | 497,614,130 |
| GEORGIA | 164,406,509 | 189,119,910 | 139,470,601 | | 492,997,020 |
| HAWAII | 34,633,054 | 17,393,139 | 51,872,966 | | 103,899,159 |
| IDAHO | 31,599,338 | 36,338,274 | 26,520,718 | 347,711 | 94,806,041 |
| ILLINOIS | 401,064,855 | 178,799,515 | 422,767,676 | 105,468,267 | 1,108,100,313 |
| INDIANA | 165,533,211 | 112,730,220 | 174,219,950 | 40,089,550 | 496,572,931 |
| IOWA | 109,845,529 | 127,851,506 | 91,940,223 | 48,064 | 329,725,322 |
| KANSAS | 74,959,850 | 75,993,947 | 65,649,239 | 8,139,569 | 224,811,860 |
| KENTUCKY | 146,336,143 | 110,421,540 | 127,534,318 | | 384,292,041 |
| LOUISIANA | 181,134,744 | 144,598,346 | 210,406,282 | 24,251 | 536,263,623 |
| MAINE | 48,243,329 | 6,446,124 | 39,913,283 | 49,927,639 | 144,792,280 |
| MARYLAND | 155,680,603 | 182,825,408 | 128,519,990 | | 467,026,001 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 249,133,570 | 28,631,493 | 279,277,763 | 191,409,281 | 748,402,307 |
| MICHIGAN | 333,220,517 | 195,484,180 | 410,321,240 | 60,720,339 | 999,854,871 |
| MINNESOTA | 156,833,237 | 167,713,131 | 126,516,363 | 19,189,992 | 471,198,255 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 132,133,055 | 160,673,601 | 89,001,891 | | 381,978,842 |
| MISSOURI | 147,971,782 | 98,057,724 | 190,084,584 | 6,594,159 | 443,608,249 |
| MONTANA | 30,853,181 | 41,017,265 | 18,444,493 | | 92,558,949 |
| NEBRASKA | 55,728,272 | 55,464,943 | 52,233,120 | 3,503,437 | 167,169,918 |
| NEVADA | 17,379,909 | 21,775,726 | 12,708,492 | | 52,135,475 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 25,082,287 | 4,580,754 | 23,702,591 | 10,947,356 | 75,312,912 |
| NEW JERSEY | 246,520,491 | 172,060,069 | 214,544,522 | 102,647,058 | 739,772,140 |
| NEW MEXICO | 50,928,035 | 40,914,754 | 49,496,046 | | 148,898,974 |
| NEW YORK | 878,407,733 | 378,364,737 | 1,190,466,638 | 186,062,003 | 2,634,001,273 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 200,097,018 | 215,481,172 | 144,741,806 | | 600,979,575 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 30,043,666 | 31,005,071 | 20,055,932 | 7,778,598 | 90,089,948 |
| OHIO | 314,578,290 | 199,410,473 | 368,587,422 | 61,094,608 | 943,670,793 |
| OKLAHOMA | 87,905,384 | 63,605,499 | 110,498,371 | | 263,695,897 |
| OREGON | 78,635,780 | 59,765,549 | 97,249,949 | | 235,907,708 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 413,412,624 | 233,131,891 | 460,476,617 | 133,384,052 | 1,240,755,772 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 35,163,292 | | 49,438,510 | 20,488,050 | 105,489,852 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 110,206,593 | 113,850,207 | 100,281,526 | | 324,338,326 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 34,287,872 | 39,552,052 | 21,453,625 | 4,819,695 | 103,121,142 |
| TENNESSEE | 148,169,552 | 127,942,216 | 170,900,433 | | 447,012,201 |
| TEXAS | 374,231,527 | 279,915,790 | 467,053,487 | 72,453 | 1,121,273,257 |
| UTAH | 46,339,292 | 45,860,929 | 45,999,950 | | 139,015,720 |
| VERMONT | 22,389,070 | 539,607 | 15,419,228 | 28,909,904 | 67,257,809 |
| VIRGINIA | 156,105,423 | 116,377,401 | 196,126,158 | | 468,811,072 |
| WASHINGTON | 113,540,584 | 101,568,945 | 124,551,532 | 3,401 | 340,637,640 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 48,732,695 | 60,625,376 | 70,146,536 | | 229,504,607 |
| WISCONSIN | 197,729,088 | 195,506,934 | 164,499,084 | 31,146,791 | 593,588,851 |
| WYOMING | 14,229,942 | 20,627,071 | 7,470,418 | | 42,690,544 |
| NATIONAL TOTALS | 8,022,209,704 | 4,604,824,151 | 8,117,412,472 | 1,174,644,257 | 23,573,004,219 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------|----|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| ALABAMA | 1 | 67 | 410 | | 478 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 9 | 134 | 87 | 231 |
| ARIZONA | 3 | 14 | 47 | 18 | 100 |
| ARKANSAS | 4 | 75 | 464 | | 540 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5 | 57 | 411 | 57 | 526 |
| COLORADO | 6 | 62 | 260 | 2 | 325 |
| CONNECTICUT | 7 | | 33 | 149 | 193 |
| DELAWARE | 8 | 3 | 54 | | 58 |
| DIST OF COLUMBIA | 9 | | | | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 10 | 66 | 389 | 2 | 454 |
| GEORGIA | 11 | 158 | 529 | | 688 |
| HAWAII | 12 | 3 | 1 | | 5 |
| IDAHO | 13 | 44 | 199 | 5 | 249 |
| ILLINOIS | 14 | 102 | 1,270 | 1,436 | 2,809 |
| INDIANA | 15 | 91 | 563 | 1,008 | 1,663 |
| IOWA | 16 | 99 | 654 | 1 | 1,055 |
| KANSAS | 17 | 105 | 627 | 1,455 | 2,192 |
| KENTUCKY | 18 | 120 | 403 | | 524 |
| LOUISIANA | 19 | 62 | 296 | 1 | 360 |
| MAINE | 20 | 16 | 22 | 474 | 516 |
| MARYLAND | 21 | 23 | 151 | | 175 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 22 | 12 | 39 | 312 | 364 |
| MICHIGAN | 23 | 83 | 531 | 1,245 | 1,865 |
| MINNESOTA | 24 | 87 | 855 | 1,794 | 2,749 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 25 | 82 | 281 | | 365 |
| MISSOURI | 26 | 114 | 905 | 326 | 1,346 |
| MONTANA | 27 | 56 | 126 | | 190 |
| NEBRASKA | 28 | 93 | 534 | 477 | 1,104 |
| NEVADA | 29 | 16 | 17 | | 51 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 30 | 10 | 13 | 221 | 245 |
| NEW JERSEY | 31 | 21 | 135 | 232 | 589 |
| NEW MEXICO | 32 | 32 | 93 | | 148 |
| NEW YORK | 33 | 57 | 418 | 929 | 1,613 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 34 | 100 | 465 | | 567 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 35 | 53 | 359 | 1,361 | 1,779 |
| OHIO | 36 | 88 | 935 | 1,320 | 2,344 |
| OKLAHOMA | 37 | 77 | 561 | | 564 |
| OREGON | 38 | 36 | 238 | | 279 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 39 | 66 | 1,013 | 1,549 | 2,630 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 40 | | 8 | 31 | 40 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 41 | 46 | 262 | | 309 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 42 | 67 | 310 | 1,022 | 1,409 |
| TENNESSEE | 43 | 94 | 323 | | 418 |
| TEXAS | 44 | 254 | 1,040 | | 1,297 |
| UTAH | 45 | 29 | 215 | | 250 |
| VERMONT | 46 | 14 | 57 | 237 | 309 |
| VIRGINIA | 47 | 95 | 229 | | 327 |
| WASHINGTON | 48 | 39 | 265 | | 327 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 49 | 55 | 227 | | 283 |
| WISCONSIN | 50 | 72 | 575 | 1,270 | 1,928 |
| WYOMING | 51 | 23 | 89 | | 115 |
| NATIONAL TOTALS | 51 | 3,047 | 18,755 | 14,248 | 39,544 |