The original documents are located in Box 8, folder "4/28/75 - Chamber of Commerce of the United States" of the President's Speeches and Statements: Reading Copies at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THANK YOU. CHAIRMAN SMITH PRESIDENT BOOTH MEMBERS AND GUESTS OF THE U.S. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Digitized from Box 8 of President's Speeches and Statements: Reading Copies at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

E PRESIDENT HAS SEEN ...

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES

MONDAY, APRIL 28, 1975

IT IS LIKE A SPRING TONIC TO APPEAR BEFORE A

MEETING OF THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, OF THE UNITED STATES.

INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY, YOU HAVE ALWAYS PRESENTED

SUCH AN UPBEAT, POSITIVE APPROACH TO AMERICA THAT

IT FEELS GOOD JUST TO BE WITH YOU.

FOR PROBLEM-SOLVING -- AND THAT ABSENCE OF CYNICISM THAT SO

TYPIFIES YOUR MEMBERSHIP. LET ME ALSO CONGRATULATE YOU ON THE

RELEVANCE OF YOUR THEME FOR THIS MEETING: AMERICA'S FUTURE -
OUR CRITICAL CHOICES.

AS LEADERS OF BUSINESS, INDUSTRY AND GOVERNMENT -WE JOIN TOGETHER TODAY TO EXPLORE THE FUTURE -- SO THAT WE MAY SEIZE
THE OPPORTUNITIES AND BE BETTER ABLE TO COPE WITH THE PROBLEMS
WE FACE IN COMMON. THE MUTUALITY OF OUR PROBLEMS WAS NEVER
MORE CLEARLY STATED THAN WHEN I WAS INTRODUCED AT A BUSINESS
CONFERENCE RECENTLY.

THE MODERATOR SAID, "THE GREATNESS OF AMERICA

IS THAT ANYONE CAN GROW UP TO BE PRESIDENT OF AN AUTO COMPANY,

PRESIDENT OF AN AIRLINE, PRESIDENT OF A UTILITY, OR PRESIDENT

OF THE UNITED STATES."

THEN HE TOOK A LONG PAUSE AND

ADDED, "THAT'S JUST ONE OF THE CHANCES YOU HAVE TO TAKE!"

NOW -- SPEAKING OF PRESIDENTS -- I WOULD LIKE YOU

TO JOIN WITH ME IN A SALUTE TO SOMEONE WE ALL ARE FOND OF --

SOMEONE WHO HAS BEEN A DRIVING FORCE IN THE CHAMBER.

ARCH BOOTH IS LEAVING HIS POSITION AS CHAMBER

THIRTY-TWO YEARS WITH THE CHAMBER, HE HAS HELPED TO BUILD THIS ORGANIZATION INTO A DYNAMIC FORCE FOR GOOD IN AMERICA -- A FORCE THAT IS ADAPTABLE, RESPONSIVE AND INNOVATIVE.

AS LONG AS I HAVE BEEN IN WASHINGTON, ARCH, WHICH IS MORE THAN A GENERATION, YOU HAVE BEEN A FORCE FOR PROGRESS IN THE WHITE HOUSE AND ON CAPITOL HILL.

WE WILL MISS YOU. AND WE WISH YOU THE BEST, ALWAYS.

IT'S APPROPRIATE AT THIS SIXTY-THIRD ANNUAL MEETING,

THAT MY APPEARANCE FOLLOWS A SLIDE SHOW SKETCHING THE

CRITICAL CHOICES FOR THE FUTURE OF OUR COUNTRY.

THAT PRESENTATION HITS MANY OF THE POINTS

I HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING DURING THE PAST FEW MONTHS.

THESE CRITICAL CHOICES MUST BE MADE, AND
THEY MUST BE MADE JUST AS SWIFTLY AS THE CONGRESS
AND THIS ADMINISTRATION CAN WORK OUT EFFECTIVE SOLUTIONS.

ONE OF THE MOST SERIOUS PROBLEMS FACING US

IS THE RUNAWAY SPENDING OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

IT POSES A GENUINE THREAT TO OUR WAY OF LIFE.

I HAVE CALLED ON THE CONGRESS TO HOLD THE DEFICIT LINE

THIS YEAR AT WHAT I CONSIDER THE ALARMING FIGURE OF

SIXTY BILLION DOLLARS. I AM PLEASED THAT BOTH HOUSES

OF THE CONGRESS APPEAR TO BE READY TO USE THEIR NEWLY-INSTITUTED

BUDGET REFORM PROCEDURES TO IMPOSE CEILINGS ON TOTAL SPENDING

FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR.

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH, I AM GLAD THAT THE CONGRESS

BUDGET COMMITTEES ARE TOO HIGH THAT THE CONGRESS ARE TOO H

WHILE THE SPENDING PROBLEMS WE FACE ARE ENORMOUS,

I MUST SAY I AGREE WITH THE CHAMBER THAT THERE IS FAR MORE

RIGHT WITH AMERICA THAN WRONG.

AND I AGREE WITH YOUR PRESIDENT THAT WE HAVE

TAKEN FOR GRANTED THE THINGS THAT ARE RIGHT WITH AMERICA

SO LONG THAT WE NEED TO BE REMINDED OF THEM.

AN OUTSTANDING EXAMPLE IS THE FACT THAT, UNDER OUR FREE ENTERPRISE SYSTEM, WE CONSISTENTLY PRODUCE HIGHER-QUALITY SAFER, MORE RELIABLE GOODS THAN ANY ECONOMY WHICH OPERATES UNDER RIGID GOVERNMENTAL CONTROLS. PLANNED ECONOMIES SIMPLY DO NOT ACHIEVE THE QUALITY OR THE LOW PRICE OF GOODS WHICH ARE THE FRUITS OF OUR OPEN AND COMPETITIVE SYSTEM.

BUYERS OVERWHELMINGLY PREFER PRODUCTS OF THE FREE ENTERPRISE

SYSTEM.

WHERE BUSINESS COMPETES FOR THE BUYER'S DOLLAR,

THE RESULT IS BETTER PRODUCTS.

WE TEND TO OVERLOOK, ALSO, THAT THE SURVIVAL OF AMERICAN BUSINESS IS DIRECTLY DEPENDENT ON ITS ABILITY TO PROVIDE THE LARGEST NUMBER OF CONSUMERS WITH GOODS OF HIGH QUALITY, UTILITY AND SAFETY AT ATTRACTIVE PRICES. THE SELF-INTEREST OF AMERICAN BUSINESS DEMANDS THAT IT PLEASE CUSTOMERS, WHILE THERE IS NO SUCH AUTOMATIC MECHANISM OF CONSUMER PROTECTION IN THE CONTROLLED ECONOMIES.

WE ARE A DYNAMIC SOCIETY WITH A DYNAMIC ECONOMY.

BUT THIS REQUIRES THAT WE, AS A PEOPLE, ENSURE THAT OUR

GOVERNMENTAL INSTITUTIONS ARE RESPONSIVE TO CHANGING CONDITIONS.

LET ME DISCUSS WITH YOU ONE FUNCTION PERFORMED BY GOVERNMENT -
YES, EVEN OURS -- WHICH REQUIRES OUR ATTENTION AND IS IN

NEED OF REFORM -- AND THAT IS REGULATION.

PREPARED TO LISTEN CAREFULLY TO THE CASE OF THOSE WHO MIGHT

BE INJURED BY DEREGULATION OR CHANGES IN REGULATIONS -- BUT WE

MUST MAKE OUR DECISIONS IN TERMS OF WHAT BENEFITS ALL OF US.

I HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT OUR SYSTEM CAN MAKE THE CHANGES THAT

ARE REQUIRED TO MEET THE CHALLENGES OF OUR DYNAMIC SOCIETY.

IT MAY BE USEFUL TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THE

TWO BROAD KINDS OF GOVERNMENT REGULATION. FIRST,

THERE ARE REGULATIONS DESIGNED TO DEAL WITH THE COMPETITIVE

PERFORMANCE OF SUCH INDUSTRIES AS RAILROADS, TRUCKING,

AIRLINES, UTILITIES AND BANKING. THIS TYPE OF REGULATION

CONTROLS RATES, THE RIGHT TO SERVE SPECIFIC MARKETS,

AND COMPETITIVE PRACTICES.

ONE OF THE MOST IMPRESSIVE OUTCOMES OF THE

AGREEMENT OF ALL PARTICIPANTS OF ALL PERSUASIONS THAT THERE

ARE TREMENDOUS EFFICIENCY LOSSES, REDUCTIONS IN PRODUCTIVITY

AND UNNECESSARY COSTS TO THE ECONOMY FROM THIS KIND OF

REGULATION. ALMOST WITHOUT EXCEPTION, THE CONFEREES

RECOMMENDED REFORM OR ELIMINATION OF OBSOLETE AND UNNECESSARY

REGULATIONS.

AND UNNECESSARY REGULATIONS ARE NOT THE RESULT OF PERVERSITY

ON THE PART OF SOME REGULATORY BODY OR GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL.

RATHER, THEY RESULT FROM THE FACT THAT THE REGULATORY PROCESS

IS INHERENTLY STATIC,

THEIR USEFULNESS. THERE IS NO SYSTEMATIC PATTERN OF REVIEW.

AND EVEN WHEN IT IS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT CHANGES ARE WARRANTED,

PROCEDURAL DELAYS OFTEN RESULT IN OBSOLETE RULES REMAINING IN

FORCE FOR YEARS. IN SHORT, WHILE THE INTENTION OF

REGULATION IS TO PROTECT CONSUMERS, IT SOMETIMES DOES THE

OPPOSITE.

IN MANY CASES, THE REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION

OF EXISTING REGULATIONS WOULD RESULT IN LOWER PRICES FOR

CONSUMERS AND OPEN NEW OPPORTUNITIES FOR BUSINESS.

IN OTHER INDUSTRIES, WHERE THERE IS INADEQUATE COMPETITION,

REGULATION SHOULD CONTINUE. BUT IT IS THE JOB OF GOVERNMENT

TO ENSURE THAT SUCH NECESSARY REGULATION IS ADMINISTERED

EFFICIENTLY AND FAIRLY.

A SECOND NIND OF REGULATION IS CONCERNED WITH

SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY, CONSUMER

PRODUCT SAFETY, AND THE ENVIRONMENT. THIS KIND OF

REGULATION IS GENERALLY OF MORE RECENT ORIGIN -- BUT IT IS

BECOMING MORE IMPORTANT EVERY DAY.

THE CENTRAL ISSUE HERE IS THE NEED FOR A PROPER ASSESSMENT OF COSTS AND BENEFITS. THE QUESTION IS NOT WHETHER WE WANT TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT NOISE AND SAFETY -- BUT WHETHER MAKING CHANGES IN OUR REGULATIONS WOULD MAKE SENSE IN TERMS OF THE COSTS ADDED AND BENEFITS GAINED.

WHEN I TALK ABOUT COSTS, I AM NOT JUST TALKING

ABOUT COLD FIGURES IN A BOOKKEEPING LEDGER. I AM TALKING

ABOUT WHAT YOU PAY IN THE MARKETPLACE -- IN THE SUPERMARKET

IN THE CLOTHING STORE, IN THE LADIES' BOUTIQUE, ULTIMATELY,

The producers + your works

ALL SUCH COSTS ARE PAID BY YOU -- THE CONSUMERS.

ALL TOO OFTEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PROMULGATES

NEW RULES AND REGULATIONS WHICH RAISE COSTS -- AND

CONSUMER PRICES AT THE SAME TIME -- TO ACHIEVE SMALL OR

LIMITED SOCIAL BENEFITS. IN THESE CASES, WE MUST EITHER

REVISE PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS TO LOWER THEIR COSTS

OR WE MUST NOT ADOPT THEM!

MOREOVER, WE MUST EXAMINE THE WHOLE RANGE OF EXISTING RULES

AND REGULATIONS TO DETERMINE WHERE MODIFICATIONS COULD LOWER

COSTS WITHOUT SIGNIFICANTLY SACRIFICING THEIR OBJECTIVES.

LET ME EMPHASIZE, HOWEVER, THAT WE DO NOT SEEK

TO ELIMINATE ALL REGULATIONS. MANY ARE COSTLY, BUT

THEY ARE ESSENTIAL TO PRESERVE PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY

BUT WE MUST KNOW THEIR COSTS AND MEASURE THEM AGAINST THE

GOOD THE REGULATIONS SEEK TO ACCOMPLISH.

A MAJOR PROBLEM IS THAT THESE COSTS ARE OFTEN HIDDEN
FROM THE PUBLIC. WHILE WE ARE ALL ACCUSTOMED TO
OPEN DEBATE ON THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, FAR TOO LITTLE ATTENTION

HAS BEEN FOCUSED ON THE WAYS IN WHICH GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS

LEVY A HIDDEN TAX ON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

IN THE NEARLY NINETY YEARS SINCE WE CREATED THE FIRST FEDERAL REGULATORY COMMISSION, WE HAVE BUILT A SYSTEM OF REGULATIONS WHICH ABOUNDS WITH CONTRADICTIONS AND EXCESSES -- ALL TO THE DETRIMENT OF THE PUBLIC.

THERE ARE SOUND ESTIMATES THAT GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS

HAVE ADDED BILLIONS OF UNNECESSARY DOLLARS TO BUSINESS AND CONSUMER COSTS EVERY YEAR. TO REVERSE THIS TREND OF GROWING REGULATION, MY ADMINISTRATION IS WORKING HARD TO IDENTIFY AND ELIMINATE THOSE REGULATIONS WHICH NOW COST THE AMERICAN PEOPLE MORE THAN THEY PROVIDE IN BENEFITS.

I FEEL STRONGLY, AS I KNOW THE CHAMBER DOES, THAT
WE MUST KEEP AND IMPROVE ON THOSE REGULATIONS WHICH WORK.

BUT WE MUST DISCARD THOSE THAT DO NOT.

LET ME REVIEW WITH YOU, NOW, SOME OF THE STEPS WE ARE TAKING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CONCENTRATE NOT ON RHETORIC BUT ON RESULTS.

BRANCH TO EVALUATE THE INFLATIONARY IMPACT OF SIGNIFICANT

LEGISLATION, RULES AND REGULATIONS WHICH WE PROPOSE. AND LET

ME SAY THAT I AM DELIGHTED THAT THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HAS

ALSO ADOPTED CHANGES IN ITS RULES TO REQUIRE THE MEASUREMENT

OF THE COST OF LEGISLATION BEFORE IT IS ADOPTED.

MOST PEOPLE WOULD AGREE THAT SOME REGULATION IS

NEEDED -- BUT ONLY WHEN WE KNOW THE COSTS OF PROPOSED

GOVERNMENT ACTIONS CAN WE RATIONALLY DETERMINE HOW MUCH

REGULATION WE ARE WILLING TO PAY FOR. FOR EXAMPLE,

IS IT WORTH AS MUCH AS THIRTY BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR OF THE CONSUMER DOLLAR TO REDUCE THE LEVEL OF OCCUPATIONAL NOISE EXPOSURE BY APPROXIMATELY FIVE DECIBELS?

HAVE AIR BAGS BEEN PROVEN SUFFICIENTLY COST-EFFECTIVE

FOR US TO REQUIRE THEIR INSTALLATION IN ALL CARS AT

BETWEEN ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS EACH?

COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM TO SEEK ENERGY-SUFFICIENCY FOR OUR NATION. AMONG THE HIGHEST PRIORITIES OF THIS EFFORT IS MY PROPOSAL TO REMOVE THE FEDERAL PRICE CONTROLS ON NEW NATURAL GAS SOLD IN INTERSTATE MARKETS.

AT PRESENT, THE ARTIFICALLY LOW PRICE OF NATURAL GAS

MARKETED INTERSTATE HAS CURTAILED EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT

AND FORCED USERS SHUT OUT BY THE PRESENT SHORTAGES TOWARD

EITHER CURTAILMENT OF THEIR OPERATIONS OR GREATER DEPENDENCE

This was a construction of the congress will result.

IN PLANT SHUT-DOWNS AND JOB LAY-OFFS.

ACT WHICH WOULD PHASE OUT SOME OF THE MOST ANTI-COMPETITIVE

FEDERAL REGULATIONS GOVERNING BANKS AND THRIFT INSTITUTIONS.

THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WILL BENEFIT IF ALL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

ARE ABLE TO OFFER A WIDER VARIETY OF LENDING SERVICES

AND PAY MORE COMPETITIVE INTEREST RATES TO SAVERS.

IN THE COMING WEEKS, I WILL SEND TO THE CONGRESS

A COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM DESIGNED TO

ACHIEVE MAXIMUM REFORM OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS GOVERNING

OUR RAILROADS, AIRLINES AND TRUCKING FIRMS.

THE FIRST OF THESE BILLS WILL PERMIT RAILROADS

TO BEGIN TO ADJUST THEIR RATES WITHIN SPECIFIED LIMITS --

WITHOUT I.C.C. INTERFERENCE. THE LEGISLATION WILL

IMPROVE PROCEDURES FOR MERGERS AND ABANDONMENTS.

THE INCREASED COMPETITION BROUGHT ABOUT BY THIS

LEGISLATION WILL LOWER COSTS FOR CONSUMERS AND SAVE APPROXIMATELY

70,000 BARRELS OF OIL A DAY.

LEGISLATION PROPOSING CORRESPONDING REFORM MEASURES
FOR TRUCKING AND AIRLINE REGULATION WILL FOLLOW SHORTLY.

ANOTHER ELEMENT OF OUR PROGRAM IS PENDING LEGISLATION

IN THE CONGRESS WHICH WOULD END THE SO-CALLED FAIR TRADE LAWS.

FEDERAL LAW NOW PERMITS STATES TO ALLOW MANUFACTURERS TO

DICTATE THE PRICE OF THEIR PRODUCTS, AND DRIVES UP THE COST

OF SUCH ITEMS AS BOOKS, COSMETICS, SHOES AND HARDWARE.

THESE DEPRESSION-ERA-LAWS -- WHICH COST CONSUMERS

AN ESTIMATED TWO BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR -- SHOULD BE LAID TO

REST ALONGSIDE THE N.R.A. BLUE EAGLE OF THE SAME PERIOD.

WHICH RESTRAIN COMPETITION AND THUS DENY BUYERS SUBSTANTIAL

SAVINGS. THE ROBINSON-PATMAN ACT IS A LEADING EXAMPLE OF

SUCH LAWS. IT DISCOURAGES BOTH LARGE AND SMALL FIRMS FROM

CUTTING PRICES, AND IT ALSO MAKES IT HARDER FOR THEM TO EXPAND

INTO NEW MARKETS AND TO PASS ON TO CUSTOMERS THE COST SAVINGS ON

LARGE ORDERS.

FINALLY, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF RELATED ACTIONS WHICH WILL IMPROVE OUR UNDERSTANDING OF GOVERNMENT REGULATION AND FACILITATE FUTURE CHANGES. THE PROBLEM OF GOVERNMENT-IMPOSED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS HAS BECOME SO ACUTE THAT YOUR GOVERNMENT HAS HAD TO CREATE A COMMISSION ON FEDERAL PAPERWORK.

THAT'S RIGHT. THERE'S A COMMITTEE, A BOARD,

AN AGENCY OR A COMMISSION IN WASHINGTON FOR JUST ABOUT

EVERYTHING, INCLUDING TRYING TO CUT DOWN THE ONEROUS

FILLING OUT OF FEDERAL FORMS -- WHICH LAST JUNE NUMBERED

5.146.

EXACTLY FIVE THOUSAND, ONE HUNDRED AND FORTY-SIX

SEPARATE TYPES.

THE COMMISSION WILL REPRESENT THE ADMINISTRATION,

THE CONGRESS, AND THE PUBLIC -- AND I INTEND TO SEE

THAT ITS WIDE POWERS ARE USED EFFECTIVELY TO CUT DOWN

THE UNNECESSARY BURDEN ON OUR AMERICAN FREE ENTERPRISE SYSTEM.

I WILL BE CONVENING SHORTLY AN UNPRECEDENTED

MEETING OF ALL OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE TEN MAJOR

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY AGENCIES. JOINING THEM WILL BE

KEY MEMBERS OF THE CONGRESS AND THE ADMINISTRATION.

TOGETHER, WE WILL DISCUSS THE IMPERATIVE NEED TO FOSTER

GREATER COMPETITION IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AND THE

EQUALLY IMPERATIVE NEED TO CONSIDER THE INFLATIONARY EFFECTS

OF ALL PROPOSED NEW REGULATIONS.

LET ME REAFFIRM TO YOU TODAY MY CONVICTION

THAT THE BEST WAY TO BEGIN OUR EFFORTS IS TO IMPROVE

THE GOVERNMENT WE HAVE -- NOT TO ENLARGE IT -- BECAUSE

I DO NOT BELIEVE A BIGGER GOVERNMENT IS NECESSARILY A

BETTER GOVERNMENT.

AGENCIES TO MAKE MAJOR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE QUALITY OF

SERVICE TO THE CONSUMER, AND I HAVE ASKED THE CONGRESS

TO POSTPONE ACTION ON LEGISLATION WHICH WOULD CREATE

A NEW FEDERAL AGENCY FOR CONSUMER ADVOCACY.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT WE NEED YET ANOTHER FEDERAL

about 60 million out 3 yrs

BUREAUCRACY IN WASHINGTON WITH ITS ATTENDANT COSTS AND

ADDITIONAL FEDERAL EMPLOYEES AT A TIME WHEN WE ARE

TRYING TO CUT DOWN ON BOTH THE SIZE AND THE COST OF

GOVERNMENT, IT WOULD BE UNSOUND TO ADD STILL ANOTHER

LAYER OF BUREAUCRACY.

INSTEAD, THE PROGRAM I HAVE OUTLINED REPRESENTS THE FIRST
STEPS TOWARD IMPROVING GOVERNMENT'S ABILITY TO SERVE
ITS CITIZENS.

LET ME ADD -- THAT I NEED YOUR HELP IN SO MANY

WAYS. I NEED YOUR VIEWS AND YOUR IDEAS AND YOUR

SUGGESTIONS -- FOR IN THAT WAY WE CAN BRING THE FULL WEIGHT

OF THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY TO BEAR ON SOLVING THE MUTUAL

PROBLEMS WE FACE.

URGE YOU TO BRING TO MY ATTENTION THOSE GOVERNMENT PRACTICES
WHICH YOU FEEL UNNECESSARILY ADD TO COSTS AND INTERFERE WITH
THE EFFECTIVE WORKING OF OUR FREE ENTERPRISE SYSTEM.

YOU WILL BE DOING YOUR COUNTRY AND YOUR FELLOW-BUSINESSMEN

A SERVICE -- AS WELL AS YOURSELF.

WE HAVE A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY NOW TO MAKE

SOME LONG OVERDUE CHANGES IN A SYSTEM OF REGULATION

WHICH HAS NOT KEPT PACE WITH THE TIMES. THE CRITICAL

CHOICES REMAIN TO BE MADE. BUT I AM CONFIDENT THAT

AMERICA HAS THE CAPABILITY, AND THE DESIRE, TO RESPOND

TO THESE CHALLENGES. THESE FUNDAMENTAL REFORMS

ARE VITAL TO OUR ECONOMIC RECOVERY AND LONG-RANGE STABILITY.

I COMMEND THE CHAMBER FOR THE ADVERTISEMENTS ENTITLED

'WHAT'S RIGHT WITH AMERICA," WHICH IT IS RUNNING IN NEWSPAPERS

OF THE TWELVE ITEMS LISTED IN THE AD, I PARTICULARLY LIKE NUMBER SIX, WHICH SAYS:

"WE HAVE AN WILLINGNESS TO EXPERIMENT WITH DIFFERENT

FORMS OF SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL ORGANIZATION -- KEEPING

WHAT WORKS AND <u>DISCARDING</u> WHAT DOESN'T."

THAT SUMS UP WELL WHAT I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO SAY TO

YOU HERE TODAY.

LET US WORK TOGETHER IN THESE EFFORTS, WHICH WILL

BENEFIT ALL AMERICANS.

THANK YOU.

END OF TEXT