The original documents are located in Box 7, folder "4/18/75 - White House Conference, Concord, New Hampshire" of the President's Speeches and Statements: Reading Copies at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

FICKNOWLEDGEMENTS

GOV. THOMPSON

GOV LONGELY
MAYOR MCLANE

MR. CLEMENTS
DIST INGUISHED GUESTS
LADIES AND GENTLEMEN

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEK.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE - CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE

FRIDAY, APRIL 18, 1975

IT IS A GREAT PLEASURE TO BE HERE IN NEW HAMPSHIRE AGAIN

-- A STATE OF INFINITE RICHES. A STATE THAT HAS MORE THAN ITS

SHARE OF SCENIC BEAUTY. A STATE THAT HAS MORE THAN ITS SHARE

OF YANKEE KNOW-HOW. A STATE THAT HAS MORE THAN ITS SHARE OF

AMERICAN HISTORY. AND A STATE THAT HAS LESS THAN ITS SHARE

OF UNITED STATES SENATORS.

My I sold me comment—

New Hampshire deserves its full constitutional representation on the M.S. Auste—mov.

2 comment the Attale legislature of N.H.

for long all it can to make such lenstoral supersortation possible

DOMESTIC AND ECONOMIC AFFAIRS. I HAVE FOUND MEETINGS OF THIS
KIND A TWO-WAY STREET: THEY HELP US IN WASHINGTON KEEP IN TOUCH
WITH YOUR VIEWS AND GIVE US THE OPPORTUNITY TO TELL YOU ABOUT OUR
PROGRAMS.

THESE SESSIONS, ATTENDED BY A CROSS-SECTION OF AMERICANS, FOCUS ON OUR MAJOR CONCERNS.

EVERY ADMINISTRATION FACES AN AGENDA OF PRESSING ISSUES,

CALLING FOR IMMEDIATE ACTION. IN 1975, THESE ISSUES ARE

AMERICA'S ROLE IN THE WORLD, THE REESTABLISHMENT OF OUR ECONOMIC

HEALTH AND CREATION OF A NEW AND LONG-RANGE POLICY ON ENERGY.

CERTAINLY, THESE PROBLEMS REQUIRE OUR BEST THINKING

AND MOST DECISIVE ACTION. BUT AMERICA HAS OTHER PROBLEMS

THAT ALSO DESERVE ATTENTION.

ONE OF THESE IS THE NEED TO RECONSIDER THE RELATIONSHIP

BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS. FEDERAL REGULATIONS HAVE

ENTANGLED FAR TOO MANY ASPECTS OF OUR ECONOMIC SYSTEM. THE

AREA OF GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS HAS BEEN NEGLECTED TOO LONG.

WE MUST REASSESS THE ARCHAIC AND RIGID REGULATIONS
WHICH HAMPER THE UNITED STATES ECONOMY AND DIRECTLY AFFECT
THE AMERICAN CONSUMER.

IN FAR TOO MANY CASES, GOVERNMENT REGULATION HAS

BECOME COUNTER-PRODUCTIVE AND REMOTE FROM THE NEEDS AND INTERESTS

OF BUSINESSES AND CONSUMERS ALIKE.

WHAT AFFECTS BUSINESS, ULTIMATELY AFFECTS CONSUMERS.

AND MEANINGFUL REFORM OF OUR PRESENT REGULATORY SYSTEM MUST BE

A PART OF THE CURRENT EFFORT TO RESPOND TO THE CONSUMER.

TODAY, MORE THAN ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND PEOPLE ARE

EMPLOYED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ALONE TO WRITE, REVIEW OR

ENFORCE SOME TYPE OF REGULATION. JUST TO LIST ALL OF THE RULES

AND REGULATIONS ESTABLISHED LAST YEAR REQUIRED FORTY-FIVE
THOUSAND PAGES OF VERY SMALL PRINT IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER.

THIS BUREAUCRACY IS EXPENSIVE TO MAINTAIN AND EVEN

MORE COSTLY IN ITS IMPACT. BUT THE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS ARE

NOT NEARLY AS SIGNIFICANT AS THE PRICETAGS FOR THE INEFFICIENCY TOO

OFTEN PRODUCED BY REGULATION. THE TIME HAS COME FOR SERIOUS

REEVALUATION OF THE REGULATORY SYSTEM.

AND REFORM MUST BE BASED ON LESS DEPENDENCE ON GOVERNMENT AND

MORE RELIANCE ON THE CITIZEN AS PRODUCER AND CONSUMER.

THIS ADMINISTRATION HAS SEVERAL INITIATIVES UNDERWAY

AND MORE ARE PLANNED TO MOVE THE GOVERNMENT TOWARD DEREGULATION.

LAST FALL, WE SENT THE CONGRESS LEGISLATION TO CREATE

A BI-PARTISAN NATIONAL COMMISSION ON REGULATORY REFORM. THE
PROPOSAL SPECIFIED A ONE-YEAR LIFE SPAN AND A MANDATE FOR ACTION
TODAY, THERE HAS BEEN NO CONGRESSIONAL ACTION. BUT THE
OBVIOUS NEED FOR REFORM CONVINCES ME THAT CONGRESS MUST RESPOND.

DURING THE PAST FEW MONTHS, WE HAVE STUDIED THE

PROBLEM OF EXCESSIVE GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS AND HOW THEY STIFLE

PRODUCTIVITY, ELIMINATE COMPETITION, INCREASE CONSUMER COSTS

AND CONTRIBUTE TO INFLATION. AND WE HAVE CONCLUDED THAT THERE

IS ACTION THAT CAN AND MUST BE TAKEN TO ALLEVIATE THESE PROBLEMS.

REQUIRING INFLATION IMPACT STATEMENTS TO MEASURE WHAT GOVERNMENT
RULES AND REGULATIONS COST. THESE STATEMENTS WERE THE FIRST
ATTEMPT TO SEE HOW GOVERNMENT ACTIONS CONTRIBUTE TO INFLATION.
WHAT WE ARE FINDING OUT IS NOT JUST THE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS OF
THESE ACTIONS, BUT ALSO THE COSTS TO CONSUMERS.

THE RESPONSIBILITY OF GOVERNMENT IN CONTRIBUTING TO

INFLATION IS OF GREAT CONCERN TO THIS ADMINISTRATION. THIS

PROMPTED OUR CALL FOR A REDUCTION OF SOME FORTY THOUSAND

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES AND A TEN PERCENT CUTBACK IN WHITE HOUSE

PERSONNEL. BELT-TIGHTENING FOR THIS ADMINISTRATION BEGINS

AT HOME.

THE DOWNTURN IN THE RATE OF INFLATION IS VERY ENCOURAGING.

WHEN I TOOK OFFICE, INFLATION WAS CLIMBING AT AN ANNUAL RATE OF

TWELVE POINT TWO PERCENT. TODAY, THAT RATE HAS SLOWED TO

SEVEN POINT FOUR PERCENT. IT IS STILL TOO HIGH, OF COURSE,

AND WE WILL MAINTAIN OUR EFFORTS TO BRING IT DOWN EVEN FURTHER.

I AM DETERMINED TO VETO LEGISLATION THAT IS TOO EXPENSIVE IN TERMS OF THE BUDGET DEFICIT AND LEGISLATION THAT WILL COST BUSINESS AND CONSUMERS TOO MUCH.

REGULATIONS SPRANG UP IN RESPONSE TO CERTAIN ECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND HAVE BEEN PERPETUATED BY TOO LITTLE ATTENTION TO THEIR EFFECTIVENESS.

AN OUT-DATED VIEW OF BUSINESS AS THE OPPRESSOR,

WHICH MUST BE CONTROLLED BY THE GOVERNMENT, HAS ALSO

CONTRIBUTED TO THE FAILURE TO TACKLE REFORM.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS

IS A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND THE CONSUMER,

AND THIS MUST BE THE SPIRIT BEHIND REEVALUATION AND REFORM.

THE PRODUCERS AND THE CUSTOMERS IN OUR SYSTEM ARE NOT

ENEMIES -- BUT PARTNERS. COOPERATION IS NEEDED TO HELP PROMOTE

REFORM OF THE REGULATORY SYSTEM. PRODUCERS, WHO STRIVE

TO ACHIEVE A REPUTATION FOR FAIR DEALING, ARE VERY AWARE THAT

GOODWILL WITH THE PUBLIC IS THE MOST VALUABLE ASSET A COMPANY

CAN HAVE.

BUSINESS AND CONSUMERS MUST UNITE FOR THE COMMON GOOD

TO HELP UNSNARL THE RESTRICTIONS THAT ENCUMBER OUR ECONOMY.

ONE UNFORTUNATE BY-PRODUCT OF REGULATION IS THE STIFLING OF COMPETITION. REDUCED COMPETITION HURTS THE CUSTOMER AND ULTIMATELY THE ENTIRE FREE ENTERPRISE SYSTEM.

COMPETITION IS THE KEY TO PRODUCTIVITY AND INNOVATION.

EVEN THOSE BUSINESSES THAT ENJOY A PROTECTED STATUS UNDER

REGULATION ARE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

ALTHOUGH IT IS DIFFICULT TO COME UP WITH AN EXACT PRICE TAG ON THE COST OF UNNECESSARY AND INEFFECTIVE GOVERNMENT REGULATION. SOME ESTIMATES PLACE THE COMBINED COST TO CONSUMERS OF GOVERNMENT REGULATION AND RESTRICTIVE PRACTICES IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR AT MORE THAN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COLLECTS IN PERSONAL INCOME TAXES EACH YEAR -- OR SOMETHING ON THE ORDER OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS PER FAMILY. EVEN IF THE REAL COSTS ARE ONLY A FRACTION OF THIS AMOUNT -- THIS IS AN INTOLERABLE BURDEN ON OUR POCKETBOOKS.

TRANSPORATION IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN INDUSTRY WHERE

CONSUMERS ARE AT THE MERCY OF OUTDATED REGULATION.

AS A RESULT, THE INDUSTRY HAS BEEN HAMPERED AND HARMED.

THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, CREATED IN 1887

TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC FROM THE MONOPOLY OF THE RAILROADS,

PRODUCED MASSIVE CONSTRICTION OF RAIL TRANSPORTATION.

THE DEFECTS OF AIRLINE REGULATIONS BY THE CIVIL

AERONAUTICS BOARD CAN BE SEEN IN CALIFORNIA AND TEXAS

WHERE C-A-B REGULATED CARRIERS COMPETE WITH INTRA-STATE

CARRIERS, WHICH ARE NOT REGULATED BY THE C-A-B.

FARES OF THE NON-REGULATED INTRA-STATE CARRIERS ARE AS MUCH AS

FORTY PERCENT LOWER THAN THOSE CONTROLLED BY THE C-A-B.

TO DEAL WITH INEFFICIENT AND INEQUITABLE REGULATION

IN THE TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY, I WILL SEND TO THE CONGRESS

A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM OF REGULATORY REFORM WHICH WILL PROMOTE

COMPETITION BY ALLOWING GREATER PRICE FLEXIBILITY, GREATER

FREEDOM OF ENTRY, AND BY REDUCING THE POWER OF GOVERNMENT

AGENCIES TO GRANT ANTITRUST IMMUNITY.

THESE PROPOSALS ARE DESIGNED TO ALLOW RAILROADS,

AIRLINES AND TRUCKING FIRMS TO LOWER THEIR RATES.

INCREASED COMPETITION WILL ALSO RESULT IN MORE EFFICIENT USE

OF ENERGY AND SAVINGS TO THE CONSUMER.

STIMULATION OF COMPETITION IS THE GOAL OF ANOTHER

LEGISLATIVE ITEM, THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS ACT, WHICH I HAVE

ALREADY SENT TO THE CONGRESS. IT PROPOSES THE REMOVAL

OF OUTDATED CONSTRAINTS ON SERVICES AND RATES WHICH BANKS

AND SAVINGS INSTITUTIONS OFFER TO CONSUMERS.

AS MOST OF YOU KNOW, PRESENT REGULATIONS MAKE IT

EASIER TO OBTAIN HIGHER INTEREST RATES FOR THE LARGE DEPOSITOR

THAN THE SMALL DEPOSITOR. BANKS SHOULD BE ALLOWED

TO COMPETE FOR THE SMALL INVESTOR'S DOLLAR -- AND THIS

LEGISLATION WOULD FACILITATE THAT.

OPEN UP NEW SOURCES OF DEPOSITS AND INCREASE THE LENDING POWER

OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. THE INCREASED FINANCIAL FLEXIBILITY

WILL OBVIOUSLY PROVIDE AN ECONOMIC BOOST AND GIVE THE AVERAGE

CONSUMER A BETTER OPPORTUNITY TO EARN A GOOD INTEREST

FROM SAVINGS.

MARKETPLACE COMPETITION WOULD BE IMPROVED

BY INCREASING CONSUMER INFORMATION. THE BETTER INFORMED

THE PURCHASER, THE MORE COMPETITIVE PRODUCERS MUST BECOME.

ALL OF THE INITIATIVES TOWARD DEREGULATION SHOULD BE ACCOMPANIED BY ENFORCEMENT OF ANTITRUST LAWS. VIGOROUS ANTITRUST ACTION MUST BE PART OF THE EFFORT TO PROMOTE COMPETITION.

A NUMBER OF INDUSTRIES WERE MADE EXEMPT FROM THESE

CONTROLS; OTHERS WERE NOT. LIKE MANY GOVERNMENT

INTERVENTIONS IN THE MARKET SYSTEM, THE EXEMPTIONS WERE ENACTED

IN RESPONSE TO VARIOUS ECONOMIC DIFFICULTIES, REAL OR

IMAGINED, WITH LITTLE OR NO THOUGHT ON THE LONG-RUN EFFECT.

THE TIME HAS COME TO RECONSIDER THESE EXEMPTIONS AND TO

DISCONTINUE THOSE NOT JUSTIFIED.

RE-EVALUATION OF ANOTHER COUNTERPRODUCTIVE PATTERN,

SO-CALLED "FAIR TRADE" LAWS IS UNDERWAY IN STATE LEGISLATURES.

AND NEW HAMPSHIRE IS ONE OF THE STATES WHERE THESE OUTDATED

LAWS HAVE BEEN REPEALED.

THESE STATE LAWS ARE SANCTIONED BY FEDERAL STATUTES,

AND THEY PERMIT MANUFACTURERS TO DICTATE THE PRICES AT WHICH

RETAILERS MUST SELL THEIR GOODS.

THOSE OF YOU WHO LIVE IN MAINE AND MASSACHUSETTS

UNDERSTAND WELL WHAT THESE LAWS COST YOU AS CONSUMERS.

ALTOGETHER, "FAIR TRADE" LAWS COST AMERICANS AN ESTIMATED

TWO BILLION DOLLARS IN HIGHER PRICES EACH YEAR.

THE STATE LEGISLATIVE REPEALS ARE ENCOURAGING.

BUT THE CONGRESS SHOULD ACT TO REMOVE THE FEDERAL LAWS THAT

ALLOW STATES TO HONOR THESE PRACTICES. SENATOR EDWARD BROOKE

OF MASSACHUSETTS HAS PROPOSED SUCH LEGISLATION, WHICH SHOULD

BE PASSED.

IN THE COMING WEEKS, THE ADMINISTRATION WILL PROPOSE

LEGISLATION TO PERMIT LEGITIMATE DISCOUNT PRICING.

CONSUMER PRICES HIGHER THAN NECESSARY.

TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT OF LAW, MANUFACTURERS SHOULD BE ALLOWED

TO PASS ON TO RETAILERS THE COST BENEFITS OF PRODUCING AND

SHIPPING LARGE ORDERS FOR CHAIN STORES AND OTHER VOLUME BUYERS.

LIKE "FAIR TRADE" LAWS, RESTRICTIONS ON DISCOUNTS ACT TO KEEP

THESE ARE ONLY SOME OF THE AREAS WHERE THE

ADMINISTRATION HAS FOCUSED ON POTENTIAL ACTION TO PROMOTE MORE

COMPETITION IN THE MARKETPLACE, WHICH BENEFITS BUSINESS AND

CONSUMERS. OTHER ACTIONS WILL BE TAKEN AND MORE LEGISLATION

PROPOSED.

I URGE REFORM OF STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS.

THE NEED TO CLEAR THE COBWEBS FROM OUR GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS APPLIES TO ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT CONTROLS.

REFORMS OF OUR PRESENT REGULATORY STRUCTURE DEPEND

UPON A REVISION IN OUR ATTITUDES. NEW PERCEPTIONS ARE

ALREADY HERE, MANY OF THEM TRIGGERED BY CONSUMER ADVOCATES.

SOME ARISE FROM OUR CURRENT ECONOMIC PROBLEMS.

IN UNRAVELING NEARLY A CENTURY OF REGULATIONS,
WE MUST ENSURE THAT THE PUBLIC INTEREST PREVAILS.

NOTHING RESISTS CHANGE MORE STUBBORNLY THAN A COMFORTABLY ENTRENCHED BUREAUCRACY, INTENT UPON SELF-PRESERVATION.

BUT THE HISTORY OF THIS NATION, INDEED ITS FOUNDING
WHICH WE ARE ABOUT TO CELEBRATE, IS A CONTINUING CHRONICLE
OF CHANGE.

AMERICA HAS THE UNIQUE ABILITY TO REFORM ITSELF.

IT IS OUR GREAT STRENGTH. THE NEED TO REFORM THE RELATIONSHIP

BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS IS NOT AS DRAMATIC AS SOME OF

THE REFORMS WE HAVE MADE IN THE PAST. BUT IT IS VITAL TO OUR

ECONOMIC RECOVERY AND STABILITY.

CHANGE IS THE LIFEBLOOD OF DEMOCRACY, AND THE WILLINGNESS OF AMERICA TO CONFRONT CHANGE INSURES THE CONTINUITY OF OUR INSTITUTIONS.

I AM CONFIDENT THAT AMERICA WILL RESPOND TO THE CHALLENGE FOR GROWTH.

END OF TEXT