The original documents are located in Box D21, folder "Distinguished Speaker Series, Ohio Staters, Inc., Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, December 8, 1966" of the Ford Congressional Papers: Press Secretary and Speech File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. The Council donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Digitized from Box D21 of The Ford Congressional Papers: Press Secretary and Speech File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library

MAGERAL DY 1872, GRALLE PRINC (R-1858L.), MINORITY DAMAGE OF THE MINIST OF MATHEMATICAL AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PRINCIPAL AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PRINCIPAL AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PRINCIPAL AND ADMINISTRATION OF T

"INCIONT INTO COMPLEX ISSUES OF THE DAY"

I have set apoelf a formidable tack--to discuss with you the complex issues of the day.

The American people today have usny ecocorse, many problems, and they are indeed complex.

They of these ecocorns were demanticed as issues in the 1966 election campaign.

I shall seek to discuss these issues as I see them. If ot times I seem partison,

it is not with deliberate intent. It is simply a reflection of my own views on

those critical issues.

that are the major issues of the day? Thiofly, they are inflation, the outlank for the seement, recommended in the war on poverty, how beet to deal with the persistent urban problems of air and vater pollution, uses transit, traffic conquestion, and rising arises rates, how beet to achieve equal rights for all our citizens, how to bring the Vietnem Wer to an honorable conclusion while thursting Communist aggression and expansion in Southeast Acia.

Perhaps I can shed some light on those problems for you. I will try.

Americans this year have been very much eccented about a disturbing rise in the cost of living. Slice then any way you like, those sharp price increases add up to inflation. We have seen the destruction of the relative price stability this country has enjoyed in recent pages.





month of October as prepared by the Bareau of Labor Statistics. In October, the most of living rose for the minth consecutive month. This means the pass of inflation has men diminished.

The cost of living is going up 4 percent or more this year, and that is for too much. It is by for the stuopest rise in prices since the Rosson Way.

Strong setion to contex inflation should have been taken by the Administration in late 1965. When the Administration failed to set, the Poissal Become Board triggered as increase in commercial interest rates and has been tightening the .

There are those who conform the Receive Board for this action, but the truth
is the Board was forced to act because the Administration failed to meet the
shallongs of Anflotisa,

The Administration did take some anti-inflationary actions in 1966--reimposition of some Poderal empire tense and aerologated withhelding of Poderal income tense-but the effect was quite minimal. The general policy of the Administration was one of watch and wait.

Then the best from the inflation fire become unharrable, the Administration sought and Congress approved a li-month suspension of the 7 persons tax credit allowed businesses on investments in plant empansion and improvement.

I believed them, and I still do, they the Administration had allowed the proper time for strong anti-inflation medicine to elip by. Also, I doubted whether expension of the invectment tex erafit would accomplish the objective set for it becomes its impact would not be felt perceptibly autil perhaps wid-1967.

I and others wanted the Administration to eliminate wantersoary Federal spending to offset the transmises sent of the Vietnam Way, cool off the commany and blunt the inflationary pressures which were developing the seeming. We also felt that if spending cuts actually corried out would not do the job, an income tax increase was another potential tool in the bettle against inflation.

Heavy of us did not rule out a ten increase therewin the opring of 1966--but I now feel a beest is income tames might bring on adverse accurate conditions.

There are signs that the accounty is slowing down deeplie the continued rice in the Genemer Price Index. It is still visally important to make the Sederal spending outs that Republican loaders advecated in January, 1966, and throughout the second secsion of the 99th Congress. Deductions in non-accountial Paderal spending would have been good medicine for the accounty than. Spending outs right now would be an effective and beneficial antidote for the inflationary pressures atill present in the accounty.

There will sentime to be inflationary processes in 1967. Now contract talks are coming up in nearly every American industry next year-otell, establish, subsection, and chamicals. You can be sure the cost of living will be a big talking point as labor process for more messay.

Tou can be ours labor negotiators won't be thinking in terms of the Administration
tion's discredited 3.2 percent unpe and price guidelines. The Administration
tipelf tempedoed its wage guidepool during this year's airlines strike. How
Labor Secretary Willard Wirts is talking about a 5 percent increase as a reasonable
figure. I'm sure his 5 percent is just a hope and a prayor because labor will have
very much in mind next year what the worker's dollar will buy at the supermorket
and the clothing store. Like the cost of living, labor's demands will be up.

I believe the economy ask and thould be pulled by elarly need a prerement policion-both floral and menetary. The Federal government, by its actions, can been the economy noving at a bealthy pass or, through mistakes in judgment or policy, can tip us into a reconsion.

The Administration unde a serious mistake early this year is not seting vigorously to halt inflation. That ups the proper time to take such setion-and timing is of the greatest importance in managing the seesawy. Towing allowed that apportunity to slip by, the Administration has placed the accomposed the posterbooks of all Americans-sin jospardy. I said recently a Paderal income ten inafecce in 1967 would be a tragic mistake. So far there is no hard evidence to shange my views. The Administration cortainly has the burden of proof and so far has not presented a perputative same.

thile the Administration has Soiled to fight infletion with any vigor, it has launched a welti-billion-deller war against powerty. I don't think the War on Powerty has been very recessorful. I don't think the results to date have justified the expenditure of more than (2 billion. The program has not been run well, and the basic approach has been wrong. More enti-powerty efforts have recessed, as in Michigan, it is because local landgrahip has produced results despite bungling at the Federal laws.

The need in the Poverty Wer is a shift in emphasis sway from the bonin treet
in the Office of Seconds Opportunity. Insentive grants should be offered to the
etstee on a metableg basis, and etstee should be given some Cotherity in the
operation of programs within their boundaries. At the same time, every effort
should be made to enlist the aid of private industry in local sati-poverty projects.

At the Pulural loval, overlapping whould be eliminated and red tape should be out by chifting going programs like Hand Start to older established agencies.

OSS Administrator Sargent Shriver has complained be deam't have enough
manay for fiscal 1967--only \$1.6 billion. Honey slone wen't win the poverty way.

But perhaps a fresh approach and a genuine Pederal-state-least-quad-industry
partnership can.

and series widged a fability correction

I would out Pederal spending to held down or climinate the Pederal deficit and to fight inflation. One place I would out is the space program. While I am just as proved as every other American of our space accomplishments, I do not believe it is accepted that we do everything on a equal basis.

That reminds us of the creek that our irrepressible friend, Admiral Richever, once uses about Pederal spending. Adm. Richever said that if the Seviet Union should suddenly appeared it was going to send a man to Hell, there sould be at least two Pederal agencies up before the appropriations countitions of Congress the next day, demanding funds to make sure this country got there first.

It's often said that some numbers of Congrues believe there's as problem that san't be solved by appropriating a few more billion dellars. You may have gethered that I am not one of them.

It is estimated that \$96 billion in Federal funds has been poured into our cities in an extempt to make them communities in which a now can be proud to live and drawn and rough for the stars.

We're all familiar with the problems of the cities--busper-to-busper traffic, air and weter fould with pollutants, high srime rates, also conditions, inequality of opportunity for jobs, education and housing, and lack of adequate recreational facilities.

inedid. The Padaral government has become a shopping center where city officials
flock to try to pick up what Vice-President Bubert Bumphrey calls Yederal "goodies."

If smaleipel officials don't get what they went in one shop, they try enother.

In many cases, more than one Poderal agency dispenses money for the same problem area.

I recently heard a freehom Democratic congression remark that the deplication of Federal programs in Machington didn't bother him a bit. It ment, he said, that if aity officials from his district ron into a readblook in one federal agency they could always try another one where the purse strings might be leaver.

I want to see the problems of our cities solved. And it will take billions of dellars. But I don't believe all the expertise recides in Washington, and I do think there is a transmisser deplication of effort, such wheel-spinning and waste motion. The emphasis now is on Federal solutions to local problems--and I don't believe that is the only or best ensure in the decade sheed.

Those who now make policy in Machington cell the present Pederal approach to local problem-colving "creative federalism." I submit that it often is destructive federalism in its existing form. The reason is that the Pederal government outs up the ground rules and makes the big decisions.

Thile local officials wait for the Washington bernaueroey to set, local creativity often languishes or dies s-borning. And in the discouraging unit for Foderal funds, the line gots longer and longer, and local projects mark time for years.

I feel cortain that state and local officials can solve their problems, given the proper resources to do the job. When I speak of recourses, I speak of memory.

The states and local units last on Washington because Washington is the giant tax collector. Washington new collects substantially more than \$100 billion a year while the states struggle along with a property tax, perhaps a sales tax, and possibly a minimal income tax grafted onto the Sederal income tax system.

The cities and other local smits, after relying principally on the property
tax for years, now are searching for new revenue sources, including smaleigal
income taxes.

Yet the states and cities run to Washington to try to grab oil some of the second "goodies."

This is a system which produces progress only in fits and starts and loads in some cases to stall and delay in local projects. It is not getting the job done. Our cities are continuing to deteriorate, and the flight to the suburbosser those ablasts fine-good on.

That is this enewer? Is it billions upon billions more in Podoral grante-in-aid?

That is that Provident Johnson proposed in his speech last August in Symmeo.

W. Y. He said Podoral aid to cities has been increasing at a rate of \$4 billion

a year and provised more.

but the grants-in-cid approach decombs appear to be working. We need equathing better, sensithing that will improve the quality of American life in aitice all across America. I think that "sensithing" to Federal revenue-sharing.

I believe Polerel tex-charing-diverting a percentage of Poleral revenue to the states and cities with a minimum of strings attached in a fer better answer to our urban ills. I believe it will do the job where estagorisel, specific greats-in-aid have failed. I believe this because local efficials are better



because such executity knows its own provious best, and an one demanding's problems are exectly like these of any other.

While we struggle with inflation and urban ills at home, we find serosives locked in a secologic radious conflict helfusy around the world, cought up in a war nest Americana don't fully understand and some refuse to support.

Americans wish uset fervently for an and to the Vistam Ner. But beautes
up are a determined people and a north people, up seek an and to that was only on
homoroble terms and only if in ending it up can processe freedom of choice for
the people of South Vistams.

Perhaps the National Republican Coordinating Countries has best expressed the general objective of the American people in Vietnam. The Countries in December, 1963, stated: "We do not seek the unconditional surrender of North Vietnam; we much the unconditional security of South Vietnam."

I have long folt that no matter how proceedally we might proceeds the war in Vietnam, we could not truly who it and we would not gain unconditional security for the South Vietnamese unless we also win the war of pacification.

This is the key to ending the Victors War benerably and making it possible for the South Victors to live in pages under a government of their own choosing.

That is thy I was placed to learn recently that South Horse will seed a 10,000-man Kersen Peace Corps to South Vietnem by the end of next year to assist in premoting the social and assumate development of that war-torn country. It is said that ultimately this Kersen Peace Corps will number 20,000.

It also was sanguaged recently that more than helf of the South Vistamence army

en time will be employed to told from theres it is about the periodical efforts.

In addition, the Administration has just undertaken a thorough reorganisation of our AID organisation in South Vietnam.

These moves, if they prove productive, ore the basis on which peace and progress can be achieved in Vietness. These are hopeful vigne.

But do not be described. Eight now to are not winning that I cell "the other war"oothe program of pacification. In arose promontly under government control, the Vistacong moves back in at night and taken over.

Our best hope for withdrownl of V.S. forces from Vietness lies in the success of the pacification program.

Ef it does not recessed, it means nothing for the United States and six other mations to medi'at Meaila and ploige a withdrawal of allied forces from Vietnam once aggression coases and violence submides.

If the pacification program fails, W.S. withdrawal from Vietness would seem result in a takenover of the country by the Vietness.

I have touched an some hard-score issues harn today. Tenr impression may be that the V.S. government is so beset with problems both foreign and demostic that we don't know which way to turn.

You and I would all despets if we didn't fully appropriate the atrength and the wieder of the American people.

We know that our nation is strong and vital, its people regges and persovering, its character one of indemitable courage and above all a soving sease of humor.

All this plus a form of government unmatched in the history of mankind!

And we know that whatever the crisis, our Notice will proved because its people are willing to take up the terch of secrifice and to stand up for freedom. Thank you!

FOR RELEASE UPON DELIVERY AT 10 A.M., WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1966

ADDRESS BY REP. GERALD R. FORD (R-MICR.) MINORITY LEADER OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AT FAIRLEIGH-DICKENSON UNIVERSITY, RUTHERFORD, N. J.

Mote: Same Speech given in 2 places DEC. 8, 1966 SPEECH

"INSIGHT INTO COMPLEX ISSUES OF THE DAY"

I have set myself a formidable task--to discuss with you the complex issues of the day.

The American people today have meny concerns, many problems, and they are indeed complex.

Many of these concerns were dramatised as issues in the 1966 election campaign.

I shall seek to discuss these issues as I see them. If at times I seem partisan,

it is not with deliberate intent. It is simply a reflection of my own views on

these critical issues.

What are the major issues of the day? Chiefly, they are inflation, the outlook for the economy, success or failure in the war on poverty, how best to deal with the persistent urban problems of air and water pollution, mass transit, traffic congestion, and rising crime rates, how best to achieve equal rights for all our citisens, how to bring the Vietnam War to an honorable conclusion while thwarting Communist aggression and expansion in Southeast Asia.

Perhaps I can shed some light on these problems for you. I will try.

Americans this year have been very much concerned about a disturbing rise in ORD the cost of living. Slice them any way you like, these sharp price increases add

up to inflation. We have seen the destruction of the veletive arise stability

Ladies and gentlemen:

The great states of the Midwest and a neighbor campus of one four finest I mownhe of my home state of Michigan. I am a little surprised at your warm welcome, however, considering the fact that the school where I played my college football gave Ohio State a few lumps this year. But, of course, the process has been reversed on occasions too.

I am honored to be here, and I make cay I was flattered by being invited to appear on a Distinguished Speakers Series.

This reminds me of the PTA President who recently wrote and asked me to speak to her group. In her letter of invitation, she added: "I realize you are very busy, so if you can't accept we will understand. We have had very distinguished speakers up to now."

I didn't quite know how to take that, but I was very glad to take the opportunity of speaking to you people today.

This is my 3rd speaking appearance since the Nov. 8 election. As you know, I am Minority Leader of the House of Representatives. In the flush of the Republican gain of 47 seats in the House, I feel compelled to comment that I am now more of a leader and less of a minority.

The Republican cause is looking up, Phasw, because top comedians like Bob Hope now are using us for some of their gags. When the comedians ignore us, heaven help us!

But right now our Nielsen rating is up, and Republican National Chairman Ray Bliss-an illustrious product of the Buckeye State--deserves a good slice of the credit.

In the last two years--since being elected Minority Leader of the House--I have made nearly 400 speeches, most of them impromptu.

One night after I had delivered a talk at a political fund-raising dinner, a woman came up to me and asked if she could have a copy of my speech. I told her I was sorry but I didn't have a prepared text.

"Oh, I see," she said. Then, after a moment of thought, she asked: "Do you think your speech will ever be published?" Feeling a big mischievous, I replied, "Maybe posthumously," "Good," she said, "I hope that will be soon."

If my speech here today is ever published, I hope I will live to see it.