### For release October 4, 1951

# YOUR WASHINGTON REVIEW BY GERALD R. FORD, JR.

The Congressional Record is principally devoted to the legislative proceedings of the House and Senate. Most of the pages are filled with the speeches by members on the various problems which are under discussion. In addition to all this, however, there is an Appendix and here is where miscellaneous material is included. For example, if a Senator wants to preserve for posterity some speech he made at a meeting at home or if a particular magazine article or newspaper editorial catches his eye, this material can be inserted in the Appendix of the Congressional Record. Most of it is pretty heavy stuff and oftentimes not too pertinent, but recently a Congressmen from out West has been inserting the comments or editorials by a fellow called Neckyoke Jones who hails from Sheridan, Wyoming.

Presume you all read about President Truman's derogatory remarks about Congressmen and others who are trying to cut the federal budget and make the American dollar worth something. Here's Neckyoke Jones' comments on this situation -

"'Did you seen where Hightail Harry Truman sez the good ol' U.S. is simply rollin' in wealth? I requires of Greesewood. \*I seen that, he refines, 'but that ain't the way I hearn it. Accordin' to a hull passel of fellers who kinda knows the score - some of the things which Harry thinks is assets is about like havin' a second mortgige on a band of antelope. The figgers show that what Harry is blowin' make FDR's spendin' look like little casino. Harry's steamboat is costin' taxpayers over quarter of a millyun a year - which makes livin' a life on the briney-o kinda expensive. There is talk of a lot more taxes and iffen things is so rosey for wyo do they figger on boostin! the ante? The government is borryin' more money - why? Ol' Seniter bird of Verginey - who is a Demmycrat has called the turn on spendin'. Seniter Joe o. My Honey of Wyomin' says the goviment has got to quit spending extravagent like - but 0 My Honey allus votes for the full amount of everything Hightail asts for. It ain't hard to unnerstand now how come a nectie store in Independence, Mizzourey, west busted. Greesewood is a regiler fynancier. Hopin' you are the same, I am. Yure fren, Neckycke Jones."

Then on another pertinent subject Neckyoke Jones had this to say -

"'Did you seen where the Johnny Bulls is buying a millyun bushel of wheat from Unkle Joey Staleen?' I inquires of my ol' pardner, Greasewood.

'Yessir,' he resloops, 'An' I unnerstand that the Brittish is going to give raw rubber to Unkle Jo in payment. This will fix it so Unkle Jo

kin make tires fer airplanes an' sech stuff - which he is givin' to
the Chinee Commies who is killin' British soljers. Now, I also seen
that Clem Atlee - the Sochilist, has told the British folks they don't
need to worry about Persian oil, because he's got it fixed to git all
the oil they need from your good ol' Unkle Sap. He don't say what the
Limeys will use fer money, but you kin bet that it'll most likely have
the American eagle on it - because there is a cupple of gimme guys
over from England right now negosheating. Like as not it won't be long
before we'll have to give coupons fer gasoline - and taxes continues to
rise. This seems kinda queer to me - all of this here British bizness but then mebbe it's me that's plumb loco.' This internashinul bizness
has sure got Greasewood euchered. Hopin' you are the same, I am,
Yure fren, Neckyoke Jones."

**\* \* \*** 

This past week 15-year-old Curtiss Eubank of Marne was in Washington as one of the top winners in the Michigan Junior Chick-of-Tomorrow contest. The contest seeks to promote interest of youngsters in the economical production of chickens for meat purposes. In other words more meat per pound of feed. Sounds like a good deal for the consumer as well as the farmer. Asked Curtis what he was doing with the money he made from his chickens. His answer, typically American, went like this, "My chicken money will allow me to buy an Angus Aberdeen heifer and with the profit from that I hope to be able to go to Michigan State." More power to you, Curtis, and also the others who have a chance to win next year. Understand young Jerry Sietsema of Kent County, who was State Champ this year, did not make the trip to the Capital because he was a winner a year ago. Congratulations to you on this fine achievement both years.

\* \* \*

The Coast Guard will hold competitive examinations on February 18th and 19th for entrance to the Coast Guard Academy at New London, Connecticut. The four-year engineering course, equivalent to a college education, leads to a Bachelor of Science degree and a career as a Coast Guard officer. Interested young men should write Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, Washington 25, D.C. for full information.

\* \* \*

America's steel industry needs more scrap iron and steel. The National

Production Authority is urging plant owners, farmers, automobile wrecking yards, railroad and ship yard operators to gather and sell all of their scrap to local scrap dealers. If the steel industry is to continue building the new equipment needed for our nation's defense program, we must have more steel. New steel is made from 50% scrap. Collect your heavy industrial scrap and sell it to your dealer today. YOU can help build America's might - GET IN THE SCRAP!

VISITORS - From Grand Rapids, Mr. & Mrs. Earl Schuhardt and daughter, Dan Darling, Ewald C. Knudstrup, Jack D. Ripstra, J.V. Stegmeier, Robert H. Higgins; and from Marne, Curtiss Eubank.

One of the little understood Congressional legislative mechanisms is the "Committee of Conference." Actually all details of any final legislative decision are worked out behind closed doors by darned few members of the House and Senate. Right now I'm a member of a Conference Committee for the first time and the experience is both interesting and exasperating.

A Conference Committee comes into being when the House passes one version of a particular bill and the Senate approves the same proposal but in a different form. For example, the House on the river, Harbor and flood control appropriation bill allowed 514 million dollars. The Senate in its version of the identical bill approved 638 million dollars. You can readily see why the Senate is called the "Upper Body." Before any funds can be spent a compromise figure must be determined. To negotiate the Senate appointed nine conferees and the House selected five, including myself.

Before the House conferees got together with the Senators we had our own strategy caucus. The Senators are sharp bargainers, expecially on this particular appropriation bill, which is oftentimes referred to as the "pork-barrel" measure. Former Congressman Albert Engle of Muskegon is an authority on such matters for he served for a number of years on the House flood control and river and harbor appropriations subcommittee. I often wondered why Al Engle looked a little harried. Now I know.

After our own caucus the five House conferees proceeded hopefully to the Senate side of the Capitol. Tradition demands that all conferences take place in the rarified atmosphere of the "Upper Body." The first meeting with the nine Senators was pleasant but unproductive. The chairman, again by tradition, is the ranking Senator and Senator McKellar of Tennessee from his long experience is a master politician and negotiator. After the usual handshaking and exaggerated courtesy on all sides the 14 conferees got down to work. During the first three-hour session the non-controversial differences in the bill were easily compromised. Then the real roadblocks appeared as expected.

The Senators argue that Uncle Sam must spend all of the millions for the huge hydro-electric power dams and the flood control projects. They contend every project is directly related to national defense and that the taxpayers' money must be appropriated regardless of cost. The House Members reply, "Where are you going to get the money?" Even the Senators admit these expenditures will unquestionably have to be paid for by borrowed money. Of course, the prospect of additional taxes and further deficit financing doesn't deter those from the "Upper Body" from seek."

ing appropriations for a pet project. So far the House conferees haven't weakened and I doubt if some of us will. To date there have been four meetings of the Conference Committee totalling nine hours of negotiations and no progress appropriately describes the negotiations. I'll keep you posted for they are your tax dollars that are involved.

\* \* \*

From July 1st through September 30th the United States spent almost 15 billion dollars. In this three-month period, just think only 122 days, there was a federal deficit of over  $2\frac{1}{2}$  billion dollars, the biggest peacetime deficit in any quarter. Apropos of this situation, Senator George of Georgia several days ago lambasted the President on appropriation matters with this statement, "The faintest bugle call from the other end of Pennsylvania Avenue for a reduction of expenditures would have been met with enthusiastic Congressional response." He's so right.

\* \* \*

VISITORS: Miss Regina Kelling and her sister, Anne May Kleiner, and Robert Vos and his daughter, all of Grand Rapids; Frank Duffy of Holland.



Several weeks ago I received a letter inquiring as to whether or not the Russians still owed the United States any money for the military equipment and civilian supplies we sent the Soviet Union in World War II. In checking with the State Department I found that up to date Uncle Sam and the representatives of the Kremlin have failed to reach "substantial agreement" in the settlement of Russia's lend-lease account. During World War II the United States sent 11 billion dollars in supplies to Russia. The Russians have generously (??) offered to pay us \$300 million dollars in full settlement of this 11 billion account. The State Department claims the Kremlin should pay 800 million to settle up, or about 8 per cent on the original lend-lease amount. It will be interesting to see just how well our State Department negotiators do in arriving at the final figure.

\* \* \*

As the talk of "truce" in Korea has its up and downs it is interesting to note that the Congress is right now getting around to ending formally the last war with Germany. The House of Representatives on July 27th approved such a declaration and the Senate Committee on Foreign Affairs subsequently put its okay on an amended version. From all indications the final steps will be taken before adjournment and then a peace rather than a war status will officially exist between the United States and Germany.

What's the story on Korea? Probably no one knows what the future peace prospects may be. In the meantime it is discouraging and disheartening to see the tremendous casualty lists each week. In the 16 months of the Korean War the United States has suffered over 85,000 battlefield casualties, plus 75,000 non-combat casualties. Each week now our troops report approximately 2,000 casualties resulting from the war against the Communists. According to the President and the State Department this may be a "police action" but to those who have been fighting our battles in far-off Korea and their loved ones at home this is a "big war," a very big one. It is becoming very evident that the President can't pass Korea off as a "police action," nor can our negotiators continue to fiddle ground while the Communists build up their military forces. The stalling tactics of the Reds in their negotiations simply mean more casualties for us. It seems to me the Reds in Korea would agree to an honorable cease-fire and truce in Korea if they knew "no settlement" meant our troops, planes and ships would give them both barrels.



On practically every legislative bill the Senate and House versions disagree in some particulars. These differences must be worked out in conference. Occasionally the House and Senate members of a Conference Committee try to insert new matters or provisions in the final version which were not included in the bills when approved by either body. The Vice President for the Senate and the Speaker for the House must rule on whether or not such insertions are proper. The test is whether the conferees have "injected new matter" in the final draft of a proposed law. In each case the issue must be raised by a Senator or Representative. The decision by the Vice President or the Speaker is usually final but under the parliamentary rules a majority of the members can over-rule the chair.

Here's a specific case. In the "foreign aid bill" the conferees raised from five to ten per cent the money the President could shift from one program to another. Senator Dvorshak of Idaho challenged this action by the conferees.

Vice President Barkley's ruling was sustained by a Senate vote of 41 to 36.

The same conferees decided that the head man of the foreign assistance program should be a member of the board of directors of the Export-Import Bank. A point of order was raised against this when the conference report came back to the House. Speaker Rayburn ruled the conferees has "injected new matter" or gone beyond their jurisdiction and as a result the provision was stricken.

\* \* \*

The Final version of President Truman's tax increase legislation will be before the Congress this week. The President wanted taxes raised 16 billion. The Congress will raise them by about six billion. The difference can and should be made up by reductions in government expenses. A balanced budget must be achieved. It can be accomplished by cutting expenses and raising taxes to a limited degree.



The 82nd Congress, first session, closed up shop late Saturday afternoon, October 20th. Was it a good or bad legislative effort? I guess it depends on your point of view if you are a bitter partisan to start with, but the real test comes when the contemporary independents take a look and when historians, in the calm of a decade later, write their pages for the information of future generations.

In my judgment for what it's worth, and undoubtedly my views are somewhat prejudiced, the Congress did do a fairly good job under most adverse circumstances. It must be remembered that this Congress from January 3rd through October 20th was legislating while a pretty big war was in process. Bear in mind it was a war in which the United States was involved by President Truman's action in June, 1950. Congress was not consulted beforehand and since the Korean conflict began no declaration of War by Congress has ever been suggested or considered by the President or his Congressional lieutenants. Rightly or wrongly, the fact that Mr. Truman plunged the American people into war without consulting with Congress has had a decided effect on legislative matters, both military and domestic. Frankly, the precipitous action by the President in committing American G.I.'s to a land war, which has turned into a stalemated war with continued heavy casualties and very little help from our allies in the United Nations, has made Congress hesitant about giving the Executive branch of the government any more power than is absolutely necessary.

Despite the criticism of the initiation and subsequent handling of the Korean War, Congress in the last ten months appropriated over 61 billion dollars for the Army, Navy, and Air Force. With these huge funds the Air Force will grow from 90 to 140 air groups. The Navy gets a super carrier, the assurance of others, and many more planes for its air operations. The Marines are to have three divisions and three air wings and the Army has ample money for the best equipment and sufficient forces.

In addition the Congress boosted atomic development on a vast scale.

Appropriations for the Atomic Energy Commission were doubled. The hydrogen-bomb project was sanctioned and no limits were placed on the development of the atom for either war or peace. It is essential for America to keep ahead of the Kremlin on the wartime uses of the atom but at the same time it is vital to all humanity that we take full advantage of atomic developments for peacetime benefits.

On non-defense programs and projects the Congress undoubtedly appropriated too much money even though it cut President Truman's budget about five per cent. On this issue the President and the Congress were badly split. The White House seemed little concerned about the necessity of "belt tightening" in the various federal agencies wipse work is mpt commected with the war. In this sphere Mr. Truman seemed disposed to classify all federal bureaus as part of the defense effort. In contrast Congress honestly tried to separate defense and non-defense bureaus. Slashes in the budgets of the latter were attempted with some success, not enough in my judgment, but still sufficient to reduce federal expenditures over all by about five billion dollars.

On domestic legislation the box score must be evaluated on the basis of what good legislation was enacted and what bad legislation was defeated. For example, prepaid compulsory health insurance, better known as socialized medicine, was not okayed even though Mr. Truman strongly advocated such a law. On the affirmative side Congress tightened up on the sale of habit-forming drugs and provided far stiffer penalties for narcotic violations. Senator Taft sponsored and Congress approved a needed and desirable change in the Taft-Hartley Act which will permit "union shop" agreements without a special election. These are but samples but certainly good indications of what the Congress did or didn't do.

Special Congressional investigations, totalling about 134, were helpful in rooting out graft, corruption, crime and political influence. There will be even more exposures for the Congressional committees have just scratched the surface in hauling some of the Administration skeletons out of the closets. In fact, I'm willing to predict the scandals on the stage now are just eye openers for what will follow.



In our Congressional District consisting of Kent and Ottawa counties there is a great need for new school buildings. In some cases the structures are part way up; in others just in the blue-print stage. All of those interested, pupils, teachers, administrators and parents, are concerned about where the necessary steel will be obtained to construct the buildings.

Congress, in two ways, has done what it could to relieve the situation.

Before adjournment resolutions were approved in the House and Senate calling on mobilization officials to increase construction metal allotments for schools and hospitals. This Congressional resolution resulted from hearings that were held by the House Committee on Education and Labor. Parents and educators from all over the United States testified that schools are terribly overcrowded and in many instances unsafe for the kids. Will the Congressional resolution get more steel so that the school and hospital construction program can proceed? The head of the National Production Authority, the man who does the allocating, says "Yes, maybe not all that is requested, but more than what would have otherwise been available."

#### \* \* \*

Oftentimes the question is asked—What happens to bills Congress didn't pass before adjourning October 20th? When Congress closed the books on the first session there were 2,460 Senate and 6,219 House bills which did not receive final Congressional action. These carry over to the second session which begins January 8, 1952, and if approved in the next session will be, legislatively speaking, part of the work of the 82nd Congress. In the first session which ended October 20th, Congress completed action on 257 public and 417 private bills.

#### \* \* \*

President Truman in affixing his signature to the new tax bill said he wants still another increase in taxes next year. The President contends Congress didn't make the new taxes high enough. That's a lot of poppycock. The new tax bill is ample to pay Uncle Sam's bills if the President and his budget advisors would make a determined effort to cut expenses and would cooperate with Congress on a real economy program. Congress can't do the job alone—if we are to balance the budget there has to be some assistance from the White House. A fellow citizen and a taxpayer said the other day "he didn't mind paying his taxes if he thought he was getting his money's worth, but he was getting fed up with paying for the President's tax scandals, R.F.C. shenanigans and a foreign policy that admits no mistakes and achieves few successes!"

The other day I received a letter from Congressman Mahon of Texas who is the chairman of the appropriations subcommittee that has charge of the funds for the Department of Defense. Representative Mahon in his letter, which he sent to all his colleagues, asked that personal investigations be made of every military installation in each Congressional district by the Congressman from that particular district. Chairman Mahon knows that the Army, Navy and Air Force waste more money and manpower than any branch of the government but he needs the help of all of us to root out the disgusting inefficiency and lack of economy. By the way, if any citizen knows of specific cases where the Armed Forces are wasting men or materials please let me know and I will see that Congressman Mahon and his committee straighten out the military "brass-hats."

Here is what Congress has recently uncovered: In 1944 and 1945 the Navy bought paint in such volume it could cover 10,666 battleships. Eventually tons of this paint was sold to a German firm as surplus. You would think the military "brass" would profit from such idiotic purchasing policies. No, they never learn. In the year after the Korean War began, the Army bought 68 million can openers. That averages about 45 for each man under arms. Army Ordnance ordered 6 million gallons of paint in quart and gallon cans and urged that a whole year's supply be delivered in 60 days. The Army later admitted it could have saved a lot of money by ordering its paint in 5 gallon cans. And so it goes. Congress needs the help of every citizen if we are to stop the useless extravagence of the Army, Navy and Air Force.

\* \* \*

VISITORS: Eugene and James Boumers, Harold M. Schoperkotten, Bette Ennis, George S. Gedvilla, Louis P. McKay, Charles E. Cleaver, Carol and Helen Formsma, Mr. and Mrs. Arthur J. Hogan, Jr., Peter and Wendy Schroeder and Mrs. George Schroeder.



The other day the statement was made that Congress has been investigating the Reconstruction Finance Corporation all year and now what's been done? During the last year two Senate groups did investigate RFC loan activities. The first group under Senator Fulbright reported its hearings "fully substantiated" charges that certain top RFC officials yielded to pressure of influence peddlers in granting loans. A separate report by Republican Senators urged that RFC be abolished. The second group investigated RFC to find if Democratic National Chairman William Boyle, Jr. helped American Lithofold Corporation of St. Louis get an RFC loan. Boyle denied the charges. During the hearings his testimony looked bad and other damaging evidence appeared. On October 13th Boyle resigned his \$25,000 per year job as boss of the Democratic party. The RFC, despite the unsavory disclosures and Republican attempts to abolish it, lives on.

#### \* \* \*

Because the Hoover Commission promised to save approximately five billion dollars annually in the operation of the federal government there is considerable interest in what has been the progress of the recommendations. The first session of the 82nd Congress did not achieve spectacular success along this line, in fact the Congress and the President have a pretty shabby record on this score. For example, President Truman submitted only one reorganization plan to the House and Senate in 1951. In 1949-50 the President submitted 34 reorganization plans to Congress, 26 of which were adopted. Although the Congress didn't do its best work in this field in 1951, there was a good bit of Hoover reorganization groundwork laid for action during the next session.

### \* \* \*

One of the nation's leading radio news commentators apens his daily radio broadcast with, "The scandal in Washington today is. . ." and then the story goes on. In one broadcast he told of a U.S. collector of Internal Revenue from Brooklyn, New York who recently explained some fancy deals to a House Investigating committee.

The collector, J. P. Marcelle, was appointed seven years ago by Franklin D. Roosevelt at a salary of \$10,500 per year. In his seven years as a collector of taxes for Uncle Sam, Marcelle made more than \$190,000 by outside work. In 1948 he had his best year and took in for himself a neat little \$135,776. By sheer accident Marcelle had filed his 1948 income tax return in his own office in Brooklyn instead of sending it along to Washington. Two and a half years later some investigators dug up this return and it was properly audited. An unbiased audit revealed tax collector.

Marcelle had made mistakes in his own favor totaling \$32,834. Marcelle has now resigned for the "good of the service" along with five other collectors.

Most of the disclosures that have resulted in the resignation of six of the 64 tax collectors in the United States come from the good work of Senator Williams, a Republican of Delaware. In February of this year Senator Williams said in a speech, "It is my belief that in many cases....the morale, efficiency, and even the honesty of some of those who collect our federal taxes are distressingly bad. . . . The reason....is that our system of tax collection is shot full of cheap, inexcusable political manipulation." It appears the tax investigation started by Senator Williams and now carried further by a House Investigating Committee is due to become the gravest of all Truman Administration scandals.

\* \* \*

Senator Harry Byrd of Virginia recently brought out some interesting details. All Presidents through FDR, in 156 years, took from the public in taxes 248 billion dollars. This period includes two world wars. President Truman in six years took from the public in taxes 260 billion dollars. The "tax take" in this single Administration is 12 billions more than in all Administrations of the past. Even so, the present Administration under H.S.T. spends more than it takes in.

Can the Congress override a pocket veto? No. Did the President pocket veto any bills approved by the House and Senate during the first session of the 82nd Congress? Yes.

If the President does not sign a bill approved by the Congress within ten days after adjournment, the bill dies. The President gives the legislation a "pocket veto" simply by failing to sign it.

One of the bills killed by a pocket veto involved federal aid to education in critical defense areas. Mr. Truman in a public statement said the measure would require racial segregation in schools on military sites and other federal property in 17 states. The President favored the general purpose of the bill but killed it because of the segregation provision.

Will Congress, when it reconvenes in January, re-approve a similar bill and then try to pass it over a regular Presidential veto? Frankly, I don't know. If such an effort is made in the House and Senate it will widen the bitter split in the already divided ranks of the Democratic party. The northern Democrats will go along with Mr. Truman's point of view and the southern Democrats will fight for the original version. It will be an interesting intra-party battle to watch. I only hope deserving kids who need an education will not suffer because of the long struggle and vicious dispute among the various factions in the Democratic party.

\* \* \*

It is encouraging to hear that the Navy Department and particularly the Marines have taken positive steps to reduce dissatisfaction among Marine reservists, to reduce the number of able-bodied men performing "chair corps" duties, and to include "cost consciousness" among categories rated for officer fitness. A Senate Subcommittee on Preparedness so reported after a careful check. The Army and the Air Force would do well to follow suit. An over-all Department of Defense program of this sort would boost morale and save money. Uncle Sam needs emphasis on both points during wartime.

\* \* \*

Lobbying is big business in Washington. A recent analysis covering the first half of 1951 shows 235 organizations reporting under the Federal Regulation of Lobbying Act said they spent \$4,288,300. This total reverses a four-year upward trend in total cutlay for reported lobby spending. Some of the groups said part or all of their reported expenditures really wasn't for lobbying but filed reports under the law just to play safe. About 900 individuals filed lobby financial reports on their legislative activities.

### Your Washington Review - Page 2

Pressure groups told Congress and the American people they spent a million dollars less this year than was spent in a comparable period in 1950. The "dopesters" offer the following explanation for the drop in lobby spending: (1) There was very little welfare, rank or labor legislation on the Congressional agenda where pressures could be applied for or against certain bills. (2) Many organizations may have adopted new methods of filing reports or handling expenditures for lobbying or related activity.

Non-legitimate lobbying, and most of it is, can be very helpful to a member of Congress. For example, if a certain bill is scheduled for consideration by the House of Representatives I like to get the detailed recommendations of the lobbying groups on both sides. A Congressman can and should use the facts presented by the lobbyists, pro and con. After listening to both sides as presented by each group a member of the House and Senate should then use his best judgment, bearing in mind the views of his own constituents and the general welfare of the nation as a whole.

### \* \* \*

A joint Congressional "elonomy" committee recently reported a cutback in federal payrolls for the first time since the start of the Korean War. Government workers totaled \$2,509,394 in August and \$2,495,519 in September. Some reductions were seasonal but most of the cutbacks resulted from economy amendments approved during the last session of the Congress. It is hoped the trend will continue.

### \* \* \*

The recent elections in a number of states will result in this situation in the House of Representatives: Democrats, 233, Republicans, 200; Independents, one. There is still one vacancy to be filled—in the traditionally Republican Nebraska Third District. Election date: December 4th. Although the Republicans picked up one new member, the President and his party will still have a numerical majority in the House of Representatives.

The President and the House subcommittee investigating the "tax-fixin' business" recently had a few disputes that were not completely settled to anybody's satisfaction. The trouble involved certain files in the Department of Justice that the committee thought were necessary to show any alleged wrongdoing in certain departments in the Executive Branch of the federal government.

The subcommittee has been investigating reports of irregularities in income tax collection by the Internal Revenue Bureau and in prosecution of tax fraud cases by the Justice Department. The lawyer for the House Committee said certain files were essential to get to the bottom of the irregularities. The Department of Justice was asked to produce the files and the Department had refused to cooperate. When the files were not produced Congressman King of California, chairman of the investigating group, called President Truman who was vacationing in Key West, Florida. Initially the President apparently said the files should be delivered for inspection. On second thought, however, the President said to newspapermen the information should be kept secret and the files were not to be made available to Congress or the public.

Such an attitude by the White House satisfies no one. The public naturally wants to know why anything of this sort has to be covered up. Members of Congress point out this is just another example of high-handed "Iron Curtain" methods by the Truman Administration. Even those in the Bureau of Internal Revenue and the Department of Justice who are under suspiction are not pleased, for the non-delivery of the files in itself casts a bad reflection. For all concerned, the President should open up the files for all to see and let the chips fall where they may.

好 计 计

It appears that Senator Joe McCarthy is not the only one in the Senate who feels the State Department has been lax in its handling of foreign agents. On November 8th a Senate Internal Security subcommittee revealed an alleged Communist spy was allowed to harvest a crop of U.S. military secrets for more than two years while acting as a Czechoslovakian diplomat in America. The committee charged Colonel Otto Biheler, military and air attache at the Czech Embassy, was a key figure in the Communist espionage apparatus. Sharp crticism was hurled at the State Department for permitting the situation to exist. The Department contended it was never asked to expel Colonel Biheler but the State Department officials did admit that questionable reports about this alleged Communist agent had been received from sources outside the United States. Senator McCarran said Biheler's record

Your Washington Reveiw - Page two

is "typical of hundreds" and "it would seem that the State Department does not wish to impede the activities of these people."

\* \* \*

Nowadays the Pentagon is one of the sights folks always want to see when they visit the nation's Capital. Anyway you look at it the building in itself is a massive structure. Under its roof are, among other things, 361 generals and admirals of the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marines. One of the Senate investigating committees checking into the hourding of military manpower decided the Pentagon was altogether too star-spangled. The "high concentration of upper brass" in Washington, said the committee, is "inefficient, wasteful and dangerous." It appears that in the Pentagon Army colonels and Navy captains are doing the work of "messenger boys." According to the report "top brass" is almost as numerous in the Pentagon now as it was at the end of World War II, when the Armed Forces were almost four times as big. In addition, and this should make everyone blink, there are 91,081 civilians working for the Defense Department around Washington, which by the way is only 7,000 under the World 'ar II peak. The situation might have been worse except for the fine economy efforts of Senator Ferguson during the last session of the Congress.

The Senate committee told the Chiefs of Staff to thin out some of the brass and warned the Pentagon bosses that unless there was a cut the American fighting forces might wind up "all chiefs--no Indians." Of course, the Senate could help the situation itself by now and then refusing to confirm any new general or admiral promotions.

\* \* \*

VISITORS: Tom Walsh and Jenny Geraitis of Grand Rapids; Junius B. Wood of Holland.

Nowadays Mark Twain would find his beloved Mississippi a good bit different. Perhaps to him it wouldn't have the same appeal but to a Michigander in 1951 the Lower Mississippi River is a sight to behold. This is particularly true when you see it from the pilot house of the Steamer "Mississippi" with a 90-year-old stalwart as your guide.

This past week three members of the House Committee on Appropriations made a four-day inspection trip of the Lower Mississippi from the mouth of the Ohio River to the Gulf of Mexico. Via the river this is 964 miles and our inspection party made most of the journey on board one of the few remaining steam propelled "paddle-wheelers."

In order to inspect adequately all of the many flood control leves, reservoirs, cut-offs, bank revetments and floodways the Army Engineers kept the three Congressmen on the go from early morning till late at night. We viewed not only the "main-stem" levees and cut-offs but much of the tributary work which is an integral part of the master plan for the control of the Mississippi, the giant of all streams in America.

The Mississippi River has its source in Lake Itasca, Minnesota, at an elevation of 1,470 feet above sea level, and flows 2,470 miles to the Gulf. Together with its tributaries, the river drains a total of about 1,244,000 square miles. The drainage basin, exceeded in size only by those of the Amazon and Congo Rivers, covers all or parts of 31 states.

On Saturday afternoon we boarded the Steamboat "Mississippi" at Cairo, Illinois, and for the next four days followed every twist and turn of the river. The most interesting guide was a civilian engineer, Mr. S. P. Reynolds, of Caruthersville, Missouri, who has lived within a few yards of the river over 90 years. This fine old man who was born in 1861 and who has helped to build levees almost a century, is as spry as most men half his age. The Mighty Mississippi hasn't licked him and he hopes to live to see the day when the flood control job is done from the mouth to the headwaters. With justifiable pride he told me the levees built under his jurisdiction some fifty years ago have never been destroyed or topped by the numerous rampages of the devastating Mississippi.



### Your Washington Review - Page 2

Saturday night after dinner on board the steamer, Mr. Reynolds asked me if I would like to walk up topside to sit in the pilot house while the steamer plowed southward. It was a dark, drizzly, and somewhat foggy night but my 90-year-old guide agilely led the way on carwalks and ladders from the lower deck to the pilot's sanctuary. For two hours we watched an experienced river pilot steer a true course in the pitch-black night. Yes, a river pilot now has searchlights, radio communication and other navigation aids, but nevertheless these men are truly experts. Our boat, in addition to the inspection part, was hauling three big barges of rock for use in the levees being constructed downstream.

While we were aboard, the Steamboat "Mississippi" passed both ways numerous other tugs and barges with all kinds of cargo. Believe it or not, over 67 million tons of cargo were carried on the Mississippi in 1950, the biggest navigation year in the River's history. Now only a very few boats are paddle-wheelers but the tremendous paddles at the stern of the Steamboat "Mississippi" propelled us and the three barges of rock down the river at a speed of 14 miles per hour. In time the few remaining old steamboats and their paddle-wheels will pass to oblivion but in the years gone by they did their share in building the economy of America.

A Congressman oftentimes is asked to help in cutting red tape in Immigration and Naturalization cases. In quite a few instances our help has brought old families together or united new ones. One of the most difficult ones involved two fine little Greek war orphans. Last week Betty and I had dinner at the home of the adopting parents and frankly it was a real thrill to see these two youngsters, both under four years of age, in a typical American home with all the love and care they need. Mr. and Mrs. Paul Soder of Grand Rapids rate a real pat on the back.

The story began during World War II when little Sophie and Paul lost the parents in their native land. For months these two kids and many other Greek war orphans had nothing to eat but bread and macaroni, and little enough of that. This unbalanced diet prevented them from growing up like our babies in America. The lack of nutrition affected Sophie so that at  $2\frac{1}{2}$  years she couldn't walk and little Paul at three was so weak he stumbled and fell after one or two steps. Both had distended tummies, which is a typical sign of starvation.

About two years ago Paul and Mrs. Soder, who had no children of their own, decided they wanted to do their full share in these troubled times. Relatives of the Soders in Greece found little Paul and Sophie and immediately started to build them back to health with the help of vitamins and food which the prospective parents shipped from the United States.

Mr. and Mrs. Soder then checked with the Immigration and Naturalization officials in America to see how they could bring the two children to a new home across the Atlantic ocean. The situation looked bad at first but then Congress enacted a new section in the Displaced Persons Law which allowed the entry of war orphans. The Soders contacted my office for they were terribly anxious to get little Sophie and Paul here as quickly as possible. I contacted the D.P. Commission and found that the Soders could either adopt the youngsters in Greece and bring them over, or else adopt them in American courts after their arrival in the United States.

Adoption in America seemed like too long a process so last November, in 1950, Mr. and Mrs. Soder flew to Greece where after much difficulty with the foreign courts the adoptions were properly handled. Mr. Soder immediately returned here by plane to get everything fixed up in America and his wife with the two children followed shortly afterwards.

The Soder family, now four in all, have been together almost a full year.

To see Sophie and Paul today you would hardly believe that two years ago they were homeless and near starvation. The kids are very fortunate to have such wonderful

no mere of programme = 2 12/15/57

Several years ago the Congress by law set the debt limitation of the federal government at the astronomical figure of 275 billion dollars. When this sky high debt ceiling was determined few citizens thought it would be necessary to revise it upward still further but the present Administration's spendthrift policies may push the limitation to stratosphere heights.

When President Truman took office on April 12, 1945 the federal debt was 235 billion dollars. In seven and one-quarter years, from inaugeration to the end of the present term, the federal government under Mr. Truman will have spent 352 billion bucks. During this period while President Truman held the reins our government will have added about 35 billion to the federal debt. Obviously, the present occupant of the White House and his fiscal advisors will never go down in history as "economy advocates."

Folks used to talk about President Franklin D. Hoosevelt as a careless and carefree waster of public funds, but compared to H.S.T., Mr. Roosevelt was not a big spender nor a bad taxer. The Truman tak in taxes in 7½ years—\$310 billion. In the 12 years Mr. Roosevelt was President the tax total amounted to \$155 billion.

Just for comparison, the federal tax collections under the 30 other Presidents of the United States totalled \$91 billion.

In other words, despite a record take in federal taxes under President Truman the Congress in the near future will be faced with the serious issue of whether or not the Present debt limitation of 275 billion dollars should be increased. Members of Congress who have done their utmost to cut government expenses can face such an issue squarely and vote NO with a perfectly clear conscience. Those who have voted for big government spending have the burden of increasing our debt limitation. It will be interesting to see what Mr. Truman and his Administration advisors who believe in "tax, tax, spend, spend" will do with this "hot potato."

Here's an interesting question. How long will it take, at the rate taxes have been increased recently, before taxes will take all you earn? The answer is a date within the prospective lifetimes of Americans already old enough to earn their own livings. Within 38 years or by 1990 every dollar earned will be taxed 100 per cent if federal taxes continue to go upward. On the other hand, federal taxes could go down if the President and the Congress would stop spending the taxpayers' money at such a wantonly reckless rate.

\* \* \*

Your Washington Review - Page 2

The recent news stories about President Truman arging J. Edgar Hoover, nead of the F.B.I., to take over the job of cleaning out the grafters and corruption in the government prompted me to look up the alography of our top G-man. On May 10th of this year Mr. Hoover completed 17 years as director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. He started with the Justice Department in 1917 as an unknown file reviewer but by 1921 was assistant director. In 1924 he was elevated to the top spot in the F.B.I. and ever since has done a remarkably fine job in tracking down crooks, grafters, and saboteurs. Needless to say, J. Edgar Hoover, if given a free rein and full authority, would do a magnificent job in rooting out the immoral, unethical and illegal practices and personalities that have thrived during the administration of President Truman. The President has been mighty late in recognizing the need for a clean-up of his cronies but with Mr. Hoover at the helm the "fur would surely fly."

\* \* \*

One of the hardiest of all Congressman is the grand old man of the House, Representative Bob Doughton of North Carolina. He's been in Congress 40 years but at 88 years old he still does a terrific job. As Chairman of the House Committee on Ways and Means he has done his level best to be fair and square to all. Despite his age this fine gentleman still does a top job. Once a North Carolina constituent wanted an appointment to see his representative in Washington. Congressman Doughton said, "Sure, he'd be glad to see his friend from home—at 5:30 a.m." He's slowed down a little, gets to work now about seven in the morning. Says he learned to get up early in the hills of Carolina.

Here are some sound Doughtonisms: "You can shear a sheep year after year but you can take his hide only once." Another goed like this: "If you strangle business with taxes you don't get any more taxes."

\* \* \*

In a couple of weeks Betty, Mike and I will be returning to Washington for the next session of the Congress. We've enjoyed being home for the past few months and all three join in wishing everyone a very Merry Christmas from the bottoms of our nearts.

\* \* \*

On January 8th, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Sam Rayburn of Joses, will be be signifying the start of a new legislative year in the start of a new legislative

when a member of Congress is home and away from the legislative atmosphere in Washington there is an important obligation and responsibility to listen to the views and opinions of his constituents. First-hand consultation is much better than correspondence but nowadays with Congress in session eight to ten months each year the opportunities for such get-togethers are necessarily curtailed. This year since the adjournment of Congress on October 20th I've discussed a good many personal problems and national issues with citizens in Kent and Ottawa counties. It's been good for me and I hope the same for them.

One of the most interesting and enlightening conferences was with Mr. J.

D. Van Putten of Holland. Dyk Van Putten several months ago returned from Korea,

China and Formosa. He's had some amazing experiences, including being evacuated from

Korea twice and China once in a ten-month period. In the last 25 years Mr. Van Putten

has spent practically all of his time in the Far East so he knows first-hand most of

the delicate problems and deplorable conditions that exist in that part of the globe.

He's a good friend of Republican Representative Walter Judd of Minnesota, who was a

medical missionary in China for many years before his election to the Congress.

Dyk Van Putten interestingly tells of his last few days in China when he held the position as U.S. Information Officer in Peiping. The Communists captured Peiping and immediately took possession of everything in the community but his small office. The Chinese Communist leaders in their propaganda radio broadcasts contended that his office was the "only unliberated area in Feiping."

The Red leaders eventually seized him and his family and subjected them all to intense questioning. His two children, 12 and 14 years old, were taken from their mother and father for private questioning by the Communist interrogators. Quite a trying ordeal for children of that age but the youngsters stood the test.

One of the questions asked Mr. Van Putten by the Reds involved Henry
Wallace, who was vice president of the United States under President Roosevelt. The
Chinese Communists wanted to know what Mr. Van Putten thought of Henry A. Wallace.

It was quite obvious from the questioning that the "Commies" thought Mr. Wallace got
a bad deal when he wasn't elected President of the United States in 1948. They also
asked Mr. Van Putten whether he voted for Mr. Dewey in the 1948 Presidential election
and were pleased when he replied No. Mr. Van Putten didn't expand his answer to indicate he hadn't voted for anyone because it was virtually impossible to get absentee
voter ballots in far-off China. You can imagine the treatment this citizen of our
Congressional district received from the ruthless Chinese Reds when you realize he. 100

was classified as the "chief spy" of the government of the United States.

I asked Dyk Van Putten what the Communists leaders were doing in China to solidify their control over the 400 million people in that vast under-developed land. Our school teachers and our students will be interested in his answer. In all Chinese schools one hour in the morning and one hour in the afternoon is spent working for the state or being indoctrinated in the principles of Communism. The school curriculum entirely emphasizes the grandeur of Communism and the alleged failure of the free enterprise system in America. There are no competitive sports under the Communist regime. Games like football, basketball and baseball are taboo. The Communists believe in mass calisthenics, by the "numbers" I presume. Sounds mighty dull to say the least and certainly contrary to our system which aims at strong minds and healthy bodies through good sportsmanship and competitive games.

It was most interesting to hear Mr. Van Putten's comments and recommendations concerning the Voice of America. He firmly believes in the need of a strong American propaganda program based on truth with more emphasis on our accurate news reports and less concentration on the material things Americans possess. In addition our Voice of America programs which we beam to foreign lands are too often in English and consequently not for the consumption of the millions of natives. After all, it's the masses we must reach with our fine story of America and this can be done most effectively by broadcasts in the native languages.

His other recommendations include separating the U.S. Information and Education agency from the Department of State and a continuation of the policy of sending American teachers and technicians to the under-developed areas of the world. Mr. Van Putten's recommendations, based on his practical experiences in China, Korea, and Formosa, are important and will be most helpful to me in the coming months when Congress has the job of determining how much money should be appropriated for the vital tasks ahead. I might add in closing that any organizations or clubs that want an interesting and educational speaker by all means should contact Mr. J. D. Van Putten in Holland, Michigan.

