The original documents are located in Box C37, folder "Presidential Handwriting, 3/24/1976" of the Presidential Handwriting File at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Gerald Ford donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

THE WHITE HOUSE

March 24, 1976

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

PAUL O'NEILL

FROM:

JIM CONNORJEE

SUBJECT:

Earthquake Research & Monitoring

Confirming phone call today to Jim Jura of your office, the President reviewed your memorandum of March 23 on the above subject and made the following notations:

"Talked with Senator Cranston 3/24/76.

Gave him information in memo. He questioned \$2.1 reprogramming out of FY 76 or 77?

I said you would call him.

Please let me know results of your conversation."

Please follow-up with appropriate action.

cc: Dick Cheney

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

Part In Landar

J. 171 3/24/76. have him info m He questral in \$2.1

My 1772

My 7772 2 said you would Plant let me honor

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

MARCH 23, 1976

MR. PRESIDENT:

SENATOR CRANSTON HAS CALLED TWICE
TODAY. MAX FRIEDERSDORF HAS TALKED
TO HIM, AS HAS PAUL O'NEILL, BUT THE
SENATOR STILL WANTS TO TALK TO YOU.

CRANSTON IS ASKING FOR MORE MONEY

TO STUDY THE EARTHQUAKE SITUATION IN

CALIFORNIA. (See attached article from New

York Times.)

ATTACHED ALSO IS A MEMO FROM PAUL
O'NEILL AND A COPY OF THE VICE
PRESIDENT'S FEBRUARY 19TH MEMO TO
YOU.

TERRY



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

March 23, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

PAUL H. O'NEILL Dheir.

SUBJECT:

Earthquake research and monitoring

Background:

- Recent news media articles about the uplift area along the San Andreas fault north of Los Angeles are based on reports by the Geological Survey issued earlier this year.

- Research is being conducted to try to determine when earthquakes will occur, their magnitude and the geographic area that will be affected.
- No operational earthquake warning system exists and research has not indicated the kind of system needed to have reliable predictions about earthquakes.
- Major earthquakes have occurred subsequent to the observation of bulges such as that observed in southern California. On the other hand, in other instances the bulges have disappeared over time and no earthquake has occurred.
- An operational warning system is at least 10 years away.
- Beyond the problem of prediction and warning there is a further problem of determining the kinds of actions to be taken in response to a prediction, e.g., evacuation and return.

Budgetary Issues

- As a result of the Geological Survey report, the Interior Department requested a budget amendment of \$12 million

above the budgeted amount of \$10.5 million. It also identified a second option of increasing the amount by \$32 million.

- Over \$9 million are included in the National Science Foundation's 1977 budget for earthquake research primarily for studies on engineering of structures to withstand earthquakes.
- After discussions between the Science Adviser, the Secretary of the Interior and the Office of Management and Budget, OMB recommended an additional \$2.1 million for the Geological Survey for the instrumentation and monitoring of the uplift in the Los Angeles area. One-half of this amount was to come from funds budgeted for other activities within the Geological Survey and one-half to come from transfer from the National Science Foundation.
- Further, the NSF and the Department of the Interior are to prepare a longer range program for consideration this fall in connection with the 1978 budget.
- The Vice President discussed this matter with the President on February 18, 1976, before final decisions were made.
- The proposed reprogramming was discussed at the appropriations hearings for the Geological Survey.
- Both Interior and the National Science Foundation testified on the Cranston bill (S. 1174) that it was unnecessary in light of the steps taken by the Administration.
- Interior Department invited the entire California congressional delegation for a briefing on this subject on March 4. No members showed up and only a few staff members attended the briefing.
- We are proceeding with each of the items identified in the Vice President's memo of February 19. (pages 2 and 3)

Attachment VP Ltr of 2/19/76



THE VICE PRESIDENT WASHINGTON

February 19, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

THE VICE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Earthquake Prediction Research

This memorandum is to report on plans for dealing with the matter of earthquake hazard reduction and prediction research which has been identified as a problem area by the recently established science and technology advisory groups (Baker-Ramo groups) and which has drawn considerable attention in California.

Background

- 1. There is a growing consensus in the scientific community that research conducted in the U.S., USSR, Japan and China has substantially improved the possibility of predicting earthquakes. Concern about the possibility of predicting earthquakes has drawn increased attention because of the detection and measurement of a strongly suspected earthquake precurser. The suspected precurser is an uplifting of the earth's surface by about one foot over the past fifteen years along the San Andreas fault north of Los Angeles. While a cause for concern, scientists are quick to point out that similar uplifts have occurred in the past without being followed by an earthquake.
- 2. Knowledge of the uplift has become quite widespread over the past few weeks. At the same time, it has become known that the 1977 Budget reflects a small cut (about \$500,000) below 1976 levels in earthquake prediction research in the U.S. Geological Survey, in the Interior Department.

- 3. The Senate Commerce Committee has scheduled hearings for today, February 19, on a bill introduced by Senator Cranston (and 12 others) to authorize \$25 million annually for each of the next ten years in funding for the U.S. Geological Survey for earthquake prediction research. The bill also would authorize \$15 million in the first year and \$25 million for each of the next nine years thereafter for the National Science Foundation to carry out research and to implement engineering changes in building design and construction as well as planning for community preparedness and rehabilitation in earthquake-prone areas.
- 4. Following the attention given the problem by the Baker-Ramo groups, the matter of earthquake hazard reduction and prediction research was reviewed by the Science Adviser, Interior Department, OMB, and the Domestic Council.

Actions now planned

Secretary Kleppe, Guy Stever, Jim Lynn and Jim Cannon believe that, within the limits of the approved 1976 and 1977 Budgets, the appropriate course of action is to proceed as follows:

To deal with the Southern California Uplift situation

- Restore a \$500,000 cut in the 1977 Budget request for Geological Survey (Interior Department) for earthquake prediction work.
- Provide an additional \$2.1 million to buy instruments and monitor the Southern California uplift.
- Make the funding adjustment by reprogramming without seeking a budget amendment. Specifically:
 - \$1.3 million will be obtained by reprogramming 1977 funds within the Geological Survey.

- \$1.3 million in NSF 1977 funds will be used to pay for part of the cost of buying and installing instrumentation to monitor the uplift, with the understanding that the Foundation should participate with Interior in decisions on the selection of the instrumentation in order to maximize its use for basic research by the scientific community.
- In addition to these adjustments, the NSF is already planning within its 1977 Budget to devote an additional \$1 million (over \$4 million in 1976) to support more research on aspects of earthquake prediction.

To deal with the longer range question of an appropriate Federal earthquake prediction program

- The Science Adviser would, if you so direct, support and manage a major study -- working in close cooperation with Geological Survey and OMB -- to define an overall plan including the necessary resources to implement it, the objectives that are to be achieved and the mile-posts for achieving them, for earthquake monitoring and prediction and hazard reduction. This plan should be ready for your consideration in the 1978 Budget process.

Next Steps

These plans and adjustments will be briefly referred to in testimony before the Senate Commerce Committee today, but details still are being worked out among Interior, NSF and the Executive Office of the President. Once the details are settled the Appropriations Committees will be notified of the changes.

Regarding a Presidential Statement

Your advisers listed above recommended against any White House announcement concerning the 1977 funding adjustments or the plans for a detailed effort to

layout an earthquake hazard reduction plan. A White House announcement could draw greater attention to the development than appears warranted at this time and could cause even greater concern in California than has resulted from recent publicity.

Earth Bulge Along San Andreas Fault Sends

By ROBERT LINDSEY

great earthquake that has long been expected here?

In a mystery that is perplexing geologists and beginning to cause serious concern for disaster planning officials, a huge expanse of the earth's crust has risen as much as 10 inches, as if inflated by rising yeast, along a 100-mile stretch of the San Andreas Fault. The bulge begins about 30 miles northeast of down-town Los Angeles and covers at least 4.500 source. town Los Angeles and covers at least 4,500 square mlles.

Geologists here say there is no evidence yet to establish whether such a swelling is a prelude to an earthquake or merely a scientific curiosity.

However, a similar, much smaller bulge occurred along the San Andreas Fault before the last major earthquake here, the 1971 San Fernando Valley quake that killed 64 persons. And, another bulge preceded a 1964 earthquake that killed 24 at Niigata, Japan.

Southern California has long lived with warnings that a big earthquake looms sometime in the future. But the threat has been mostly theoretical. The bulge has given the state something concrete to think about.

Projects Spurred

It has spurred a series of scientific projects to learn the significance of the mysterious bulge, precipitated cautious warnings that it could be a forewarning of a disaster, and emergency accelerated planning. But so far, it does not appear to have shaken Californians' traditional apathy toward what scientists say is the inevitable big earthquake. "The thing that intrigues us Is its episodic nature," said Robert Castle, the United Robert Castle, the United States Geological Survey re-searcher who led a group that

discovered the bulge last year, "If we had seen it grow over a century," he said, "we wouldn't be too concerned."

eastern portion slipping south- parts of the country, have Recently

eastern portion slipping southspecial to The New York Times
LOS ANGELES, March 22—
ping northwest. This is causing
They call it "The Bulge" and
"The Blister" and "The Palmdale Bubble." And the scientists
who study such things wonder:
that the earth heaved itself
an indication of the accumulating stresses on the fault.

| Casual apathy, of what will be, about be, will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to what will be, will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to continuous in the country, have casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have action in the customer have casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have action on the bulge was convent earthquakes.

But, in the belief that the bulge could be an advance signal of a major earthquake, state disaster-planning officials in the city did not meet the have expanded efforts to awall apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have a special hear casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have a special hear casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have a special hear casual apathy, of what will be, about here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have and the what here by the state Seismic Safe to Country have a special hear casual apathy, of the casual apathy, of the casual apathy. The casual apathy of the casual apat

'UESDAY, MARCH 23. 1976

mors of Consternation Through Quake Specialis

State Officials Briefed According to officials who it means." major disaster.

good deal more significant than a major earthquake. of Emergency Services.

Geological Survey, flew to Sa-cramento to brief senior state a great deal of scientific uncer-officials about the bulge.

them between the eyes—that Cal Tech said that over the you'd better pay attention and last year he had measured changes in the pattern of sound. tainty; we don't know what Despite the recent new unea- waves sent through the fault

ajor disaster.

to urge the public to give and with what intensity an its mysterious swelling.

"We're regarding this as a thought to the possibility of earthquake will occur.

For now, he said it is

Last Wednesday, Dr. Vincent The state, he said, regards problem; now you've got some near on the fault, although E. McKelvey, director of the the bulge as "something of thing, a two-by-four, to hit Dr. James W. Whiteomb of

siness, no one had predicted near where the bulge occurred. were present, he stressed that Nevertheless, he said, his de-that a major earthquake is im- Such changes in seismic wave it might or might not be a partment would use discovery minent. The researchers ap-velocities have often been cited precursor of an earthquake of the phenomenon as an op-parently are hoping that nature as possible precursors of an emphasizing that too little was portunity to accelerate efforts will not release the enormous earthquake. However, Dr. Whitknown about the phenomenon to get local governments to energy accumulated deep in comb and other scientists stress But he advised them to give upgrade planning for a serious the earth until they have that much more needs, to be consideration to the possibility disaster and to upgrade build-learned how to predict, with measured and learned about that it could forewarn of a ing codes where necessary, and some accuracy, when, where the behavior of the fault and

a scientific curiosity," said H. "We're going to use the studying the fault said they is telegraphing an impending Roger Pulley, an earthquake Palmdale Bubble as a way to have not discovered any clues earthquake, but he added, "We specialist in the state's Office get the people's attention," he other than the bulge itself, sug-can't afford not to study this said. "We've talked about the gesting that an earthquake is possibility."

changes in the pattern of sound

For now, he said, it is impos-For the most part, researchers sible to say that the uplift



Jin Igm

THE VICE PRESIDENT

WASHINGTON

February 5, 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

THE VICE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Indications of Possible Major Earthquake

in California

- 1. At one of the Science-Advisory Panel meetings two weeks ago, Dr. Frank Press, Chairman of the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences at M.I.T., reported on indications of a possible major earthquake in California. Through Dr. Teller, I asked him to give me the basic information, which is in the attached letter.
- 2. As Dr. Press' letter states, this information will soon become public, probably in <u>Science</u> magazine, later this month.
- 3. I have sent copies of the letter to Dr. Teller, Dr. Hans Mark, and Dr. Guy Stever of the National Science Foundation, as well as to the chairmen of the two science-and-technology advisory and consulting groups (Simon Ramo and Bill Baker).
 - 4. What is at stake is:
 - -- restoration of 25% funding cut for the U.S. Geological Survey's National Earthquake Information Service;
 - -- appropriation of \$2 million for a "dense" earthquake-monitoring network at the Southern end of the San Andreas fault, similar to the network already operating effectively at the Northern end of the fault, near San Francisco;

- -- consideration of additional funds (\$10 - \$20 million) to "put us at the same level as the Chinese" in our national earthquake-predicting capability.
- 5. I am arranging a meeting today of Domestic Council staff people and representatives of the Interior Department (U.S. Geological Survey), H.U.D. (disaster assistance), the National Science Foundation and OMB.
- 6. This group will review the attached letter and report to me on what should be recommended. I'll have these recommendations ready for you at our meeting next week.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

21 January 1976

The Honorable Nelson A. Rockefeller Vice President Room 275
Old Executive Office Building Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. Vice President:

Edward Teller, my fellow member on the Advisory Group in Science and Technology, tells me that he mentioned to you our deep concern over a recent discovery of the U.S. Geological Survey that much of the Mojave Desert of southern California and the mountains to the southeast of it have risen as much as one foot in the past fifteen years. The uplift is centered along the northern edge of the San Andreas fault, the fault that gave rise to the 1906 earthquake in San Francisco. The discovery, which will soon be released publicly, is most disturbing because such uplifts in the past have preceded earthquakes of great destructive This particular section of the San Andreas fault lies about 40 miles north of Los Angeles. In the view of most knowledgeable scientists, it is a dangerous section having last broken in a great earthquake in 1857.

The effect on Los Angeles of an earthquake in the region of the uplift would be quite disastrous. A structural engineer at U.C.L.A., Professor Martin Duke, has estimated that as many as 40,000 buildings would suffer collapse or serious damage.

There is no question that the uplift must be taken very seriously even though geophysicists have, as yet, no clear understanding of its origin or significance. An uplift preceded the earthquake that struck San Fernando, California in 1971, and uplifts have preceded earthquakes in Japan and China. An uplift in Southern California around 1900, however, was not followed by an earthquake.

The region of the uplift should now be subjected to a most intense scrutiny and the future developments monitored closely. If even an approximate warning of the approach of

(page 1 of 2)

The Honorable Nelson A. Rockefeller 21 January 1976

a great earthquake can be given, thousands of lives could be saved and the possibilities of conflagration and flooding substantially reduced.

In Japan, a geophysical anomaly of this magnitude would trigger an intensive study or a public alert.

Unfortunately, there are insufficient funds in the national research program on earthquake prediction to monitor the region of uplift and provide the scientific attention which this anomaly deserves. To carry out the program of monitoring and research on the origin of the uplift, an initial outlay of about two million dollars would be required. Beyond the first year, an annual budget of one million dollars would be needed for resurveying, equipment maintenance and data analysis. The essence of the problem is that gradual changes in the ground deformation, earthquake activity, magnetic field and other phenomena have been shown to precede large earthquakes. In order to predict the time of occurrence, however, these effects must be measured continuously.

The total earthquake prediction program in the United States amounts to about five million dollars a year. In view of the large number of casualties and the potential damage of a great earthquake, many of us believe that this program can justifiably be increased.

You may recall that when you attended a meeting of the National Science Board last year, I told you about the great accomplishment of Chinese scientists in predicting a great earthquake in northeast China a few hours before its occurrence. The Chinese evacuated tens of thousands of people and claim to have saved thousands of lives. Having visited China, I can attest to their technical proficiency in this field of science, and express my own concern that because of insufficient resources a similar achievement may not be possible in this country.

Yours sincerely,

Frank Press

Chairman

FP/ac

January 28, 1976

Dear Dr. Press:

Many thanks for your letter of January 21. I was fascinated by your discussion of our developing earthquake-prediction capability at that part of the advisory-group meeting I attended two weeks ago. Now your letter puts what we can feasibly do into realistic perspective and makes our need to perfect an actual earthquake-prediction capability all the more urgent.

You are raising what is literally a vital matter of concern for the Nation. This is precisely the kind of matter the President had in mind when he created these advisory-and-consulting groups. He and I appreciate all that you have been doing.

I'm deeply grateful to you for following up your conversation with Edward with this detailed and chilling analysis for me.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Dr. Frank Press
Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

P.S. I would appreciate having your views on the appropriate procedure from this point.