

President Ford Committee

1828 L STREET, N.W., SUITE 250, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 457-6400

June 29, 1976

C O N F I D E N T I A L

PRESIDENT FORD COMMITTEE

WEEKLY REPORT #48 -- WEEK ENDING JUNE 26, 1976

TABLE OF CONTENTS

POLITICAL WRAP-UP

PRESS

RESEARCH

SPECIAL VOTER GROUPS

FINANCE

TREASURER'S REPORT

PFC SCHEDULE

TAB A Finance Thermometer
TAB B Treasurer's Report



President Ford Committee

1828 L STREET, N.W., SUITE 250, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 457-6400

C O N F I D E N T I A L

June 29, 1976

PRESIDENT FORD COMMITTEE WEEKLY REPORT #48

WEEK ENDING JUNE 26, 1976

POLITICAL WRAP-UP

Colorado The Second Congressional District convention was held on Saturday, June 19th. As expected, the Reagan forces elected 3 delegates and 3 alternates and now lead in Colorado 6 to 0. The only surprise was the size of Reagan's majority. We had believed that it could go as high as 100 to 125 votes but it was about one-half that amount. The remaining nine district delegates will be elected in the third, fourth and fifth districts on July 9, the day before the State Convention which will select 16 at-large delegates.

On Tuesday, June 22, a convention meeting was held. Present were John Herd, floor manager, Bob Flanigan, Mike Tarrant and about 15 people who have agreed to help with the floor operation. Jean Davis and Carol Brown, co-arrangements chairmen, were also present. A detailed booklet outlining the convention arrangements is being sent to Washington.

Delegate polling is continuing, the convention rules have been completed by the Rules Committee and are being mailed under separate cover, but it should be noted that Bob Visser has received a copy by now.

There is a uniqueness to the Colorado system in that the delegates to the Congressional District Conventions are not always the same people as the delegates to the State Convention. In fact, in many counties there is a conscious effort to select entirely different delegations to each. One way in which this is done is to have the delegates to one convention serve as the alternates to the other and vice-versa. Thus, it does not necessarily follow that if you lose the Congressional District delegates you will lose the State Convention.

During the past ten days--stopping only to work the Second District Convention--the major thrust of the Colorado effort has been in the following areas:

1. Contacting each delegate to a district or the state convention and ascertaining where they stand.
2. Preparing an action plan for each of the three remaining district conventions and the state convention including: strategy, floor organization, hoop-la and all other necessary elements;
3. Recruiting slates of Ford or uncommitted delegates who can work the unknown and uncommitted delegates;
4. Organizing local political types to contact each soft-Ford, soft-Reagan, lean-Ford, lean-Reagan, uncommitted or unknown delegate to get as many of them as possible committed to vote for the President in their respective convention.

Current prospects are that each of the remaining conventions with the possible exception of the Fifth Congressional District, where Reagan is believed to be very strong, will be a very close contest. Our goal is to win three delegates each in the third and fourth, hopefully crack the fifth with Congressman Armstrong running either uncommitted or for the President, and use the momentum generated to carry a majority of the at-large delegates in the state convention. Delegate slates for the 3rd and 4th districts have been drawn up but still have not been locked in. The final delegate selection process for the 5th district is awaiting Bill Armstrong's decision.

Idaho Governor Reagan will be at the state convention in Moscow on June 26th to address the delegates. At the present time there is no plan to have any national Ford advocate present.

Indiana The Indiana State Convention was held June 18, 1976. The Ford forces, under the direction of Tom Milligan (GOP Chairman) and Don Cox (PFC Chairman) were successful in filling 25 Reagan delegate slots with people favorable to the President. This will be important when committee assignments are made on June 30th.

The Reagan forces originally agreed to a split of the 21 at-large delegates on a proportion of 5 to be picked by Don Cox, 5 to be picked by Reagan and 11 to be picked by Tom Milligan.

The Reagan forces went back on this promise and tried to force a full Reagan slate. Ford supporters were successful in fighting the slate and ended up with a 15/6 split in favor of the President.

Governor Bowen, as expected, remained neutral during the fight. He was elected as an alternate at-large delegate (by his own choice in order to avoid taking a side).

The delegation will meet June 30th to choose committee assignments and delegation Chairman (most likely Tom Milligan).

Montana PFC Chairman Neill, Executive Director Ashton, Republican State Chairman Hagen, Don Fierce, Bob Flanigan and a PFC field man met and discussed the possibility of obtaining proportional representation at the state convention in Helena on June 26th. State Chairman Hagen is carrying the ball on this. The chances at this moment are not good. The Reagan people have an overwhelming majority at the convention and though a number of them favor proportional representation, it is felt that when Reagan and his entourage have a chance to work on them one on one, they will switch.

Oregon The State Convention is Saturday, June 26th. The only problem area surfaced at this date is for the Reagan people. There is a real "kooky" group trying to take over their delegate slots. Consequently, they are getting out their people.

There is an agreement that neither the Ford people or Reagan people will mess with the other's delegates; however, we have a chance to get a few soft Reaganites in the Southern area elected--slight chance only.

Emphasis has been placed on the need for the Credentials and Rules Committees appointments to be Ford delegates. We have a sharp political attorney as Parliamentarian in each campus. Rules of the Conventions are already established by State Statute. The likelihood of either side getting out of line is not high.

Washington The Washington State Convention was held June 18-19 in Spokane, Washington. Results were 31 Reagan delegates and 7 Ford delegates (one more Ford delegate than expected.)

The procedures of running the convention which were carried out by the permanent chairman were at best highly irregular and there were several outright instances of violation of

Roberts Rules and possibly the State Election Law. Skip Li, Governor Evans' legal counsel, has outliend these irregularities so we may determine if any further action should be taken. It would be presumed that any action taken on our part in this vein would not in any way affect the election of delegates in the Congressional District Caucuses (where all of our delegates were elected).

Press reaction to the convention ultimately became biased in our favor. Both Time and Newsweek magazines covered the convention as well as other national press. The headliner stories afterward were concentrated on the Ford win of one extra delegate as opposed to the loss of 31-7.

It was very apparent to all concerned and in observance of the proceedings in the 6th Congressional District that the Reagan forces blatantly attempted to steal the one delegate (extra) we won there by a "trumped up" challenge. At least three or four of the Reagan supporters voted against them in this effort. The Newsweek reported jumped up and down with us when we won and said "Hot dog---they didn't steal it after all!"

Wyoming Bob Flanigan spoke with PFC Chairman Horn and Stan Hathaway. Both of them reported that Cliff Hansen's mineral royalty amendment had been sent to the White House for the President's signature. They were concerned that the President would veto the bill with this amendment, thereby losing any delegate support from Wyoming.

Cliff Hansen is quoted in the Casper Star Tribune, June 23: "I shall probably tell the President that if he should choose to veto, Reagan has gotten one delegate from Wyoming that he had not had before."

This information has been relayed to both PFC Washington and the White House. Hathaway reiterated that he would contact the Ford leaning delegates in the Wyoming delegation sometime around the middle of July, urging their support for the President.

Press

Seven individual cuts were prepared and fed to radio stations in New Mexico, Montana, Minnesota and Colorado. 105 actual feeds were made. Individuals fed through the system included New Mexico Congressman Manuel Lujan, Rogers Morton, Minnesota Congressmen Al Quie and Bill Frenzel, and West Virginia Governor Arch Moore.

Research

The Research Division, over the two-week period just ending, undertook the following activities: preparation of materials for Republican National Committee meeting, including releases on "electability"; compilation of pro-Ford editorials, anti-Reagan editorials and other materials for use by the delegate hunters (including talking points on various issues); points on Ford/Reagan with respect to Party leadership and "Rhetoric versus Reality"; and other materials.

In addition, the Answer Desk has continued to function with approximately the same level of activity and the same number of phone calls and letters, despite the ending of primary activities. Much of the present volume relates to delegate questions referred by the Baker operation. Other activities include coordination of some platform-related areas with the White House, speech review, and preparation of materials for various White House operations.

Upcoming activities include the extension of the Answer Desk operation as a "hot-line" with quick turn-around for delegate questions on issues of individual delegate interest. Materials on revenue sharing losses should Reagan be elected, and the effects of Reagan's \$90 billion plan will be provided, with other materials of interest at the local, state, or regional level, or to favorite programs, to delegates on request and be coordinated with the delegate operation.

Special Voter Groups - National Advisory Board

Mailings were sent to Mayors in Minnesota, North Dakota, Utah, New Mexico, Colorado and Connecticut. It was arranged to have two Mayors recruit more Ford supporters at the U. S. Conference of Mayors meeting in Milwaukee. A strategy meeting of Physicians for Ford was set up at the American Medical Association's convention later this month. Congressmen and Senators were called and asked to provide lists of women, senior citizens, ethnic minorities and business and professionals. A list of 500 association executives will be sent from the American Society of Association Executives.

Special Voter Groups - Women

Remarkable progress has been made by WOMEN FOR PRESIDENT FORD following the kickoff of the national movement with the first week tally showing the beginning of an organization in every state and the names of nearly 2,000 women committed to the President from PFC headquarters staffers alone.

Mini-kickoffs have now been held covering women on all bases-- cabinet, White House, members of Congress, former Republican office-holders, PFC state and county chairpersons and, of course, women's organization. All greeted the announcement of WOMEN FOR PRESIDENT FORD with great enthusiasm as they've been waiting for months to get involved.

Finance

As of June 21st, 23 states had surpassed their established fund-raising goals. 92.5% of the national goal has been attained.

Treasurer's Report

A total of \$143,310.11 was received in contributions this past week, bringing to \$12,641,531.89 the amount of money received to date. There is currently a balance of \$281,733.18 on hand. A full Treasurer's Report is attached at TAB B.

PFC Schedule


Because of the July 4th weekend, there are no caucuses, conventions or primaries.

T
A
B
A

OFFICE OF THE FINANCE CHAIRMAN

Private and Confidential

RANK	STATE	CHAIRMEN	% of QUOTA
* 1.	LOUISIANA	* Ken McWilliams	186.1
* 2.	ALABAMA	* Bill Acker & * Hall Thompson	181.8
* 3.	TEXAS	* Trammel Crow	177.2
* 4.	ARKANSAS	* Chesley Pruet	175.2
* 5.	NEW HAMPSHIRE	* Kim Zachos	164.9
* 6.	FLORIDA	* Bill Staten & * Tom Welstead	159.5
* 7.	NEVADA	* Charlie Glover	153.9
* 8.	OREGON	* Ira Keller & * Alan Green	151.6
* 9.	NORTH CAROLINA	* Hugh McColl	150.4
* 10.	ALASKA	* Ed Rasmuson	140.6
* 11.	KANSAS	* Howard Wilkins	138.3
* 12.	OKLAHOMA	* Jack Black	137.2
* 13.	GEORGIA	* Julian LeCraw	128.4
* 14.	RHODE ISLAND	* Elwood Leonard	119.8
* 15.	MICHIGAN	* Harold McClure	118.8
* 16.	COLORADO	* Bill Blackburn	114.1
* 17.	PUERTO RICO	* Jaime Pieras	113.1
* 18.	NEW MEXICO	* Tom Bolack	113.0
* 19.	KENTUCKY	-	106.1
* 20.	VIRGINIA	* FitzGerald Bemiss	105.5
* 21.	WYOMING	* John Wold & * Stanley Hathaway	104.7
* 22.	NEBRASKA	* David Tews	101.9
* 23.	WISCONSIN	* Bill Messinger	101.2
24.	Maryland	Lyn Meyerhoff	99.7
25.	New Jersey	Dick Sellars	99.5
26.	Connecticut	Archie McCardell	93.9
27.	California	Ed Carter & David James	92.4
28.	Illinois	Gaylord Freeman	91.4
29.	Massachusetts	Ted Beal & Anne Witherby	89.0
30.	Ohio	Bill Keating	85.9
31.	Idaho	Robert Hansberger	85.1
32.	Arizona	Wayne Legg	84.1
33.	District of Columbia	Bill FitzGerald	83.6
34.	North Dakota	Marilyn Westley	82.0
35.	Tennessee	Guilford Dudley	79.3
36.	Missouri	Don Wolfsberger	76.6
37.	Vermont	-	75.8
38.	Hawaii	Hung Wai Ching	72.8
39.	Minnesota	Don Dayton	72.4
40.	Washington	H. Halvorson & H. Bacon	66.1
41.	Pennsylvania	H. Haskell, E. Hillman & J. Ware	64.5
42.	West Virginia	Walt Deerfield & John Wells	64.0
43.	Utah	-	63.2
44.	South Carolina	-	62.0
45.	Indiana	J. Fisher & L. Weil	61.1
46.	Iowa	Crawford Hubbell	59.2
47.	Mississippi	Charles Sewell	58.5
48.	New York	-	57.3
49.	Maine	Charles Cragin	48.7
50.	Delaware	-	47.5
51.	Montana	-	44.6
52.	South Dakota	Vi Stoia	43.2



T
A
B
B

WEEKLY TREASURER'S REPORT
WEEK ENDING: June 24, 1976

	Beginning Totals	This Week	Totals to Date
CONTRIBUTIONS:			
Individual			
Identified	\$8,484,647.95	\$ 143,810.11	\$8,628,458.06
Suspense	173,430.00	-0-	173,430.00
Total	8,658,077.95	143,810.11	8,801,888.06
Committees, Reg. -			
Identified	14,350.00	-0-	14,350.00
Suspense	2,000.00	-0-	2,000.00
Total	16,350.00		16,350.00
Pledges	500.00	(500.00)	-0-
Sale of Items	18.00	-0-	18.00
Total Contributions	8,674,945.95	143,310.11	8,818,256.06
Matching Funds	3,495,919.89	322,905.13	3,818,825.02
Interest Income	4,154.59	296.22	4,450.81
Total Receipts	12,175,020.43	466,511.46	12,641,531.89
MATCHING FUNDS (MEMO ACCOUNT)			
Qualifiable -			
States Qualifiable			
Funds Qualifiable			
DISBURSEMENTS:			
Fund Raising	2,157,973.01	246,500.97	2,404,473.98
Non-Fund Raising	6,781,627.76	319,016.59	7,100,644.35
Refundable Deposits	7,269.06	5,602.26	12,871.32
Contribution Returns	57,094.75	950.00	58,044.75
Undistributed disburse- ments	2,948,260.87	(164,954.56)	2,783,306.31
Accounts Receivable	1,054.00	(596.00)	458.00
Total Disbursements	11,953,279.45	406,519.26	12,359,798.71
FUNDS BALANCE:			
Cash in Bank			124,286.43
Petty Cash			7,446.75
Certificate of Deposit			150,000.00
Securities in Process of Sale			-0-
Pledges Receivable			-0-
Total Funds Balance			281,733.18
STATISTICS:			
Total Number of Contributors as of June 24, 1976			118,077
Average Amount of Contribution to date			75.00
Cost of Fund Raising to date - percent of contribution			19%

SUMMARY THROUGH

CONTRIBUTIONS AND FEDERAL ALLOCATIONS

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
ALABAMA	<u>2,039.30</u>	<u>43,690.33</u>	<u>800.00</u>	<u>92,668.32</u>	<u>136,358.65</u>	<u>181.8</u>
Quota						
\$75,000						
ALASKA	<u>266.03</u>	<u>8,647.70</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>19,476.25</u>	<u>28,123.95</u>	<u>140.6</u>
Quota						
\$20,000						
ARIZONA	<u>2,583.24</u>	<u>38,195.13</u>	<u>1,842.50</u>	<u>79,576.50</u>	<u>117,771.63</u>	<u>84.1</u>
Quota						
\$140,000						
ARKANSAS	<u>2,583.24</u>	<u>29,994.90</u>	<u>520.00</u>	<u>57,584.50</u>	<u>87,579.40</u>	<u>175.2</u>
QUOTA						
\$50,000						

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
CALIFORNIA	<u>12,464.13</u>	<u>546,697.85</u>	<u>21,343.00</u>	<u>1,111,255.97</u>	<u>1,657,953.82</u>	<u>92.4</u>
Quota						
\$1,795,000						
COLORADO	<u>1,614.52</u>	<u>59,255.73</u>	<u>1,381.00</u>	<u>116,860.20</u>	<u>171,115.93</u>	<u>119.1</u>
Quota						
\$150,000						
CONNECTICUT	<u>8,492.40</u>	<u>82,854.51</u>	<u>3,414.00</u>	<u>198,894.56</u>	<u>281,749.07</u>	<u>93.9</u>
Quota						
\$300,000						
DELAWARE	<u>452.06</u>	<u>13,268.97</u>	<u>2,945.00</u>	<u>39,192.96</u>	<u>47,461.93</u>	<u>47.5</u>
Quota						
\$100,000						
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	<u>13,949.50</u>	<u>64,725.14</u>	<u>1,210.00</u>	<u>186,040.25</u>	<u>250,765.39</u>	<u>83.6</u>
Quota						
\$300,000						
FLORIDA	<u>15,370.28</u>	<u>235,534.03</u>	<u>7,293.00</u>	<u>482,247.02</u>	<u>717,781.05</u>	<u>159.5</u>
Quota						
\$450,000						

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
GEORGIA Quota \$150,000	<u>1,614.52</u>	<u>62,701.97</u>	<u>710.00</u>	<u>129,906.63</u>	<u>192,608.60</u>	<u>128.4</u>
HAWAII Quota \$45,000	<u>1,130.16</u>	<u>10,831.69</u>	<u>585.00</u>	<u>21,911.00</u>	<u>32,742.69</u>	<u>72.8</u>
IDAHO Quota \$35,000	<u>290.61</u>	<u>9,505.21</u>	<u>180.00</u>	<u>20,288.00</u>	<u>29,793.21</u>	<u>85.1</u>
ILLINOIS Quota \$950,000	<u>10,655.86</u>	<u>284,609.99</u>	<u>7,775.00</u>	<u>584,008.31</u>	<u>868,613.25</u>	<u>91.4</u>
INDIANA Quota \$275,000	<u>6,716.42</u>	<u>54,398.32</u>	<u>1,234.00</u>	<u>113,729.08</u>	<u>168,127.40</u>	<u>61.1</u>
IOWA Quota \$150,000	<u>1,227.03</u>	<u>29,491.27</u>	<u>1,096.00</u>	<u>59,295.00</u>	<u>88,786.27</u>	<u>59.2</u>

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
KANSAS Quota \$135,000	<u>3,584.29</u>	<u>57,627.59</u>	<u>1,928.50</u>	<u>129,109.20</u>	<u>186,736.79</u>	<u>138.3</u>
KENTUCKY Quota \$100,000	<u>8,395.53</u>	<u>36,395.12</u>	<u>635.25</u>	<u>69,735.75</u>	<u>106,130.87</u>	<u>106.1</u>
LOUISIANA Quota \$75,000	<u>3,842.57</u>	<u>46,825.92</u>	<u>689.67</u>	<u>92,737.57</u>	<u>139,563.49</u>	<u>186.1</u>
MAINE Quota \$45,000	<u>290.61</u>	<u>7,376.01</u>	<u>457.00</u>	<u>14,523.00</u>	<u>21,899.01</u>	<u>48.7</u>
MARYLAND Quota \$225,000	<u>7,233.07</u>	<u>67,916.34</u>	<u>3,634.00</u>	<u>156,346.35</u>	<u>224,262.69</u>	<u>99.7</u>
MASSACHUSETTS Quota \$325,000	<u>6,425.81</u>	<u>93,701.63</u>	<u>1,806.00</u>	<u>195,666.47</u>	<u>289,368.10</u>	<u>89.0</u>

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
MICHIGAN Quota \$550,000	<u>11,495.42</u>	<u>202,253.62</u>	<u>5,251.00</u>	<u>451,186.49</u>	<u>838,997.31</u>	<u>152.5</u>
MINNESOTA Quota \$275,000	<u>9,493.41</u>	<u>63,996.26</u>	<u>1,717.00</u>	<u>135,161.13</u>	<u>199,157.39</u>	<u>72.4</u>
MISSISSIPPI Quota \$40,000	<u>807.26</u>	<u>6,904.18</u>	<u>1,290.00</u>	<u>16,508.00</u>	<u>23,412.18</u>	<u>58.6</u>
MISSOURI Quota \$250,000	<u>3,003.01</u>	<u>57,790.63</u>	<u>1,340.00</u>	<u>133,657.00</u>	<u>191,447.63</u>	<u>76.6</u>
MONTANA Quota \$40,000	<u>193.74</u>	<u>6,314.37</u>	<u>40.00</u>	<u>11,539.15</u>	<u>17,853.52</u>	<u>44.6</u>
NEBRASKA Quota \$85,000	<u>645.81</u>	<u>19,452.63</u>	<u>462.50</u>	<u>67,156.58</u>	<u>86,609.21</u>	<u>101.9</u>

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
NEVADA	<u>193.79</u>	<u>12,711.55</u>	<u>115.00</u>	<u>25,760.00</u>	<u>38,471.55</u>	<u>153.9</u>
Quota						
\$25,000						
NEW HAMPSHIRE	<u>774.97</u>	<u>21,348.45</u>	<u>968.00</u>	<u>44,607.55</u>	<u>65,956.00</u>	<u>164.9</u>
Quota						
\$40,000						
NEW JERSEY	<u>7,911.17</u>	<u>111,766.17</u>	<u>2,784.00</u>	<u>236,538.42</u>	<u>348,309.59</u>	<u>99.5</u>
Quota						
\$350,000						
NEW MEXICO	<u>96.87</u>	<u>13,769.72</u>	<u>9,275.00</u>	<u>38,341.22</u>	<u>56,508.79</u>	<u>113.0</u>
Quota						
\$50,000						
NEW YORK	<u>24,863.69</u>	<u>296,378.32</u>	<u>13,043.00</u>	<u>648,384.41</u>	<u>944,762.73</u>	<u>57.3</u>
Quota						
\$1,650,000						
NORTH CAROLINA	<u>2,447.82</u>	<u>57,815.56</u>	<u>2,075.00</u>	<u>130,144.43</u>	<u>187,959.99</u>	<u>150.4</u>
Quota						
\$125,000						

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
NORTH DAKOTA	<u>774.97</u>	<u>7,995.74</u>	<u>330.00</u>	<u>16,609.99</u>	<u>24,605.73</u>	<u>82.0</u>
Quota						
\$30,000						
OHIO	<u>12,302.68</u>	<u>129,817.47</u>	<u>3,465.00</u>	<u>426,512.30</u>	<u>556,329.77</u>	<u>85.9</u>
Quota						
\$650,000						
OKLAHOMA	<u>11,333.97</u>	<u>52,252.53</u>	<u>1,755.00</u>	<u>112,414.56</u>	<u>164,667.09</u>	<u>137.2</u>
Quota						
\$120,000						
OREGON	<u>1,453.07</u>	<u>42,955.51</u>	<u>870.00</u>	<u>93,517.68</u>	<u>136,473.19</u>	<u>151.6</u>
Quota						
\$90,000						
PENNSYLVANIA	<u>6,522.68</u>	<u>149,521.37</u>	<u>4,116.00</u>	<u>302,327.69</u>	<u>451,849.06</u>	<u>64.5</u>
Quota						
\$700,000						
PUERTO RICO	<u>290.61</u>	<u>7,315.43</u>	<u>65.00</u>	<u>20,972.00</u>	<u>28,287.43</u>	<u>113.1</u>
Quota						
\$25,000						

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
RHODE ISLAND Quota \$50,000	<u>839.55</u>	<u>18,614.93</u>	<u>460.00</u>	<u>41,260.50</u>	<u>59,875.43</u>	<u>119.8</u>
SOUTH CAROLINA Quota \$75,000	<u>1,323.91</u>	<u>15,179.81</u>	<u>290.00</u>	<u>31,321.60</u>	<u>46,501.41</u>	<u>62.0</u>
SOUTH DAKOTA Quota \$30,000	<u>96.87</u>	<u>4,260.84</u>	<u>455.00</u>	<u>8,699.75</u>	<u>12,960.59</u>	<u>43.2</u>
TENNESSEE Quota \$175,000	<u>710.39</u>	<u>44,719.73</u>	<u>297.00</u>	<u>93,987.75</u>	<u>138,707.48</u>	<u>79.3</u>
TEXAS QUOTA \$650,000	<u>98,155.56</u>	<u>378,137.28</u>	<u>10,546.00</u>	<u>773,412.98</u>	<u>1,151,550.26</u>	<u>177.2</u>
UTAH Quota \$35,000	<u>2,066.59</u>	<u>7,976.92</u>	<u>160.00</u>	<u>14,144.00</u>	<u>22,120.92</u>	<u>63.2</u>

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
VERMONT Quota \$25,000	<u>258.32</u>	<u>6,343.30</u>	<u>290.00</u>	<u>12,618.92</u>	<u>18,942.22</u>	<u>75.8</u>
VIRGINIA Quota \$250,000	<u>6,716.42</u>	<u>74,631.55</u>	<u>3,930.13</u>	<u>189,087.66</u>	<u>263,719.21</u>	<u>105.5</u>
VIRGIN ISLANDS	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>575.00</u>	<u>575.00</u>	<u>-</u>
WASHINGTON Quota \$175,000	<u>1,614.52</u>	<u>37,766.59</u>	<u>1792.00</u>	<u>77,986.05</u>	<u>115,752.69</u>	<u>66.1</u>
WEST VIRGINIA Quota \$40,000	<u>452.06</u>	<u>7,751.95</u>	<u>437.00</u>	<u>17,853.50</u>	<u>25,605.45</u>	<u>64.0</u>
WISCONSIN Quota \$225,000	<u>3,745.69</u>	<u>74,809.22</u>	<u>2,102.00</u>	<u>152,954.12</u>	<u>227,763.39</u>	<u>101.2</u>

STATE	MATCHING FUNDS ALLOCATION	MATCHING FUNDS TOTAL ALLOCATIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	CONTRIBUTIONS WEEK ENDING <u>6-21-76</u>	CUM CONTRIBUTIONS THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	GRAND TOTAL THRU <u>6-21-76</u>	% OF QUOTA
WYOMING	<u>904.13</u>	<u>9,900.33</u>	<u>850.00</u>	<u>21,504.00</u>	<u>31,404.33</u>	<u>104.7</u>
Quota						
\$30,000						
Unidentified			<u>5.00</u>	<u>59,954.49</u>	<u>59,954.49</u>	
Other			<u>8.00</u>	<u>2,765.00</u>	<u>2,765.00</u>	
TOTAL	<u>322,905.13</u>	<u>3,818,824.51</u>	<u>134,236.05</u>	<u>8,381,217.30</u>	<u>12,200,041.81</u>	<u>96.1</u>
Quota						
\$12,700,000						

Total Allocation #